

## TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

September 26th, 2019



```
2
1
                  APPEARANCES
2
3 Kate McGrann
                          ) Inquiry Counsel
4 John Mather
                          ) Associate Inquiry
5
                          ) Counsel
6
7 (No Counsel) ) For Paul Bonwick
8
9 George Marron ) For Sandra Cooper
10
11 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
12
13 William McDowell (np) ) For Town of Collingwood
14 Ryan Breedon
                   )
15 Andrea Wheeler (np) )
16
17 Bill Trudell
                         ) For BLT Construction
18 Eric Neubauer
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
```

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	4
4		
5	DAVE MCNALTY, Previously Sworn	
6	Continued Examination-in-Chief	
7	by Mr. John Mather	5
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	Certificate of Transcript	250
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1		List of Exhibits		
2	Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	
3	1595	TOC0187442		
4	1596	TOC0188041.0001.0001		
5	1597	CJI0006682.0016		
6	1598	TOC0200937		
7	1599	TOC0201565		
8	1600	TOC0201219		
9	1601	TOCO203364		
10	1602	TOC0202990		
11	1603	TOC0203551		
12	1604	TOC0203834		
13	1605	TOC0203891		
14	1606	TOC0218073		
15	1607	TOC0600197		
16	1608	TOCO226261.0001.0004		
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

5 --- Upon commencing at 9:02 a.m. 2 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Good morning, Mr. McNalty. 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Good morning. 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY, Previously Sworn 7 CONTINUED EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 9 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Before we ended last 11 day, we were discussing the July 16th, 2012 Council meeting, where Council directed staff to report back 13 on a single pad arena and a fabric cover for the pool. We were discussing how you be -- you became involved 14 15 in preparing that report. 16 Who else was involved in preparing the 17 report? 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The report for 19 August 27th? 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes. MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The treasurer, and 21 22 it was circulated for comment and revision to the EMC, 23 and perhaps the director of PRC. 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say, "perhaps the director of PRC," why do you say

- 1 "perhaps"?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: She was likely
- 3 included in the -- in the correspondence.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: In terms of the
- 5 actual drafting of the report, other than yourself and
- 6 the treasurer, was there anyone who was involved in
- 7 drafting?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Others may have
- 9 made edits to the document as it was circulated. I'm
- 10 not sure.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there a
- 12 department head who was responsible for overseeing the
- 13 reporting process?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: On that subject?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The department head
- 17 responsible would have been the director of PRC.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: What we see in the
- 19 documents is that Ms. Proctor was -- and what we've
- 20 heard is Ms. Proctor was less involved in the
- 21 preparation of this report as she had been with
- 22 previous work in terms of new recreation facilities.
- Do you have an understanding of why
- 24 that was the case?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: She had scheduled

- 1 vacation and had limited availability during that time
- 2 period. So I believe other staff kind of picked it up
- 3 and carried on with it.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: What was Mr.
- 5 Houghton's role in preparing the -- the report?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In the early stages
- 7 of preparing the report, probably not much until it
- 8 got to be towards final.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how did his
- 10 involvement change as it got towards final?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Well, at the -- at
- 12 the end of the process, he kind of made the final
- 13 edits to the report based on the information that had
- 14 been gathered in the report that -- thus far.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anyone in
- 16 your mind who had final sign off on the contents of
- 17 the report?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Of -- the CAO.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So after the July
- 20 16th, 2012 meeting, we see that WGD is brought into
- 21 assist with the research and investigation that's
- 22 going on with respect to the arena.
- 23 Can you just explain what the WGD was
- 24 retained to do?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: They were asked to

- 1 provide a cost estimate on a traditional bricks and
- 2 mortar pre-eng steel arena.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say "a
- 4 traditional bricks and mortar pre-eng steel arena,"
- 5 are you talking about two (2) different types of
- 6 buildings, or is that --
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, that would be
- 8 one (1). The -- the main structure would be pre-eng
- 9 steel, but the lobby areas would typically be upgraded
- 10 with architectural block, or something like that.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how was it
- 12 decided that WGD would provide that information?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because they were
- 14 already involved in the project at Central Park. They
- 15 were aware of the parameters that would affect an
- 16 arena in Central Park, and they were already engaged.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know who
- 18 decided to engage WGD for this part of the project?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think Ms. Proctor
- 20 and myself had a discussion about whether -- whether
- 21 it would be logical to assign them that additional
- 22 task in the context of what they were already engaged
- 23 to do.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was it your view
- 25 that that would be something that was logical?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And why is that?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: As I said,
- 4 primarily because they already had the groundwork
- 5 done. They -- they knew the -- the park. They knew
- 6 the -- the requirements of an arena for Collingwood.
- 7 So it was logical, and the additional cost would not
- 8 have been significant relative to the work that
- 9 they've already done.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did WGD have
- 11 expertise that the Town didn't have in -- available to
- 12 it in-house?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: What sorts of
- 15 expertise?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Architects, and
- 17 engineers, and designers.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 19 paragraph 261 of the Foundation Document.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph
- 24 reflects that on July 19th, 2012, you emailed Marta
- 25 Proctor with a memorandum titled "Ice Arena

- 1 Feasibility Study," and in the body of your email you
- 2 said "Draft terms of reference attached."
- And if we could open up the attachment,
- 4 TOC0187442.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this was the
- 9 attachment to the email that was described.
- 10 What was the purpose of this document?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Can you scroll down
- 12 a little?
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Feel free to direct
- 14 the Court Operator to scroll at whatever pace works
- 15 for you.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yeah. I just want
- 17 to see what's down on -- it looks like there's three
- 18 (3) pages. Keep going.
- 19 So the purpose of this document was to
- 20 give WGD the scope of what we were wanting them to
- 21 look at, what the features of the -- of a -- the arena
- 22 would be so that they could take that and turn that
- 23 into a conceptual design and provide cost estimates
- 24 for that.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was this a document

- 1 that someone directed you to prepare for WGD?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it was
- 3 further to the discussions that I'd had with Ms.
- 4 Proctor, that we needed to have some terms of
- 5 reference to give them, and so that was the basis for
- 6 developing it.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you tell me more
- 8 about the discussions you had with Ms. Proctor after
- 9 the July 16th meeting and as you're turning your minds
- 10 to how to deliver what Council's asked for?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I think they
- 12 were technical in nature. How are we going to do the
- 13 comparison between different types of arenas and what
- 14 would we -- what would we get WGD to do, what other
- 15 means would we have available to get estimates and so
- 16 forth.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Were there any other
- 18 means that were identified that could assist?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall any
- 20 meetings (sic).
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your conversation
- 22 with Ms. Proctor, do you recall her at any point
- 23 expressing any frustration in the point in the
- 24 process?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think at a -- at

- 1 a global level there was frustration there in the --
- 2 in the work that the steering committee had done and
- 3 where it was at that point, was it going to continue,
- 4 was it -- was this a new direction, and so there was
- 5 some frustration at that level, but I don't remember
- 6 any specific -- specific points.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you feel any
- 8 frustration at this point?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your mind, was it
- 11 still an open question about whether or not Council
- 12 would proceed with the Central Park Steering Committee
- 13 recommendation or take a new direction?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think at this
- 15 point in time it was still an open question.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: When for you was it
- 17 no longer an open question?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: At some point I
- 19 believe that Council rescinded their support for the
- 20 work that the steering committee had done. Was that
- 21 at the July 16 meeting? I'm not sure.
- But somewhere between that July 16th
- 23 meeting and the August 27th deadline for the report,
- 24 my sense would be that that's when the -- the tides
- 25 kind of turned.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And did you have a
- 2 sense of what precipitated the tides turning in
- 3 between that July 16th and August 27th period?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I quess my sense
- 5 would be that the discussion around the Council table
- 6 -- maybe not around the Council table -- the
- 7 discussion in the community and amongst Councillors
- 8 and senior staff that -- that the cost of the steering
- 9 committee work seemed to be too big for the community
- 10 to take on at that point in time and now they were
- 11 looking for alternatives.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could pull
- 13 TOC0187442 back up on the screen.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this was the --
- 18 the document we were just looking at. We see in the
- 19 emails that you consulted with Marta Proctor on the
- 20 content of this document.
- 21 Did you consult with anyone else on
- 22 staff?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I can
- 24 remember, no.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we see that a

- 1 version is sent to WGD on July 20th, 2012, and I'll
- 2 ask that that version gets pulled up. It's
- 3 TOC0188041.1.1.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: This is the version
- 8 that's sent to WGD on July 20th. And if we can go
- 9 down to page 2. And scroll down a little bit.
- 10 So this is a section titled "Terms of
- 11 Reference," and in the second paragraph that -- the
- 12 second or third paragraph on the screen, it begins
- 13 with:
- "The feasibility of several options
- 15 should be examined in terms of a
- 16 comparison to the overall Central
- 17 Park redevelopment scope. This will
- 18 allow the costs and benefits of
- 19 various opportunities to be compared
- 20 directly, while also enabling the
- 21 preparation of operating estimates
- 22 and the exploration of anticipated
- 23 social improvements."
- 24 If we can scroll down. The last
- 25 sentence on this page says:

```
15
                      "The following scenarios are to be
 1
 2
                      considered at a minimum," [sorry]
                      "as a minimum. Alternatives may be
 3
                      provided should they enhance the
 5
                      opportunity for the park -- for the
 6
                      -- for part of the project to
 7
                      forward."
                  And if we scroll down, there -- then a
   table setting out four (4) scenarios.
10
                  How are these four (4) scenarios
11
   identified for WGD?
12
13
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
14
15
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm sorry, I don't
16 understand that question. How are they identified for
17 WGD?
18
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: How was it decided
19 that these would be the four (4) scenarios that would
  be presented to WGD as a minimum for consideration?
21
22
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
23
24
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think this was
25 developed through discussion with Ms. Proctor, and --
```

- 1 and trying to keep all the options on the table so
- 2 that we could have the basis to make a selection or
- 3 recommendation on the best path forward.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And before we got to
- 5 this table, we saw language in the document about the
- 6 feasibility of several options should be examined and
- 7 that these four (4) options were scenarios that should
- 8 be considered at minimum.
- 9 Was it your expectation that WGD would
- 10 look into or consider other options beyond these four
- 11 (4)?

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't -- I don't
- 16 think I had any thought that they would come up with
- 17 other options other than this because I believe -- if
- 18 I'm reading the titles correctly here, I believe thi -
- 19 this looks at a -- different types of building
- 20 construction.
- 21 It looks at a pre-eng style building
- 22 versus a fabric membrane. It addresses the upgrade of
- 23 the Eddie Bush arena. I'm not -- I don't think that
- 24 we would have expected them to go outside of that.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could

- 1 scroll up to page 2 again. And, again, looking at
- 2 that language about:
- The following scenarios are to be
- 4 considered as a minimum and
- 5 alternatives may be provided."
- 6 Do you know what the purpose of those
- 7 words were?

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I guess only that
- 12 we weren't closing the door for them to come with
- 13 other alternatives. And part of this process was to
- 14 determine how the project could be logically phased
- 15 into a bigger project in the future.
- 16 So, that -- that maybe have been part
- 17 of what was being referenced by alternatives, was the
- 18 phasing approach.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: What, in your mind,
- 20 was going -- going to be potentially phased about the
- 21 project?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: A single-pad arena
- 23 versus a single-pad arena that could be twinned in the
- 24 future and could be -- could have other future
- 25 additions that would move closer, I guess, to the --

- 1 what the steering committee had recommended the
- 2 overall grand plan for Central Park.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 4 down back to page 3. The first column here talks
- 5 about the proposed Central Park redevelopment project
- 6 components. And these would be the components that
- 7 were in the steering committee's final report.
- 8 Is that accurate?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's what I would
- 10 understand, yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there any
- 12 additional work that you were expecting WGD to do with
- 13 respect to the Centra Park steering committee's
- 14 report?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. This was -- I
- 16 think that was put into this for context.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Then we see the next
- 18 two (2) columns deal with the -- the construction or
- 19 the feasibility of a single-pad arena. One (1) is an
- 20 initial phase of a single-pad arena, necessary park
- 21 improvements with future option to combine into an
- 22 overall redevelopment concept.
- 23 And the second is a standalone single-
- 24 pad arena with potential to twin. In the future,
- 25 minimal park improvements, no automatic path to

- 1 proposed concept.
- 2 Can you explain what the difference is
- 3 between these two (2) single-pad scenarios?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think the first
- 8 one would take -- would take the overall design that
- 9 came out of the steering committee work and try to
- 10 snip an arena out of it, build that arena that could
- 11 be added to -- to get to the -- to the final design
- 12 that the steering committee had come up with where the
- 13 second one just contemplates an arena that could be
- 14 twinned in the future without necessarily going all
- 15 the way to the recommendations of the steering
- 16 committee.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And is that what is
- 18 meant by no automatic path to proposed concept?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's what I read.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We see ultimately
- 21 that a single-pad arena approaches selected or
- 22 recommended by staff; which one (1) of the two (2) was
- 23 recommended?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The second.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you recall

- 1 what led to the second scenario contemplated here for
- 2 the arena being the one that was recommended?

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

5

- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think because
- 7 that -- that aligned more closely with the -- with the
- 8 information that we were comparing to from -- from
- 9 Sprung.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you just explain
- 14 what you mean by that?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Over the course of
- 16 that month or whatever, I think the -- the idea of
- 17 develop aga -- developing it into what would
- 18 essentially be a multi-use recreation facility became
- 19 less important as opposed to getting an arena built.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how did you see
- 21 that develop from your perspective?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I guess one (1) of
- 23 the aspects is that the multi-use concept incorporated
- 24 a pool. And the other side of the discussion was
- 25 covering the pool. And if you were going to make that

- 1 investment, in covering the existing pool, then it
- 2 took that piece out of the equation for Central Park.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it that case
- 4 then that when council directed staff to -- to develop
- 5 a time line and estimates for covering the pool and
- 6 had made that selection, the second column here was
- 7 essentially rendered, you know, in -- ineffective or
- 8 not something that would be pursued?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It became less
- 10 important.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We see when it came
- 12 to the two (2) both --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Sorry, Your
- 14 Honour, I -- I missed that. What became less
- 15 important, column 2 or column 3? I -- I wasn't clear.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Column
- 17 2.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: In both scenarios
- 22 with the arena it identifies a fabric mem -- membrane
- 23 as an option that could be included. And then it
- 24 says, "Sprung or equivalent."
- Do you know why Sprung was specifically

```
22
   identified in this document that was provided to WGD?
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think, at this
   point, the -- we only knew of one (1) supplier for a
   building -- or a fabric membrane structure that was --
 7
   that was like a Sprung building.
                   I -- I think we wanted to say that it
10
   would be that style of fabric structure as opposed to
11
   an agricultural style structure.
12
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: So, do I take it
13
   from your answer this was the way of drawing that
14
   distinction we talked about last day as between
15
   agricultural style buildings which you look at in
   2009/2010 and what Sprung offered with the -- the
16
17
   membrane and the extruded steel?
18
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
19
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have
   any discussions with WGD about whether or not they
   were aware of any companies that provided a product
21
22
   similar to Sprung?
23
24
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
2.5
```

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I had discussions
- 2 with them about how the Sprung -- about the -- how the
- 3 strung -- Sprung building was put together and how it
- 4 varied from the agricultural style fabric building.
- 5 And -- and at least in the beginning of
- 6 those discussions they didn't -- they weren't aware of
- 7 a Sprung-type structure. And so, they wouldn't have
- 8 known whether there was similar ones to a Sprung-type
- 9 structure because they didn't understand the
- 10 parameters in the first place.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And did they come to
- 12 understand the parameters?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- as -- as we
- 14 were able to provide them direction to the -- to the
- 15 sales information of a Sprung structure, I -- I
- 16 believe that they came to understand what the
- 17 differences were.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: And after that, did
- 19 you have -- or, to your knowledge, any one staff have
- 20 further discussions with them about whether or not
- 21 they knew of any other competing manufacturers?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 23 But we would have welcomed that if they had suggested
- 24 it.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: In the foll -- next

- 1 bullet point it talks about other affordable structure
- 2 over a new ice arena. And in both columns 2 and 3 we
- 3 see that WGD provides estimates with respect to pre-
- 4 engineered steel construction.
- 5 Were there any other forms of structure
- 6 that you were aware of at this point in time that
- 7 could be a possibility for a new arena?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I was
- 9 aware of.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 11 down. Keep going to page 4. So, these identify
- 12 potential implications and benefits, one (1) of which,
- 13 if you look in the second column and the second last
- 14 bullet point, is:
- 15 "Fabric membrane structure may be
- repurposed in the future."
- 17 Where did that information come from?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it was
- 19 through discussions in some of the early meetings with
- 20 Sprung, that they were suggesting that one (1) of the
- 21 merits of their building is that it could be taken
- 22 down and moved to a new lo -- new location or a new
- 23 purpose if that was desired; it was possible to do
- 24 that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Is part of those

- 1 discussions, did Sprung indicate that that is
- 2 something that had happened with their structures in
- 3 the past?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I can't recall
- 5 specifically.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Are you aware of any
- 7 Sprung structures that have been repurposed or
- 8 relocated?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not aware.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if
- 11 anyone on staff or if Sprung ever provided information
- 12 on the costs associated with dismantling and
- 13 repurposing a Sprung structure?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I'm aware
- 15 of.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go now
- 17 to paragraph 270 of the Foundation Document.
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, just to assist
- 22 you in -- in time, Mr. McNalty, WGD has sent that
- 23 terms of reference feasibility study on July 20th.
- 24 There was some further discussions with WGD we see in
- 25 the documents. And then this is describing an email

- 1 chain involving the EMC and yourself from July 24th,
- 2 2012.
- In -- in the email that's being
- 4 described, the treasurer, Mr. Leonard, stated that she
- 5 had asked you to continue to work with WGD on a list
- 6 of items, including -- including redrawing certain
- 7 parts of the schematics and providing pricing for a
- 8 prefabricated steel structure and a bricks and mortar
- 9 building with respect to Sprung.
- 10 Then Ms. Leonard stated, and it says:
- "Leave the Sprung building pricing
- 12 for now until management team and
- 13 Dave McNalty can meet with Sprung
- 14 people.
- 15 Once we have the pricing and
- 16 operating costs for the buildings
- from WGD, somebody, Ed, Dave, Dave,
- and the management team, will con --
- 19 contact Sprung to get pricing to
- ensure that we are comparing apples
- 21 to apples."
- What Ms. Leonard describes in the
- 23 quoted section of her email, was that your
- 24 understanding of the plan going forward at this point
- 25 in time, on July 24th, 2012?

27 1 2 (BRIEF PAUSE) 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's what I read 5 in -- in the -- the email -- or the -- the section. 6 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: And it was -- to me, it was a means of moving forward to make sure that 10 11 we had a direct comparison between the two (2). 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if, at 13 this point in time, it was your anticipation that after pricing information was obtained by WGD, that 14 15 someone from staff would then go to Sprung and get pricing information from them? 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's what I 18 understand. 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we scroll I'm going to walk you through a sequence of 20 events that happens in the next few paragraphs. And 21 22 then I have -- will have some questions. 23 So, Ms. Leonard sends the email that 24 was described above. And then acting CAO, Ed Houghton, responds on the same day, writing that the

Town needed to have oper infor -- operational information for the bricks and mortar buildings and the structural steel building. 3 And he adds: 5 "Actually, I'm not sure where this 6 building fits into the equation, but I may have missed it." You then respond to Mr. Houghton that: 9 "The prefab steel-type arena is in 10 response to the request in our terms 11 of reference for other affordable 12 structures as more or less direct 13 comparison to a Sprung arena. 14 This is in contrast to the "bricks 15 and mortar approach" which I would 16 essentially call phase 1 of the 17 Central -- Central Park plan as 18 presented by the steering committee." 19 20 Pausing here for a second. When you're describing the terms of reference in this email, is 21 22 that the document we were just looking at that set out 23 the four (4) columns of scenarios? 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would believe so. 2.5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Then you go on to

- 2 "Mr. McNalty then wrote that there
- 3 was two (2) components of operation
- 4 costs to consider."
- 5 Scroll down. You continue:
- 6 "Presumably, I'm still okay to carry
- 7 on the discussion with Sprung
- 8 uncovering the Centennial pool. And
- 9 I will discuss the rest of Heritage
- 10 -- of the Heritage Park things to
- identify any concerns with
- 12 Brian/JP."
- Then we scroll down. Mr. Houghton then
- 14 responds and says -- responds to an issue dealing with
- 15 the staffing costs and operational costs. And then he
- 16 goes on to say:

1

say:

- 17 "The second thing is, regarding the
- 18 structural steel building, you
- 19 mention it is in response to the
- 20 request in our terms of reference
- 21 for "other affordable structures"."
- 22 And then he asks, "What do you mean by
- 23 our terms of reference?" Go down to paragraph 273.
- 24 You then reply and provide Mr. Houghton with an
- 25 explanation about what the terms of reference are and

```
1 what you're referring to.
```

- 2 Pausing at this point. Do you recall
- 3 if prior to this email chain you had discussed the
- 4 terms of reference with Mr. Houghton?

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Prior to when it --
- 9 it was forwarded to him, I may not have because it was
- 10 being developed along with the department head.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did Mr. Houghton
- 12 have any involvement in developing the terms of
- 13 reference?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: After this email
- 19 chain, do you recall if you had any subsequent
- 20 conversations with Mr. Houghton about the terms of
- 21 reference and what its purpose was?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Scrolling down, at
- 24 paragraph 274 Mr. Houghton then responds to you. And
- 25 as opposed to the other emails in this chain, the rest

- 1 of the EMC is not included, so this email is only to
- 2 you. And he says:
- 3 "The last point I should make is
- 4 that I will be the contact person
- 5 with Sprung. The deputy mayor made
- 6 that perfectly clear with me on the
- 7 weekend."
- 8 Do you recall getting this direction
- 9 from Mr. Houghton?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What was your
- 12 understanding of the purpose of this direction?
- 13
- 14 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 15
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I didn't have an
- 17 in-depth understanding other than there should be one
- 18 (1) point of contact, and it was going to be Mr.
- 19 Houghton.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: The notion that
- 21 there should be one (1) point of contact, is that
- 22 something you -- Mr. Houghton explained to you or you
- 23 discussed with him?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have any

```
1 discussions after receiving this email with Mr.
```

- 2 Houghton about the reasoning for him being the contact
- 3 person with Sprung?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the situation of
- 9 a potential procurement or purchase, was it common, in
- 10 your experience, for the CAO to be designated as the
- 11 contact person with a potential supplier?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would not be
- 13 common.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: What would typically
- 15 happen?

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Staff -- staff at a
- 20 -- at a lower level would typically take on that work
- 21 with a supplier to work out the details, and then
- 22 bring it forward.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And why would that
- 24 usually be for staff at a lower level?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Just for the

- 1 efficiency of everybody's time.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you explain more
- 3 what you mean by that?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It wouldn't require
- 8 the CAO's time or energy to go through that back and
- 9 forth with a supplier.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have un --
- 11 any sense why in this instance that normal course
- 12 approach was not followed?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have
- 15 any discussions with Mr. Houghton about why that
- 16 approach wasn't followed?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. The direction
- 18 was quite clear.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have
- 20 any discussions with the deputy mayor about this
- 21 direction?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Throughout the time
- 24 period between July 16th, 2012, to August 27th, 2012,
- 25 did you have any direct conversations with the deputy

```
1 mayor about the recreation facilities?
```

- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If you could pull up
- 4 paragraph 24 of summary document 24.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

7

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, we had been
- 9 looking at an email chain bet -- amongst yourself and
- 10 the members of the EMC, and then an email from Ed
- 11 Houghton to you about him being the contact person
- 12 with Sprung on July 24th, 2012.
- 13 This paragraph describes an email chain
- 14 between yourself and Brian Gregersen, at WGD. Mr.
- 15 Gregersen begins his email thanking you for the call -
- 16 for the phone call and direction and provides a
- 17 summary list of what had been discussed on that call.
- 18 As a starting point, do you recall
- 19 having a conversation with WGD on July 25th, 2012?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not specific on
- 21 the date, but I had conversations with them.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, I will ask that
- 23 we open up the email itself. It's CJI0006682.0016.

24

25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could go
- 2 to the bottom of the email chain. So, I'm just going
- 3 to orient you for a moment, Mr. McNalty. This email
- 4 at the bottom here is from Mr. Gregersen to you
- 5 copying Richard Dabrus. It's setting a -- thank you
- $6\,$  for the call and setting out a summary of the call.
- 7 And then if you scroll up, you see that
- 8 you reply to Mr. Gregersen's email saying, "See
- 9 comments below in red." So, if you scroll back down,
- 10 it appears that you have gone into the text of Mr.
- 11 Gregersen's email and provided some comments.
- 12 And the way it's reproduced, it looks
- 13 like it's in a slightly font. Does that sound
- 14 accurate to you?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So, looking
- 17 at item number 3, Mr. Gregersen wrote:
- 18 "The arena design concept will focus
- on a pre-engine -- on the pre-eng
- 20 structure and a generic roof
- 21 membrane system."
- 22 Pausing there, we see that is
- 23 ultimately the comparison that WGD provides. Prior to
- 24 this email were there -- were you aware of any other
- 25 options that were being considered?

36 1 2 (BRIEF PAUSE) 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to this, do 5 you recall if WGD ever presented any potential options to the Town beyond a fabric building or a pre-eng building? 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: In that same bullet 11 point Mr. Gregersen wrote, "Sprung is not to be 12 contacted at this time, " to which you respond, "Correct." 13 14 What was the origin of the direction to 15 WGD not to contact Sprung? 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It followed from the direction that the CAO was to be the contact with 17 18 Sprung. And that led to the understanding that it wasn't -- that WGD wasn't to contact Sprung either. 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did you come to understand that Mr. Houghton's direction also applied 21 22 to WGD? 23 24 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2.5

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't
- 2 specifically recall.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

5

- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I expect that there
- 7 were ongoing discussions with the department head at
- 8 that time, as well. And I recall perhaps from some of
- 9 these documents that her understanding as well was
- 10 that WGD shouldn't contact Sprung directly.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that a
- 12 conversation you had with Ms. Proctor?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I can't recall a
- 14 specific conversation, but that's the sense that I
- 15 have.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have a view
- 17 whether it made sense to direct WGD not to contact
- 18 Sprung?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would make
- 20 their work more difficult, but that was the direction
- 21 that we had.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And, in your view,
- 23 why would that make their work more difficult?

24

25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because they could
- 3 have reached out to Sprung and got specific
- 4 information on the Sprung structure directly, as
- 5 opposed to relying on sales-type information and
- 6 anything that we could provide.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when you say
- 8 "sales-type information," what do you mean? Just...
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Their website,
- 10 essentially, and any -- any sales information what --
- 11 that would have been posted on their website.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than what
- 13 you've already described about having a single point
- 14 of contact with Sprung, did you have an understanding
- 15 of any other reason why WGD should not be in contact
- 16 with Sprung?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking down to the
- 19 sixth bullet point, it says,
- 20 "The pool is not to be included."
- To which you respond:
- 22 "Correct."
- Do you recall the nature of the
- 24 conversation on the phone call with WGD with respect
- 25 to whether or not they should be looking at the pool?

```
MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't remember
1
   the specifics of the phone call.
3
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to the -- this
   email and the phone conversation that it contemplates,
   was it being considered that -- was it under
   consideration that WGD might also look into the pool?
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
7
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
   sense of why this was a point that WGD was seeking
   confirmation on at this point in time?
10
11
12
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
14
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY:
                                       The WGD work was
15
   focussed on Central Park, and they had no awareness of
   the pool or the Heritage Park potential development,
   and so that was not in their scope of work.
17
18
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: On Tuesday, you
19
   agreed that before Council proceeded with a fabric
   structure over the pool, that it would be a good idea
20
   for serious consideration to be given to other types
21
   of structures that could be used to cover the pool.
22
23
                   In your view, was there an opportunity
24
   available to the Town to have WGD look into other
   types of structures that could cover the pool?
```

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The opportunity was
- 2 there if we had of broadened their scope to look at
- 3 that. I think the selected recommendation to cover
- 4 the pool with a fabric building didn't -- didn't lead
- 5 us in that direction.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall any
- 7 discussions about whether or not -- whether or not
- 8 even though the direction from Council was a fabric
- 9 building for the pool, that this work should be
- 10 undertaken in any event?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall that
- 12 conversation, no.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 17 paragraph 275 of the Foundation Document.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph
- 22 contemplates a meeting being set up as between certain
- 23 staff and -- and Tom Lloyd of Sprung, and this
- 24 proposed date is July 27th, 2012.
- Do you recall if you attended the

- 1 meeting that's contemplated here?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If I was invited to
- 3 this meeting, I would have attended.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you attend
- 5 meetings with Sprung or BLT between July 16th and
- 6 August 27th, 2012?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Sorry, between July
- 8 16th and August 27th?
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes, between the two
- 10 (2) Council meetings.
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall
- 12 in that time period, becau -- I mean, I'm not sure of
- 13 the exact timing, but I think in that time period, the
- 14 contact with Sprung was designated to be the CAO.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Stepping back for a
- 16 second, do you recall when you first were introduced
- 17 to who BLT was?
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you recall
- 20 about that?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was in one of
- 22 the early meetings with Sprung representatives, and
- 23 BLT was present, and BU -- BLT was presented as
- 24 Sprung's preferred erector, preferred contractor for
- 25 Ontario for their buildings.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how -- what did
- 2 that mean, or how was it explained, what a preferred
- 3 erector was?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was -- it was
- 5 along the lines of that BLT was a -- an authorized
- 6 dealer for Sprung but didn't quite go that far. It
- 7 was more, If we're going to sell a Sprung building in
- 8 Ontario, then BLT would be their preferred contractor
- 9 to build that building.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it your
- 11 understanding that the Town would have to use BLT if
- 12 they decided to proceed with Sprung?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That was the
- 14 understanding that was presented. If you pushed back
- 15 on that, would they have gone -- would they have
- 16 accepted another contractor? Maybe, but it was
- 17 presented as, This is our preferred contractor for
- 18 Ontario that knows our buildings, knows how to build
- 19 them, and so that they would be the ones building it.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did anyone at the
- 21 meeting you were at push back on the notion that BLT
- 22 would come along with Sprung?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: At any other time,
- 25 do you know if anyone approached Sprung or discussed

- 1 further with Sprung whether another contractor could
- 2 be used?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I'm aware
- 4 of.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall being
- 6 present for any discussions among staff about whether
- 7 or not the Town should consider, if it's going to
- 8 proceed with Sprung, looking at other potential
- 9 contractors?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't recall
- 11 any other conversation.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it something
- 13 that had crossed your mind at that point in time?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: At -- at that point
- 15 in time, it -- I don't believe it crossed my mind
- 16 because this would be -- was being presented as a
- 17 design-build contract, and in my experience, with a
- 18 design-build contract, the -- the contracting group,
- 19 the supplying group, have relationships with each
- 20 other and have established relationships with each
- 21 other, and that's the basis for how they put their
- 22 projects together.
- 23 If -- if it were not going to be a
- 24 design-build project, then there would be opportunity
- 25 to entertain other contractors or the thought of other

- 1 contractors, but it wasn't abnormal to me in a design-
- 2 build contract for the vendor to bring their team.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your view, was it
- 4 possible to proceed with a Sprung structure and not
- 5 proceed with a design-build contract?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would have been
- 7 possible. It wasn't considered at the time, that I
- 8 recall.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know how it
- 10 came to be that the consideration, when it came to
- 11 Sprung and the Sprung structure, was, We're looking at
- 12 a design-build option?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It think that
- 14 that's the way that it was presented from the
- 15 beginning.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Presented by who?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: By Sprung.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it the case,
- 19 then, that your understanding was that Sprung and BLT
- 20 were making a joint proposal to the Town of
- 21 Collingwood?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. Yes, a joint
- 23 proposal. At the end of the day, one (1) of them had
- 24 to be the lead on that, and that ended up being BLT.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know how that

- 1 decision was arrived at, where BLT would be the lead
- 2 at the end of the day?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, that would --
- 4 in my mind, that would have been their decision.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we've looked at
- 6 the work that WGD was asked to do with respect to
- 7 comparing the two types of construction, and we see in
- 8 the documents that throughout July and into August,
- 9 WGD is -- is preparing the work they've been asked to
- 10 prepare.
- 11 During that same time period, what was
- 12 Sprung and BLT doing in terms of preparing to -- to
- 13 assist the Town in making its decision?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If -- if I'm
- 15 thinking of the time frame right, we had provided them
- 16 with details of the existing park and -- park and
- 17 amenities, and they would have been developing a
- 18 conceptual design and putting together cost estimates.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: You discussed
- 20 yesterday that the early meetings with Sprung we saw
- 21 in July 2012 were part of an investigative process,
- 22 and so from the standpoint of a procurement, it was
- 23 okay to be meeting with them at that point in time.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The work that Sprung

- 1 and BLT did for the Town in terms of developing design
- 2 and cost estimates, was that part of the investigative
- 3 process?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: At what point, then,
- 6 does the investigative process end?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It should have
- 8 ended by the time that staff was starting to prepare a
- 9 staff report.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could open up
- 11 paragraph 293.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. McNalty,
- 16 this paragraph describes two (2) documents that you
- 17 sent to Dennis Seymour on August 2nd, 2012, at 9:38
- 18 a.m., and I'll ask that those documents get -- be
- 19 opened. The first is TOC0194603.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: And if you could
- 24 just scroll through the document so Mr. McNalty can
- 25 get a sense of the whole document, and feel free to

- 1 direct the court operator.
- 2 So that's the first of the two
- 3 attachments, Mr. McNalty, dealing with the pool, and
- 4 then the second one is TOC0194604.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if you can just
- 9 scroll down. So this is the second attachment,
- 10 similar format to the first but dealing with the
- 11 arena. Are you familiar with these documents?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What was the purpose
- 14 of these documents?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Developing the --
- 16 the -- the scope or the design parameters for both the
- 17 pool and the arena I think to begin to develop common
- 18 ground between what Sprung would propose and the
- 19 information that WGD was developing.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was the intent,
- 21 then, to provide these documents to Sprung or BLT?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think so.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if this
- 24 -- well, actually, different question. The design
- 25 components that are listed in these documents, do you

- 1 know where they originated from?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I recall -- I
- 3 recall a meeting with some Town staff and -- and
- 4 Sprung where we discussed what the components of the
- 5 facilities would be, and I think that these lists were
- 6 sort of documenting what was discussed in terms of
- 7 what they were developing as a conceptual design.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 9 paragraph 295 of the Foundation Document.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 11 before you do that, I thought the point of contact was
- 12 supposed to be Mr. Houghton, but you just -- were just
- 13 saying that there was a meeting between Town staff and
- 14 Sprung. So then why couldn't WGD meet with Sprung?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it has to
- 16 do with time frame, and I notice that this was August
- 17 2nd. I don't recall exactly when the direction from
- 18 the CAO came that they should be the only -- that the
- 19 CAO should be the only contact with Sprung, but my
- 20 sense is that that direction came after this point.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 22 thought it was July 24th.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Perhaps I could be
- 24 of some assistance in setting -- in setting out the
- 25 time line. Mr. Houghton sent the direction on July

- 1 24th. If we go to paragraph 292, this paragraph
- 2 contemplates a meeting scheduled with Sprung for
- 3 August 3rd, 2012; and if we scroll down and go to
- 4 paragraph 295, on August 3rd, Larry Irwin emails Dave
- 5 MacNeil of Sprung notes from today's meeting, and the
- 6 attachment to that email is the list that we were
- 7 looking at with some additional items identified in
- 8 red. So it appears that there was a meeting on August
- 9 3rd with representatives of Sprung.

- 11 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 13 attended that meeting, Mr. McNalty?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I recall being
- 15 at a meeting where that list was discussed. I would
- 16 have thought it might have been earlier in the
- 17 process, but it is what it is.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: To His Honour's
- 19 question, do you recall why it was the case that staff
- 20 members were meting with Sprung at this point in time,
- 21 after WGD had been told that they shouldn't be in
- 22 contact with Sprung?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The time frame
- 24 eludes me as to what steps happened first. My
- 25 recollection is that we had the direction that the CAO

- 1 was to be the point of contact. This meeting
- 2 apparently happened after that. At some point in
- 3 there, we sent the terms of reference to WGD, which I
- 4 think were based on these same lists. So that's what
- 5 I can recall.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But --
- 7 but why wouldn't WGD be at the meeting, then? I mean,
- 8 they're being asked to do the pricing or whatever you
- 9 call it.
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not on a Sprung
- 11 building, specifically. On a comparable-to-Sprung or
- 12 a pre-eng style building.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: They -
- 14 they were not asked to estimate the cost of a Sprung
- 15 building; they were asked to estimate the cost of a
- 16 similar type of building?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think the options
- 18 provided to WGD were a Sprung-type building or
- 19 equivalent or an alternative economical building type
- 20 such as a pre-eng steel building.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 22 Wouldn't a Sprung-type building include a Sprung
- 23 building?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. I -- I didn't
- 25 organize the meeting.

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Like,
- 2 I didn't -- I -- Mr. McNalty, I -- I did not mean to
- 3 imply that you organized the meeting.
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Okay.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 6 certainly did not intend to do that.

7

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go back
- 10 -- I'm sorry. If we could open the attachment that's
- 11 referred to, the notes from this meeting on August
- 12 3rd, 2012, in TOC0195146.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 17 down. This is for the arena, and we see that it's a
- 18 similar list to the list that you had sent to Dennis
- 19 Seymour on August 2nd, although there's some items
- 20 added in red and some items crossed out. You said you
- 21 recalled attending a meeting where these design
- 22 components were discussed. It appears this was a
- 23 meeting where that happened.
- Do you know if there was any meetings
- 25 before this in which Sprung or BLT was present to

```
discuss potential design components?
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe it was
 2
   discussed at previous meetings. There were previous
 3
   meetings with Sprung. I'm questioning myself as to
   whether this might have been a second iter --
   iteration of those discussions. I certainly remember
   being present at a meeting when those items were
   discussed.
 9
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if this
10
   list of design components was provided to WGD?
11
12
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't -- I don't
14
15
   recall if the list in this form was presented to WGD,
   but our intention was to have WGD and Sprung looking
   at the same components.
17
18
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: And how -- how was -
19
   - what steps were taken to seek to have WGD and Sprung
20
   looking at the same components?
21
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I don't recall
22
   specifically.
23
24
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
2.5
```

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: And I'm looking at
- 2 this list now, and I'm -- I see that it contemplates a
- 3 second-floor mezzanine and an elevator and so forth.
- So, this list at this point in time may
- 5 not have been provided to WGD.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why -- and why -
- 7 why do those elements make you believe that's the
- 8 case?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because in the --
- 10 in the information that eventually came back from WGD
- 11 there was not a second-floor mezzanine included.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 13 understanding why WGD was not advised about the
- 14 interest in including a second-floor mezzanine?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 17 CJI7092.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Are
- 19 you going on to something else or are you still --
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: It's related to --
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh,
- 22 okay.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: -- to this, so.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could
- 3 scroll down. This is an email that you were not a
- 4 party to, Mr. McNalty, in which Abby Stec sends
- 5 certain people at BLT a document that she describes as
- 6 a scope of work for the arena and the pool.
- 7 And if we can open up what Ms. Stec has
- 8 now identified as the attachment, CJI6583.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we can scroll
- 13 down. So, this attachment -- can you just scroll
- 14 through the whole document -- contains the text of
- 15 what we saw you send Dennis Seymour -- Seymour, more
- 16 or less, the day before, on August 2nd, 2012.
- 17 Do you have any understanding of how
- 18 Ms. Stec obtained the information that was in the
- 19 memoran -- the -- the documents you had prepared for
- 20 Mr. Seymour's review?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware at
- 23 this point in time that Ms. Stec had this information?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: I am about to move

- 1 away from this document, or move on to another topic.
- 2 If -- if you want to take a break or if you have a
- 3 question --
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 5 -- we'll take a short recess, Mr. McNalty, ten (10)
- 6 minutes or so.

7

- 8 --- Upon recessing at 10:19 a.m.
- 9 --- Upon resuming at 10:32 a.m.

- 11 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Before we broke, we
- 13 were looking at a document that was on a Green Leaf
- 14 letterhead or memo head, and had been sent to BLT by
- 15 Abby Stec.
- 16 In August 2012, did you have any
- 17 knowledge of the Green Leaf company?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: At any point in
- 20 time, did you speak with Ms. Stec about Sprung or the
- 21 recreation fill -- facilities before August 27th?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever speak
- 24 to her after August 27th about the --
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.

```
1
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: -- facilities?
 2
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm sorry.
 3
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to August
   27th, 2012, did you have any conversations with Paul
   Bonwick about Sprung or the recreation facilities?
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
 6
 7
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have any
   conversations with him afterwards?
 9
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
10
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: At what point in
11
   time did you learn that Green Leaf, Ms. Stec, or Mr.
   Bonwick had been working with BLT in respect of the
   recreation facilities?
13
14
                  MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Years later, after
15
  it was in the media.
16
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
   paragraph 27 of Summary Document 24.
17
18
19
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
20
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is an --
21
   this is describing email from Mr. Houghton to yourself
22
   while you were on vacation, it appears, about the
24
   staff report that was going to be prepared with
25
   respect to the two (2) Sprung facilities. And he
```

57 writes that: 2 "It must be prepared for the 21st so -- so that it can go to the 3 departments heads." 5 You responded that: "We have asked WGD to have all 6 information for Central Park back to 7 us by August 15th. We will have to 9 make sure we have Sprung information 10 by then as well." 11 Mr. Houghton then replies: 12 "Remind me what was WGD doing again? 13 It seems we may not need them." 14 Do you know what Mr. Houghton meant at 15 this point in time when he said, We may not need WGD? 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have a 18 discussion with Mr. Houghton where he explained why WGD might not be necessary at this point? 19 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so. 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Are you aware of any 22 reason why staff would not need WGD's report at this 23 point in time? 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 2.5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,

- 1 Mr. McNalty, you -- you said in the email there:
- 2 "We will have to make sure that we
- 3 have all the Sprung information by
- 4 then as well."
- 5 Were you expecting Mr. Houghton to have
- 6 the Sprung information?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So
- 9 then did the WG -- WGD information, which wasn't going
- 10 to include Sprung, I guess, because they'd been
- 11 precluded from contacting Sprung, was going to go to
- 12 Mr. Houghton, who had the Sprung information, and --
- 13 and then there was going to be a report prepared? Was
- 14 that how it was supposed to work?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I wouldn't have
- 16 expected Mr. Houghton to draft the report. I would
- 17 have expected myself with the department head to
- 18 disseminate the information, compare it, and perhaps
- 19 discuss it, and then start to develop a report.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But
- 21 you would be getting -- you would be -- you were
- 22 expecting to get -- correct me if I'm wrong. You were
- 23 expecting to get the Sprung information from Mr.
- 24 Houghton for the report?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

59 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank 1 2 you. 3 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER: MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to 5 6 paragraph 36 of this Summary Document. 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph 11 explains that on August 16th, 2012, WGD sent Ms. 12 Proctor and yourself a series of documents, including the first version of the -- their report comparing the 13 14 fabric building to a pre-engineered steel building. 15 If we can scroll down to paragraph 38. So the version of the report that was sent at this point in time discussed the interior and exterior 17 18 building component specifications, green options, and primary outline of specific -- specifications for the 20 major building areas components. And then with 21 respect to the heading 'pre-engineered structure 22 versus membrane envelope energy usage', it's stated 23 that: "The normal insulation values for a 24 2.5 pre-engineered sandwich panel

60 structure is R19 for roofs and R12 1 2 for walls. Membrane structures, by their nature, have no inherent 3 thermal resistant 'R' value." 5 And then it goes on to talk about that there is insufficient detail to do accurate modelling 7 in terms of energy usage. 8 Looking at the first paragraph, can you first explain to someone who may not know what 'R' value is when it comes to insulation? 10 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: A given insulation 15 has a insulating value per inch of thickness and so a different thickness of insulation will have a 16 different 'R' value that represents the -- its ability 17 18 to stop heat transfer. 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the higher the 20 'R' value number, the greater the insulated -insulative properties? 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct. 22 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: What WG (sic) wrote 24 in the first draft of the report that the membrane 25 structures by their nature have no inherent thermal

- 1 resistant "R" value, was that something you understood
- 2 to be true at this point in time?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: WGD's understanding
- 4 at this point for whatever reason had reverted back to
- 5 the agricultural style fabric building, which has no
- 6 insulating value, because there's no insulation. I --
- 7 I recall a bit of frustration that that's -- that they
- 8 had not yet come to an understanding that the Sprung
- 9 style of building was constructed differently and did
- 10 have insulate -- insulation.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: How were they to
- 12 come to that understanding if they couldn't speak to
- 13 Sprung?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That information
- 15 would have been available on the Sprung website.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you spoke
- 17 earlier about how it would -- how the direction not to
- 18 speak to Sprung would have made WGD's work more
- 19 difficult, is this an example of a way in which it was
- 20 made more difficult because it did not have direct
- 21 access to Sprung?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, that would be
- 23 an example.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to
- 25 paragraph --

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 2 before you do that, I'm -- I'm a little confused,
- 3 because I thought in one (1) of your responses to me
- 4 WGD were not -- you thought they were not looking at a
- 5 Sprung structure but Sprung-like structures and -- or
- 6 -- or membranes other than Sprung membranes.
- 7 Was that right? Did I --
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's right. And
- 9 I think again if I have the time frame correct, the
- 10 Terms of Reference document that was created for WGD
- 11 along this process, it stated Sprung or -- Sprung or
- 12 similar equivalent, and I believe that in -- in
- 13 conversation -- telephone conversations, I think I
- 14 tried to explain the building system and how it was
- 15 different than a traditional ag-style membrane
- 16 building.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 18 So their understanding of what a Sprung building or
- 19 Sprung membrane structure was like would come from
- 20 you. Is that right?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 22
- 23 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could
- 25 scroll down to paragraph 39.

- 1 We see in this paragraph that you
- 2 respond or you provide WGD in response to the report,
- 3 a Sprung slide show about performance arenas and in it
- 4 you write that:
- 5 "Attached is a brochure on insulated
- 6 fabric member -- membrane arenas in
- 7 terms of thermal performance, their
- 8 claim is R-30."
- 9 And then you talk about the spacing of
- 10 the aluminum extrusions.
- 11 Stopping here, I'll have some
- 12 questions, but was the purpose of sending this email
- 13 to Sprung to provide -- sorry, to WGD, to provide them
- 14 the information about Sprung we've just been
- 15 discussing?
- 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, I believe so.
- 17 This was after what we just looked at, right?
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: So, yes, this was
- 20 my attempt to clarify their understanding of the
- 21 Sprung construction system.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the second
- 23 sentence of the email you say:
- 24 "The aluminum extrusions are placed
- in the range of ten (10) to twelve

- 1 (12) feet apart, and between each is
- 2 -- each is outside membrane, nine
- 3 (9) inch insulation inside membrane.
- 4 There is no thermal break in the
- 5 aluminum extrusions, but they are
- 6 spaced quite far apart."
- 7 What are you trying to ex -- express in
- 8 these sentences?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That the -- the R-
- 10 30 insulative properties of the structure were not
- 11 significantly compromised by the -- by the aluminum ex
- 12 -- extrusions that did not have a thermal break built
- 13 into them.
- 14 So in a -- in a traditional -- in an
- 15 example of a pre-eng steel building, you have the main
- 16 steel structure and then wall girts or roof purlins
- 17 that go between them and they'll be spaced at every
- 18 three (3) or four (4) feet, and -- and then the
- 19 insulation is sandwiched most often between those
- 20 girts or purlins and the exterior wall sheeting and
- 21 it's compressed.
- 22 And so the spacing is relation -- is in
- 23 relation to the idea that this system would compromise
- 24 -- this system would not compromise the insulating
- 25 value as much as it would be compromised in a pre-eng

- 1 steel building, for example.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how does the
- 3 spacing lead you to that conclusion?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Less -- less
- 5 thermal breaks -- or sorry, that's -- I said that
- 6 backwards. Less points where the insulating value is
- 7 compromised.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see later a
- 9 reference to a term called a "thermal bridge." Is
- 10 that what a thermal bridge is, is an opportunity where
- 11 heating can escape, in -- in layman's terms?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. That was the
- 13 word I was looking for. The -- the thermal bridge
- 14 compromises the insulative properties.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: So was it the case
- 16 then that with the Sprung structures, to your
- 17 understanding, at every point where there's an
- 18 aluminum extrusion, there is an opportunity for the --
- 19 a break in the insulation and heat to escape?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would be
- 21 correct.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: And that's a thermal
- 23 bridge?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's a thermal
- 25 bridge.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And in this
- 2 you say there's no thermal break in the extrusions.
- 3 What is a thermal break?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would be a -- it
- 5 would be a configuration where the metal, which is an
- 6 ideal conductor, does not go continually from the
- 7 inside of the building to the outside of the building.
- 8 So for instance, a window frame has an
- 9 inside frame and an outside frame, and those two (2)
- 10 frames are separated by something that's insulative,
- 11 so that the cold doesn't travel through the complete
- 12 window frame. It would travel through the exterior
- 13 part of the window frame and stop at that insulating
- 14 point, and similarly from the inside the -- the warm
- 15 would penetrate part way through that window -- window
- 16 frame but stop at that thermal break.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So considering a --
- 18 a -- the aluminum extrusions on the Sprung, is the
- 19 idea here that the aluminum that is on the inside of
- 20 the building continues to the outside of the building
- 21 without anything interrupting it in between such that
- 22 the heat loss that travels through the aluminum goes
- 23 directly to the outside?
- 24 Is that --
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's -- that's

- 1 correct. And so -- and that's where the spacing of
- 2 the aluminum extrusions comes in, because they are
- 3 spaced far apart. Therefore, there's fewer thermal
- 4 bridges.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I -- I just want
- 6 to make sure I understand this. Is this a fair
- 7 summary of -- of what you're trying to express here,
- 8 that although there are no breaks when it comes to the
- 9 aluminum extrusions, the potential negative energy
- 10 effects of that are mitigated by the fact that the
- 11 extrusions are spaced widely apart, especially as
- 12 compared to a pre-engineered steel building?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's correct, and
- 14 -- and it's in regards to looking at the overall
- 15 building enclosure.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Be --
- 17 be -- before you go on to something else, you say in
- 18 your email:
- 19 "Remember, you are not to contact
- the manufacturer in conjunction with
- 21 this project at this time."
- Is -- so they can get the information
- 23 from you, but they can't get the information directly
- 24 from the manufacturer, and -- and -- is that
- 25 right?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct, and that's
- 2 was just following through on the direction that we
- 3 had.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: The
- 5 fact that you say that -- I mean, was this -- were you
- 6 concerned that they might contact the manufacturer?
- 7 What was -- was this such a terrible thing?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall that
- 9 I was particularly concerned about them contacting the
- 10 manufacturer, but the direction that we were carrying
- 11 out was that the CAO was going to be the point of
- 12 contact with Sprung.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay,
- 14 but they're not getting this information about the
- 15 insulation from the CAO. They're getting it from you,
- 16 so you're -- you're conveying the information, but
- 17 they can't get it directly.
- Did that seem odd to you at the time?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was -- certainly
- 20 made the -- the process more difficult.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Right.
- 22 That's -- that's fine. And you were going to say? I
- 23 didn't mean to cut you off.
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I mean, that's
- 25 -- it made the situation more difficult, and perhaps

- 1 it seemed unnecessarily so, but that's what it was.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 3 ahead.

4

- 5 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Related to that, was
- 7 there anything that prompted you to send this reminder
- 8 at this point in time?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Nothing specific
- 10 that I remember.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could open
- 12 the brochure that you attached to the email, which is
- 13 TOC200937.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'll take you to a
- 18 couple portions of this brochure. At the outset,
- 19 though, I take it this is a brochure that was provided
- 20 to you by Sprung?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It came from
- 22 Sprung. It may have been available on their website,
- 23 or it may have been provided on their FTP site, but,
- 24 yes, it came from Sprung.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to

- 1 page 10. So this page discusses Sprung and the green
- 2 footprint, and if we scroll down, the fourth bullet
- 3 point down says,
- 4 "LEED certifiable, recycled content,
- 5 energy performance, durability,
- 6 construction waste management, and
- 7 deconstructability."
- 8 This reference to Sprung being LEED
- 9 certifiable, is this an example of the -- the sorts of
- 10 things that you remember being provided or told by
- 11 Sprung that led you to the belief that the Sprung
- 12 structure would be -- would -- could achieve a silver
- 13 certification upon operation?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: This type of
- 15 information, along with their verbal explanations.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Go to the next page.
- 17 So this page describes a -- a thing that is called the
- 18 Sprung Shield, which is described as:
- 19 "A virtually non-penetrable defense
- 20 wall for protection against
- 21 vandalism. It has multiple
- 22 protective layers and rises 8 feet 6
- inches around the structure."
- Do you recall being told anything more
- 25 about the Strung -- sorry, about the Sprung Shield at

- 1 any point in time?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was -- it was
- 3 discussed -- again, presented by Sprung in the -- the
- 4 verbal discussions that this was a component available
- 5 for their facilities.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: During those
- 7 discussions, was it explained that this component came
- 8 at an additional cost?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would have
- 10 understood that it would be -- I believe I understood
- 11 that it was optional. I would have understood that
- 12 there would be a cost associated with it, but at that
- 13 time, I had no understanding of whether it was
- 14 included or excluded from what they were proposing.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you recei --
- 16 when the Town received the proposal and the pricing
- 17 from Sprung and BLT later in August, did you believe
- 18 that the Sprung Shield was included in that pricing?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I recall -- I
- 20 can't remember whether I thought it was included or
- 21 excluded from the information that we got in August.
- 22 I recall at some point probably after that asking
- 23 whether it was included or excluded, and the answer
- 24 was that it was not included.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that something

- 1 that surprised you?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would have
- 3 thought that we would have included it.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why -- why would
- 5 you have thought that?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because of the
- 7 enhanced security that it would provide for the
- 8 facilities.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember when
- 10 the meeting you're describing in which it was -- in
- 11 which the Town was advised it wasn't included -- do
- 12 you remember when that happened?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I actually think
- 14 when I learned that, it was after the -- the contract
- 15 was awarded.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you and I
- 17 appreciate it was seven (7) years ago -- do you have a
- 18 sense of how long after the contract was awarded?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: A matter of a week
- 20 or two (2).
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember who
- 22 was at that meeting?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think there was -
- 24 there was representatives from Sprung there. There
- 25 was representatives from BLT there. There was some

- 1 Town staff. That's what I recall.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So was
- 3 the injunction that the CAO, Mr. Houghton, was to be
- 4 the only point of contact no longer in effect?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: This -- yes,
- 6 because this was after -- after the staff report,
- 7 after the contract was signed.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was sort of, as
- 10 I recall, a kickoff meeting to start off the project.

11

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 14 paragraph 43 of Summary Document 2-4.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 18 So this paragraph is describing that
- 19 Tom Ingersoll on August 17th provided WGD with
- 20 preliminary -- with a preliminary budget for the
- 21 proposed Collingwood arena on August 17th, at 3:19
- 22 p.m. He then explains the work that is done to arrive
- 23 at the budget numbers. And his conclusion at the end
- 24 of the email is that based on his review he felt the
- 25 overall savings to use a fabric structure would be in

- 1 the four hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$450,000)
- 2 to five hundred and fifty-thousand dollars (\$550,000)
- 3 range.
- If we go to the paragraph 45 we see
- 5 that on the same day but later in the afternoon the --
- 6 the revised -- Ms. Proctor is sending a revised
- 7 package with information compiled in to one (1) report
- 8 from WGD and this -- this email encloses the final
- 9 report as well as enclosed the budget numbers that Mr.
- 10 Ingersoll had prepared and we see that the roughly
- 11 five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) difference
- 12 that he identified in his email is what is
- 13 incorporated into WGD's final report.
- 14 Do you recall, generally, that that was
- 15 WGD's conclusion that a pre-engineered steel building
- 16 would cost somewhere between four fifty (450) to five
- 17 hundred and fifty-thousand (\$550,000) more than a
- 18 fabric building?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could open the
- 21 WGD report which is TOC0201266.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So this is

- 1 the report that WGD provided on August 17th, 2012 and
- 2 if we could scroll to page 2.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Stopping there we
- 7 see that WGD has, at the beginning of the report,
- 8 listed a series of design components for the arenas
- 9 it's contemplating.
- 10 Other than the terms of reference that
- 11 was sent in July, was there any other -- do you recall
- 12 any other additional design components being sent to
- 13 WGD?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we scroll down to
- 16 section 2 of the report is ident -- is called 'Green
- 17 Initiatives In The New Arena." And if you look the
- 18 last sentence under the paragraph under Introduction
- 19 says:
- "The new arena is proposed to be
- 21 designed to LEED -- LEED's cert --
- 22 LEED's silver certification levels."
- Do you know who made that proposal?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I would
- 25 believe that that was an indication that we provided

- 1 to WGD because we were looking to have the -- the two
- 2 (2) types of structures comparable in nature from an
- 3 energy point of view.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: So was it the case
- 5 then that the Town instructed WGD to include green
- 6 initiatives within the pre-engineered steel building
- 7 such that it would achieve a LEED silver level?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: As part of
- 10 instructing WGD with respect to LEED, do you recall if
- 11 you had any discussions with WGD about whether or not
- 12 the Sprung structures could achieve LEED silver
- 13 levels?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we continue
- 16 scrolling down, we see that there are several
- 17 initiatives that are identified by WGD.
- 18 And even stopping where we are right
- 19 here, we see some of the initiatives come with certain
- 20 cost prices. And then some of them, it appears the
- 21 cost is included in the base building cost.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it the case that

- 1 you understood that all of the green initiatives
- 2 identified by WGD would need to be incorporated in a
- 3 pre-engineered steel building in order for that
- 4 building to achieve silver certification?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would -- that
- 6 would come down to final design and construction
- 7 design when you actually went through the -- the
- 8 points calculation.
- 9 But, yes, my understanding was that
- 10 this was WGD's list of improvements that could be made
- 11 that would get the building to the LEED silver level.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was it the case
- 13 that you understood all of these improvements needed
- 14 to be made in order to do that?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think that was
- 16 the understanding that I took at the time.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why did you take
- 18 that understanding?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because we had
- 20 asked them to tell us what it would take to get the
- 21 building to a LEED silver level.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: After you received
- 23 this report from WGD setting out these green
- 24 initiatives did you have -- or, to your knowledge,
- 25 anyone on staff have further conversations with WGD

- 1 about what would be required to reach the LEED silver
- 2 levels?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall any
- 4 further conversations.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Keep scrolling down
- 6 in the report through these. All right, continue.
- 7 Keep going towards the end.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Stopping at, "Energy
- 12 use and thermal performance." So, this section is
- 13 discussing 'R' values again. WGD writes that:
- 14 "The normal insulation value of pre-
- engineered structure with a sandwich
- panel is R-19 and R-12 for walls;
- however, greater 'R' values can be
- 18 achieved with insulated panels and
- 19 roofs.
- By increasing the thickness and
- 21 providing more insulation, values as
- 22 high as R-30 are achievable."
- 23 It goes on to say:
- 24 "Membrane structures by their nature
- have no inherent thermal resistant

- 1 'R' value. However, by creating a
- cavity, higher 'R' va -- how --
- however, by creating a cavity,
- 4 higher 'R' values can be attained.
- 5 With a 6-foot cavity and
- 6 thermoplastic or similar insuda --
- 7 insulation, R-30 is achievable."
- 8 When we looked at the first version of
- 9 the WGD report it -- it stated that membrane
- 10 structures had no inherent thermal -- in thermal
- 11 resistence. You provided WGD with some information
- 12 about Sprung.
- Do you -- did -- was it your view that
- 14 this revised report correctly addressed the nature of
- 15 insulation when it came to Sprung-style fabric
- 16 buildings?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. I think that
- 18 they had recognized the difference in the types of
- 19 membrane structures. And that's where this idea of
- 20 creating a cavity and filling it with insulation came
- 21 from.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, in your view,
- 23 the issue in the first WGD draft report had been
- 24 corrected?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: It mentions here
- 2 that:
- 3 "For pre-engineered steel buildings,
- 4 greater 'R' values are available by
- 5 increasing the thickness and
- 6 providing more insulation."
- 7 Do you know if the Town or WGD ever
- 8 looked into what the additional cost would be to
- 9 increase a pre-engineered steel building from R-19 and
- 10 R-12 to R-30?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not unless it was
- 12 included in what WG (sic) had provided.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if, at
- 14 any point, you looked to see in WGD's estimates for a
- 15 pre-engineered steel building, if they included --
- 16 included additional costs for additional insulation?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 18 looking. If it -- if it wasn't in that list above in
- 19 this document, then I don't believe there was any
- 20 further inquiry.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 22 down. Sorry, to the section up that says, "Enclosure
- 23 durability." So, the -- this is discussing the
- 24 durability of a pre-engineered building as compared to
- 25 a membrane structure.

- 1 Stopping here for a moment. In this
- 2 report, when WGD is discussing the features of
- 3 membrane structures, do you know where they drew their
- 4 information from?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if they
- 7 looked beyond any -- beyond the information that you
- 8 had provided with respect to Sprung?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know. I
- 10 would -- I would hope that they would have done
- 11 whatever research they could, but I don't know.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking at the last
- 13 sentence of the first paragraph, it says that:
- 14 "The pre-engineered structure,
- 15 typically the roofing is TPO or PVC
- and is warranted for twenty (20)
- 17 years."
- 18 And then looking at the membrane
- 19 structure which is in the next paragraph, it says that
- 20 the life expectancy before replacement of the membrane
- 21 would be in the range of twenty (20) years, in the
- 22 same case as PVC roofing.
- Was that a conclusion that you took
- 24 issue with?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't recall

- 1 having any issue with that.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if the
- 3 Town ever considered what the difference in cost would
- 4 be between replacing a PVC roof or another type of
- 5 roof versus replacing a Sprung membrane?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was a discussion
- 7 that we had with Sprung and -- I -- I think in the
- 8 early -- in the early meetings, what's the life
- 9 expectancy of the membrane and so on.
- 10 And their selling point in their
- 11 building system was that the membrane could be
- 12 replaced, essentially, without -- from the exterior,
- 13 essentially, without interrupting activities inside
- 14 the facility, so the membrane could be slid out, a new
- 15 membrane slid on.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did they say
- 17 anything about what the cost of that would be?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 19 anything specific to the cost of that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we can go
- 21 down towards the end of the report. Stop at the
- 22 project cost. We see here the numbers that we already
- 23 looked at in terms of comparing the cost of a pre-
- 24 engineered building to a fabric structure.
- Do you know how WGD generated the

Transcript Date Sept 26, 2019 83 estimation for a fabric structure? 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know where 6 they got that information. 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: To your knowledge, did anyone at the Town ever provide WGD with information about Sprung pricing? 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 11 12 (BRIEF PAUSE) 13 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, looking at the 15 WGD report that was received on August 17th, 2012, was there anything in the report that you thought WGD got wrong or was inaccurate? 17 18 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Without looking back at the components of the report, I -- I can't be 22 23 sure, but I don't recall anything at the moment. 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to

paragraph 33 of the Foundation Document.

84 1 2 (BRIEF PAUSE) 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, this is describing that, on August 17th, Ms. Proctor forwarded the WGD report to Mr. Houghton, Ms. Leonard, and Ms. Almas. 7 Mr. Houghton then replied three (3) 8 9 minutes later in which he says: 10 "Is this for Central Park? I was 11 under the impression we told Dave 12 they were to work on Eddie Bush 13 only." 14 So, at this point, this is the third 15 instance where Mr. Houghton has expressed a level of uncertainty about what WGD was doing in the scope of 17 their work. 18 Do you have any sense of why Mr. 19 Houghton might have been uncertain about that? 20 21 (BRIEF PAUSE) 22 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know why he 24 would have been uncertain. 2.5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that an

- 1 impression that you had at this point in time, that it 2 wasn't clear to Mr. Houghton what WGD was doing?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. At the -- I
- 4 think I felt that he had been informed as to what WGD
- 5 was doing and maybe didn't understand. But from my
- 6 perspective, I thought he had been informed.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, he says -- Mr.
- 8 Houghton was under the impression -- Mr. Houghton
- 9 writes:
- "I was under the impression that we
- 11 told Dave they were to work on Eddie
- 12 Bush only."
- 13 At any point did Mr. Houghton or
- 14 another member of the EMC tell you that WGD was only
- 15 to look at the Eddie Bush Arena?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall at any
- 18 point in time prior to August 17th, 2012, when WGD
- 19 delivered its final report, if Mr. Houghton ever gave
- 20 you any directions or suggestions on what WGD should
- 21 be doing in terms of its work?
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't re --

- 1 recall receiving any direction. I believe that we
- 2 were carrying out what the intent of the council
- 3 recommendations were at the time and that -- and that
- 4 he had been informed as to what we were proceeding
- 5 with.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Ms. Proctor, in her
- 7 testimony at the Inquiry, indicated that it was her
- 8 impression that Mr. Houghton had been directing you on
- 9 what should or should not be included in the WGD
- 10 report.
- 11 Do you have any notion of why she had
- 12 that sense?
- 13
- 14 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 15
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Similarly, Ms.
- 18 Proctor also indicated that she was hoping WGD would
- 19 do a broader, more traditional feasibility study, but
- 20 this was impeded by instructions you received from Mr.
- 21 Houghton.
- Is that something you recall occurring?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 25 sense of why Ms. Proctor may have thought that was the

```
87
   case?
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 5
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't.
 6
 7
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we continue
   on here and we go to paragraph 331 -- actually, just
10
11
   go up a bit back to 330. We see that in response to
   Mr. Houghton's question about whether or not you were
   told to work on Eddie Bush only, Ms. Proctor said that
13
14
   she wasn't aware of that from her discussions with you
15
   and that he didn't -- she didn't think you were aware
16 of that either.
17
                   Mr. Houghton then forwards this email
18 chain to you the next day writing:
19
                      "I think you and I need to have a
20
                      discussion and get moving in the
                      same direction."
21
22
                   When you received this email from Mr.
23
   Houghton do you recall what you understood he meant by
24
   it?
2.5
```

	88
1	(BRIEF PAUSE)
2	
3	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it was to -
4	- to align the to align the work that WGD was doing
5	along with perhaps the information that he was
6	gathering so that it could start to be put together
7	into a report.
8	MR. JOHN MATHER: Up until this point
9	in time, were you and Mr. Houghton not aligned when it
10	came to WGD and what he was doing?
11	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If we weren't
12	aligned prior to this point, I was not aware of it.
13	MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could go
14	down to your reply. You respond to Mr. Houghton that
15	you agree that it the matter should be discussed.
16	You say:
17	"I'm not sure how you want to
18	present this and there's already a
19	draft report for Marjory. No one
20	said that we still didn't want the
21	cost of brick mortar and steel"
22	And then you went on to say:
23	"I worked on the financial
24	comparison template this morning and
25	will expect to finish it tomorrow

89 morning with plugged numbers for the 1 2 Sprung option. I think I do understand how the 3 numbers might turn out but have 5 structured so that we can manipulate 6 it as a required, or is a comparison not advi -- advisable? Give me a call tomorrow something if 9 you like; otherwise, suggest a time 10 and place. I have some commitments 11 tomorrow afternoon." 12 So, I have a few questions about what 13 you wrote in this email, starting with what is the 14 financial comparison template that you describe? 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: For some time, at 19 this point, I believe I had been creating an Excel spreadsheet that compared the cost estimates from the 20 whole range of options that were known at the time, so 21 going back to the -- to the proposal that came out of 22 the steering committee to the work that -- or the 24 estimates that WGD were to provide, the estimate that would eventually come from Sprung.

- 1 And I think it also included the
- 2 estimates to upgrade the Eddie Bush Arena. And so, it
- 3 tried to combine all of the -- all of the cost
- 4 estimate information we have in one (1) comparable
- 5 table.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was part of that
- 7 table intended to show the comparison of the costs of
- 8 a pre-engineered steel building to a Sprung structure?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why did you begin to
- 11 prepare the spreadsheet you just described?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it started
- 13 out to aid in my own understanding of how things --
- 14 how things compared. And I think as time went on, I
- 15 kind of carried on with it because it was, I think,
- 16 valuable for all of staff to be able to look at that
- 17 information and do the comparison.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your email you
- 19 write that you will expect to finish it tomorrow with
- 20 plugged numbers for the Sprung option. What did you
- 21 mean by, "Plugged numbers"?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because we didn't
- 23 have the cost estimate from Sprung at that point in
- 24 time, I made up numbers just to be able to create the
- 25 -- the spreadsheet.

```
MR. JOHN MATHER: Is there a reason --
 1
   and I think we'll be turning up the spreadsheet
   shortly, and it may assist, but is there a reason you
 3
   wouldn't use the number that WGD had provided with
   respect to a Sprung-style fabric membrane?
 6
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There was an in --
   an inherent difference, I believe. I believe I
10
11
   thought there was a difference between the fabric
12
   membrane estimate that WG (sic) provided as to what we
13
   would get in the design build scenario from Sprung.
14
                   The WGD estimate was still using a
15
   traditional construction method, meaning design
   engineer contract and build. So, it had those soft
17
   components and engineering and design components that
18
   would be all lumped into the -- to the design build
19
   concept from Sprung.
20
2.1
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
22
23
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could turn
24
   up TOC0201266. This is the WGD report.
2.5
```

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2

- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go
- 4 towards the bottom where we see the cost estimates.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, when we see the
- 9 fabric structure, option B there, what in those costs
- 10 is different than what would be in the costs you were
- 11 expecting to receive from Sprung?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The difference in
- 13 construction process. So, the -- the difference that
- 14 I would see there, assuming that they had the -- that
- 15 they had the understanding at this point that -- of
- 16 what a Sprung -- Sprung structure was like and that
- 17 their cost represented a structure like that, then the
- 18 -- the -- still the resulting differe -- or the
- 19 remaining difference would be that WGD would still be
- 20 looking at it as a traditional construction contract
- 21 design engineer contract and build versus the design
- 22 build process.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, are there costs
- 24 in addition to the 7.1 million and the 1.16 million
- 25 that are identified here?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: My assumption, that
- 2 they would be included in those numbers.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when Sprung
- 4 sends you numbers, would those not be included in
- 5 those numbers, as well?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: They would be
- 7 included but would generally inherently be less
- 8 expensive than doing it in a -- in -- in the
- 9 traditional contract method.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, at this point in
- 11 time, because you don't have the Sprung or BLT numbers
- 12 yet, is it your expectation then that Sprung and BLT
- 13 will be providing an estimate for the arena that is
- 14 going to be less than what WGD has identified because
- 15 it will have less engineering and design costs built
- 16 into it?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I didn't know what
- 18 the numbers were going to be, but I would have thought
- 19 that that concept would be true.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could go
- 21 to paragraph 332 again.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, scroll up to

- $1\ 331.$  This was the email we were looking at, that you
- 2 were sending to Mr. Houghton.
- 3 You then say:
- 4 "I think I do understand how the
- 5 numbers might turn out."
- At that point in time, what did you
- 7 mean by that?

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not sure other
- 12 than expressing a -- an opinion of where my thoughts
- 13 were at that time.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what
- 15 your thoughts were at that time?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then you write:
- 18 "But have structured so that we can
- manipulate as required."
- What did you mean by that?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think that is to
- 22 ensure that -- that the different facilities could be
- 23 compared on the same basis, so an apples-to-apples
- 24 comparison in terms of the features that they would
- 25 include and so forth.

```
1 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
```

- 2 time, did you have a sense of what parts of the
- 3 numbers may need manipulation?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. I think I had
- 8 considered the upgrades to -- to get the WGD proposed
- 9 facility, or at least the pre-eng facility, up to the
- 10 LEED silver standard. I think I was wanting to be
- 11 able to make sure that all of the components were
- 12 equal, same number of dressing rooms, same features.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The email
- 14 contemplates that -- or you -- you tell Mr. Houghton
- 15 that he can give you a call or otherwise suggest a
- 16 time and place.
- 17 Do you recall if you had a conversation
- 18 with Mr. Houghton after sending this email about the
- 19 comparison analysis that you were -- you had begun to
- 20 undertake and were going to continue?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: What date was this?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: August 18th, 2012.
- 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have a
- 24 specific recollection of a discussion.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why at

- 1 this point you asked Mr. Houghton whether or not a
- 2 comparison along the -- what -- a comparison of the
- 3 numbers would be advisable?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think because
- 5 there was confusion about where the report was going
- $6\,$  to go. There was a draft of the report that was --
- 7 that was being circulated, but it had no -- it wasn't
- 8 to the point where there was outcomes coming from the
- 9 report, and I was probably looking to the CAO for some
- 10 direction on where -- where he wanted to go with it.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 12 got any direction from him about whether or not a
- 13 comparison should be included?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 15 specifically.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your mind, was
- 17 there any reason why a comparison would not be
- 18 advisable?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not in my mind,
- 20 because that was -- that was a large point of the
- 21 exercise that we went through, and that in -- in a
- 22 draft form, I think -- I'm not sure at this point, but
- 23 in a draft form that information was already pasted
- 24 into the report as -- into the draft report.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And we do see that,

- 1 and we'll see it later, that the spreadsheet that you
- 2 create does form part of earlier drafts of the report.
- 3 Was that something you thought should
- 4 be included in the report?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and why did
- 7 you think it should be included in the report?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because that was
- 9 consistent with what we were -- what Council had asked
- 10 staff to do.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you just explain
- 12 that a bit further.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In the -- the rec -
- 14 or the options that they selected at the prior
- 15 Council meeting, one (1) of them at least was fairly
- 16 specific I think to -- to providing cost estimates for
- 17 different types of arenas for Central Park.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I take it from
- 19 your answer then, but please correct me if I'm wrong,
- 20 what you -- something you thought should be included
- 21 in the report was comparing the -- the prices of those
- 22 two (2) options, or of two (2) -- of more than one (1)
- 23 option.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. We're going

```
1 to walk through the -- the price comparison exercise
```

- 2 that you undertook.
- Before starting that, at any point in
- 4 time did you recall receiving any direction from Mr.
- 5 Houghton about how to approach the price comparison?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you receive
- 8 direction from anyone else that you can recall?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 11 think before you do that, we'll take a short break
- 12 everybody. Just a few minutes.

13

- 14 --- Upon recessing at 11:37 a.m.
- 15 --- Upon resuming at 11:47 a.m.

16

- 17 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 19 paragraph 333 of the Foundation Document.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: I bring you here
- 24 just to assist you in time, Mr. McNalty. This is an
- 25 email dated August 18th, 2012 from Marjory Leonard,

```
where she sends a draft staff report with respect to
   the pool and arena that are being looked into.
 3
                   Again, just put that there so -- that
   point in time. So if we can go ahead to paragraph
   342.
 5
 6
 7
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: This reflects that
   on August 19th, at 8:05 p.m., you send Ed Houghton
10
11
   comments on the staff report that Ms. Leonard had
12
    circulated, that one that we just saw. And you also
13
   provide a chart comparing the cost of a twin ice pad
   and -- and community centre, a pre-engineered steel
14
15
   building and insulated fabric membrane structure.
16
                   I'm going to pull up the first of those
   attachments, which are -- or sorry, the second
17
18
   attachment, which is the comparison TOC0201565.
19
20
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
21
22
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could --
23
   if it's possible to zoom out to get a better sense of
24
   the whole spreadsheet.
2.5
```

100 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So you were describing earlier a spreadsheet that you prepared looking at the cost of the Central Park, cost of preengineered steel building, a fabric membrane building, as well as the costs associated with the Eddie Bush. 7 8 Is this a version of the spreadsheet 9 that you were describing? 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Going to the third column -- or -- or box which talks about the insulated fabric membrane structure, there are -- the 13 14 numbers in there, where were they from? How were they 15 generated? 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: At this point in time, this may have been partly what I had said 21 earlier in an email about it being plugged numbers, essentially numbers that I made up. I think there was 22 a way early estimate from Sprung that had a -- a 23 24 number based on a generic design, and I may have drew 25 from that.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point time,

- 2 was this essentially placeholder numbers?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I'll have some
- 5 questions about the calculations with respect to the
- 6 pre-engineered steel building. Looking at this, it
- 7 appears that these were -- these numbers were built
- 8 based on the information that was provided to you by
- 9 WGD in its report and its budget breakdown.
- 10 Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Sorry,
- 13 which ones are you referring to?
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: The pre-engineered
- 15 steel building.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 19 right.
- 20
- 21 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So starting at the
- 23 very top, the first line item is the single pad, with
- 24 the potential to be twinned in the future, and the
- 25 amount there is six million, eight hundred and fifty-

- 1 six thousand, one five seven point two-six
- 2 (6,856,157.26). If you recall, in the WGD report, the
- 3 price of the pre-engineered building was seven
- 4 million, six hundred and thirty-two thousand -- seven
- 5 million, six hundred and thirty-two thousand, a
- 6 hundred and twenty-four (7,632,124).
- 7 Do you know how you arrived at this 6
- 8 million -- \$6.8 million number?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Having looked at
- 10 these numbers recently, the difference in the numbers
- 11 was pulling out the soft costs associated with what
- 12 was -- what was in the WGD information, and the
- 13 detailed information. So there was a -- a design
- 14 contingency, and another contingency that I backed
- 15 out, so I would get to more bear hard costs as a
- 16 starting point.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could pull
- 18 up on the screen TOC0201219.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: And for the benefit
- 23 of counsel, this is -- I've -- I've passed around a
- 24 hard copy of this document, so as a point of
- 25 reference, and -- we can provide went to Mr. McNalty

103 too as we compare. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is this -- are these the 'D' -- WGD a detailed cost numbers that you were referring to? 7 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we see on the first page, there's a 5 percent design contingency. 10 11 Is that what you were referring to when you said you 12 took out a design contingency? 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And if we could go to the last page and scroll down to the 15 bottom, that is -- there's a line item there for a 5 17 percent construction contingency. 18 Do you see that? 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that the construction contingency you removed? 21 22 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And so if we 24 can turn back up TOC0201565. 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So when we have done
- 4 the calculation, that six million, eight hundred and
- 5 fifty-six thousand dollar (\$6,856,000) figure, there,
- 6 if you subtract the seven million, six hundred and
- 7 thirty-two thousand one twenty-four (7,632,124) that
- 8 WGD identified in its report, if you subtract the two
- 9 (2) contingencies we just looked at, you arrive at
- 10 that number.
- Does that sound correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And can you provide
- 14 more detail about how you identified these first as
- 15 soft costs, and then why you removed them as a
- 16 starting point for your calculation?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: When -- because in
- 18 -- in any of the comparison I was making here, I
- 19 wanted to be able to add up the hard costs, and then
- 20 apply a contingency appropriately to those costs,
- 21 depending on what -- what type of a construction
- 22 process I envisioned it to be. And so I -- I didn't
- 23 want to be double counting the contingencies.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there a reason
- 25 that you didn't want to use the contingencies that WGD

- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we have the base
- 11 \$6.8 million number. You then add -- or it appears
- 12 that there are several recommended upgrades added.
- 13 What are those recommended upgrades?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Those were the -- I
- 15 believe that those were the upgrades that WGD
- 16 presented as options to be able to bring the -- the
- 17 building to LEED silver level.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: And it appears from
- 19 our review that every one (1) of the options that WGD
- 20 provided was included.
- 21 Why did you include every option they
- 22 had identified?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because the way
- 24 that I understood it, those would be the options that
- 25 would get the building enough points to get to the

- 1 LEED silver level.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We -- we see that in
- 3 certain instances, WGD provided a range when it was
- 4 costing out these initiatives, and in each case, the
- 5 high end of the range was selected for the
- 6 spreadsheet.
- 7 Do you know why that was the case?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Beneath the options
- 10 that were identified by WGD, it has upgrade
- 11 installation, and then two (2) question marks.
- What is that referring to?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It refers to the
- 14 discussion of taking the -- the pre-eng steel building
- 15 from the R12 walls and R19 roof insulation to the R30
- 16 level.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I understand
- 18 from your earlier answers that was a calculation that,
- 19 to your knowledge, wasn't -- was -- was not
- 20 determined?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 23 sense of what that would have cost it?
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would have to say

- 2 no. I would not -- I would have to look it up.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So those green
- 4 initiatives total \$1.15 million. The next line item
- 5 is the 1.164281 million. And if you recall in the
- 6 WGD, report, that was a line item or a number they had
- 7 calculated for site costs that are common to -- site
- 8 costs common to building types.
- 9 Does that sound correct to you?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And so if we could
- 12 pull up TOC0201266.
- 13
- 14 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 15
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And go to the
- 17 bottom, page 10, I believe. So that's that figure
- 18 there?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what are these -
- 21 what sort of work -- can you -- there is -- it is
- 22 itemized there but can you provide -- give us a sense
- 23 of what sort of work is incorporated in that 1.16
- 24 million?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Essentially

- 1 everything outside of the building -- the building
- 2 envelope. So site preparation, electrical services to
- 3 the site, mechanical services to the site, parking
- 4 lots, fences, anything external to the -- to the
- 5 building envelope.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when WG (sic)
- 7 wrote "site costs common to building types," was it
- 8 your understanding that WD -- GD's view is that this
- 9 \$1.16 million figure would apply whether a fabric
- 10 structure or a pre-engineered steel structure was
- 11 erected?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you take any
- 14 issue with that conclusion?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That -- these cost
- 16 estimates would -- from WGD, would also envision that
- 17 they be part of a traditional design engineer contract
- 18 build scenario, and so what would be subject to those
- 19 consulting costs and so forth outside of the actual
- 20 cost of construction.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So other than that
- 22 this amount may contain consulting and engineering
- 23 costs, is there anything about a fabric structure and
- 24 a pre-engineered building that would make the site
- 25 costs more or less?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: So to the extent
- 3 there was a -- a design build pre-engineered steel
- 4 building, you would expect that to have the same costs
- 5 as a design build fabric structure building?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go back
- 8 to the chart we were looking at, which was TOC201565.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So we've looked at
- 13 the next figure -- so we've looked at the figure for
- 14 site and park development. The next line item is
- 15 design fees, permits, miscellaneous, 5 percent.
- 16 What is that percentage representing?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: So it -- it
- 18 represents the architect, the engineer, and the costs
- 19 associated with those, the costs associated with the
- 20 likes of building permits, and it -- and it replaces
- 21 the design -- the design contingency is different, so
- 22 -- so it -- it adds back that design component to the
- 23 overall project.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say "it
- 25 adds back the design component," are you talking about

1 the 5 percent that WGD had in its cost breakdown?

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. Looking at
- 6 this -- this budget and the notes that are on it,
- 7 design contingency in this place -- in this case, I
- 8 would believe would be a contingency put in place for
- 9 changes that might be made to the design during the
- 10 course of the development of the detailed design.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it's your
- 12 understanding WGD, in calculating its estimates,
- 13 included a 5 percent contingency for potential design
- 14 changes.
- Does that concept find its way into the
- 16 calculation you've done on this spreadsheet?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't believe
- 18 so.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is there a reason
- 20 why you didn't include it?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because it would
- 22 tend to artificial -- artificially inflate the number.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and --
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: And my objective
- 25 was to make it an objective comparison.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: How would it ar --
- 2 artificially inflate the number?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would -- it
- 4 would make the assumption that there was going to be
- 5 design changes through that design process.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And that's
- 7 something WGD -- an assumption WGD appeared to have
- 8 made.
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I just want to
- 11 make sure I understand, in your view why it was an
- 12 assumption that you didn't make at this point.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In my thought
- 14 process at the time, I wanted to have the -- have the
- 15 comparison as bare-bones and -- and objective as I
- 16 could make it, and I didn't see a -- a reason to add
- 17 that back in.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So when we look at
- 19 the design fees, permits, miscellaneous, the 5
- 20 percent, permits, I take it, I think you said were for
- 21 building permits.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Primarily.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And would there be
- 24 any difference in terms of the permitting that a --
- 25 that a fabric building would need as compared to a

- 1 pre-engineered steel building?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not on an order of
- 3 magnitude because the permit fee is based on the
- 4 project value.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: The design fees
- 6 that's identified here, are those the consulting and
- 7 engineering fees that you were discussing that are not
- 8 inclu -- that are -- discussing that are included when
- 9 you do a traditional construction project?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. The
- 11 architect, the engineer, and so forth.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So a component of
- 13 this 5 percent is accounting for the -- those fees
- 14 that would be incurred for a non-design build?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when it comes to
- 17 miscellaneous, is there anything in particular you had
- 18 in mind?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I can
- 20 recall.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is there anything
- 22 that would be miscellaneous for a pre-eng steel
- 23 building that wouldn't be a cost you would anticipate
- 24 for a fabric building?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I can

- 1 think of.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Then the next
- 3 line I -- actually staying on that. Do you know why
- 4 you set this number at 5 percent?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It's a -- excuse
- 6 me, it's a -- often those numbers are set based on
- 7 sort of industry -- industry practice and standard
- 8 whereas a matter of course the architect and
- 9 engineering costs can -- can either be charged on the
- 10 basis of a percentage or on the basis of a lump sum,
- 11 but for the purposes of estimating, they're normally
- 12 applied as a percentage.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And is 5 percent a
- 14 standard percentage?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would be a low
- 16 percentage considering the entire build. A more
- 17 typical estimate there might be 8 to 10 percent,
- 18 considering the entire design, engineer, construction,
- 19 supervision, contract administration, components of
- 20 the work.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is there a reason
- 22 you picked a lower percentage?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know at
- 24 this point.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The next line item

- 1 is a contingency for 10 percent.
- What does that represent?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: A sum of money that
- 4 allows for unexpected circumstances, certain design
- 5 changes, and for potential errors in the cost estimate
- 6 that you're working with.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I take it from
- 8 the answer there, is it the case then that, to the
- 9 extent there could be design changes that would affect
- 10 cost, that's included in that 10 percent?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know how you
- 13 arrived at the figure of 10 percent?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It's again a normal
- 15 contingency established for estimating purposes, and
- 16 it's normal contingency when dealing with Town
- 17 projects.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see when WGD
- 19 calculated its numbers, it had two 5 percent
- 20 contingencies.
- 21 Is it possible that you added those
- 22 contingencies into one line item and made it 10
- 23 percent?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would be a --
- 25 that would be a fair assumption on the thought

- 1 process.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if
- 3 that's what you did or...?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 5 specifically.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: After you did this
- 7 calculation with the pre-engineered steel building,
- 8 did you show this calculation to anyone?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically,
- 10 unless I circulated the document.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Going back to the
- 12 contingency -- while the numbers for the fabric
- 13 membrane or placeholder are -- are potentially from an
- 14 earlier estimate, you have a contingency there of 5
- 15 percent.
- 16 Had you turned your mind at this point
- 17 in time to what an appropriate contingency would be
- 18 for the fabric building?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would be less
- 20 because it would be a design build process.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And --
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: So -- and so
- 23 there's -- there's less opportunity for change during
- 24 the course of the design and the construction, and so
- 25 less requirement for a contingency.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the reduced
- 2 contingency reflects the nature of a design build
- 3 process as opposed to reflecting something that is
- 4 different between the nature of a pre-engineered
- 5 building or a fabric building?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Could we go to
- 8 paragraph 344 of the Foundation Document?

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if you recall, we
- 13 were looking at a -- I believe an August 19th email
- 14 that you sent Mr. Houghton with two (2) attachments.
- 15 The first attachment was the spreadsheet that we had
- 16 been looking at. The second attachment was revisions
- 17 that you had made to the draft of the staff report
- 18 that Ms. Leonard had circulated. So this paragraph is
- 19 discussing some of the revisions you made.
- 20 Scroll down. So this is with respect
- 21 to the pool, and you write -- keep scrolling down.
- 22 No, sorry, stay on the -- those paragraphs. I'm just
- 23 trying to locate the...
- 24 If you look at the second paragraph and
- 25 the second sentence, you're describing the nature of

- 1 the design build and you say:
- 2 "This allows the project to carry a
- 3 minimal contingency and a relatively
- 4 small allowance for permits and
- 5 fees."
- Are you referring to the concept we
- 7 just discussed about why design builds are less -- are
- 8 likely to have less cost increases as -- as the
- 9 project proceeds?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, and that is
- 11 contingent on the fact, though, that you define the
- 12 expectations of the design build project up front so
- 13 that -- so that the design becomes almost set at that
- 14 point in time, or as in the traditional process, the
- 15 design kind of evolves as the project continues.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the case of the
- 17 arena and the pool and when Council voted to proceed
- 18 with both of those items, from your perspective had
- 19 the design been set such that this contingency
- 20 assumption would stand?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, not at that
- 22 time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why it
- 24 hadn't been set at that point in time?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: At the -- I'm sorry

- 1 -- at the point in time when Council -- what point in
- 2 time, sorry?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry. August 27th,
- 4 2012, when Council votes to proceed with the arena and
- 5 the pool.
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: So at that point in
- 7 time, yes, the design concept in terms of the design
- 8 build option would be set, because we had the price
- 9 that -- that they were offering.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see with respect
- 11 to the pool, the -- the contingency percentage is
- 12 there, and it's also 5 percent.
- Does that contingency take into
- 14 contemplation the potential for change orders that may
- 15 arise during a design build?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Only minimal
- 17 changes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the assumption
- 19 there is there -- there will not be many --
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There would not --
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- change orders?
- 22 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: -- be significant
- 23 changes from the -- from the agreed-to concept.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 25 paragraph 345.

- 1 So again this is discussing revisions
- 2 you made to a draft report prepared by Ms. Leonard,
- 3 and in it it says that you describe the pros for the
- 4 pool as in -- as including construction materials from
- 5 the -- for the insulated fabric membrane cover.
- 6 Sorry -- you revised that language and
- 7 you revis -- sorry, you revised Ms. Leonard's language
- 8 to read:
- 9 "There are several successful pool
- 10 applications using this type of
- 11 construction identified across North
- 12 America."
- Do you you recall why you added this
- 14 language at this point in time?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: At this time did you
- 17 know how many swimming pool -- how many -- how many
- 18 swimming pools there were in North America that were
- 19 either enclosed by a Sprung structure or a similar
- 20 product?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I can't remember
- 22 what I knew at the time. This statement would have
- 23 been based on some research.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall what
- 25 research it was based on?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall having
- 3 a sense -- in -- generally in the August 2012 period,
- 4 how many pools Sprung had built before this?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I know I had a -- a
- 6 sense. I can't remember numbers, but I had a sense of
- 7 how many facilities of different types were --
- 8 specifically of their facilities were across North
- 9 America.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Specifically with
- 11 pools, did you have a sense of how many pools there
- 12 were?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 14 specifically.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 16 paragraph 338.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, paragraph 338
- 21 shows that on the same day that you're providing Mr.
- 22 Houghton with comments on Ms. Leonard's staff report,
- 23 or the first draft of it. He has provided a copy to
- 24 Deputy Mayor Lloyd and asking him to read it.
- 25 If we can scroll down, we see that Mr.

- 1 -- Deputy Mayor Lloyd provides some feedback in the
- 2 form of an email. And if scroll down to paragraph
- 3 341, he provides some further feedback in which he
- 4 says that he also needs -- he also sees some other
- 5 areas, that need reworded, i.e., no other pools in
- 6 Ontario of this construction.
- 7 "I would rather indicate that there
- 8 are -- there are many pools in North
- 9 America with this construction."
- 10 Were you aware that Mr. Houghton had
- 11 sent a draft of the staff report to Deputy Mayor
- 12 Lloyd?
- 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have a
- 14 recollection of that. Was I -- I'm -- I don't know if
- 15 I was copied or not, but...
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In respect of the
- 17 emails between Mr. Houghton and Deputy Mayor Lloyd, it
- 18 does not appear you were.
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: And I -- I don't
- 20 believe that I was aware.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: At any point, do you
- 22 recall Deputy Mayor Lloyd communicating to you that he
- 23 would rather the staff report indicate that there were
- 24 many pools in North America with this construction?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.

122 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did Mr. Houghton 2 ever provide you feedback along those lines? 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I can recall. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MR. JOHN MATHER: Is it possible that Mr. Houghton -- or Mr. Lloyd did provide you that piece of feedback, and that is how -- what -- the 10 11 reason for the change to the staff report that you 12 made? 13 14 (BRIEF PAUSE) 15 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have any 17 recollection of that. If I was provided that 18 information through an email exchange or something 19 like that, it could be. I don't remember any discussion. I -- I have no reason to link the two 20 (2). 21 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Even though the 23 wording is similar? 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I have no 25 recollection of it.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Stepping back from
- 2 that specific suggestion that Deputy Mayor Lloyd made,
- 3 in your experience, was it common for Council members
- 4 to review and provide suggestions on early drafts of
- 5 staff reports?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: From your
- 8 perspective, is that something that would cause you
- 9 concern?

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In my opinion,
- 14 members of Council shouldn't be directing staff on the
- 15 contents of a staff report.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And why not?

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because it puts --
- 21 it puts them in a position of directing staff in the
- 22 first place. And it takes the -- it puts -- it can
- 23 put undue pressure on staff to be able to -- to modify
- 24 a report or to create a report that would suit a
- 25 specific Council member.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that a concern
- 2 you had at any point in the drafting of this staff
- 3 report?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't think I was
- 5 really aware that there was -- that there was any
- 6 consultation of that sort going on.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 8 paragraph 347 of the Foundation Document. So, this is
- 9 again looking at some of the changes that you made to
- 10 the initial draft that Ms. Leonard had circulated. If
- 11 we can scroll down, going to the text beneath that.
- 12 Keep going down.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll up again.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Leave that
- 21 and go back to paragraph 342 of the Foundation
- 22 Document. So, we've now looked, Mr. McNalty, at the
- 23 version of the spreadsheet you created comparing the
- 24 cost of fabric structures to pre-engineered steel
- 25 structures and other options and the changes you made

- 1 to Ms. Leonard's staff report.
- 2 We've gone back now to the covering
- 3 email that you sent Mr. Houghton. And if we can
- 4 scroll down, you write -- you explain what you've
- 5 done.
- 6 And then when it -- with respect to Ms.
- 7 Leonard's straff -- staff report, you write:
- 8 "Look in the body of the report, and
- 9 please let me know if this direction
- is what you intend before I go
- 11 further along."
- Do you recall if prior to making these
- 13 revisions you had any discussions with Mr. Houghton
- 14 about the direction he intended to take?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have any
- 16 specific recollection, unless it's one (1) of the --
- 17 one (1) of the conversations that have been suggested
- 18 previously here.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, what do you
- 20 mean by that?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There was -- I'm
- 22 not sure of the time frame here, I guess, but there --
- 23 there was something about me asking what dir -- what
- 24 direction the -- the report should take and whether a
- 25 com -- cost comparison is advisable and so forth.

- 1 Was that before or after this point?
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Are you discussing
- 3 the email where Mr. Houghton says that you two (2)
- 4 should discuss to get on the same page?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Perhaps.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And it ends with you
- 7 suggesting a call or a meeting. Is that what you're
- 8 referring to?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: That was before
- 11 this.
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: And so, in answer
- 13 to your current question, which was -- I'm sorry, I
- 14 lost it.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to sending
- 16 this revised draft to Mr. Houghton, do you recall if
- 17 you've spoken -- spoke to him about the direction he
- 18 intended for the staff report?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have a
- 20 specific recollection, but I could have.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Speaking more
- 22 generally, did you have a sense in this point of time
- 23 what Mr. Houghton intended for the staff report?
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

127 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That August 19th I 1 don't think I was clear. 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: You say at the end of the email: "I have not distributed this 5 6 elsewhere at this point pending your approval and suggestions." Why did you send these documents to Mr. 9 Houghton first to seek his approval and suggestions? 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know 14 specifically why. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you think -- is there any reason that you can think of of why before circulating your preliminary calculations and your 17 18 revisions of the staff report you'd want to make sure 19 that Mr. Houghton was in agreement with the approach 20 you'd taken? 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: We may have had a conversation subsequent to the prior email. Maybe I 22 was trying to clarify the direction, not only for 24 myself, but also to be able to clarify the direction to the other members of staff that were working on

- 1 this.
- So, rather than introduce another level
- 3 of confusion, maybe I wanted to get confirmation that
- 4 that was the direction that he was wanting to go
- 5 before we circulated the infor -- the -- the report
- 6 for further input, or -- or it may have been a waste
- 7 of effort.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: This time, do you
- 9 recall there being uncertainty amongst yourself or
- 10 other staff members about the direction this was
- 11 ultimately going?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In the direction
- 13 that the repa -- the report was going to go? Yes.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it then from
- 15 what you were saying, it was you were looking to Mr.
- 16 Houghton to make the decision about that direction?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say, "The
- 19 direction this is going," what do you mean? What
- 20 options were on the table in your mind at this point?
- 21
- 22 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 23
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think the -- the
- 25 idea of a pre-eng steel building for an arena being

- 1 compared to a fabric membrane structure -- excuse me -
- 2 for an arena, and plus the option of a fabric
- 3 structure over the pool.
- 4 I think all of those options were --
- 5 were on the table.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, beginning with
- 7 the arena, was it the case that, at this point, you
- 8 didn't know whether or not the direction would be to
- 9 recommend to proceed with either a pre-engineered
- 10 building or a fabric structure for the arena?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I didn't know what
- 12 the recommendation would be at this point in time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anything
- 14 being contemplated other than those two (2) options
- 15 with respect to the arena?
- 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: With the pool, at
- 18 this point in time, what were the potential
- 19 recommendations you thought may be coming?

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I think that
- 24 with -- with the pool, the -- the recommendation would
- 25 be proceed with a fabric membrane enclosure for the

- 1 pool or not.
- 2 So, it might be more of an option at
- 3 that point to proceed with it or not based on the --
- 4 the numbers and information in the report.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And who was it, in
- 6 your mind, who would make the decisions about -- of
- 7 those options you've now laid out for the arena and
- 8 the pool, who would make the decision on what to
- 9 recommend to Council?

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Ultimately, it
- 14 would be the CAO in this instance because of his --
- 15 his involvement in the -- in the process. It would be
- 16 the CAO with input from the EMC, the department head,
- 17 and myself.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was one (1) of the
- 19 options being considered at this point, or at any
- 20 point, recommending to council that further
- 21 investigation be undertaken with respect to either the
- 22 pool or the -- or the arena?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall any
- 24 discussion around that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Would that be a

131 recommendation that staff would be in a position to 2 make if they had -- had decided they wanted to? 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Staff at an executive level. 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And who are the staff at the executive level? 9 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I mean, the -- the 11 CAO could -- could present that to Council in -- in this circumstance. I certainly wouldn't have been in a position to present that. 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Appreciating that 15 you wouldn't have been in a position to present that, was it your view at the time that further investigation would be beneficial? 17 18 19 (BRIEF PAUSE) 20 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Always. 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 2.5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Further

- 1 investigation would certainly have been beneficial,
- 2 but the time frame for the report was set.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: We spoke about
- 4 timing the -- on -- on Tuesday. Do you know -- I
- 5 appreciate it was Council who set the time -- the time
- 6 frame.
- 7 Do you know why they set it for that
- 8 period of time and not a month later, two (2) months
- 9 later?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know. Just
- 11 to put a sense of urgency on it, I suppose. I don't
- 12 know.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
- 14 time, while you're looking for dire -- you're looking
- 15 for guidance on the direction this is going to go, and
- 16 other members of staff may also be looking for that
- 17 quidance.
- 18 Had the procurement options been
- 19 discussed in terms of, If we were going to proceed
- 20 with Sprung, whether there'll be an RFP? If we're
- 21 going to proceed with a pre-engineered steel building,
- 22 whether there'd be an RFP?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Do I remember any
- 24 discussion? No, but I believe at this point, the
- 25 report envisioned an RFP process beyond the report.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you know why

- 2 that was the case?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was a -- I
- 4 suppose, a reflection of the typical process.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to
- 6 paragraph 360 of the Foundation Document. So this is
- 7 a -- an email that you sent Mr. Houghton on August
- 8 21st, 2012, and in the email, you're sending him the
- 9 estimates that Sprung had sent just over a month
- 10 earlier, on July 16th.
- 11 Do you know why you sent Mr. Houghton
- 12 the July 16th estimates at this point in time?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: So I believe that
- 14 back at July 16th, those estimates were the
- 15 preliminary estimates based on the preliminary concept
- 16 that they'd come up with, and -- and those estimates
- 17 were -- along with the preliminary concept, were
- 18 posted on an FTP site, and by...
- 19 And so to answer your question, I
- 20 think, if I remember your question, why was I asked to
- 21 send -- why was I sending it? Was because I was asked
- 22 by Ed if I could send it to him.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know what Ed
- 24 wanted that information for?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
- 2 time, do you know what sort of conversations Mr.
- 3 Houghton was having with either Sprung or BLT?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see that in the
- 6 next paragraph, Mr. Houghton forwarded your email to
- 7 Mr. Bonwick. Was that something you were aware of?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we go to
- 10 paragraph 380 of the Foundation Document. So this is
- 11 August 22nd, 2012, and to put it in context, the
- 12 Council meeting in which the report is due is August
- 13 27th, 2012. At this point in time, staff has WGD's
- 14 numbers, but Ms. Leonard is emailing you and asking if
- 15 you had received anything from Sprung yet, and you
- 16 said:
- 17 "Not yet."
- 18 And then Mr. -- Ms. Leonard says:
- 19 "I guess we won't have this report
- 20 ready for noon tomorrow."
- 21 I take it from this email, at this
- 22 point in time, as far as you knew, staff didn't have
- 23 the numbers or the budgets that Sprung and BLT were
- 24 putting together.
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why they

- 2 weren't ready yet or why they hadn't been provided
- 3 yet?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't know
- 5 why.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: From your
- 7 perspective, did it cause you concern that this
- 8 information hadn't come through yet?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, while
- 10 understanding that in order to have the report
- 11 distributed with the Council agenda for the 27th, it
- 12 needed to be -- or at least the protocol was to have
- 13 it delivered in its final form to the Clerk by the
- 14 Thursday at noon before, and -- and the -- the -- the
- 15 report still had to be finalized, reviewed,
- 16 recommendations determined, and so on.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from the
- 18 version of the -- of -- two (2) things. At this point
- 19 in time, had the recommendations been discussed or
- 20 determined?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We looked at the
- 23 spreadsheet you prepared earlier, which had
- 24 placeholder numbers for Sprung.
- Were you waiting on Sprung to provide

- 1 its numbers in order to populate that part of the
- 2 spreadsheet?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, I believe so.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: What were you
- anticipating you would do with Sprung's numbers once
- 6 they arrived?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Complete the
- 8 comparison between -- between the options.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you
- 10 contemplating you may need to make adjustments to the
- 11 Sprung numbers?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't know
- 13 what -- what adjustments I would have made to the
- 14 Sprung numbers.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we go to
- 16 paragraph 384.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 18 just -- just before you do that, if Mr. Houghton was
- 19 the point of contact, why -- why is Ms. Leonard asking
- 20 you if you have the numbers?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would only assume
- 22 that she might wonder if Mr. Houghton had provided
- 23 them to me and she hadn't seen them yet.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 25 Wouldn't ask him?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: She could of --
- 2 should of asked him, but...
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: What
- 4 was she thinking, or did you -- did you -- is there
- 5 any reason why she would think that you would be in
- 6 contact with Sprung when the -- apparently, this edict
- 7 had been issued that nobody was to speak to Sprung
- 8 except Mr. Houghton?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, there's no
- 10 reason to suggest that.

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So looking at
- 14 paragraph 384, on -- at 2:56 p.m. on August 22nd, Dave
- 15 Barrow of BLT sent Mr. Houghton numbers for the --
- 16 budget numbers for the pool and arena, and you can see
- 17 the number totals there. We see that Mr. Houghton
- 18 forwarded them on to MS. Leonard, who then forwarded
- 19 them on to you.
- 20 We spoke a couple times, now, about the
- 21 nature of the investigative process and when it ended.
- 22 We've seen that the staff report has begun to be draft
- 23 -- drafts of the staff report have circulated at this
- 24 point in time.
- Did you have any concern that after

- 1 that process had began, Mr. Houghton appeared to be in
- 2 contact with BLT and was receiving budget numbers from
- 3 them?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't remember
- 5 having specific concerns at the time, but it would
- 6 have been better if all this information was received
- 7 much sooner, as opposed to it coming at the last
- 8 minute.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why would that
- 10 have been better from a procurement perspective?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because when it
- 12 overlaps like that, it crosses the line between the
- 13 investigative process and sort of the -- the blackout
- 14 period where you've finished the investigation and
- 15 then you're moving on with further evaluation.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: It may be of
- 17 assistance. Can you walk us through what the -- the -
- 18 the steps of a normal procurement process,
- 19 referencing some of the points you just mentioned?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: With -- with many
- 21 projects, we're not the experts. We don't have all
- 22 the information when a project concept comes along,
- 23 and so in order to educate ourselves and be able to
- 24 develop the scope of the project that we intend to
- 25 carry out, in some cases, we might look to a -- a

- 1 consultant to assist with that. In some cases, we
- 2 might do our own research to determine what's
- 3 available on the market and so forth. And that I
- 4 would call the investigation period, where you do that
- 5 initial research and preparation for -- for a project.
- At the point where you start to develop
- 7 a -- an RFP or a competition document, that process
- 8 should be completed and shouldn't be revisited, and we
- 9 kind of determine a blackout period where -- where,
- 10 based on the information that we received from -- from
- 11 our investigation, now we're putting together the
- 12 specifications, the criteria for the RFP or the
- 13 competition. And from then through to the time that
- 14 the -- the document is released to the market or to
- 15 the potential bidders is a blackout period.
- Beyond that, then there's a -- a -- an
- 17 open communication period -- transparent, open
- 18 communication period between the vendors and the
- 19 owner, or the Town in this case, where they have the
- 20 opportunity to ask questions. Everybody gets to see
- 21 the questions. Everybody gets to see the answers to
- 22 the questions up until, essentially, the -- the
- 23 closing of the -- the competition.
- 24 And then, depending on the format of
- 25 the competition, it could be an open -- it could be a

- 1 straightforward tender with a public opening, where
- 2 the results are essentially known at the -- at the
- 3 time of the project closing, or it could be -- in the
- 4 case of an RFP, there could be a -- an evaluation
- 5 period, where you take the proposals, evaluate them,
- 6 generally, by staff or -- or other peers that you've
- 7 invited to evaluate.
- 8 And that again, that evaluation period,
- 9 is another blackout period where there's no
- 10 communication regarding that evaluation or the
- 11 proposals up to the point of award of the project, and
- 12 then it becomes public information.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking at the
- 14 process you just laid out there, what's the purpose of
- 15 the first blackout period, between the investigation
- 16 and the release of the procurement?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It's to avoid -- to
- 18 avoid the problem or the perception that there's any -
- 19 that there's favouritism built into the process of
- 20 developing the document.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what do you mean
- 22 by "favouritism"?
- 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That might give one
- 24 (1) bidder an advantage over another bidder.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking at the

- 1 process that was unfolding here, do you see there is a
- 2 potential for a perception of a unfair process, with
- 3 Sprung and BLT being in contact with staff at this
- 4 point?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: On the basis that
- 6 the -- the intention was to go ahead with an RFP or a
- 7 competition process, then yes, that overlap could have
- 8 -- would have -- could have presented the perception
- 9 of a problem.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Had a decision been
- 11 made at this point on August 22nd when the -- Sprung
- 12 and BLT send their numbers that there would be a sole-
- 13 source procurement?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I
- 15 understood.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: If there is
- 17 communications in the blackout period, what kind of
- 18 consequences can that have for procurement?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I quess there's
- 20 lots of different potential consequences. One (1) of
- 21 the -- one (1) of them is certainly the perception of
- 22 -- of a particular bidder having an advantage over
- 23 other bidders. Certainly, it could lead to legal
- 24 challenges in the future, and I mean, there's --
- 25 that's why the blackout period is there -- to mitigate

- 1 those risks.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Would a supplier
- 3 that communicates in the blackout period face
- 4 disqualification in a future procurement?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That could be the
- 6 case.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So you recei -- Mr.
- 8 Houghton --
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Are
- 10 you moving on to --
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'm staying within
- 12 this paragraph but moving on to a different part of
- 13 the paragraph, so --
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 15 just wondering if it makes sense to break -- break for
- 16 --
- MR. JOHN MATHER: It does --
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- an
- 19 hour.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: -- yeah.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 22 right.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So we
- 25 -- we'll take the lunch break now and come back at 10

143 to 2:00. 2 --- Upon recessing at 12:25 p.m. 3 --- Upon resuming at 1:52 p.m. 5 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up paragraph 384 of the Foundation Document. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: McNalty, before the lunch break we had been looking at this paragraph 13 14 which shows that it was on August 22nd when BLT 15 provided the budgets it had prepared for the arena and the pool. After Ms. Leonard forwards you the budgets. 17 you reply to her and say: 18 "I will soon have a budget for a 19 second floor mezzanine lounge for the steel arena." 20 21 What did you mean by that? 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 2.5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In looking at the

- 1 budget information provided by WGD and that provided
- 2 from Sprung, I recognize that there was a difference
- 3 between the two (2) in the fact that the WGD arena had
- 4 not included a second floor mezzanine and the
- 5 associated things that would go with that.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: When we saw earlier
- 7 that the idea of a second floor was contemplated in
- 8 Aug -- in the August 3rd design components that was
- 9 sent to BLT, when WGD sent its numbers in on August
- 10 17th, was it discussed to your knowledge that -- at
- 11 all the fact that -- that -- those numbers didn't
- 12 contemplate a second floor?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't remember
- 14 any discussion.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So knowing that the
- 16 WGD numbers didn't have a second floor, but the BLT
- 17 ones did, what were -- what were you going to do to
- 18 address that?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I was going to --
- 20 using the information that I had, still come up with a
- 21 comparison between the two (2) options that would be
- 22 an apples to apples comparison on the features.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was this work
- 24 you were intending to do yourself?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why not ask WGD to
- 2 incorporate or advise of the cost of a second floor
- 3 mezzanine within their budgets?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think at this
- 5 point in time, it was a matter of timing. The report
- 6 was already late, and to go back to WGD to ask them to
- 7 provide further information at that point wouldn't
- 8 help the timeline.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than the
- 10 timeline, is there any reason WGD, if it had more
- 11 time, would have been able to prepare an estimate for
- 12 a second floor mezzanine?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, there's no
- 14 other reason.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Could we go
- 16 to paragraph 395 of the Foundation Document.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: This is the
- 21 following day after BLT sends their budget numbers.
- 22 You send Ms. Leonard an email at 9:30 in the morning,
- 23 subject line, "Arena and the pool," and you provide a
- 24 spreadsheet titled, "Ice and water cost comparisons."
- So if we could open up that attachment,

146 which is TOC203364. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then if we could make it so that the pre-engineered steel building box and the insulated fabric membrane structure box are on 7 the screen. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Thank you. So I 13 take it this is an updated version of the spreadsheet 14 we were looking at earlier. 15 Is that fair? 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: With respect to the 18 pre-engineered steel building, is -- as it looks to me, all of the numbers are the same, or at least -and in the cases where they're different, the percentages are the same, except there is now a just 21 22 shy of a million dollar figure for the second floor 23 mezzanine lounge. 24 Does that sound correct to you? 2.5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did you arrive -

- 2 I'll back up. Is this the amount you calculated
- 3 when you did the work to determine what it would cost
- 4 to have a pre-engineered steel facility with a second
- 5 floor?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how did you
- 8 arrive at that number?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Using the
- 10 information that was in the Sprung cost estimate, and
- 11 understanding from a construction point of view what
- 12 would be required to put a second floor mezzanine in,
- 13 I used primarily the numbers out of the Sprung
- 14 estimate to adjust the WGD estimate so that the two
- 15 (2) estimates would include the same components.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say,
- 17 "Understanding from a construction point of view what
- 18 would be required," are you referring to your personal
- 19 experience with construction projects and facilities
- 20 management?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: In creating -- in --
- 23 in coming to this number, did you consult with anyone
- 24 else?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: So you mentioned you
- 2 took elements of the BLT or Sprung costs that had been
- 3 provided. Did you use anything else in coming up with
- 4 that nine hundred and ninety-five thousand dollar
- 5 (\$995,000) figure?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There were some
- 7 components that didn't exist in the Sprung or BLT
- 8 estimate, but those components were available in the
- 9 WGD estimate. And so through a process of factoring
- 10 the information that was in the WG -- WGD estimate, I
- 11 could fill in the blanks with what was missing from
- 12 the Sprung estimate.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it may assist if
- 14 we go to -- further to the right of the spreadsheet.
- 15 Keep going. So you see there's some information to
- 16 the right, and scroll down. Keep scrolling.
- 17 So we see that figure there, that's for
- 18 the second floor mezzanine. Does the data above this
- 19 represent the information you pulled from the Sprung
- 20 and WGD estimates in order to come to that nine
- 21 hundred and ninety-five thousand dollar (\$995,000)
- 22 figure?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So it appears
- 25 in later emails that you send, if we could scroll up,

- 1 that where there's a num -- so looking in the -- at
- 2 this chart to the right, and the first column on the
- 3 left, where there's number codes, where there's a
- 4 number with a dash in it, that -- that is referring to
- 5 a line item in the BLT budgets.
- Is that correct?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And where there is a
- 9 number without a dash in it, that is referring to a
- 10 line item in the WGD budget calculation.
- 11 Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So for instance, the
- 14 first three (3) items are from the WGD budget?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then the next
- 17 item -- the second floor concrete is from these -- BLT
- 18 or Sprung budget?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. If we look,
- 21 there appears to be four (4) items that were taken
- 22 from the WGD budget and used to build your
- 23 calculation.
- 24 How did you decide what items to take?
- 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The -- the first
- 4 three (3) items essentially build the walls around the
- 5 second floor mezzanine, and the -- the item down
- 6 further, exterior windows, would contemplate windows
- 7 in the second floor.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So from the
- 9 WG (sic) budget, you've taken the exterior windows for
- 10 the second floor, and then I just want to make sure I
- 11 understand, for the first three (3), is this
- 12 essentially to build the arena up an additional floor?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to --
- 15 I want to leave this document on the screen. The
- 16 document I handed out before, which was TOC0201219.
- 17 And I believe you still have a copy in front of you,
- 18 Mr. McNalty, if not, let us know. This is a copy of
- 19 the WGD's detailed budget.
- Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we go
- 23 into the budget, one (1), two (2) -- fourth page, we
- 24 see that line item number 04220, with reference to
- 25 masonry.

Do you see that there?

- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Though -- and within
- 4 this line item, you've taken three (3) of the items,
- 5 the exterior architectural block, the block back up to
- 6 -- above, and the cavity wall insulation with 'C' --
- 7 what does C/W stand for, in your understanding?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Complete with.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Complete with vapour
- 10 barrier? It appears you haven't made any adjustments
- 11 from what WGD estimated would be the cost of these
- 12 items for the first floor when adding to a second
- 13 floor.
- 14 Is that fair?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why, in your mind,
- 17 were no adjustments necessary?
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because we were
- 22 adding essentially the same square footage of wall
- 23 area for the second floor as what we -- as what they
- 24 would have already included for the first floor.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Had you had any

- 1 experience before in determining what is involved in
- 2 adding a second floor mezzanine to an arena or a
- 3 similar component to a similar building?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not directly.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what do you mean
- 6 by, "not directly"?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I feel that I have
- 8 enough experience to understand what would be
- 9 required, and I could essentially draw the components
- 10 that would go into that additional structure, and so
- 11 that's why I felt I was capable of reflecting that in
- 12 the estimate.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So was it the case
- 14 that you understood that to add exterior architectural
- 15 block to make a second floor that would cost twice as
- 16 amount -- as much as -- to -- to have that for a first
- 17 floor building?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: For those
- 19 components, yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And what is
- 21 it about the architectural block that made you believe
- 22 that it would be twice as much?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It's a straight
- 24 square footage calculation. So if you're doubling the
- 25 square footage of the wall, you're doubling the cost.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Would it be possible
- 2 that you wouldn't need to double the square footage of
- 3 the wall. For instance, if the mezzanine was only
- 4 part of the -- part of the length of the building?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: While that is my --
- 6 my assumption and -- and based on my understanding of
- 7 WGD's estimate is that it was a pre-eng style building
- 8 from end to end, with the -- the lobby, and dressing
- 9 room, and -- and those amenity areas enclosed in this
- 10 architecturally featured part of the building.
- 11 And so what I envisioned was that --
- 12 that architecturally featured part of the building
- 13 would be extended up to enclose the second floor.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: So -- and -- and I
- 15 just want to make sure I understand. When you're
- 16 envisioning the building, you're envisioning a front
- 17 area that is made of architectural block, and then the
- 18 arena itself is made out of pre-engineered steel, and
- 19 so the front area on the first floor has a lobby and
- 20 change rooms, and that's within architectural block?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And then you're
- 23 building up from that architectural block to create a
- 24 second floor, where there's a mezzanine?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: What's block back up
- 2 to above?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The -- the wall
- 4 will still be a cavity type wall, and so on the
- 5 inside, there would be a block wall, which essentially
- 6 creates the interior space, and then there would be a
- 7 -- a wall cavity with a vapour barrier in it, and
- 8 insulation, and then the architectural block is
- 9 essentially a face out in front of that.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So in this case, you
- 11 also -- it was your understanding that would require
- 12 twice as much as what as what is contemplated when it
- 13 comes to a -- a single floor building?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And, similarly, what
- 16 is the purpose of the cavity wall insulation with the
- 17 vapour barrier?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Those three (3)
- 19 components make up the wall, and so on the interior,
- 20 you have the -- the backup block, and then there's a -
- 21 a cavity, or -- and in that cavity is the insulation
- 22 and vap -- vapour barrier, and then on the exterior,
- 23 the architectural block. So those three (3)
- 24 components make up that wall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go on

155 to page 6. If we leave this on the screen with page 6 2 of the WGD budget in front of you... 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Line item 8120, which is the exterior windows. 7 8 9 (BRIEF PAUSE) 10 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Let me know when you have it in front of you. 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. MR. JOHN MATHER: 8120. The first --14 15 first item under this is punched windows, twenty-four 16 (24). 17 Is that the line item you're working 18 off of when you have an exterior windows line item on 19 the spreadsheet on the screen? 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would believe so. 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And in that case, the total for the first floor was seventy-two thousand 22 23 (72,000), and for the second floor, you've put ten 24 thousand (10,000). 2.5 How did you get those numbers? How do

- 1 you arrive at the ten thousand (10,000)?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I think my --
- 3 my approach was probably that the second floor
- 4 wouldn't have perhaps as many windows as the first
- 5 floor because it would be an auditorium type space,
- 6 and so it would be purely on what I perceived as the
- 7 count of the windows.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So in this case you
- 9 expected there'd be significantly fewer windows?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So those are
- 12 the items you took from the Sprung -- sorry, the WGD
- 13 cost breakdown.
- 14 How did you decide what items you would
- 15 take from the Sprung cost breakdown?
- 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: By the description
- 17 of what the item was. If that component was required
- 18 or relative to the second floor, I would have
- 19 considered it as something that needed to be in both
- 20 estimates.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the case of
- 22 Sprung's budget, did you make any adjustments with
- 23 respect to any of the line items?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I wouldn't know
- 25 from looking at this. I would have to see the budget

- 1 to the -- or the numbers in each place.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So maybe we'll take
- 3 an example. If you look at "Painting," which is 9-
- 4 912, and you have the number ten thousand two hundred
- 5 and sixty-eight (10,268). If we could open up
- 6 TOC0202990.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could go -
- 11 so this, Mr. McNalty, is the budget that BLT sent to
- 12 the -- Mr. Houghton on August 22nd for the arena.
- And if we can go to Item 9-912, which
- 14 is on page 3. So we see there's a line item on the
- 15 screen that is "Painting," and in that case for the
- 16 BLT budget, the total cost is thirty thousand eight
- 17 hundred and five (30,805).
- Do you know how you got to reducing
- 19 that amount for the second floor to ten thousand two
- 20 hundred and sixty-eight (10,268)?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Based on my
- 22 estimate of the square footage of painting required.
- 23 So if -- if all I was -- so in this budget, I -- I
- 24 would believe that painting references the entire
- 25 building, not just the second floor mezzanine, so I

- 1 factored that number down based on my understanding of
- 2 what the square footage of a mezzanine would be.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And it looks to us
- 4 that you made other adjustments along those lines.
- 5 Does that sound correct to you?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. I -- I would
- 7 have used that same rationale to be -- to make
- 8 adjustments so that it was specific to the mezzanine.
- 9 And the other thing that I see here is that there are
- 10 numbers in this estimate for the total units, so the
- 11 total square feet that -- that -- the total square
- 12 feet and the unit cost per square foot, and so those
- 13 would have helped me to arrive at a -- a -- an
- 14 estimate that was relative to the mezzanine itself.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: In your mind, why
- 16 was it the case that this -- Sprung's numbers, or what
- 17 it would cost to build a second floor in a Sprung
- 18 structure would apply to a pre-engineered steel
- 19 building?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Firstly, the -- I
- 21 mean, particularly the interior features of the
- 22 mezzanine would be essentially the same physically in
- 23 either case.
- 24 Secondly, and -- and my objective -- my
- 25 objective was to do that apples-to-apples comparison

- 1 so that using the same -- the same dollar per square
- 2 foot in each building made that a fair comparison and
- 3 made it not subject to inflating one (1) over the
- 4 other.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is the notion on
- 6 that last point that if I'm comparing two (2)
- 7 buildings, if I make the same cost for the same items,
- 8 it's essentially a wash?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. They
- 10 essentially cancel each other out.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have an
- 12 opportunity to consider whether or not there would be
- 13 an actual difference in cost in terms of constructing
- 14 a second floor mezzanine within a Sprung structure as
- 15 opposed to constructing one on a pre-engineered steel
- 16 building?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: On the interior
- 18 features, there shouldn't be a difference. The --
- 19 where the difference would be is that the -- the
- 20 entire second floor mezzanine structure would fit
- 21 inside the building enclosure that's already there in
- 22 the -- in the Sprung situation, where in the pre-
- 23 engineered steel situation, you had to -- you had to
- 24 essentially extend that exterior enclosure up to
- 25 enclose the mezzanine.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And that additional
- 2 item you're talking about, is that what you tried to
- 3 reflect in the numbers you took from the WGD budget?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you run any of
- 6 these calculations you did past anyone at Sprung or
- 7 BIT?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you run any of
- 10 the calculations you did past anyone at WG -- WGD?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and -- and
- 13 we'll get to some further adjustments, but at any
- 14 point in this process before August 27th, 2012, did
- 15 you speak to WGD about the adjustments you had been
- 16 making and seek their input on them?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, and there
- 18 wasn't time at that point, in -- in my perspective.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than the lack
- 20 of time, is there anything else that would have
- 21 impeded you from --
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- doing that? If
- 24 the goal of the exercise that you were undertaking was
- 25 to do an apples-to-apples comparison of a Sprung

- 1 fabric structure to a pre-engineered steel structure,
- 2 was there any reason -- why not have WGD undertake
- 3 that task earlier in the process?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It perhaps wasn't
- 5 recognized as a -- as a -- an issue at that point.
- 6 There was -- again from a timing point of view, we had
- 7 pushed WGD to get their numbers to us on the
- 8 expectation that we would have the Sprung numbers at
- 9 the same time, and then there was a delay in getting
- 10 the Sprung numbers.
- 11 So other than that timing issue, we
- 12 could have gone back to WGD and asked them for further
- 13 numbers.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Similarly, could you
- 15 gone back, if you had the time, to WGD and also ask
- 16 them to compare the price of a fabric membrane
- 17 building that was a design build to a fabric membrane
- 18 building that was a traditional con -- construction to
- 19 a pre-engineered steel building that was a design
- 20 build to a pre-engineered steel building that was a
- 21 traditional construction?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: We could have done
- 23 that if we had the -- the time.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you think those
- 25 two (2) things we talked about, one (1), having WGD

- 1 conduct the apples-to-apples comparison, and having
- 2 them look at other forms of construction for a pre-
- 3 engineered steel building, do you think that
- 4 information would have benefitted the Town?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. More
- 6 information would be of benefit.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go back
- 11 to the spreadsheet at 2 -- TOC202990.
- 12 COURT OPERATOR: The one we were just
- 13 on?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, 2 --
- 15 TOC203364. Thank you.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could focus so
- 21 we can see the insulated fabric membrane structure
- 22 box.
- So, Mr. McNalty, at this point in time
- 24 you have received the Sprung/BLT detailed budget
- 25 estimates, and it appears that you have placed some of

- 1 these into -- or placed these numbers into the
- 2 spreadsheet you created.
- 3 Is that accurate?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: When Sprung sent its
- 6 budget for the arena, it had a budget for an arena
- 7 without certain options and that amount was 7,392,000,
- 8 and then it had options, and if those options were
- 9 added in, the amount was 7,896,000.
- 10 Do you know how you landed at the
- 11 starting point of 7,534,000?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not at this point
- 13 in time.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 15 did any exercise in terms of deciding some options to
- 16 put in, some options to put out?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 18 making any decisions on that myself. I also don't
- 19 recall getting any direction on that.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 21 -- if when the Sprung and BLT numbers came in, if you
- 22 understood them to have any form of contingency built
- 23 into them like the W -- WGD numbers did?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. My
- 25 understanding of those numbers in the -- the BLT

- 1 estimate would be that's the price for the options
- 2 that had been specified or selected.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So looking at the
- 4 BLT/Sprung numbers, you have -- for site and park
- 5 development, you have kept the same number as was the
- 6 case for the pre-engineered steel building.
- 7 Why did you leave that number the same?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Again, to make an
- 9 objective comparison.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And the next line
- 11 down for design fees, permits, and allowances, you've
- 12 set that at one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).
- How did you arrive at that number?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because the design
- 15 fees and anything that would be termed miscellaneous
- 16 would already be in the total above.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And are you
- 18 referring to the concept we discussed earlier that
- 19 when you're dealing with a design build, the -- or
- 20 turnkey part of the package price is you -- is that
- 21 the consulting and engineering work is baked into the
- 22 price you're being offered?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's correct.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: I note it does still
- 25 say design fees on there. In that hundred thousand

- 1 dollars (\$100,000), is there any amount that's
- 2 accounted for a design fee, do you recall?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why in
- 5 this case you set a flat figure as opposed to a
- 6 percentage?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recollect
- 8 why.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Given the
- 10 distinction you've pointed out between a traditional
- 11 construction and design build process, is there
- 12 anything about that that would lead you to apply a
- 13 flat fee as opposed to a per -- percentage?

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It may have just
- 18 been for ease of calculation or -- or maybe I sort of
- 19 resorted back to, well, what would the building permit
- 20 fee be, for instance, and what other fees could be
- 21 expected, as opposed to applying a percentage which
- 22 would be additionally done but on a -- on a
- 23 traditional style construction prog -- project.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: And we see the
- 25 contingency is at 5 percent still, and you've

- 1 explained to us why that was a lesser percentage than
- 2 with -- with respect to the pre-engineered steel.
- 3 With the fabric membrane structure,
- 4 there's no optional upgrades or any form of upgrades
- 5 identified here.
- Why is that the case?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because of the
- 8 nature of the design build project where the options
- 9 are the options that are set out in the original spec
- 10 and agreement and there's not intended to be the
- 11 opportunity to make changes from that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if any
- 13 of the recommended upgrades that WGD had identified
- 14 for a pre-engineered steel building, whether any of
- 15 those items existed within Sprung or BLT's budgets for
- 16 a fabric building?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: You're speaking of
- 18 these recommended upgrades in the -- in the next box?
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Those -- those
- 21 items in the -- in the WGD box are relative to taking
- 22 a pre-eng building to the LEED silver level. The
- 23 understanding was that the fabric membrane building
- 24 already met the requirements of the LEED silver level,
- 25 and so there were no upgrades to do.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: I understand that,
- 2 and my question is more, do you know if, even despite
- 3 that, whether any of the upgrades that are identified
- 4 in the pre-engineered steel building were features
- 5 that would nevertheless be in a Sprung building?

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. Those items
- 10 would not need to be or wouldn't be required to be in
- 11 the Sprung building.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and -- and my
- 13 -- my question really is -- I -- I appreciate they may
- 14 not have been required to be, but do you know if they
- 15 were at all?
- 16 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Looking at that
- 17 list, none of those options were included in the
- 18 fabric building.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if any
- 20 of those options could have been included in the
- 21 fabric building to increase the fabric's building's
- 22 green potential?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Items like subfloor
- 24 heating, radiant floor heating. A building automation
- 25 system could have been. Other items like green roof,

- 1 a cistern and dual plumbing system, structured a
- 2 support photovoltaics, those would not have been
- 3 possible on the Sprung structure because of the nature
- 4 of the con -- the build.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know -- for
- 6 the items that might have been possible, were you
- 7 aware of any discussion with Sprung or BLT about
- 8 whether or not they could be included and, if so, what
- 9 the cost would be?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 12 paragraph 396 of the Foundation Document.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

15

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, what we were
- 17 looking at is you sending Ms. Leonard that spreadsheet
- 18 with the cost comparisons that you had done to date.
- 19 You -- later that day, Ms. Leonard sends you a revised
- 20 staff report. And if we could open that up. It's
- 21 TOC0203551.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

MR. JOHN MATHER: And I have a couple

169 questions about this staff report. If we could go to page 4. 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And scroll down. So, under the heading, "Operating costs," the report 7 says: 9 "Council is aware that operating a 10 year-round pool facility will 11 increase operational costs. Estimates have been devi -- derived 12 13 based on the average five (5) year 14 historical net department --15 departmental results from the 16 Centennial pool operation." 17 And it goes on to provide numbers on 18 operating costs. Other than what's described in this paragraph, do you know how these pool operating costs were generated? 20 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically. 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: One (1) of the 23 things that the Town sought from WGD is information 24 about operating costs with respect to the arenas. 2.5 Do you know if that information was

- 1 ever provided?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I can
- 3 recall.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 5 sense of why that information was not provided?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think it was
- 7 based on the timing again, that there maybe wasn't
- 8 time to put that detail together.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your view, was
- 10 operating cost information a piece of information that
- 11 would be helpful to staff and Council in deciding how
- 12 to proceed?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would -- would
- 14 have been helpful.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could go
- 16 to page 7.

- 18 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 19
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And scroll down.
- 21 And so, what we see here is the -- what it appears to
- 22 be is the -- the tables from the spreadsheet you sent
- 23 Ms. Leonard earlier this morning. Is that correct?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It looks like it.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I -- as I

- 1 believe we discussed earlier today, and correct me if
- 2 I'm wrong, it was your intention or understanding that
- 3 this sort of information should be included in the
- 4 staff report?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, at that point
- 6 in time.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And just to walk
- 8 from -- walk through where we were in the first
- 9 iteration of the spreadsheet that we looked at, the
- 10 total cost that you had calculated for a pre-
- 11 engineered steel building was ten million five hundred
- 12 and ninety-one thousand (10,591,000).
- In this most recent version of the
- 14 spreadsheet the cost is now eleven million seven
- 15 hundred and forty-one thousand (11,741,000). And that
- 16 cost increase, as I understand it, is attributed to
- 17 the additional of the -- the addition of the second
- 18 floor mezzanine, and then any related increases by
- 19 calculating contingencies and other percentages on top
- 20 of that. Is that fair?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If you look beneath
- 23 that table, it discusses how two (2) building
- 24 construction types have been investigated. And then
- 25 it goes on to say:

	172
1	"Staff also researched the cost of a
2	bricks and mortar building and
3	determined the cost variance between
4	it and the pre-engineered steel
5	building was approximately five
6	hundred and fifty thousand dollars
7	(\$550,000) more for the bricks and
8	mortar building."
9	I take it that figure was the figure
10	that was provided to staff by WGD. Is that correct?
11	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That that sounds
12	like the number that that they had provided.
13	
14	(BRIEF PAUSE)
15	
16	MR. JOHN MATHER: And when WGD picked
17	that number, and and I just want to make sure I
18	have this straight or identified that number, that
19	was the difference between pre-engineered steel and
20	fabric.
21	This appears to be saying the cost
22	variance between bricks and mortar and pre-engineered
23	steel was five hundred and fifty thousand (550,000).
24	Am I reading that correctly?
25	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

Transcript Date Sept 26, 2019 173 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Do you know how -- do you know why that was written like this at this point in time? 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That -- I wonder if that paragraph may have been in there before we had -if that paragraph might have been in there and was drawn from the WGD report before we had the information from Sprung. 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you have any 10 understanding of why it suggests that the price 11 difference identified there is as between preengineered steel as compared to something being identified as bricks and mortar and not as a fabric 13 14 membrane? 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Actually, as I'm 19 looking at that now and reading it, I don't know where

- that came from because a bricks and mortar building in 20
- -- in this process was the same as a pre-engineered 21
- steel building. 22
- 23 So, it may have been someone misreading
- 24 the information from WGD.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll 2.5

174 To where the red text is again. This report contains operating costs with respect to the arena. Other than what is set out in this 3 paragraph, did you -- do you know how these numbers were determined for potential operating costs for the arena? 7 (BRIEF PAUSE) 9 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Those numbers would 11 have certainly been available in the -- through 12 finance. I do think I have a recollection that PRC 13 was also doing some work to put together operating 14 costs. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you involved in these estimates at all? 16 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Go to paragraph 401 19 of the Foundation Document. 20 21 (BRIEF PAUSE) 22 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: This is an email you 24 send after Ms. Leonard sent a version of the staff

report, the one we were just looking at, around noon

175 on August 23rd. You send an email at 6 PM advising that you're: 3 "Working on another draft, same information but a different approach 5 to the report. You should have it 6 in the morning." 7 Do you recall what you meant by that you were revising the draft to have the same information but a different approach? 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: To take the 14 information that was already in the draft and 15 reorganize it and -- reorganize it and word it, I guess, so that it -- it was a readable report, it had 17 the information in the right sections of the report, 18 as far as I would be concerned, and to make it kind of 19 tell a story. 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: The -- up until this point, what was your view on how the report had been 21 22 drafted? 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was bits and 24 pieces of information that had been added to the report by different people at different times. And it

176 was difficult to read and understand what it was 2 saying. 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, we then see in the same paragraph that Ms. Almas responds to your 7 email at 6:49 p.m. and asks you whether she -- you would like her to continue -- or you would like her to work on a couple of recommendation scenarios. 10 11 And you respond, "I would wait." At this point in time, had you been involved in any discussions about what recommendations were being 13 14 considered? 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware if those discussions had taken place amongst others? 17 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I was not aware. 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why, at this point, Ms. Almas appeared to be thinking she was 20 going to be working on some recommendations? 21 22 23 (BRIEF PAUSE) 24 2.5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because, generally

- 1 speaking, the clerk or the -- the clerk's department
- 2 comes up with the specific wording of recommendations
- 3 -- recommendations that are put before Council.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why did you want Ms.
- 5 Almas to wait on doing that work?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: At that point in
- 7 time, I -- I still didn't know what the
- 8 recommendations were going to be. And so, I think my
- 9 thought was let's reorganize this report, get it to
- 10 succinctly state the information that we have and,
- 11 based on that, develop recommendations.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, I want to pull
- 13 up this email chain. It's TOC0203834.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 18 down to the bottom. So, we see your email at 5:59
- 19 p.m. to Ms. Leonard, Mr. Houghton, to the EMC about
- 20 your intention to work on another draft.
- 21 If we scroll up, we see Ms. Almas's
- 22 response at 6:49 p.m. You then respond again to all
- 23 of the EMC with the, "I would wait, as we've
- 24 discussed."
- 25 And then Mr. Houghton replies at 7:00

Transcript Date Sept 26, 2019 178 p.m. saying, "Thanks, Dave, and thanks for our call 2 earlier." 3 Do you know what phone call Mr. Houghton's referring to? 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In-between that time, I believe I had a phone call with Mr. Houghton. 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was anyone else a 11 participant on the phone call? 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you recall 14 about that phone call? 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I can't remember any details of the conversation. 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember the 18 -- any of the topics that were discussed? 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. It was -- the topic was to do with the report and how to present the information in the report, I suppose. 21 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it the case that

you and Mr. Houghton were discussing how you would

approach the revisions that you were proposing to

undertake, to the best of your recollection?

23

24

```
179
 1
 2
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 3
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY:
                                       I expect so.
                                                     The
   actual telephone conversation I don't have a
   recollection of.
 7
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall -- and
   I appreciate you don't have a recollection. Do you --
   is -- is it your understanding -- or do you believe
10
   that you received directions from Mr. Houghton on that
11
   phone call about how to revise the staff report?
12
                   MR. DAVE MCNALTY:
                                       I think that --
13
                   MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                              Your Honour,
14
    I have trouble with that given the answers that the
15
   witness has given to date. It seems like -- like an -
   - an improper question, quite honestly, given the
   nature of the answers, which were that, I have no
17
18
   recollection of the phone call.
                   And it -- it does -- it doesn't make
19
   for useful evidence to -- to continue to repeat and
20
   press the witness in a situation where he says he
21
22
   doesn't know. The answer you get is -- has every
23
   prospect of -- of not being a useful bit of evidence.
24
                   I -- I'm -- I'm concerned about that.
2.5
                   THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
                                                    Well,
```

- 1 what -- what's the question you want to put?
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: My intention is to
- 3 ask if Mr. McNalty has any belief as to what --
- 4 whether or not he received direction on the call, and
- 5 then ask the basis of that belief.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: He already
- 7 answered that question.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'll
- 9 allow the question. Go ahead.

10

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 14 belief whether or not Mr. Houghton provided you any
- 15 direction on that call about how to approach the
- 16 revisions of the staff report?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I know that my
- 18 intention was to take the information that was in the
- 19 report and reorganize it and make the report more
- 20 succinct and readable. If the contents of the report
- 21 changed, then I can surmise that I received some
- 22 direction to change the contents.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And what leads you
- 24 to make that -- what leads you to surmise in that way?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I wouldn't have

- 1 come up with it on my own.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: We've reviewed with
- 3 you phone records relating to your cell phone or
- 4 showing a cell phone call from Mr. Houghton to you
- 5 that took place on August 23rd at 6:05 p.m. that
- 6 lasted for approximately twenty-one (21) minutes. Is
- 7 that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that -- do you
- 10 believe that is the phone call that is referenced in
- 11 this email?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall having
- 14 any other phone discussions with Mr. Houghton on
- 15 August 23rd?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 17
- 18 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 19
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we can scroll
- 21 up. So, this is an email that you sent Mr. Houghton
- 22 at 7:08 p.m. in which you ask:
- "Mr. Houghton, is your thinking that
- 24 the procurement is done or that we
- still need to go through the process

- of an RFP or something?"
- 2 Do you recall why you asked Mr.
- 3 Houghton the question at this point?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe that
- 5 after our discussion, our telephone discussion, I
- 6 turned back to the document and started to review what
- 7 was in it and realized that that was then an
- 8 unanswered question.
- 9 And in order to make the revisions to
- 10 the document, I wanted to have the answer to that
- 11 question.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 13 received a response from Mr. Houghton to that
- 14 question?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe I
- 16 did.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 18 paragraph 403 of the Foundation Document.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, we see that
- 23 later that evening, at 8:27 p.m., you receive an email
- 24 from Ms. Leonard copying the other members of the EMC
- 25 in which she writes:

	183
1	"Dave, I think we have done our due
2	diligence for procurement purposes
3	already. We supplied our wish list
4	to BLT Sprung, and they were aware
5	that they were competing against two
6	(2) other forms of construction.
7	Nobody possess the Tedlar
8	technology. Nobody else can prove
9	that they have done this type of
10	construction without collapse.
11	Nobody else can provide the LEED
12	components in their basic
13	construction."
14	Do you recall receiving this email from
15	Ms. Leonard?
16	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
17	MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than receiving
18	this email, did you speak with Ms. Leonard about what
19	she had written at any point?
20	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
21	MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
22	knowledge of why Ms. Leonard sent you this email at
23	this point in time?
24	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I have no direct
25	knowledge. I surmised at the time that there had been

- 1 a further discussion amongst --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You
- 3 don't -- you don't know. You're sub --
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't --
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 6 this is your speculation, right?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

- 9 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can
- 11 continue on to paragraph 404. This is -- indicates
- 12 that you circulated then a revised staff report at
- 13 11:45 p.m. that evening.
- 14 So I'm going to walk through some of
- 15 the revisions that were made to the staff report from
- 16 the version that Ms. Leonard sent around noon on the
- 17 23rd and the version that you sent at 11:45 p.m.
- 18 Beginning with paragraph 405, you included two (2)
- 19 recommendations in this version of the staff report.
- 20 They are:
- 21 "That Council provide direction to
- 22 staff on the option of a year-round
- insulated fabric membrane cover for
- the existing outdoor pool, and that
- 25 Council provide direction to staff

- on the preferred approach to the
- 2 construction of a year-round single-
- 3
   pad ice arena in Central Park."
- What do these recommendations mean?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That based on the
- 6 information in the -- presented in the staff report,
- 7 staff is asking Council to provide further direction.
- 8 I can tell by the wording in these recommendations
- 9 that they were not intended to be final
- 10 recommendations but sort of placeholders in the report
- 11 for a more formal version of the recommendation.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When these
- 13 recommendations were drafted, did you have a sense of
- 14 what staff was going to finally recommend to Council?
- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Based on the
- 16 revisions that I had made to the staff report at this
- 17 point, I think my -- my thought at the time was that
- 18 those were the likely outcomes that would be taken
- 19 from the report.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And maybe you can
- 21 assist me. With the first one, what is the outcome
- 22 there? Is that a recommendation to Council to proceed
- 23 with the fabric membrane over the pool?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, although it
- 25 has the bit in there about, Give us direction on,

- 1 which is a little different than stating that -- that
- 2 it's a conclusion.
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: If I
- 4 can just... The direction -- there could be different
- 5 directions, could there not?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There could be.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: One
- 8 (1) of the directions could be to proceed with the
- 9 project, and the other could be get more information.
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Right.

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: With respect to the
- 14 arena, do you know what the preferred approach was
- 15 that was being referenced there?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I expect, if I
- 17 remember the language of the staff report at this
- 18 time, it was probably towards the -- the -- the fabric
- 19 arena.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this time,
- 21 similar to the pool, was it still open to Council to
- 22 decide what they thought the preferred approach would
- 23 be?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: Go down to the next

- 1 paragraph. This is discussing another revision that
- 2 was made, which was removing the mention of the use of
- 3 requests for proposals that had been in the previous
- 4 versions of the staff report.
- 5 Why was that removed in this version of
- 6 the report?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Sorry, are you
- 8 looking at 407?
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, 406.
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That was removed as
- 11 a result of the Treasurer's email during the course of
- 12 that evening.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than the
- 14 Treasurer's email, did you have any other discussions
- 15 with any other member of the EMC before removing that
- 16 portion of the ar -- sorry, of the staff report?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: We could go on to
- 19 paragraph 408. So it says here that, regarding the
- 20 arena, the -- the draft you circulated did not include
- 21 any reference to staff investigating a bricks-and-
- 22 mortar option. It's -- it's stated:
- "Staff is confident on the basis of
- 24 the research into options for a
- 25 single-pad ice area in Central Park

188 that the most cost-effective and 1 2 time-efficient option for construction is an insulated fabric 3 membrane." 5 How -- why was that language incrued -included in this version of the report? 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because I think by that point in the evening, the -- the communications were that that was the direction that the staff report was going to take. 10 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: What communications 12 are you referring to? 13 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The previous 14 telephone call and email messages. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: We've seen the work that WGD did to look into the cost of pre-engineered steel buildings. As far as you were aware at this 17 18 point in time, had anything else -- has -- had anything else been done to look into what would be the most cost-effective and time-efficient construction 20 material when it came to the single-pad arena? 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not aware of 22 23 anything else. 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if at any point, anyone on staff reached out to any company

- 1 that would manufacture a pre-engineered steel arena to
- 2 ask them about budget or pricing information?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Directly --
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: -- to a pre-eng
- 6 steel supplier? I'm not aware of anybody doing that.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Would there have
- 8 been any limitation in having someone on staff do that
- 9 sort of research?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There would be no
- 11 limitation, but we already had WGD taking on that
- 12 assignment, I thought.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you think that
- 14 WGD and Sprung stood in the same place in terms of
- 15 what their interests were going forward with respect
- 16 to potential recreation facilities?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Could you say that
- 18 again, please?
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Certainly. Sprung
- 20 and BLT are providing budget numbers, presumably, part
- 21 of the purpose in order to have the Town agree to
- 22 build a Sprung structure. Is that fair?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you see WGD as
- 25 being in a similar position when it was preparing

- 1 budget numbers?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Go down to paragraph
- 4 409. So what we see here -- it references a few
- 5 things that were removed from the staff report, one of
- 6 which was the estimate of operating costs. Do you
- 7 know why those estimates were review -- removed in
- 8 this version of the staff report?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know
- 10 exactly.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We also see, and I -
- 12 that the -- the excerpt of your spreadsheet that
- 13 compared the costs of a pre-engineered steel to a
- 14 Sprung structure, the one we've walked through, that
- 15 was taken out of this version of the staff report.
- 16 Do you recall why that was the case?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I think
- 18 because through the correspondence that evening, that
- 19 wasn't recommended to be included.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall who
- 21 recommended it not be included?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it a decision
- 24 you made to remove that information?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it a decision
- 2 you made to remove the operating cost information?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: We also see that the
- 5 design fees and permits allowance of a hundred
- 6 thousand dollars (\$100,000) and the 5 percent
- 7 contingency that we saw on your spreadsheet were
- 8 removed from this version of the staff report.
- 9 Was that something you decided to do?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: The information
- 12 that's discussed in this paragraph, is that
- 13 information that you believed should remain in the
- 14 staff report?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would have kept
- 16 it in the staff report.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why is that?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because they help
- 19 to fulfill the whole picture of the -- of the
- 20 potential investment.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And can you just
- 22 explain more of what you mean by that?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Certainly, the --
- 24 the operating costs -- the operating costs would be
- 25 relevant going forward and could be -- could be taken

- 1 as part of the decision. The site and park
- 2 development costs, that number came -- the 1,164,000
- 3 number came from the WGD estimates, and in the -- in
- 4 the approach taken, that number certainly could have
- 5 been reduced in my mind.
- 6 The contingency -- I believe I recall
- 7 that I had asked whether a contingency could be
- 8 applied to the project, and at least -- at least in
- 9 the staff report, there was no desire to have a
- 10 contingency shown.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall why
- 12 there was no desire to have a contingency shown?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember how
- 15 it was decided that a contingency wouldn't be shown?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There as an ask to
- 21 have it included, and the ask was denied.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Who made the ask?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I can't remember
- 24 when specifically, but I believe I asked at some
- 25 point. And I can't remember who was in the room at

- 1 the time, but I remember that, at least as far as the
- 2 staff report would go, we wouldn't show a contingency.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why did you think --
- 4 and why did you make the ask?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because any project
- 6 of this nature needs some form of contingency?
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why is that?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: For the unforseen.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: One (1) of the
- 10 things that's being contemplated by the staff report
- 11 is erecting a fabric cover over the pool which was,
- 12 you know, a previously used site that had been operat
- 13 -- operational.
- 14 In your experience, would that have
- 15 meant that a greater or lesser contingency should have
- 16 been taken into account?

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That -- that would
- 21 create a larger need for a contingency than a
- 22 greenfield site. But the arena site wasn't a
- 23 greenfield site either. It's had -- it had had many
- 24 uses over the years.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just -

- 1 just before you go on, Mr. McNalty, did you have any
- 2 concern about the fact that -- and -- and I appreciate
- 3 you didn't take this out of the estimate.
- 4 But did you have any concern about the
- 5 fact that it was only the contingency in relation to
- 6 the membrane that was being removed, not the
- 7 contingency that you had included with respect to the
- 8 prefabricated steel -- or prefabricated building?
- 9 In other words, it's a -- it's not
- 10 equally done, so there's a four hundred and fifty --
- 11 the membrane suddenly becomes four and hundred a
- 12 thirty-five thousand dollars (\$435,000) cheaper?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That would have
- 14 taken some of the objectivity out of the comparison.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: I wonder, Your
- 18 Honour, if it's a good opportunity for a brief break,
- 19 or I'm happy to continue.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 21 no, we'll take five (5) minutes.
- 22
- 23 --- Upon recessing at 3:08 p.m.
- 24 --- Upon resuming at 3:15 p.m.
- 25

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: What
- 2 I'd propose to do is finish the direct examination of
- 3 Mr. McNalty this afternoon, and then commence the
- 4 cross-examination on Monday.
- 5 Oth -- otherwise, I think we'll be here
- 6 far too late. He's been testifying since nine
- 7 o'clock, and I think enough's enough, so carry on.
- 8 Don't -- don't feel pressured or anything like that.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: With that in mind,
- 10 I'd just like to go over a few matters. If we could
- 11 pull up TOC0203891.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay,
- 13 time's up. That's it.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

16

- 17 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. McNalty, we
- 19 were discussing the revisions to the staff report that
- 20 you circulated at 11:45 on August 23rd, 2012. This is
- 21 a copy of the staff report, that version of the staff
- 22 report itself. If we could go to page 3.

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2.5

196 MR. JOHN MATHER: And keep scrolling 1 down. Keep going. So --3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm sorry, I wonder if my friend could just indicate the time again that that was circulated. 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: I think it's around 7 11:45 p.m. --8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you. 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- on the evening of 10 August 23rd. 11 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: This is discussing 14 the costs of proceeding with a fabric membrane for the 15 arena. And it indicates here that for the siteservicing, an allowance of five hundred thousand 17 dollars (\$500,000) should be considered in this 18 evaluation. 19 Do you know how that figure was arrived 20 at? 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I know that I had 25 had some discussions with the engineering manager at

- 1 the time where we came up with some estimates based on
- 2 experience as to what the site-servicing costs could
- 3 be.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: What that Mr.
- 5 Macdonald?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was five hundred
- 8 thousand dollars (\$500,000) the amount you and Mr.
- 9 Macdonald estimates?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall what
- 11 our estimate actually was, but I think the five
- 12 hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) was set as an
- 13 aggressive target towards what we had estimated.
- 14 So, our estimates were somewhat higher
- 15 than that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And the aggressive
- 17 target you're referring to, how was that set?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In conversation
- 19 with the CAO and perhaps others, looking at the
- 20 estimates that we'd arrived at and rationalizing them.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: You referenced the
- 22 CAO and others. Do you know who the others were?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall who
- 24 else was in the room.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We see you circulate

- 1 the staff report on August 23rd late in the evening.
- 2 Do you remember how long before that this conversation
- 3 occurred where estimates for the site-servicing was
- 4 discussed?

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically,
- 9 but it had to be with -- within the previous day just
- 10 because of the timing.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see that the
- 12 site-servicing for the pool is set at two hundred
- 13 thousand dollars (\$200,000). Was that -- was that
- 14 amount arrived at through the same process?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, that's my
- 16 recollection.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: WGD estimated that
- 18 site-servicing for both a fabric structure and a steel
- 19 structure would cost around \$1.1 million.
- 20 On what -- on what basis, in your mind,
- 21 could it be done for a fabric structure for five
- 22 hundred thousand (500,000)?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Part of the costs
- 24 built into that \$1.1 million was the consideration
- 25 that it is still a traditional design engineer

- 1 contract construct project, so the consulting fees,
- 2 the engineering fees and all that would be built into
- 3 that number.
- 4 As well, at this point -- and part of
- the rationale for putting lower allowances in was that
- 6 the Town was going to undertake some of those site-
- 7 servicing projects, or components of the projects, in-
- 8 house using Town staff, using Town construction
- 9 supervisors, so consulting costs would be -- would be
- 10 low and whatever components could be completed by --
- 11 by in-house Town staff, that would be the approach
- 12 taken.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Setting aside the
- 14 consultant cost that's maybe associated with a
- 15 traditional construction contract as opposed to a
- 16 design build, is there any reason why the site-
- 17 servicing at a fabric building would cost less than a
- 18 site-servicing at a pre-engineered steel building?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: For the arena
- 20 specifically?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 22 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: They would -- under
- 23 the same construction process, there's no reason why
- 24 they would be different.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So --

- 1 so, am I reading this right? You were going to reduce
- 2 it to five hundred thousand (500,000) regardless of
- 3 which structure was built?
- 4 You were just reducing that one point -
- 5 you felt you could -- five hundred (500) was
- 6 aggressive, but you thought you could do it for that,
- 7 but that would be the same for both?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If they were both
- 9 being done through the same construction process. So,
- 10 if they were both a design build -- sorry, if the pre-
- 11 eng -- pre-eng steel structure at this point is still
- 12 an overall project, including all that site-servicing.
- 13 If you isolated the pre-eng building
- 14 and its contents, the same as the fabric building
- 15 proposal was isolated to just the building, then, yes,
- 16 the site-servicing could have been done for that same
- 17 aggressive target.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: We can scroll down.
- 22 Sorry, scroll up. Scroll up. Apologies, scroll down
- 23 again. I'm just trying to find a specific number.
- 24 Keep going.
- Okay. So, looking at the paragraph

- 1 that says:
- 2 "The estimated costs for the supply
- and construction of the basis
- insulated membrane arena is 7.476
- 5 million as compared to 10.592 for
- 6 pre-engineered steel."
- 7 In the previous version of the staff
- 8 report, the fabric membrane price was 7.534 million,
- 9 and not it's 7.476. Do you know how that change came
- 10 about?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't. I do
- 12 not recall.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do recall if it's a
- 14 change that you had made?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I apparently made
- 16 the change in the report. But, at this point in time,
- 17 I don't recall what the basis for that change was.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the 10.592
- 19 million figure we see there, is that taken from the
- 20 adjustments that we had walked through earlier today?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: In my spreadsheet?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: And, in that case,
- 25 that 10.592 figure continues to have 1.16 million for

- 1 site-servicing. Is that accurate?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if there
- 4 was any consideration at this point in time of
- 5 reducing the site-servicing costs when the calculation
- 6 of the pre-engineered steel -- for the cost of the
- 7 pre-engineered steel building given the decisions that
- 8 had been made with respect to site-servicing for a
- 9 fabric building?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe
- 11 there was any consideration of that at this time
- 12 because there had been no consideration of taking a
- 13 pre-eng style building out of the conventional
- 14 construction model.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Had there been any
- 16 consideration of adjusting WGD's figures down to
- 17 reflect the fact that the Town could get savings on
- 18 doing some of the site-servicing in-house even if it
- 19 had to pay additional amounts for consulting fees?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall that
- 21 being a consideration.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, we saw that this
- 23 version of the staff report was circulated late in the
- 24 evening on August 23rd. Sara Almas has testified that
- 25 the following morning she attended a meeting with the

- 1 EMC and yourself, the purpose of which was to discuss
- 2 the staff report.
- In-between that time, Mr. Houghton also
- 4 had sent a revised version of the report in the
- 5 morning. Do you recall attending the meeting on
- 6 August 24th, 2012?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you recall
- 9 about that meeting?

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- it would have
- 14 been my first opportunity to see the revisions that
- 15 were subsequently made to the report, and probably
- 16 everybody's.
- So, I think it was a review and
- 18 discussion of the changes that had been made to the
- 19 report.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was the -- was --
- 21 did the discussion touch on at all the decision to
- 22 remove the reference to an RFP from the report that
- 23 you can recall?
- 24 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe it did.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall any

- 1 specifics about that discussion?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not -- not
- 3 specifics.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you recall,
- 5 if anything, about that discussion?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think because
- 7 that had all happened in the evening of the 23rd, and
- 8 this was the first time for the whole group to discuss
- 9 it, I think there was probably a discussion of the
- 10 rationale to -- to change that language.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Stepping back more
- 12 broadly, what was your understanding of what the
- 13 rationale was?

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not sure if I
- 18 understood what the rationale was, other than the
- 19 direction that I had received the night before through
- 20 the Treasurer's email.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you form a view
- 22 yourself about whether or not this proposal to proceed
- 23 with Sprung fell within the parameters of the
- 24 purchasing bylaw?
- 25 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It would have

1 identified as a sole-source procurement at that point

- 2 under the definition of the bylaw.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that your view
- 4 that this met the definition of sole-source
- 5 procurement under the bylaw.
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe that was
- 7 my opinion by that point in time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And why was that
- 9 your opinion at that point in time?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Bearing in mind
- 11 that Council could still give further direction on --
- 12 on what was being recommended in the report, if they
- 13 recommended to proceed with -- with the
- 14 recommendations, then that would -- that would become
- 15 a sole-source purchase.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 17 so I understand, did you form the view that it was
- 18 sole source upon the base of what you were told by the
- 19 Treasurer? On the basis of what you were told in that
- 20 email?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- 22 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 23 you.
- 24
- 2.5

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if it
- 3 was ever raised by anyone whether an RF -- whether the
- 4 Town could purchase the Sprung structure itself by way
- 5 of sole source but proceed by way of RFP for the
- 6 company that constructed the Sprung structure?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That was not a
- 8 discussion at the time.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Are you aware of
- 10 anything that would have prevented the Town from
- 11 taking that step, had they wanted to?
- 12 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not aware of
- 13 anything that would have prevented the Town to do
- 14 that, but at least I was still under the understanding
- 15 that BLT was the preferred erector for the Sprung
- 16 building system in Ontario.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Could we go to
- 18 paragraph 413 of the Foundation Document. So this is
- 19 an email that Ms. Leonard sent to you and the EMC on
- 20 August 24th at 10:46 a.m. with the subject line
- 21 "Procurement Section."
- Do you recall receiving this email from
- 23 Ms. Leonard?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what

- 1 the purpose of this email was?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It was -- as I
- 3 recall, it was langua -- language to put into the
- 4 report to -- to explain the -- the diligence that had
- 5 been gone through and justify the potential sole
- 6 source.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: The -- the contents
- 8 of this email, was -- what's described here, was that
- 9 the subject matter of any discussion at the meeting
- 10 that was held that morning, to your recollection?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 12 specifically this being discussed, but I -- I see that
- 13 it was kind of a -- a -- an expansion of the email
- 14 from the night before to more further explain the
- 15 points.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: The second paragraph
- 17 discusses "Element of Competition," and it says:
- 18 "The element of competition was
- 19 included in gathering of estimates.
- The manufacturers of the
- 21 architectural membrane structure
- 22 knew that they were in competition
- 23 with the more traditional forms of
- 24 construction. WGD Architects knew
- 25 that they were in competition with

1 the architectural membrane structure

- when producing estimates."
- 3 Are you aware of what was told to
- 4 Sprung or BLT about whether or not they were in
- 5 competition with other traditional forms of
- 6 construction?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you agree with
- 9 the statement that WGD Architects knew that they were
- 10 in competition with the architectural membrane
- 11 structure when preparing their estimates?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: They knew that
- 13 there were other estimates being prepared that their
- 14 estimate would be compared to. The -- the term --
- 15 terminology "that they were in competition," in my
- 16 view, is not correct.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what's incorrect
- 18 about that?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: WGD and BLT/Sprung
- 20 are not competitors. They're two (2) different types
- 21 of business. One's an architectural consultant; the
- 22 other one is a design-build contractor.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We see language
- 24 that's along these lines is included in the final
- 25 version of the staff report. Did you ever discuss

- 1 with anyone on the EMC why this language had been
- 2 selected?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall ever
- 5 raising with anyone that WGD was not a competitor to
- 6 Sprung or BLT?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not at the time.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you raise it at
- 9 any point after the fact?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not -- I don't
- 11 recall discussing it with anyone.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can scroll
- 13 down to where it says "sole source" in this email. So
- 14 it says -- with "sole source," it says:
- 15 "Again, through our research, it has
- been determined that there is only
- one supplier that can meet the
- 18 specifications staff developed for
- 19 the facilities."
- You've already described to us the
- 21 research that you conducted with respect to whether or
- 22 not there were other suppliers that could provide this
- 23 type of facility.
- 24 Do you have any knowledge about the
- 25 research other members of staff did or other members

- 1 of the EMC?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm not aware of
- 3 any other staff's research.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Beneath that, it
- 5 says:
- "If one of the more traditional
- forms of construction had been
- 8 determined to provide the most cost-
- 9 effective solution, there would have
- 10 been a further need to issue an RFP
- for construction, since there are
- many companies capable of providing
- this service."
- 14 Is there any reason why the Town could
- 15 not have decided to conduct an RFP in which Sprung
- 16 could potentially be a bidder alongside companies that
- 17 would be offering to manufacture pre-engineered steel
- 18 buildings?
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There would be no
- 23 reason why not.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that something
- 25 that you recall being discussed?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that something
- 3 that you would view as appropriate in the
- 4 circumstances?
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If you were going
- 6 to proceed with an RFP, I think that that would have
- 7 to be the structure of the RFP. It would have to
- 8 consider the same -- the same construction process for
- 9 whatever alternatives you were going to look at.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what you mean by
- 11 that?
- 12
- 13 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 14
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If -- if what you
- 16 were wanting to attract was other suppliers that could
- 17 provide a -- a similar -- similarly equipped arena as
- 18 what was being proposed by Sprung, in order to be able
- 19 to describe that and then evaluate it, it would have
- 20 to be the same design build process as was being
- 21 proposed for the fabric arena.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So make sure I
- 23 understand what you're saying is that the RFP would
- 24 have to be -- a call for tenders for a design build
- 25 process, whether that be design build fabric or design

- 1 build pre-engineered steel?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's the way I
- 3 would see it.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Any reasons why
- 5 there could not be an RFP in which a des -- a -- a
- 6 design build for a pre-engin -- in which there could
- 7 col -- in which a design build for pre-engineered
- 8 steel buildings could be a potential candidate?

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I'm sorry, I lost
- 13 the question.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'll put it another
- 15 way. Can you get a pre-engineered steel building in a
- 16 design build construction?
- 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your view, would
- 19 the Town had benefitted, had there been an RFP along
- 20 the lines of what we've been discussing, one that is
- 21 seeking a design build project where -- in which the
- 22 materials are open to the bidders?

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

213 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Again, from -- from 1 the point of view of having more fulsome information, that would have been good to have. 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Based on the -- the research that was done and -- and the work that was provided by WGD, I think there may have still been some challenges in order to -- to make sure that the 10 11 pre-eng steel building had a -- could attain that LEED silver level to be comparable to the -- the fabric 13 building. 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that a component 15 or requirement that could have been included in the 16 RFP? 17 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It -- it would have 18 had to have been included. 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to paragraph 418 of the Foundation Document. 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is

25 describing an email that Mr. Houghton sent to the EMC

- 1 and yourself at 12:05 on August 24th. And among other
- 2 changes, the -- the -- Mr. Houghton's revised version
- 3 reduced the cost of the fabric structure to seven
- 4 million three hundred and ninety-two thousand
- 5 (7,392,000).
- 6 Do you know the basis on which that
- 7 reduction was made?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then it notes
- 10 that the cost of the pre-engineered steel arena
- 11 increased from 11.1 million to 12.3 million.
- Do you know how that increase came
- 13 about?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can jump
- 16 ahead to paragraph 628.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph is
- 21 describing some emails that occurred after the Council
- 22 meeting where it was voted to proceed to Sprung. And
- 23 one (1) of the questions that was being raised at that
- 24 point was, how did the figure in the WGD report, which
- 25 was approximately 7.8 or 7.6 million -- we looked at

- 1 it -- become 12.3 million? And in response to that
- 2 inquiry, you provided Sara Almas with a spreadsheet
- 3 showing how those adjustments were made.
- 4 Do you have a recollection of -- of
- 5 those events?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could open
- 8 TOC0218073.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

11

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So what I would like
- 13 to do is I would like to compare this to the previous
- 14 version of the spreadsheet that we were looking at, in
- 15 which you calculated the cost to be approximately
- 16 eleven (11) -- sorry -- 11.7 million.
- Just give me a moment.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So what I propose to
- 22 do, Mr. McNalty, is Ms. McGrann is going to hand out a
- 23 hard copy of that earlier version of the spreadsheet
- 24 we were looking at, so we can keep this one up on the
- 25 screen.

216 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: The document ID for this version of the spreadsheet is TOC203364. 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MR. JOHN MATHER: So I quess I'll start with this. Is this -- does this spreadsheet set 10 out how -- how this Town calculate -- calculated WGD's 11 report to increase from 7.6 million to 12.3 million? 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Which 13 spreadsheet? 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: The one on the 15 screen, sorry. 16 17 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll 19 down. And... 20 21 (BRIEF PAUSE) 22 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, I believe so. 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So walking 25 through some of the changes that were made from the

- 1 earlier version we saw, if we could scroll up to the
- 2 top. We can see now that the top number is 7.632
- 3 million as opposed to 6.856 million. It appears to us
- 4 that this is the result of adding back those two (2)
- 5 contingency numbers that WGD included in their
- 6 original cost breakdown.
- 7 Does that sound correct?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then we can see
- 10 below that those two (2) contingency numbers are
- 11 subtracted out lower down in the spreadsheet where it
- 12 says less contingencies included in WGD building
- 13 budget.
- 14 Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Again, we see that
- 20 the recommended upgrades are including as the --
- 21 included as they were before. If you continue to
- 22 scroll down, you can see the seven (7) -- second floor
- 23 mezzanine amount as we saw before, including the
- 24 upgrade installation, which is blank. Then we see the
- 25 site and park development costs.

1 At this point in time, had there been

- 2 any further discussion about -- or any discussion
- 3 about reducing the site and park development costs to
- 4 reflect any efficiencies that could be achieved if the
- 5 Town did them in house?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't bel -- not
- 7 that I'm aware of.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Of this \$1.16
- 9 million figure, do you have any sense of how much of
- 10 that would represent consulting and engineering fees?

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Industry standard
- 15 would say probably -- on site works, probably
- 16 something like 10 percent.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can scroll
- 18 down. It then adds a design fees -- the design fees,
- 19 permits, and miscellaneous, this number has increased
- 20 from 5 percent on August 23rd, 2012, in the earlier
- 21 version of the spreadsheet to 10 percent.
- Do you know why that increase was made?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know why.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 25 made the decision to increase that percentage?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And then there's the
- 3 10 percent contingency that was there before, although
- 4 in this case that 10 percent contingency is calculated
- 5 on -- on -- after the 10 percent for design fees has
- 6 been added to the total.
- 7 Do you know why that contingency --
- 8 that 10 percent is applied to the total cost plus
- 9 design fees, permits, and miscellaneous?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It doesn't -- it
- 11 doesn't strike me as being unreasonable to put a
- 12 contingency on those items, but if it was changed over
- 13 the course of the development, then I don't understand
- 14 why. I don't know why.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could
- 16 continue scrolling down. We also see that included in
- 17 the document you provided Ms. Almas was the breakdown
- 18 of how you calculated the second floor mezzanine.
- 19 Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. If we scroll
- 22 up, back to the bottom line on the first page.
- I take it then that the adjustments we
- 24 looked at between August 23rd spreadsheet, which is
- 25 the one that's in front of you, and -- and this

- 1 spreadsheet, these adjustments explain how the pre-
- 2 engineered steel costs increased from 11.741 million
- 3 to 12.3 million. Is that correct?
- 4 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I believe so.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go back
- 6 to paragraph 628 of the Foundation Document. And if
- 7 we can scroll down.
- 8 So in paragraph 629, you are responding
- 9 to a request from Ms. Almas about providing
- 10 information about how the \$12.3 million figure was
- 11 arrived at, and you say:
- 12 "Please see the attached files which
- include WGD's report and proposed
- 14 layouts for a pre-eng steel arena,
- as well as the building budget from
- 16 WGD and our own spreadsheet showing
- 17 the development of the budget
- 18 numbers provided from WGD to those
- 19 depicted in the staff report EMC
- 20 2012-1."
- 21 Continue down. And then you say:
- "Not sure if we need to provide all
- of it in order to satisfy the
- 24 request. Note -- note that the
- 25 Reference column on the second page

221 of our spreadsheet indicates the 1 source of the second floor 2 3 mezzanine/lounge estimate, either from WGD budget, formatted" [in that 5 format] "or the Sprung budget, formatted" [in that format]. "We 6 7 may or may not want to remove this column or page." 9 Do you recall why you suggested that 10 that second page or the column setting out where the 11 numbers were from was -- was something that may or may not want to be included in response to a request for 13 how the \$12.3 million figure was arrived at? I think it was just 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: a thought that whether there was -- whether it was 15 more information than it would take to satisfy the request and whether or not it might lead to more 17 18 confusion as opposed to clarify and answer the 19 question. 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anything about that information that you felt had any 21 sensitivity? 22 23 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so. 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: A few more questions 25 about the final version of the staff report. So if we

1 could pull that up, it's CJI6146.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 6 what is page -- it's page 68 on the bottom numbering
- 7 of the documents. I'm not sure which page it is in
- 8 the document. If you scroll down, you can see. There
- 9 you go.
- 10 So this is in the final version of the
- 11 staff report that was sent to Council on August 24th,
- 12 2012 and this first paragraph here says:
- "There were two types of
- 14 construction investigated to provide
- a single pad arena in Central Park.
- 16 Certain site improvement costs will
- be incurred regardless of the type
- of building constructed."
- 19 What did you mean by that? What was
- 20 meant by that, sorry?
- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 22 whether that was in my version of the report or not,
- 23 but I think that there's two (2) aspects to it. One
- 24 (1) is that in order to put an arena in Central Park,
- 25 a baseball diamond had to be displaced, and then

- 1 secondly, improvements such as a parking -- parking --
- 2 parking lot and lighting and sewer and water and
- 3 electricity services.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so for that
- 5 second category, those are the costs that were
- 6 estimated to be 500,000 for the fabric structure and
- 7 1.16 for this -- the steel structure?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think so.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could continue
- 10 on to the next page, and we see the paragraph that
- 11 says:
- "The estimated cost for the supply
- 13 and construction of the basic
- 14 insulated architectural membrane is
- 7.392 as compared to 11.1 to 12.3."
- And it indicates those are the
- 17 estimates from WGD.
- 18 And then if we can continue on to par -
- 19 page 70, we see that it sets out the tot -- it sets
- 20 out a -- a tally of the costs of a single pad arena,
- 21 which include a total cost for the fabric membrane,
- 22 cost of certain accessories, and then \$500,000 for
- 23 site servicing.
- Do you know why it says in this -- in
- 25 this staff report that that \$500,000 is the same for

- 1 all options?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is it your
- 4 understanding that that \$500,000 would need to be
- 5 added to the 12.3 million or the 11.1 to 12.3 million
- 6 figure that's referenced earlier in the report, if
- 7 someone wanted to understand the total cost of a pre-
- 8 eng steel building?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. That -- my
- 10 understanding would be that that 500,000 would be
- 11 included in the site servicing cost allowance that was
- 12 in the other budget.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if that
- 14 was explained to Council, that in that 11.1 to 12.3
- 15 million there was already 1.16 accounted for when it
- 16 came to site servicing?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I don't --
- 18 don't know that, no.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could
- 20 scroll back up. Continue scrolling up -- or down.
- 21 There we go.
- There's a paragraph there that refers
- 23 to LEED silver accreditation, and at the end it says:
- 24 "Each of the arenas proposed would
- 25 qualify for LEED Silver

	225
1	accreditation. In order to receive
2	the accreditation there would be
3	additional commissioning costs for
4	either building system. A
5	significant difference in the two
6	(2) construction types is that the
7	insulated architectural membrane
8	structure had the LEED requirements
9	built into its basic design, whereas
10	the traditionally industrial pre-
11	engineered steel building must be
12	modified to meet the requirements
13	leading to additional engineering
14	costs and custom components."
15	Do you know if at any point Council was
16	advised that the costs of making a pre-engineered
17	structure LEED silver equivalent was included in the
18	11.1 to \$12.3 million figure provided later in the
19	report?
20	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't know if
21	they were made aware of that.
22	
23	(BRIEF PAUSE)
24	
25	MR. JOHN MATHER: In the previous

```
1 version of the report that we saw, or an earlier
```

- 2 version, not the previous, but an earlier one that you
- 3 drafted, next to the estimate for a pre-engineered
- 4 steel building it didn't include the words 'estimates
- 5 provided by WGD'.
- Do you know how those words came to be
- 7 added?

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

10

- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: If my recollection
- 12 is -- is right, those were edit -- some of the edits
- 13 that were made by Mr. Houghton in the morning.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

16

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why the
- 18 staff report doesn't mention that the amounts for pre-
- 19 engineered steel had been adjusted by yourself to make
- 20 it an apples-to-apples comparison?

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I -- I don't
- 25 know why that was not in the report or explained.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you think that is

- 2 information that could have assisted or benefited
- 3 Council in making its decision?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I guess I'm not
- 8 sure why it would have because, as we discussed
- 9 previously, that part of the estimate kind of
- 10 cancelled each other out.
- 11 And so -- but going into that type of
- 12 explanation in the staff report would be -- it would
- 13 be too much information for a staff report.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anything
- 15 that would have -- do you think it would have been too
- 16 much information to note that there just had been
- 17 adjustments even if the details of those adjustments
- 18 were not provided?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. That would
- 20 have been fine.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can scroll
- 22 down, staying in the same paragraph. So, later in the
- 23 paragraph it says:
- 24 "The interior space that is provided
- 25 within the architectural membrane

	228 structures allows for the cost-
2	efficient addition of a second floor
3	
	lounge area with a view of the ice
4	surface.
5	The cost of the second floor
6	improvements within the
7	architectural membrane arena is
8	included in the above estimate,
9	where whereas a similar addition
10	to the pre-engineered ste steel
11	arena would add up to \$1 million to
12	the investment."
13	Do you see that there?
14	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
15	MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know how this
16	language was added to the staff report?
17	
18	(BRIEF PAUSE)
19	
20	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
21	specifically when it showed up in the staff report.
22	MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
23	ever had a concern that that language there might
24	suggest to a reader that, in a that a million
25	dollars would need to be added on top of the 11.1 to

- 1 12.3 range that had already been set out?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't recall
- 3 having that concern, but I'm not sure that I digested
- 4 what it was saying to that extent in the short time
- 5 frame.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And, in any event,
- 7 that million dollars is already within that 11.1 to
- 8 12.3 million. Is that correct?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 10
- 11 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 12
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have any
- 14 involvement in the negotiation or the signing of the
- 15 contract with BLT?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 18 knowledge about how the payment schedule in that
- 19 contract was arrived at?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know what was
- 22 done by the Town to negotiate the price of the
- 23 contract with BLT?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to

1 paragraph 675 of the Foundation Document.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

4

- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, this paragraph
- 6 describes that, on December 7th, 2012, Richard Dabrus,
- 7 of WGD, emailed Marta Proctor and -- identifying a
- 8 couple of issues with how WGD's work was used in the
- 9 staff report.
- 10 Do you recall that Mr. Dabrus, of WGD,
- 11 reached out to Ms. Proctor to complain?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What was your
- 14 reaction to that?
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 16 think Mr. McNalty's already acknowledged he didn't see
- 17 them as in -- being in the sta -- the statement that
- 18 they were in competition was not correct, but I don't
- 19 know that we need to go over it.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. If we could
- 21 go to paragraph 62 of summary document 24.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

MR. JOHN MATHER: And, Your Honour,

- 1 for your benefit, I'm on the last topic of substance.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 3 if I can help you further, I will.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: I -- I'm sure you
- 5 will.

6

- 7 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. McNalty, in
- 9 the interest of summarizing this, in October 2012,
- 10 certain members of the PRC advisory committee obtained
- 11 a copy of the WGD report and began asking questions
- 12 about it and what it contained.
- 13 If we can scroll down. Councillor West
- 14 forwarded this on to Mr. Houghton. Continue going
- 15 down. And then staying on paragraph 64, Mr. Houghton
- 16 wrote you and said -- and said:
- "Dave, this is what WG (sic)
- 18 Architect said when they compared
- 19 the steel fabricated building to
- 20 Sprung structure. Can you help with
- 21 the errors in their comments? Once
- 22 again, this is time sensitive."
- 23 At this point in time, was there any
- 24 errors in the WGD report that you were aware of?

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: None that come to
- 4 mind other -- unless they still had a misconception of
- 5 the fabric structure.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what do you mean
- 7 by, "Misconception of the fabric structure"?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I mean,
- 9 specifically understanding what the construction of
- 10 the Sprung building was like. But in -- in terms of -
- 11 and so, on that basis, did they understand the
- 12 energy use, for instance, of that type of building
- 13 totally?
- 14 I may have had a question, but was
- 15 there errors? I wouldn't have said so.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know what Mr.
- 17 Houghton was referring to when he speaks about errors
- 18 in this email?
- 19 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not at this time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we can
- 21 continue to paragraph 66 and 67. This describes the
- 22 fact that, on October 7th, two (2) days later, you
- 23 sent Mr. Houghton an email saying about a memo that
- 24 you had prepared with respect to the WGD report, and
- 25 you attach the memo and a few other items.

- 1 And if we scroll down, you then
- 2 continue to communicate with Mr. Houghton and asking
- 3 him whether there's additional points that should be
- 4 addressed, please let you know and you'll review.
- 5 And if we scroll down further, there's
- 6 a further conversation between you and Mr. Houghton
- 7 about the WGD report. And continue going on. Then we
- 8 see that on -- at 8:00 p.m. on October 7th Mr.
- 9 Houghton provides you two (2) comments on the draft
- 10 memo you pre -- prepared.
- 11 And then finally, if we can go to
- 12 paragraph 71, we see that you send Mr. Houghton a
- 13 revised memo. Appreciating we moved that through
- 14 fairly quickly, do you recall if Mr. Houghton made any
- 15 other comments, corrections to the memo you prepared
- 16 other than the two (2) that were identified in the
- 17 earlier email?
- 18 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't have any
- 19 recollection of that.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can pull up
- 21 the memo itself. It's TOC0600197.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could go

- 1 down to the bottom of the first page. First of all,
- 2 do you recall drafting this memo?
- 3 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what
- 5 its purpose was?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think to respond
- 7 to the questions that had been raised in the
- 8 community.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. What
- 10 questions?
- 11 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: The ones that we
- 12 saw just earlier. I think it led out with -- it came
- 13 from members of the PRCAC.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah. And if we
- 15 could scroll down to the next page. So, in this
- 16 paragraph you write:
- "In order to provide a realistic
- 18 comparison between the proposed
- 19 Sprung arena and a pre-engineered
- steel fac -- steel facility, the
- 21 options that were provided to WGD to
- improve the energy efficiency and
- 23 bring the proposed arena to a LEED
- 24 silver equivalent were included in
- 25 the project budget."

	235
1	It goes on.
2	"The Sprung facility would be
3	would be provided with that level of
4	qualification, and also included a
5	second-floor mezzanine and lounge
6	area that was also added into the
7	basic budget provided by WGD."
8	And then you say:
9	"The estimated cost reduction of the
10	five hundred thousand (500,000) for
11	a fabric structure that WGD Ar
12	Architects provided at the end of
13	the report would have been baseless
14	as it was not for an insulated
15	architectural membrane system. It
16	has no relevance to the comparison."
17	What do you mean by that?
18	
19	(BRIEF PAUSE)
20	
21	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think that all
22	along and and up until the end, I'm not sure that
23	WGD had a clear understanding of the Sprung structure,
24	or the the and the features of it.
25	I had the and and their cost

1 estimate I don't believe was specifically on that type

- 2 of structure. And so, I think that I had some
- 3 question in my mind of the validity of their estimate
- 4 based on not knowing how they developed the number and
- 5 my feeling at the time that they were still looking at
- 6 the wrong type of fabric building.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever discuss
- 8 the concerns you had with WGD?
- 9 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe so.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is there a reason
- 11 you did not?

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

- 15 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Time and effort.
- 16 There -- and there was no -- there was no immediate
- 17 need to have that conversation.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why was there no
- 19 immediate need?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Because this was
- 21 some -- well, this was sometime after Council had seen
- 22 the final staff report. Am I correct?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: This memo, yes.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes. So after --
- 4 after Council had processed that staff report and made
- 5 its recommendations, there was no need, other than a
- 6 housekeeping thing, to go back to WGD to clarify
- 7 information.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking now at the
- 9 time period before August 27th, 2012, other than the
- 10 time pressure, was there anything else that was
- 11 preventing you from going back to WGD and expressing
- 12 any concerns you might have about how they -- how they
- 13 priced the fabric structure they had in their report?
- 14 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No. There was
- 15 nothing that would have restricted me to do that other
- 16 than the timing of the whole thing.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking back at the
- 18 sentence we're looking at with respect to the -- the
- 19 cost reduction of five hundred thousand dollars
- 20 (\$500,000) being baseless if it was not for an
- 21 insulated architectural membrane system, we saw
- 22 earlier that the initial WGD report said that a fabric
- 23 structure would not be insulated and that the revised
- 24 report then did refer to the fact that insulation
- 25 would be included in the fabric structure.

- 1 Is that correct?
- 2 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- my
- 3 recollection is it said it could be, yes.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was it your
- 5 understanding, then, that the numbers that WGD
- 6 provided to you in that final report was their
- 7 estimated cost for an insulated fabric structure?
- 8 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I -- I'm not sure
- 9 that I came to that conclusion, because the
- 10 information that they provided on the insula -- on the
- 11 fabric structure was -- as far as I recall, was the
- 12 one (1) line with the price.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we look at the
- 14 next paragraph, this is discussing something we spoke
- 15 about earlier, which deals with the thermal bridging
- 16 as it comes with respect to the aluminum supports of
- 17 the Sprung structure, and you say that:
- 18 "WGD was cautious with regard to the
- 19 thermal bridging opportunity at each
- of the aluminum support frames that
- 21 form the structure for the insulated
- 22 architectural membrane structure.
- We had already addressed this
- 24 question with Sprung, and the
- explanation that was provided was

- 1 satisfactory."
- 2 It then goes on to talk about the
- 3 spacing of the -- of the extrusions, which we've
- 4 discussed, and then it also goes on to explain that
- 5 there are thermal caps affixed on the outside of the
- 6 frames to help reduce the effect of the potential
- 7 bridge that exists at each extrusion.
- 8 Is that the explanation that Sprung
- 9 provided with respect to the risk of thermal bridging?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes, that's
- 11 essentially the -- the explanation provided by Sprung.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anything
- 13 else that Sprung said, to your recollection, about the
- 14 potential for thermal bridging in the aluminum
- 15 extrusions?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not that I recall.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 18 took the information that Sprung had provided to you
- 19 and provided it to WGD for their assessment?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't believe I
- 21 did.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The next paragraph
- 23 says:
- "It was suggested in the WGD report
- 25 that accurate energy modelling that

	240
1	compared the insulated architectural
2	membrane structure to other
3	construction methods could be
4	performed."
5	And then you say:
6	"A published third-party comparison,
7	copy attached, has already been
8	performed on actual operating
9	facilities, which is arguably more
10	reliable than a theoretical model."
11	I just want to step back. Was it your
12	understanding that WGD could have done energy
13	modelling but was not instructed to do so?
14	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: That's correct.
15	MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you know why
16	they were not instructed to do so?
17	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: There was again,
18	there was no time for that kind of investigation, and
19	that would be a significant undertaking. I think
20	there was a second part to the question.
21	Was there a why or something?
22	MR. JOHN MATHER: The question was,
23	Why was WGD not instructed to undertake energy
24	modelling?
25	MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I don't think that

- 1 we had any reason in front of us to justify taking
- 2 that step.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: You said it would be
- 4 a significant undertaking. Are you talking about
- 5 time, or are you talking about cost, or are you
- 6 talking about something else?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Time and cost.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have a sense
- 9 of what either of those would have been?
- 10 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Energy modelling --
- 11 the way that I would interpret this would be a
- 12 specialty consultant that would, effectively, create a
- 13 -- a model of the building in some sort of a software
- 14 system and process different -- change different
- 15 parameters and -- and process theoretical energy
- 16 consumption outputs.
- 17 If I was going to a consultant today to
- 18 get something like that, I would think it would be a
- 19 six-week project. Like, it's not something that they
- 20 would be able to do in a couple days. It would take
- 21 organization and illustration and iterations and so
- 22 on.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 24 or anyone on staff discussed what the time lines would
- 25 be with WGD?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, I don't believe
- 2 we did.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And at the risk of
- 4 stating the obvious, I take it what you get at the end
- 5 of an en -- energy modelling is an estimate or an
- 6 indication of which of the two (2) structures would be
- 7 more energy efficient.
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: In this paragraph,
- 10 you mention that a published third-party comparison
- 11 has already been performed, and you attach it to the
- 12 email you sent to Mr. Houghton, so if we could open
- 13 that up. It's TOC0201266.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: I don't think that's
- 18 the correct doma -- document ID, but my colleague will
- 19 find it, and I'll ask you additional questions while
- 20 we pull that up.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I have what
- 22 I think is a number for that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Maybe this
- 25 was the one you gave: TOC0226261.001.004. Is that

- 1 what you gave?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: That's not what I
- 3 gave, so.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 5 It may be the one. I'll give that again, if you like.
- 6 TOC0226261.0001.0004.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is this the -- thank
- 8 you for returning the favour. Is this the --
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's about
- 10 time. I'm late in doing so.

11

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Is this the report
- 14 that you were referring to in the memorandum?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Can you scroll
- 16 down?
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Certainly.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: It looks like what
- 22 I remember, yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We could -- let's
- 24 start with this. Do you remember where you got a copy
- 25 of this report?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: From Sprung. It
- 2 was either posted on their website or they provided it
- 3 at some point.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember if
- 5 you had a copy of this report before August 27th,
- 6 2012?
- 7 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I would expect so.
- 8 I -- I don't remember specifically when I got it, but
- 9 I expect I had it before that.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: If you can scroll
- 11 down to the -- the next page, please. So it's my
- 12 understanding that -- and I'm using this as a
- 13 reference -- that what this report did is compared
- 14 energy usage at two (2) different worship facilities,
- 15 one that was a Sprung structure and one that was a
- 16 bricks-and-mortar structure. Is that correct?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then if you
- 19 scroll up. Keep scrolling up. It provides --
- 20 underneath "Executive Summary," it provides some
- 21 information about the two (2) structures. One is a
- 22 concrete block, wood-framed, R-12 insulation, gypsum
- 23 in the interior finish; and the Sprung structure is
- 24 aluminum frame supported tension membrane with R-25
- 25 fibreglass blanket insulation.

- 1 When you're referencing this report as
- 2 -- as a report that provides some insight on comparing
- 3 energy uses -- uses, why did you think these buildings
- 4 were comparable to the arena building that the Town
- 5 was contemplating?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I think that in the
- 7 -- in the case of the membrane structure, you would
- 8 get the same energy performance, depending on the
- 9 specific configuration -- but you would get the same
- 10 energy performance if it was a worship facility or an
- 11 arena or a pool, although it might be in a different -
- 12 a different range because of different temperatures
- 13 and so on, but the energy performance of the structure
- 14 would be the same.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Would you expect the
- 16 -- the ice plant in an arena to affect a building's
- 17 energy performance, or how -- or whether or not this
- 18 would be a viable comparator, given that large piece
- 19 of infrastructure?
- 20 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: You'd have the same
- 21 ice plant in either arena, and so to me, this -- this
- 22 component looked at the overall enclosure, not the
- 23 overall facility, in terms of energy performance.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if
- 25 Sprung or anyone had ever done any energy comparisons

- 1 or modellings with respect to any of their arenas?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Whether Sprung had?
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah, or anyone that
- 4 you knew of had done that with a Sprung arena.
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Only from this
- 6 information that was provided by Sprung.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if
- 8 anyone at Sprung or anyone at staff looked -- took
- 9 this report and attempted to make any adjustments to -
- 10 to account for the fact that the traditional
- 11 structure here had R-12 insulation -- any of the other
- 12 components that might make a pool or arena unique?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: One (1) last area --
- 15 brief area of questions. If we could go to paragraph
- 16 925 of the Foundation Document.
- 17 Earlier we were discussing Mr. --
- 18 discussing, Mr. McNalty, the decision to not include
- 19 the Sprung Shield in the Sprung structures.
- This is an email sent by Dave Barrow
- 21 and it was sent after an individual in July 2013 cut a
- 22 hole into the Sprung structure at the pool while it
- 23 was under construction and drove a scissor lift into
- 24 the pool.
- Is that an event that you're familiar

- 1 with?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Yes.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: In response to a
- 4 question from Ron Martin about when and how the
- 5 decision was made not to include the Sprung Shield,
- 6 Mr. Barrow writes that:
- 7 "The topic was brought up to --
- 8 brought up by us to Ed Houghton,
- 9 Marjory Leonard, and Larry Irwin,
- and roughly seven (7) to eight (8)
- 11 other City staff members as well as
- 12 Sprung and ourselves that were at
- this meeting at City Hall way back
- 14 before the building was being
- 15 erected."
- 16 Feel free to take a second to read what
- 17 else he writes. But my question for you is, you were
- 18 describing a meeting earlier today in which this topic
- 19 was discussed.
- Does it appear to you that Mr. Barrow
- 21 is describing the same meeting?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No, not -- this is
- 23 not the meeting I was talking about previously.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: The meeting that's
- 25 discussed here, were you present for it?

- 1 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I can't remember
- 2 specifically but I may have been.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: The meeting that you
- 4 do recall where the Sprung Shield was discussed, do
- 5 you remember who from staff was at that meeting?
- 6 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Not specifically,
- 7 but as I mentioned, that meeting was after the --
- 8 after the contract had been signed, and I didn't
- 9 understand at that point whether the Sprung Shield was
- 10 included in the contract price or excluded. I under -
- 11 I -- reading the information, I understand that it
- 12 was discussed earlier and prob -- and could have been
- 13 on this date. I may have been present.
- 14 I don't specifically recall it being
- 15 discussed, but at any rate, by the time -- in the
- 16 interim of time between July 22nd and when I raised
- 17 the question after the contract was signed, it was not
- 18 clear to me whether the Sprung Shield was included or
- 19 excluded from the contract as it was signed.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So --
- 21 so in any event, the decision -- the discussion around
- 22 whether to forget about the shield and not construct
- 23 it because it was too expensive, you weren't part of
- 24 that discussion at all?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: No.

THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And --

- 2 and you really weren't told about that, because
- 3 sometime later, under the impression that the shield
- 4 was part of the project.
- 5 MR. DAVE MCNALTY: Correct. I -- I
- 6 may have been -- I may have been there when the -- the
- 7 idea of whether it should be included or not was
- 8 discussed and what the advantages would -- would be
- 9 and what the risk would be if -- if it wasn't
- 10 included, but at that point in time I did not know
- 11 whether it was included or not.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Thank you, Mr.
- 14 McNalty. Those are my questions.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 16 ten o'clock on Monday.
- Oh, just one (1) other thing. Mr.
- 18 McNalty, don't discuss your evidence with anyone
- 19 between now and Monday because it -- it can give rise
- 20 to the impression that someone's trying to influence
- 21 your testimony and it creates a bit of a furor in here
- 22 if that happens. Okay?
- MR. DAVE MCNALTY: I understand.

24

25 (WITNESS RETIRES)

```
250
1 --- Upon adjourning at 4:34 p.m.
 2
 3
 4 Certified Correct,
 5
 6
 7
8 Wendy Woodworth, Ms.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page	251	of	308

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 251 c	)I 3U8
\$	1 8:8	10,000	12:05	
<b>\$1</b> 228:11	18:19	155:24	214:1	2
\$1.1	19:22	156:1	12:25	<b>2</b> 8:5 14:9
198:19,24	20:22	10,268	143:3	17:1
	22:6	157:5,20		18:18
\$1.15	24:12,20		<b>1595</b> 4:3	19:3,22
107:4	28:16	<b>10,591,000</b> 171:12	<b>1596</b> 4:4	21:12,15,
\$1.16	31:18,21		<b>1597</b> 4:5	17 24:2
108:9	44:23	10.592	<b>1598</b> 4:6	27:11
218:8	62:3 74:7 90:4	201:5,18,		29:3 41:10
\$100,000	97:15,22	25	<b>1599</b> 4:7	46:16
164:12	105:19	<b>10:19</b> 55:8	<b>15th</b> 57:8	56:25
165:1	125:16,17	<b>10:32</b> 55:9	<b>16</b> 12:21	66:9
191:6	130:18	10:46		72:20
\$12.3	140:24	206:20	<b>1600</b> 4:8	75:2 <b>,</b> 16
220:10	141:20,21		<b>1601</b> 4:9	76:2
221:13	150:23	<b>11</b> 215:16	<b>1602</b> 4:10	97 <b>:</b> 22
225:18	159:3	11,741,000	<b>1603</b> 4:11	104:9
\$200,000	161:25	171:15		106:11
198:13	169:22	11.1	<b>1604</b> 4:12	116:14
\$435,000	186:8 193:9	214:11	<b>1605</b> 4:13	122 <b>:</b> 21 126:3
194:12	214:23	223:15	<b>1606</b> 4:14	129:14
\$450,000	222:24	224:5,14	<b>1607</b> 4:15	132:8
74:1	238:12	225:18		135:18
	246:14	228 <b>:</b> 25 229 <b>:</b> 7	<b>1608</b> 4:16	144:3,21
\$500,000 74:11	249:17		<b>16th</b> 5:11	147:15
196:17	1,164,000	11.7	7:20 11:9	150:23
197:8,12	192:2	215:16	12:22	159:6
223:22,25	<b>1.16</b> 92:24	11.741	13:3	161:25
224:4	107:23	220:2	33:24 41:5,8	162:11,14 171:23
237:20	201:25	11:37	59:11	183:6
\$550,000	223:7	98:14	133:10,12	184:18
74:2,17	224:15	11:45	,14	208:20
172:7	1.164281	184:13,17	17th	217:4,10
\$6,856,000	107:5	195:20	73:19,21	222:23
104:5	<b>1:52</b> 143:4	196:7	75 <b>:</b> 1	225:6
		11:47	83:15	232:22
\$6.8 102:8 105:11	<b>10</b> 55:5	98:15	84:5	233:9,16
	63:25	<b>12</b> 64:1	85 <b>:</b> 18	242:6
\$995,000	70:1 107:17		144:10	244:14,21
148:5,21	113:17	12.3	<b>18th</b> 95:22	<b>2:00</b> 143:1
	114:1,10,	214:11 215:1	98 <b>:</b> 25	2:56
0	13,22	216:11	<b>19th</b> 9:24	137:14
04220	142:25	220:3	99:10	20
150:24	218:16,21	223:15	116:13	81:16,21
1	219:3,4,5	224:5,14	127:1	2009/2010
	, 8	229:1,8		<b></b>
	ı			

22:16	137:14	118:3	<b>341</b> 121:3	<b>43</b> 73:14
<b>2012</b> 5:11	141:11	134:13	<b>342</b> 99:5	<b>45</b> 74:4
7:20 9:24	143:14	135:11	124:21	<b>450</b> 74:16
14:1	157:12	160:14	<b>344</b> 116:8	450 /4.10
26:2,25	248:16	237:9		
33:24	<b>23rd</b> 175:1	244:5	<b>345</b> 118:25	
34:12,19	181:5,15	<b>292</b> 49:1	<b>347</b> 124:8	<b>5</b> 3:7
40:24	184:17	<b>293</b> 46:11	<b>36</b> 59:6	103:10,16
41:6	195:20	<b>295</b> 48:9		109:15 110:1,13
45:21	196:10	49:4	<b>360</b> 133:6	110:1,13
46:17	198:1		<b>38</b> 59:15	112:13
49:3	202:24	<b>2nd</b> 46:17	<b>380</b> 134:10	113:4,13
51:12	204:7	48:17		114:19
54:16 55:16	218:20 219:24	51:19	<b>384</b> 136:16	115:14
56:4		54:16	137:14	118:12
59:11	<b>24</b> 34:4		143:8	165:25
75:1	56:17	3	<b>39</b> 62:25	169:13
83:15	155:16	<b>3</b> 10:18	<b>395</b> 145:16	191:6
85:18	230:21	18:4	<b>396</b> 168:12	194:21
95:22	<b>2-4</b> 73:14	21:15		218:20
98:25	24th	24:2	3rd	5:59
118:4	26:1,25	35:17 64:18	49:3,4,9	177:18
120:3	34:12	84:18	51:12	<b>500</b> 200:5
133:8	48:22	149:14	144:8	
134:11,13	49:1	150:4,11		500,000
160:14	203:6	151:4	4	198:22
195:20	206:20	154:18,23	<b>4</b> 3:3	200:2
203:6	214:1	157:14	15:9,10,1	
218:20	222:11	195:22	9 16:7,11	224:10 235:10
222:12	<b>250</b> 3:13	3:08	24:11 28:23	
230:6	<b>25th</b> 34:19	194:23	64:18	550,000
237:9			149:21	172:23
244:6	<b>261</b> 9:19	3:15	169:2	
	<b>26th</b> 1:23	194:24		6
<b>2012-1</b> 220:20	<b>27</b> 56:17	<b>3:19</b> 73:21	<b>4:34</b> 250 <b>:</b> 1	<b>6</b> 70:22
	<b>270</b> 25:17	<b>30</b> 64:10	<b>401</b> 174:18	102:7
2013		30,805	<b>403</b> 182:18	155:1
246:21	<b>273</b> 29:23	157:17	<b>404</b> 184:11	175:1
<b>2019</b> 1:23	<b>274</b> 30:24	<b>33</b> 83:25	<b>405</b> 184:18	<b>6,856,157. 26</b> 102:2
20th	<b>275</b> 40:17	<b>330</b> 87:11	<b>406</b> 187:9	
14:1,8	<b>27th</b> 5:19			<b>6.856</b> 217:3
25:23	12:23	<b>331</b> 87:10 94:1	<b>407</b> 187:8	
<b>21</b> 181:6	13:3		<b>408</b> 187:19	<b>6:05</b> 181 <b>:</b> 5
<b>21st</b> 57:2	33:24	<b>332</b> 93:21	<b>409</b> 190:4	<b>6:49</b> 176:8
133:8	40:24 41:6,8	<b>333</b> 98:19	<b>413</b> 206:18	177:22
22nd	55:21,24	338		<b>62</b> 230:21
134:11	56:4	120:16,20	<b>418</b> 213:20	<b>628</b> 214:16
				220 214.10

INQUI	RI COLLINGWOOD	09 20 2019	rage 233 C	71 300
220:6	<b>71</b> 233:12	104:19	<b>ed</b> 230:16	228:2,9
<b>629</b> 220:8	72,000	105:16	across	additional
<b>64</b> 231:15	155 <b>:</b> 23	123:23	119:11	8:21 9:7
	<b>7th</b> 230:6	127:24	120:8	18:12
<b>66</b> 232:21	232:22	138:23	acting	49:7 71:8
<b>67</b> 232:21	233:8	145:11 211:18	27 <b>:</b> 24	75 <b>:</b> 12
<b>675</b> 230:1	200.0	241:20		80:8,16
	8		activities 82:13	150:12
<b>68</b> 222:6	<del>8</del> 70:22	abnormal		152:10
6-foot	113:17	44:1	actual 6:5	160:1 171:17
79:5	247:10	accepted	108:19	202:19
	<b>8:00</b> 233:8	42:16	159:13	225:3,13
7		access	179:5	233:3
<b>7</b> 72:17	<b>8:05</b> 99:10	61:21	240:8	242:19
170:16	8:27	accessorie	actually	
217:22	182:23	<b>s</b> 223:22	28:5	additional
247:10	8120		47:24	<b>ly</b> 165:22
7,392,000	155:6,14	account	72:13	additions
163:7	100.0711	193:16 246:10	77:7 87:10	17 <b>:</b> 25
214:5	9		113:3	address
7,534,000	9 64:3	accounted	173:18	144:18
163:11	157:3	165:2	197:11	addressed
7,632,124		224:15		79:14
102:6	<b>9:02</b> 5:1	accounting	<b>add</b> 104:19 105:11	233:4
104:7	9:30	112:13	111:16	238:23
	145:22	accreditat	152:14	addresses
<b>7,896,000</b> 163:9	<b>9:38</b> 46:17	ion	228:11	16:22
	<b>912</b> 157:4	224:23	added	<b>adds</b> 28:4
<b>7.1</b> 92:24		225:1,2	19:11	109:22,25
7.392	<b>925</b> 246:16	accurate	51:20	218:18
223:15	<b>97</b> 1:19	18:8	105:12	
7.476	9-912	35:14	114:21	adjourning
201:4,9	157 <b>:</b> 13	60:6	119:13	250:1
7.534		163:3	163:9	adjust
201:8	A	202:1	175:24	147:14
<b>7.6</b> 214:25	a.m 5:1	239:25	219:6	adjusted
216:11	46:18	achievable	224:5	226:19
	55:8,9	78:22	226:7	adjusting
7.632	98:14,15	79:7	228:16,25	202:16
217:2	206:20	achieve	235:6	adjustment
<b>7.8</b> 214:25	<b>Abby</b> 54:4	70:12	adding	s
7:00	55 <b>:</b> 15	76:7,12	151:12,22	136:10,13
177:25	ability	77:4	152:2	151:10,17
7:08	60:17	achieved	217:4	156:22
181:22		78 <b>:</b> 18	addition	158:4,8
	<b>able</b> 23:14 90:16,24	218:4	92:24	160:13,15
<b>70</b> 223 <b>:</b> 19	90:16,24 95:11	acknowledg	171:17	201:20
	9 J • 1 1			

111201	NI COLLINGWOOD	09 20 2019	1 agc 254 c	71 300
215:3	against	117:2	22 67:2,9	133:19
219:23	70:20	228:1	238:16,20	179 <b>:</b> 22
220:1	183:5	<b>Almas</b> 84:7	239:14	182:10
227:17	agenda	176:7,20	244:24	221 <b>:</b> 18
246:9	135:11	177:5	<b>am</b> 54:25	answered
administra		202:24	172:24	180:7
tion	aggressive	215:2	200:1	
113:19	197:13,16	219:17	236:22	answers
	200:6,17	220:9		106:18
advantage	<b>ago</b> 72:17		amenities	139:21
140:24		Almas's	45:17	179:14,17
141:22	agreed	177:21	amenity	anticipate
advantages	39:19	alongside	153:9	112:23
249:8	agreed-to	210:16	America	anticipate
advi 89:7	118:23	already	119:12,18	<b>d</b> 14:22
	agreement	8:14,16,2	120:9	
advisable	127:19	2 9:4,9	121:9,24	anticipati
89:7	166:10	38:13	·	<b>ng</b> 136:5
96:3,18		82:22	among 43:6	anticipati
125:25	agricultur	88:18	214:1	on 27:13
advise	<b>al</b>	96:23	amongst	
145:2	22:11,15	145:6	13:7 34:9	anybody
advised	23:4 61:5	151:24	128:9	189:6
53:13	ag-style	159:21	176:17	anyone 6:6
72:11	62 <b>:</b> 15	164:16	184:1	7 <b>:</b> 15
225:16	<b>ahead</b> 69:3	166:24	amount	13:21
	99:4	175:14	101:25	25 <b>:</b> 11
advising	141:6	180:6	108:22	42:20 <b>,</b> 25
175 <b>:</b> 1	180:9	183:3	147:2	77 <b>:</b> 25
advisory	214:16	189:11	152:16	83:8 98:8
231:10	<b>aid</b> 90:13	209:20	157 <b>:</b> 19	115:8
affect		224:15	163:7,9	147:23
8:15	align 88:4	229:1,7	165:1	160:6,10
114:9	aligned	230:16	197:8	178:10
245:16	20:7	238:23	198:14	188:25
	88:9,12	240:7	217:23	206:3
affixed	allow	242:11	amounts	209:1,5,1
239:5	14:18	alternativ	202:19	1 241:24
affordable	180:9	<b>e</b> 50:19	226:18	245:25
24:1				246:3,8 249:18
28:11	allowance	alternativ	analysis	249:10
29:21	117:4	<b>es</b> 13:11	95:19	anything
afternoon	191:5	15:3	Andrea	38:6
74:5	196:16	17:5,13,1	2:15	66 <b>:</b> 21
89:11	224:11	7 211:9	answer	69 <b>:</b> 7
195:3	allowances	aluminum	22:13	70:24
afterwards	164:11	63:10,24	71:23	82:17,19
56:8	199:5	64:5,11	97:19	83:16,23
	allows	65 <b>:</b> 18	114:8	108:4,23
<b>aga</b> 20:17	114:4	66:18,19,	126:12	112:17,21
	,			

	RI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 233 C	)I 300
129:13	26:20,21	42:25	rally	153 <b>:</b> 18
134:15	144:22	approaches	153:10,12	157 <b>:</b> 12
148:3	apples-to-	19:21	area	163:6
160:20	apples		151:23	174:2,6
164:15	94 <b>:</b> 23	appropriat	153:17,19	185:3
165:12	158:25	<b>e</b> 115:17	187:25	186:14,19
188:18,19	160:25	211:3	228:3	187:20
,23 195:8	162:1	appropriat	235:6	188:21
204:5	226:20	ely	246:14,15	189:1
206:10,13		104:20	•	193:22
221:20	applicatio	approval	areas 8:9	196:15
227:14	<b>ns</b> 119:10	127:7 <b>,</b> 9	59:20	199:19
237:10	applied	·	121:5	201:4
239:12	36:21	approximat	153:9	211:17,21
apart	113:12	<b>ely</b> 172:5	<b>arena</b> 5:13	214:10
64:1,6	192:8	181:6	7:22	220:14
67:3,11	219:8	214:25	8:2,4,16	222:15,24
Apologies	apply	215:15	9:6,25	223:20
200:22	104:20	<b>ar</b> 111:1	10:21	228:7,11
200.22	108:9	187:16	16:23	234:19,23
apparently	158:18	235:11	17:22 <b>,</b> 23	245:4,11,
50:2	165:12	architect	18:19,20,	16,21
137:6		109:18	24	246:4,12
201:15	applying	112:11	19:10,13,	arenas
appear	165:21	113:8	21	11:13
121:18	appreciate	231:18	20:2,19	63:3,6
247:20	72:17		21:22	75 <b>:</b> 8
APPEARANCE	132:5	Architects	24:2,7	97 <b>:</b> 17
<b>S</b> 2:1	167:13	9:16	28:9,13	169:24
	179:8	207:24	35:18	224:24
appeared	194:2	208:9	47:11,17	246:1
111:7	Appreciati	235:12	51:17	arguably
138:1	<b>ng</b> 131:14	architectu	54:6 73:21	240:9
176:20	233:13	<b>ral</b> 8:10	75:21 75:17 <b>,</b> 20	arise
appears	approach	151:5	85:15	118:15
35:10	17:18	152:14,21	90:2	
49:8	28:15	153:17,20	93:13	arrive
51:22	33:12,16	, 23	99:2	73:22
56:23	98:5	154:8,23	117:17	104:9
76:20	127:19	207:21	118:4	147:1,8
101:7	156:3	208:1,10,	128:25	156:1
105:11,18	175:4,9	21 223:14	129:2,7,1	158:13
148:24	178:24	225:7	0,15	164:13
149:21	180:15	227:25	130:7,22	arrived
151:10	185:1	228:7	137 <b>:</b> 16	45 <b>:</b> 1
162:25	186:14,22	235:15	143:15,20	102 <b>:</b> 7
170:21	192:4	237:21	144:3	114:13
172:21	199:11	238:22	145:23	136:6
217:3	approached	240:1	150 <b>:</b> 12	196:19
apples	approached	architectu	152 <b>:</b> 2	197:20

INQUIRY	COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page	256	of	308

INQUI	KI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 230 C	)1 300
198:14	136:21	51:21	196:10	87:14 <b>,</b> 15
220:11	assuming	203:5	198:1	88:12
221:13	92:14	attract	202:24	121:10,20
229:19		211:16	203:6	124:5
artificial	assumption		206:20	134:7
110:22	93:1	attributed	214:1	168:7
	111:4,7,1	171:16	218:20	169:9
artificial	2 114:25	auditorium	219:24	176:16,18
<b>ly</b> 110:22	117:20	156:5	222:11	183:4
111:2	118:18	<b>Aug</b> 144:8	237:9	188:17,22
aside	153:6	_	244:5	189:6
199:13	attach	August	authorized	206:9,12
aspects	232:25	5:19	42:5	208:3
20:23	242:11	12:23		210:2
20:23	attached	13:3	automatic	218:7
222.23	10:2 63:5	33:24	18:25	225:21
assessment	69:12	41:6,8	19:18	231:24
239:19	220:12	45:8	automation	awareness
assign	240:7	46:17	167:24	39:15
8:21		48:16	availabili	EE.1
assignment	attachment	49:3,4,8	ty 7:1	<b>away</b> 55:1
189:12	10:3,9	51:11,19	_	
	47:9 49:6	54:16	available	В
assist	51:10	55:16,21,	9:11	backed
7:21	54:8,13	24 56:3	11:15	102:14
11:18	99:18	57:8	39:24	backup
25:21	116:15,16	59:11	61:15	154:20
45:13	145:25	71:17,21	69:22	backwards
91:3	attachment	73:19,21 75:1	71:4 80:4	65:6
98:24	<b>s</b> 47:3	83:15	139:3	
139:1	99:17	84:5	148:8 174:11	baked
148:13	116:14	85:18	1/4.11	164:21
185:21	attain	95:22	average	bare-bones
assistance	213:11	98:25	169:13	111:15
48:24	attained	99:10	avoid	barrier
138:17	79:4	116:13	140:17,18	151:10
assisted		118:3	award	154:7,17,
227:2	attempt	120:3	140:11	22
Associate	63:20	127:1		
1:7 2:4	attempted	133:7	awarded	Barrow
	246:9	134:11,12	72:15,18	137:15
associated	attend	137:14	<b>aware</b> 8:15	246:20
25:12	41:4	141:11	22:21	247:6,20
71:12		143:14	23:6	<b>base</b> 76:21
100:7	attended	144:8,9	24:6,9	105:10
102:11	40:25	157 <b>:</b> 12	25:6,9,14	205:18
109:19	41:3	160:14	35 <b>:</b> 24	baseball
144:5	49:13	175 <b>:</b> 1	43:3	222:25
199:14	202:25	181:5,15	54:22	<b>based</b> 7:13
assume	attending	195 <b>:</b> 20	57 <b>:</b> 21	50:4
				30.4

	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 25/ c	)I 300
73:24	becau	98:6	benefits	244:25
100:24	41:12	105:15	14:18	blanks
101:8	become	107:17	24:12	148:11
112:3	205:14	110:8,17	benefitted	
113:6	215:1	116:13	162:4	<b>block</b> 8:10
119:23,25		121:20	212:19	151:5
130:3	becomes	132:24		152:15,21
133:15	117:13	133:13	<b>best</b> 16:3	153:17,20
139:10	140:12	136:3	178:25	,23
153:6	194:11	147:6,25	<b>bet</b> 34:9	154:1,5,8 ,20,23
157:21	begin	150:17	better	244:22
158:1	47:17	152:21	99:23	
169:13	90:10	155:20	138:6,10	<b>BLT</b> 2:17
170:7	beginning	157:24	· ·	41:5,17,2
177:11	23:5	171:1	beyond	3
185:5,15	44:15	178:9	16:10	42:5,8,11
197:1	75 <b>:</b> 7	179:9	36:7 81:7	,21
213:7	129:6	181:10	132:25	44:19,24
236:4	184:18	182:4,15	139:16	45:1,12
baseless		183:20	bidder	46:1
235:13	begins	190:25	140:24	47:21
237:20	14:12	191:3,10	141:22	51:25
basic	34:15	192:6,24	210:16	54:5
183:12	begun	201:23	bidders	55:14
223:13	95:19	202:2,10	139:15	56:12
225:13	137:22	203:24	141:23	71:17
235:7	<b>bel</b> 218:6	205:6,21 216:23	212:22	72:25
		220:4		93:11,12
<b>basis</b> 11:5	belief	221:23	bigger	134:3,23
16:2	70:11	236:1,9	17:15	137:15
43:21	180:3,5,1	239:20	<b>Bill</b> 2:17	138:2
94:23	4	242:1	<b>bit</b> 14:9	141:3,12
113:10	believe		61:7	143:14
141:5 180:5	7:2 12:19	believed	87 <b>:</b> 11	144:9,16 145:21
187:23	16:17,18	191:13	97 <b>:</b> 12	148:2,7
198:20	23:16	beneath	179:23	149:5,17
201:3,17	28:24	106:9	185:25	157:11,16
201:3,17	43:15	124:11	249:21	160:7
214:6	52:2 53:7	171:22	bits	163:21,25
232:11	57:20	210:4	175:23	168:7
	58:7	beneficial		183:4
bear	62:12	131:17	blackout	189:20
102:15	63:16	132:1	138:13	206:15
Bearing	71:10,17		139:9,15	208:4
205:10	75:25	benefit	140:9,15	209:6
became	76:14	102:22	141:17,25	229:15,23
5:14	80:19	162:6	142:3	
20:18	85:16	231:1	blank	BLT/Sprung
21:9,14	86:1	benefited	217:24	164:4
	89:19	227:2	blanket	208:19
	91:10		Diamer	

INQUI.	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 258 c	DI 308
BLT's	8:1,4	60:12	168:14,23	234:23
166:15	26:8	69:15	169:4	broadened
body 10:1	28:2,14	73:16	170:18	40:2
125:8	172:2,7,2	74:23	172:14	
	2	75 <b>:</b> 4	173:16	broader
Bonwick	173:13,20	76:23	174:8,21	86:19
2:7	bricks-and	78:9	175:11	broadly
56:5,12	187:21	83:3,12,1	176:4,23	204:12
134:7		9 84:2,21	177:15	brochure
bottom	bricks-	85 <b>:</b> 23	178:6	63:5
35:2,4	and-	86:14	179:2	69:12,18,
92:4	mortar	87:3,7	181:18	19
103:16	244:16	88:1	182:20	
107:17	bridge	89:16	192:18	broke
177:18	65:9,10,1	91:7,21	193:18	55 <b>:</b> 12
219:22	3,23,25	92:1,6	194:18	brought
222:6	239:7	93:23	195:15,24	7:20
234:1	bridges	94:9 95:5	196:22	247:7,8
<b>box</b> 100:12	67:4	98:21	198:6	<b>BU</b> 41:23
146:6,7		99:7,20	203:11	
162:22	bridging	100:1,17	204:15	budget
166:18,21	238:15,19	102:20	210:20	73:20,23
	239:9,14	103:3	211:13	74:9
<b>break</b> 55:2	brief 9:21	104:1	212:10,24	
64:4,12	10:6	105:3	213:5,22	110:6
65:19	13:15	106:25	214:18	137:16
66:2,3,16	14:5	107:14	215:10,19	
98:11	15:13,22	109:10	216:1,6,2	
142:15,25 143:13	16:13	110:3 116:10	1 217:17	144:1
194:18	17:9 19:5	120:18	218:12 222:3	145:21
	20:4,11	120:16	225:23	149:10,14
breakdown	22:3,24	123:11,18	226:9,15,	,18,22 150:9,19,
101:9	25:19	124:14,18	22 227:5	23 155:2
110:1	27:2,7	126:25	228:18	156:22,25
156:13,15	30:6,16	127:11	229:11	157:11,16
217:6	31:14	128:22	230:3,23	,23 160:3
219:17	32:6,17	129:21	232:1	162:24
breaks	33:5	130:11	233:23	163:6
65:5 67:8	34:6,25	131:4,19,	235:19	189:2,20
Breedon	36:2,24	23	236:13	190:1
2:14	37:4,25	143:10,23	237:1	217:13
	39:12	145:18	242:15	220:15,17
Brian	40:14,19	146:3,10	243:19	221:4,5
34:14	46:13,21 47:6	150:1	246:15	224:12
Brian/JP	51:14	151:19	bring	234:25
29:12	52:14	155:4,9	32:22	235:7
brick	53:25	157 <b>:</b> 8	44:2	budgets
88:21	54:10	162:8,17	98:23	134:23
	56:19	165:15	105:16	143:15,16
bricks	59:8	167:7		145:3
	1			1 + 10.0

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 259 c	of 308
149:5	18 64:15	172:2,5,8	200:3	127:17
166:15	65 <b>:</b> 1	173:20,22	225:9	160:6,10
	66:7,20	194:8		·
build	67:12,15	199:17,18	bullet	cancel
19:10	74:15,18	200:13,14	24:1,14	159:10
42:9,18	76:6,21	,15	36:10	cancelled
44:2	77:3,4,11	202:7,9,1	38:19	227:10
91:13,16,	,21	3 206:16	70:2	candidate
18	80:9,15,2	212:15	<b>Bush</b> 16:23	212:8
92:21,22	4	213:11,13	84:12	
108:18	82:11 <b>,</b> 24	217:12	85:12 <b>,</b> 15	<b>CAO</b> 7:18
109:3,5	90:8	220:15	87 <b>:</b> 13	27 <b>:</b> 24
112:14	99:15	222:18	90:2	32:10
113:16	100:6	224:8	100:7	36 <b>:</b> 17
115:20	101:6,15	225:4,11	business	41:14
116:2	102:3	226:4	208:21	48:18,19
117:1,12	105:17,25	231:19	200:21	49:25
118:8,15	106:14	232:10,12	bylaw	68:11 <b>,</b> 15
149:22	107:8	236:6	204:24	73:3 96:9
150:4,12	107.8	241:13	205:2,5	130:14,16
158:17	,24	245:4		131:11
161:17,20	109:4,5,2	247:14		197:19 <b>,</b> 22
164:19	0		C/W 151:7	<b>CAO's</b> 33:8
165:11	111:21,25	buildings		
166:8	112:1,23,	8:6 22:15	calculate	capable
168:4	24	26:16	216:10	152 <b>:</b> 11
189:22	115:7,18	28:2	calculated	210:12
199:16	116:5	41:25	107:7	<b>caps</b> 239:5
200:10	128:25	42:18	114:19	carried
211:20,24	129:10	79:16	147:2	7:3 90:15
<b>,</b> 25	132:21	80:3	171:10	
212:1,6,7	146:6,18	159:7	215:15	<b>carry</b> 29:6
,16,21	152:3,17	188:17	216:10	117:2
building	153:4,7,1	210:18	219:4 <b>,</b> 18	138:25
16:19,21	0,12,16,2	212:8	calculatin	195:7
22:7,8	3 154:13	245:3	g 110:12	carrying
23:3,4	157:25	building's	171:19	68 <b>:</b> 10
24:21	158:19	167:21		86:2
26:9,11	159:2,16,	245:16	calculatio	<b>case</b> 6:24
28:3,6	21	builds	<b>n</b> 77:8	21:3
29:18	161:17,18	117:7	104:4,16	
36:7,8	,19,20		106:18	44:18
40:4,9	162:3	built	110:16	49:19
42:7,9,19	164:6	20:19	115:7,8	53:8
50:11,12,	165:19	64:12	149:10,23	65 <b>:</b> 15
15,16,18,	166:14,16	93:15	152 <b>:</b> 24	76:4,25
19,20,22,	,22,23	101:7	165:18	77:12
23	167:4,5,1	120:4	202:5	81:22
59:14,18,	1,18,21,2	140:19	calculatio	87:1
20 61:5,9	4	163:22	ns 101:5	106:4,7
62:14,16,	1	198:24		110:7
1 02 1 2 7 2 7 1	171•11 22	170.24	105 • 1	111 ^
	171:11,23	199:2	105:1	114:8

	ICI CODDINOWOOD	0 20 2015		)I 300
117:16	21:2	5 34:9,13	243:4,9	21:15
129:7	28:17	35:2	<b>Chief</b> 1:7	31:6
133:2	39:15	87 <b>:</b> 18		33:18
139:19	57 <b>:</b> 7	177:13	circulate	85:2
140:4	84:10	challenges	197:25	127:2
142:6	97:17	141:24	circulated	235:23
152:13	100:5	213:10	5:22 6:9	248:18
154:10	185:3		96:7	clerk
155:21	187:25	Chambers	99:12	135:13
156:8,21	222:15,24	1:18	115:10	177:1
157:15	centre	change	116:18	
158:16,23	99:14	7:10	124:10	clerk's
164:6		115:23	128:5	177:1
165:5	<b>cert</b> 75:21	118:14,21	137 <b>:</b> 23	closely
166:6	certain	122:11	184 <b>:</b> 12	20:7
178:22	26:6	153 <b>:</b> 20	187 <b>:</b> 20	closer
190:16	40:22	180:22	195:20	17:25
201:24	54:5	201:9,14,	196:5	
219:4	76:19	16,17	202:23	closing
245:7	106:3	204:10	circulatin	17 <b>:</b> 12
cases	114:4	241:14	g 127:17	139:23
138:25	163:7	changed	_	140:3
139:1	222:16	180:21	circumstan	codes
146:20	223:22	219:12	<b>ce</b> 131:12	149:3
	231:10		circumstan	col 212:7
category 223:5	certainly	changes	ces 114:4	
223:3	51:6 52:6	110:9,14	211:4	<b>cold</b> 66:11
cause	68:19	111:5	cistern	collapse
123:8	131:12	114:5,9	168:1	183:10
135:7	132:1	118:17,23		colleague
cautious	141:21,23	124:9,25 166:11	City	242:18
238:18	174:11		247:11,13	
cavity	189:19	203:18 214:2	CJI0006682	Collingwoo
79:2,3,5,	191:23	214.2	.0016 4:5	d
20 151:6	192:4		34:23	1:2,17,20
154:4,7,1	243:17	charged		2:13 9:6
6,21	certifiabl	113:9	<b>CJI6146</b> 222:1	44:21
	<b>e</b> 70:4,9	chart		73:21
cell	,	99:13	CJI6583	column
181:3,4	Certificat	109:8	54 <b>:</b> 8	18:4
Centennial	<b>e</b> 3:13	149:2	CJI7092	21:6,15,1
29:8	certificat	cheaper	53 <b>:</b> 17	6 24:13
169:16	ion 70:13	194:12	<b>claim</b> 63:8	100:12
Centra	75:22			149:2
18:13	77:4	Chenoweth	clarify	220:25
	Certified	2:11	63:20	221:8,10
Central	250:4	21:13,18	127:23,24	columns
8:14,16		179:13	221:18	18:18
12:12 14:16	<b>chain</b> 26:1	180:6	237 <b>:</b> 6	24:2
	30:3,19,2	196:3,8	clear	28:23
18:2,5		242:21,24		

	INOUIRY	COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page	261	of	30
--	---------	-------------	------------	------	-----	----	----

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 261 c	of 308
com 125:25	47:17	14:19	162:1	245:22
combine	107:7,8	67 <b>:</b> 12	164:9	components
18:21	108:7	80:24	194:14	18:6 29:3
90:3	123:3	89:20	226 <b>:</b> 20	47 <b>:</b> 25
	communicat	90:14	234:18	48:4
comes	<b>e</b> 233:2	94:23	235:16	51:22
60:10		111:25	240:6	52:1,10,1
67:2,8	communicat	129:1	242:10	7,20
112:16	<b>es</b> 142:3	173:12	comparison	59:20
138:22	communicat	190:13	<b>s</b> 145:24	75:8 <b>,</b> 12
154:13 177:2	ing	201:5	168:18	83:22
238:16	121:22	208:14	245:25	91:17
	communicat	223:15	competing	95:11
coming	ion	231:18	23:21	113:19
96:8	139:17,18	240:1	183:5	144:8
129:19	140:10	244:13	103:3	147:15
138:7		comparing	competitio	148:7,8
147:23	communicat	20:8	n	152:9,19
148:3	ions	26:20	139:7,13,	154:19,24
commence	141:17	<b>45:</b> 7	23 <b>,</b> 25	183:12
195:3	188:8,11	59:13	141:7	199:7,10
	community	82:23	207:17,18	225:14
commencing	13:7,9	97:21	,22,25	246:12
5:1	99:14	99:13	208:5,10,	compressed
comment	234:8	124:23	15 230:18	64:21
5:22		159:6	competitor	
comments	companies 22:21	245:2	209:5	compromise
35:9,11	210:12,16	comparison		64:23,24
99:11	210:12,10	11:13	competitor	compromise
120:22	company	14:16	<b>s</b> 208:20	d
231:21	55:17	27 <b>:</b> 11	compiled	64:11,25
233:9,15	188:25	28:13	74:7	65 <b>:</b> 7
	206:6	35 <b>:</b> 23	complain	compromise
commission	comparable	88:24	230:11	s 65:14
ing 225:3	76:2 90:4	89:6,14		
commitment	213:12	90:7,17	complete	<b>con</b> 26:18
<b>s</b> 89:10	245:4	94:24	66:11	161:18
committee	a amma mah la	95 <b>:</b> 19	136:7	168:4
12:2,12,2	comparable -to-	96:2,13,1	151:8,9	concept
0 13:9		7 98:1,5	completed	18:22
18:1	Sprung	99:18	139:8	19:1,18
19:9,12,1	50:11	104:18	199:10	20:23
6 28:19	comparator	110:25	component	35:18
89:23	245:18	111:15	59:18	91:19
231:10	compare	125:25	71:4,7	93:19
	58:18	136:8	109:22,25	110:15
committee'	103:1	144:21,22	112:12	117:6
<b>s</b> 18:7,13	161:16	158:25	152:3	118:7,23
common	215:13	159:2	156:17	133:15,17
32:9,13		160:25	213:14	138:22
·	compared		210.11	

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 262 c	of 308
164:18	<b>on</b> 39:10	222:18	consultant	contains
conceptual	128:3	constructi	139:1	54:14
10:23	confused	ng	199:14	174:2
45:18	62:2	159:13,15	208:21	contemplat
48:7			241:12,17	<b>e</b> 144:12
	confusion	constructi	consultati	150:6
concern	96:5	<b>on</b> 2:17	on 124:6	
123:9	128:3	16:20		contemplat
124:1	221:18	18:18	consulted	<b>ed</b> 20:1
135:7	conjunctio	24:4 45:7	13:19	41:1
137:25	<b>n</b> 67:20	63:21	consulting	129:14
194:2,4		70:6 77:6	108:19,22	144:7
228:23	consequenc	91:15	112:6	154:12
229:3	<b>es</b>	92:13,20	164:21	193:10
concerned	141:18,20	103:17,21	199:1,9	contemplat
68:6,9	consider	104:21	202:19	<b>es</b> 19:13
175:18	16:10	108:20	218:10	39:4
179:24	29:4 43:7	112:9		40:22
concerns	159:12	113:18	consumptio	49:2 53:2
29:11	211:8	115:24	<b>n</b> 241:16	95:14
138:5	considerat	119:4,11	contact	
236:8	ion 15:20	121:6,9,2	26:19	contemplat
237:12	39:6,21	4	31:4,18,2	ing 75:9
	44:10	147:11,17	1 32:2,11	136:10
conclusion	198:24	<b>,</b> 19	34:11	245:5
65:3	202:4,11,	161:18,21	36:15,17,	contemplat
73:23	12,16,21	162:2	19	ion
74:15		165:11,23	37:10 <b>,</b> 17	118:14
81:23	considered	171:24	38:14,15	content
108:14	15:2 16:8	183:6,10,	41:14	13:20
186:2	17:4	13 185:2	48:11,19	70:4
238:9	35:25	188:3,20	49:22	
concrete	39:5 44:7	199:8,15,	50:1	contents
149:17	82:3 95:8	23 200:9	67 <b>:</b> 19	3:1 7:16
244:22	130:19	201:3	68:6,12	123:15
conduct	156:19	202:14	73:4	180:20,22
162:1	176:14	207:24	136:19	200:14
210:15	196:17	208:6	137:6	207:7
	considerin	210:7,11 211:8	138:2	context
conducted	<b>g</b> 66:17		141:3	8:22
209:21	113:16,18	212:16 222:14	contacted	18:16
conductor	consistent	223:14	36:12	134:11
66:6	97:9	225:13	contacting	contingenc
confident		232:9	58:11	ies
187:23	construct	240:3	68:9	104:9,23,
	199:1	246:23		25
configurat	248:22		contain	114:20,22
ion 66:5	constructe	consult	108:22	171:19
245:9	<b>d</b> 61:9	13:21	contained	217:12
confirmati	206:6	147:23	231:12	
				contingenc
1	ī		Ī	ı

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 263 c	01 308
<b>y</b> 102:14	21:20	contractor	244:5	79:14
103:10,12	49:11	<b>s</b> 43:9,25		172:24
,17,21	51:8 54:1	44:1	copying	
104:20	55:11		35:5	correspond
109:21	59:4	contrast	182:24	<b>ence</b> 6:3
110:7,8,1	62:23	28:14	correct	190:18
3	69:5	convention	36:13	<b>cost</b> 8:1
114:1,15,	73 <b>:</b> 12	<b>al</b> 202:13	38:22	9:7 10:23
16	98:17	conversati	58 <b>:</b> 22	13:8
115:12,14	101:21		60 <b>:</b> 22	45:18
,17,25	137:12	on 11:21	62 <b>:</b> 9	46:2
116:2	143:6	34:19	65 <b>:</b> 21	50:14,15
117:3,19	162:19	37:12,14	67:1 <b>,</b> 13	71:8,12
118:11,13	180:12	38:24	68:1	74:16
163:22	184:9	39:4	97 <b>:</b> 19	76:20,21
165:25	186:12	40:12	101:10	80:8
191:7	195:17	43:11	104:11	82:3,17,1
192:6,7,1	196:12	62:13	106:21	9,22,23
0,12,15	200:20	95:17	107:9	88:21
193:2,6,1	206:1	127:22	112:15	89:20
5,21	216:17	178:16	134:25	90:3,23
194:5,7	231:7	179:5	146:24	92:4,17
217:5,10	243:12	197:18	149:6,11	97 <b>:</b> 16
219:3,4,7		198:2	150:13,20	99:13
,12	continues	233:6	158:5	100:5
	66:20	236:17	160:4	103:6
contingent	117:15	conversati	164:23	106:23
117:11	201:25	ons 30:20	170:23	108:15,20
continuall	contract	33:25	171:1	110:1
<b>y</b> 66:6	43:17,18	34:21	172:10	112:23
continue	44:2,5	56:4,8	181:7	114:5,10
12:3 26:5	72:14,18	62:13	208:16	117:8
29:5	73:7	77:25	217:7,14	124:24
76:15	91:16	78:4	219:19	125:25
78:6 87:9	92:20,21	125:17	220:3	145:2,24
95:20	93:9	134:2	229:8	147:3,10
176:9	108:17	conveying	230:18	151:11
179:20	113:19	68:16	236:22	152:15,25
184:11	199:1,15		238:1	156:13 <b>,</b> 15
194:19	229:15,19	Cooper 2:9	240:14	157:16
217:21	,23	copied	242:8,18	158:12,17
219:16	248:8,10,	121 <b>:</b> 15	244:16	159:7,13
220:21	17,19	aon	249:5	168:9,18
223:9,18	contractin	<b>copy</b> 102:24	250:4	170:10
224:20	<b>g</b> 43:18	120:23	corrected	171:10,14
231:14		150:17,18	79:24	,16
232:21	contractor	195:21		172:1,3,2
233:2,7	41:24	215:23	correction	1 188:16
	42:8,16,1	231:11	<b>s</b> 233:15	191:2
Continued	7 43:1	240:7	correctly	198:19
3:6 5:9	208:22	243:24	16:18	199:14,17
1		210.27		

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 264 c	DI 308
202:6	202:5	2:3,5,7	154:6	8:7,13,19
210:8	217:25	102:23	249:21	9:1,3,13,
214:3,10	218:3	<b>-</b>		16
215:15	220:2	count	creating	10:11,16
217:6	222:16	156:7	79:1,3,20	11:2,11,1
219:8	223:5,20	counting	89:19	9,25
223:12,21	225:3,20	104:23	147:22	12:9,14,1
,22	16	<b>1</b> -	criteria	8 13:4,23
224:7,11		couple	139:12	15:15,24
	council	69:18		· ·
228:1,5	1:18	137:20	crossed	16:15
235:9,25	5:11 <b>,</b> 12	168:25	43:13,15	17:11,22
237:19	12:11,19	176:10	51:20	18:9,15
238:7	13:5,6	230:8	crosses	19:7,19,2
241:5,7	21:4	241:20	138:12	4
cost-	39:19	course		20:6,15,2
effective	40:8	20:15	cross-	2 21:9
188:1,20	41:10	33:11	examinati	·
•	86:2	110:10	<b>on</b> 195:4	23:1,13,2
costing	97:9,15	113:8	current	2 24:8,18
106:4	117:17		126:13	25:4,9,14
costs	118:1,4	115:24		26:13 <b>,</b> 17
14:18	123:3,14,	187:11	custom	27:4,9,17
25 <b>:</b> 12	25	219:13	225:14	28:24
26:16		court	<b>cut</b> 68:23	30:8,14,2
29:4,15	130:9,20	10:14	246:21	2
80:16	131:11	47:1	240.21	31:10,16,
90:7	132:5	162:12		24
	134:12		D	32:4,12,1
92:9,10,2	135:11	<b>cover</b> 5:13	Dabrus	9,25
3 93:15	169:9	39:22,25	35 <b>:</b> 5	33:7,13,1
100:7	170:11	40:3	230:6,10	7,22
102:11,15	177:3	119:5	dash	34:2,20
104:15,19	184:21,25	184:23	149:4,9	· ·
,20	185:7,14,	193:11	147.4,7	35:15
107:7,8	22 186:21	covering	data	36:4,9,16
108:7,19,	205:11	20:25	148:18	37:1,6,13
23 <b>,</b> 25	214:21	21:1,5	<b>date</b> 34:21	,19
109:4,18,	222:11	125:2	40:24	38:2,9,17
19 113:9	224:14		95:21	39:1,7,14
148:2	225:15	create	168:18	40:1,11
169:7,11,	227:3	90:24	179:15	41:2,7,11
18,19,24	236:21	97 <b>:</b> 2		,21
174:2,5,1	237:4	123:24	248:13	42:4,13,2
4		153:23	dated	3
190:6,13	Councillor	193:21	98:25	43:3,10,1
191:24	231:13	241:12	<b>Dave</b> 3:5	4
192:2	Councillor			44:6,13,1
196:14	<b>s</b> 13:7	created	5:5,7,18,	7,22
190:14		62:10	21	45:3,14,2
197:2	Council's	124:23	6:2,8,14,	4 46:4,7
	11:10	163:2	16,25	47:12,15,
199:9	counsel	creates	7:6,11,18	22
201:2			<b>,</b> 25	
I	i		I	

	INT COMMINGWOOD	0		)I 300
48:2,15	89:18	122:3,16,	18	190:2,9,1
49:4,14,2	90:9,12,2	24	155:13,20	7,22,25
3	2 91:9	123:6,13,	156:2,10,	191:3,10,
50:10,17,	92:12	20 124:4	16,24	15,18,23
24 51:4	93:1,6,17	125:15,21	157:21	192:13,16
52:2,14,2	94:11,16,	126:5,9,1	158:6,20	,20,23
1	21	2,19	159:9,17	193:5,8,2
53:1,9,15	95:7,21,2	127:1,13,	160:4,8,1	0 194:13
54:21,24	3	21	1,17,22	196:24
55:18,22,	96:4,14,1	128:12,17	161:4,22	197:6,10,
25	9	,24	162:5	18,23
56:2,6,9,	97:5,8,13	129:11,16	163:4,12,	
14	,24	,23	17,24	23
57:16,20,	98:6,9	130:13,23	164:8,14,	
24	100:10,19	131:6,10,	23	200:8
58:7,15,2	101:3,11	21,25	165:3,7,1	
5	102:9	132:10,23	7	,21,23
60:14,22	103:8,13,	133:3,13,	166:7,17,	
61:3,14,2	19,22	25	20	20
2 62:8,21	104:12,17	134:4,8,2	167:9,16,	
63:16,19	105:5,9,1	5	23 168:10	24
64:9	4,23	135:4,9,2	169:21	204:2,6,1
65:4,12,2	106:8,13,	1	170:2,6,1	
0,24	21	136:3,7,1	3,24	205:6,10,
66:4,25 67:13	107:1,10, 19,25	2,21	171:5,21 172:11,25	21 206:7,12,
68:1,8,19	108:12,15	137:1,9,1 4	172:11,23	24
,24	109:1,6,1	138:4,11,	174:10,17	
69:9,21	7	20	174.10,17	
70:14	110:5,17,	140:17,23	176:15,18	
71:2,9,19	21,24	141:5,14,	,25 177:6	
72:2,6,13	111:3,9,1	19 142:5	178:1,8,1	0
,19,23	3,22	143:25	2,15,19	210:2,22
73:5,9	112:2,10,	144:13,19	179:4,12	211:1,5,1
74:19	15,19,25	,25	180:17,25	
75:14,24	113:5,15,	145:4,13	181:8,12,	212:2,12,
76:8,14	23	146:16,25	16	17
77:5,15,1	114:3,11,	147:6,9,2	182:4,15	213:1,7,1
9 78:3	14,24	1,25	183:1,16,	7
79:17 <b>,</b> 25	115:4,9,1	148:6,23	20,24	214:8,14
80:11 <b>,</b> 17	9,22	149:7,12,	184:4,7	215:6
81:5,9,25	116:6	15 <b>,</b> 19	185:5,15,	216:23
82:6,18	117:10,21	150:3,13,	24	217:8,15
83:5,10,2	<b>,</b> 25	21	186:6,10,	218:6,14,
1	118:6,16,	151:2,8,1	16,24	23
84:11,23	20,22	5,21	187:7,10,	219:1,10,
85:3,11,1	119:15,21	152:4,7,1	17	20 220:4
6 <b>,</b> 25	120:1,5,1	8,23	188:7,13,	221:14,23
86:16,23	3	153:5,21,	22	222:21
87:5	121:13,19	25	189:3,5,1	223:8
88:3,11	<b>,</b> 25	154:3,14,	0,17,23	224:2,9,1
1			l	

	TRI COBBINGWOOD			71 300
7 225:20	<b>deal</b> 18:18	70:7	derived	51:21
226:11,24	dealer	defense	169:12	52:1 <b>,</b> 10
227:7,19	42:6	70:19	<b>des</b> 212:5	75:8 <b>,</b> 12
228:14,20				77:6,7
229:2,9,1	dealing	define	describe	91:13,15,
6,20,24	29:14	117:11	89:14	17 <b>,</b> 18
230:12	47:3,10	definition	119:3	92 <b>:</b> 21
231:17	114:16	205:2,4	211:19	93:15
232:3,8,1	164:19	delay	described	100:24
9 233:18	deals	161:9	10:9 26:4	102:13
234:3,6,1	238:15		27:24	103:10,12
1 235:21	December	deliver	38:13	108:17
236:9,15,	230:6	11:10	70:18	109:3,5,1
20,24		delivered	90:11	5,21,22,2
237:3,14	decide	85:19	169:18	5
238:2,8	149:24	135:13	207:8	110:7,9,1
239:10,16	156:14	denied	209:20	0,13
,20	186:22	192:21	describes	111:5,19
240:14,17	decided		26:22	112:5
,25	8:12,18	Dennis	34:13	113:18
241:7,10	15:18	46:17	46:16	114:4,9
242:1,8	42:12	51:18	54 <b>:</b> 5	115:20,24
243:15,21	131:2	54:15	70:17	116:2
244:1,7,1	191:9	department	230:6	117:1,7,1
7	192:15	6:12 <b>,</b> 16	232:21	2,13,15,1 9
245:6,20	210:15	30:10	describing	-
246:2,5,1	deciding	37 <b>:</b> 7	25:25	118:7,15 144:8
3,20 247:2,22	163:15	58:17	28:21	161:17,19
248:1,6,2	170:11	130:16	56:22	164:11,14
5	decision	169:14	72:10	,19,25
249:5,23		177:1	73:18	165:2,11
	45:1,4,13 128:16	department	84:5	166:8
<b>day</b> 5:11	130:8	<b>al</b> 169:15	100:4,9	191:5
22:14	141:10	4	116:25	198:25
27:25	190:23	department	213:25	199:16
44:23	191:1	<b>s</b> 57:4	214:21	200:10
45:2	192:1	depending	247:18,21	211:20,24
54:16	203:21	104:21	descriptio	<b>,</b> 25
74:5 87:18	218:25	139:24	n 4:2	212:6,7,1
120:21	227:3	245:8	156:16	6,21
145:21	246:18	depicted		218:18
168:19	247:5	220:19	design	219:5 <b>,</b> 9
198:9	248:21	dan	10:23	225:9
		<b>deputy</b> 31:5	19:8,11	designated
days	decisions	33:20,25	35:18	32:10
232:22	130:6 163:18	120:24	44:1	41:14
241:20	202:7	121:1,11,	45:18	
deadline		17,22	46:1	design-
12:23	deconstruc	123:2	47:16,24	build
	tability	120.2	48:7	43:17,18,

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 267 c	of 308
24	138:24	difference	195:2	67 <b>:</b> 23
44:5,12	139:6	<b>s</b> 23:17	directed	68:17
208:22	177:11	1: 66	5:12 11:1	152:4,6
designed	developed	different	21:4	189:3
75:21	15:25	8:5 11:13		director
	30:10	16:19	directing	5:23,25
designers	209:18	47:24	86:8	6:17
9:17	236:4	60:16,17	123:14,21	
desire	230.4	62:15	direction	discuss
192:9,12	developing	92:10	12:4,13	29:9 52:1
	11:6	94:22	23:14	58:19
desired	20:17	97:17	31:8,12	126:4
24:23	30:12	109:21	33:17,21	203:1
despite	45:17	116:4	34:16	204:8
167:2	46:1	120:7	36:14,17,	208:25
detail	47:15,19	141:20	21 37:20	236:7
60:6	48:7	142:12	40:5,8	249:18
104:14	140:20	146:20	48:17,20,	discussed
170:8	developmen	175:4,9,2	25 49:25	30:3
	<b>t</b> 39:16	5 186:1,4	61:17	31:23
detailed	109:14	199:24	68:2,10	34:17
102:13	110:10	208:20	86:1	42:25
103:6	164:5	241:14	87 <b>:</b> 21	45:19
110:10	192:2	244:14	96:10,12	48:4,6
150:19	217:25	245:11,12	98:4,8	49:15
162:24	218:3	differentl	125:9,14,	
details	219:13	<b>y</b> 61:9	24 126:17	52:3,8
32:21	220:17	difficult	127:23,24	l '
45:16			128:4,10,	
178:16	devi	37:20,23 61:19,20	12,16,19	88:15
227:17	169:12	68:20,25	129:8	117:7
	diamond	176:1	132:15	132:19
determine	222:25	1/0.1	163:19	135:19
17:14	differe	digested	180:4,15,	144:10
139:2,9	92:18	229:3	22	164:18
147:3	92:18	diligence	184:21,25	171:1
determined	difference	183:2	185:7,25	177:24
106:20	19:2	207:4	186:4	178:18
135:16,20	74:11		188:9	191:12
172:3	79:18	<b>dir</b> 125:23	204:19	198:4
174:5	82:3	dire	205:11	207:12
209:16	91:10,11	132:14		210:25
210:8	92:12,13,	direct	directions	227:8
determinin	19 102:10	10:13	85:20	239:4
g 152:1	111:24	27:11	179:10	241:24
-	144:2	28:12	186:5,8	247:19,25
develop	159:13,18	33:25	directly	248:4,12,
20:17,21	,19	37:17	14:20	15 249:8
21:4	172:19	47:1	37 <b>:</b> 10	
47:17	173:11	61:20	38:4	discusses
58:19	225:5	183:24	66:23	70:1
		100.24		171:23

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 268 c	)I 3U8
207:17	33:15,20	115:10	198:13	187:20
4:	37:7 40:7	116:8	228:25	233:9
discussing	43:6 52:6	124:8,22	229:7	d 64 . d
5:11,14	71:4,7	133:6	237:19	drafted
63:15	76:11	134:10	domo	175:22
78:13	87 <b>:</b> 14	139:7,14	doma	185:13
80:23	125:13	140:20	242:18	226:3
81:2	176:13,17	143:8	<b>done</b> 9:5,9	drafting
112:7,8	181:14	145:16	12:2,20	6:5 <b>,</b> 7
116:19	187:14	150:15,16	73:22	124:2
119:1	196:25	168:12	81:10	234:2
126:2		174:19	104:3	drafts
178:23	dismantlin	182:6,10,	110:16	97:2
187:1	<b>g</b> 25:12	18 206:18	125:5	123:4
195:19	displaced	213:20	161:22	137:23
196:13	222:25	216:3	165:22	
209:11	disqualifi	219:17	168:18	<b>draw</b> 152:9
212:20	_	220:6	181:24	drawing
238:14	cation	222:8	183:1,9	22:13
246:17,18	142:4	230:1,21	188:19	
discussion	disseminat	242:18	194:10	drawn
8:20	<b>e</b> 58:18	246:16	198:21	173:7
13:5,7	distinctio		200:9,16	dressing
15:25	n 22:14	documentin	213:8	95 <b>:</b> 12
20:24		<b>g</b> 48:6	229:22	153 <b>:</b> 8
29:7	165:10	documents	240:12	<b>drew</b> 81:3
57:18	distribute	6 <b>:</b> 19	245:25	100:24
82:6	<b>d</b> 127:5	25:25	246:4	
87:20	135:11	37:9 45:8	<b>door</b> 17:12	drove
95:24	document	46:16,18	<b>door</b> 1/:12	246:23
106:14	6:9 9:19	47:11,14,	double	<b>dual</b> 168:1
122:20	10:10,19,	21,25	104:23	<b></b> 104 10
130:24	25	54 <b>:</b> 19	153 <b>:</b> 2	<b>due</b> 134:12
132:24	13:18,20	59 <b>:</b> 12	doubling	183:1
144:14	16:5 22:1	127:8	152:24,25	durability
168:7	25:17	222:7	·	70:5
182:5	28:22	dollar	<b>draft</b> 10:2	80:23 <b>,</b> 24
184:1	34:4		58:16	during 7:1
203:18,21	40:17	104:5 146:22	60:24	45 <b>:</b> 11
204:1,5,9	46:24,25		79:23	71:6
206:8	48:9	148:4,21	88:19	110:9
207:9	54:5,14	159:1	96:6,22,2	115:23
218:2	55:1,13	dollars	3,24 99:1	118:15
248:21,24	56:17	74:1,2,11	116:17	187:11
discussion	59 <b>:</b> 6	164:12	119:2	10,.11
	62:10	165:1	120:23	E
<b>s</b> 11:3,8	73:14	172:6	121:11	
22:20	80:19	191:6	124:10	earlier
23:1,6,20	83:25	194:12	126:16	49:16
24:19	98:19	196:17	137:22	61:17
25:1,24	102:24	197:8,12	175:3,8,1	97:2
32:1	102.21		4 177:20	100:4,21
			i	

	RI COLLINGWOOD	0 20 2015	1 agc 207 c	71 300
106:18	137:6	241:9	3,15,23	230:7
115:14	edit	244:2	35:2,3,8,	emailing
133:10	226:12	245:21	11,24	134:14
135:23		electrical	39:4 49:6	
144:6	edits 6:9	108:2	54 <b>:</b> 3	emails
146:14	7:13		56 <b>:</b> 22	13:19
161:3	226:12	electricit	58 <b>:</b> 1	30:25
164:18	educate	<b>y</b> 223:3	63:12 <b>,</b> 23	49:4
170:23	138:23	element	67 <b>:</b> 18	121:17
171:1	<b>Edwin</b> 2:11	207:17,18	69:12	148:25
178:2		elements	73 <b>:</b> 24	214:21
201:20	effect	53:7	74:8,12	<b>EMC</b> 5:22
215:23	73:4	148:2	87:17 <b>,</b> 22	26:1 31:1
217:1	239:6		89:13	34:10
218:20	effective	elevator	90:18	85 <b>:</b> 14
224:6	210:9	53:3	94:1	130:16
226:1,2	effectivel	eleven	95:13,18	177:19,23
233:17	<b>y</b> 241:12	171:14	98:25	182:24
234:12	_	215:16	100:21	187 <b>:</b> 15
237:22	effects	<b>else</b> 5:16	116:13	203:1
238:15	67:10	13:21	121:2	206:19
246:17	efficienci	53:19	122:18	209:1
247:18 248:12	<b>es</b> 218:4	67 <b>:</b> 17	125:3 126:3	210:1
	efficiency	98:8	120:3	213:25
early 7:6	33:1	147:24	133:7,8	220:19
24:19	234:22	148:3	134:6,21	<b>en</b> 242:5
41:22		160:20	145:22	enabling
45:20	efficient	178:10	174:23	14:20
82:8	228:2	183:8,11	175 <b>:</b> 1	enclose
100:23	242:7	188:18,19	176:8	153:13
123:4	effort	<b>,</b> 23	177:13,18	159:25
ease	128:7	197:24	181:11,21	139.23
165:18	236:15	237:10	182:23	enclosed
economical	eight	239:13	183:14,18	74:9
50:19	101:25	241:6	<b>,</b> 22	119:19
<b>Ed</b> 26:17	104:4	247:17	187:11 <b>,</b> 14	153:9
27:24	157:16	elsewhere	188:14	encloses
34:10	247:10	127:6	204:20	74:8
99:10	either	eludes	205:20	enclosure
133:22,23	36:19	49:24	206:19,22	67:15
247:8	87:16		207:1,8,1	80:22
	113:9	email	3 209:13	129:25
Eddie	119:19	10:1,9	213:25	159:21,24
16:23	129:9	25:25	232:18,23	245:22
84:12	130:21	26:3,23	233:17	
85:11,15	134:3	27:5,23	242:12	<b>energy</b> 33:8
87:13	158:23	28:21	246:20	59:22
90:2	193:23	30:3,18 31:1 32:1	emailed	59:22 60:7 67:9
100:7	221:3	34:9,10,1	9:24	70:5 76:3
edict	225:4	J4.7, 1∪, 1		70.5 70.5
1	1	į l		

111001				
78:11	engineers	erecting	147:10,14	4,20
232:12	9:17	193:11	148:8,9,1	198:3
234:22	enhance		0,12	207:19
239:25		erector	152:12	208:2,11,
240:12,23	15:4	41:24	153:7	13 223:17
241:10,15	enhanced	42:3	157 <b>:</b> 22	226:4
242:5,7	72:7	206:15	158:10,14	
244:14	enough's	<b>Eric</b> 2:18	164:1	estimating 113:11
245:3,8,1	195:7	errors	190:6	
0,13,17,2		114:5	194:3	114:15
3,25	ensure	231:21,24	197:11	estimation
eng 200:11	26:20	232:15,17	208:14	83:1
	94:22	·	221:3	evaluate
224:8	entertain	escape	226:3	140:5,7
engage	43:25	65:11 <b>,</b> 19	227:9	211:19
8:18	entire	especially	228:8	
engaged		67:11	236:1,3	evaluation
8:16,22	113:16,18	essentiall	242:5	138:15
	157:24			140:4,8,1
engineer	159:20	<b>y</b> 20:18	estimated	0 196:18
91:16	envelope	21:7	151:11	evening
92:21	59:22	28:16	197:13	182:23
108:17	108:2,5	38:10	198:17	184:13
109:18	envision	82:12,13	201:2	187 <b>:</b> 12
112:11	108:16	100:22	223:6,12	188:8
113:18		101:2	235:9	190:18
198:25	envisioned	107:25	238:7	196:9
engineered	104:22	139:22	estimates	198:1
24:4	132:25	140:2	10:23	202:24
78:15	153:11	150:4,12	11:15	204:7
82:24	envisionin	151:22	14:21	
100:6	<b>g</b> 153:16	152:9	21:5 24:3	event
159:23	1	154:5,9	45 <b>:</b> 18	40:10
162:3	equal	158:22	46:2	229:6
171:11	95:12	159:8,10,	80:14	246:25
173:12	equally	24 239:11	89:20 <b>,</b> 24	248:21
220:2	194:10	establishe	90:2 92:4	events
225:11	equation	<b>d</b> 43:20	97 <b>:</b> 16	27 <b>:</b> 21
226:19	21:2 28:6	114:15	108:16	215:5
		estimate	110:12	eventually
engineerin	equipped	8:1	133:9,12,	53 <b>:</b> 10
<b>g</b> 91:17	211:17		14,15,16	89:25
93:15	equivalent	50:14,15	147:15	
108:22	21:24	89:24	148:20	everybody
112:7	50:19	90:4,23	156:20	98:12
113:9	62:12	91:12,14	162:25	139:20,21
164:21	225:17	93:13	169:12	everybody'
196:25	234:24	100:23	174:16	<b>s</b> 33:1
199:2		113:17	190:7	203:16
218:10	erected	114:5	192:3	
225:13	108:11	115:14		everything
	247:15	145:11	197:1,9,1	

108:1       244:20       18:12       exploration         evidence       58:5,22,2       n 14:         179:20,23       96:21       express         249:18       98:1       expensive       64:7         evolves       160:24       93:8       express         117:15       163:15       248:23       express         84:15         experience	22 21:22 22:7,10 8 23:4
179:20,23     96:21     3 92:11     express       249:18     98:1     expensive     64:7       evolves     160:24     93:8     express       117:15     Exhibit     experience     84:15	22:7,10 23:4
evolves 117:20,23 249:18 98:1 160:24 163:15 248:23 express 84:15	23:4
249:18 98:1 <b>expensive</b> 64:7 <b>evolves</b> 117:15 98:1 <b>expensive</b> 64:7  248:23 <b>express</b> 84:15	67.7
evolves 117:15  160:24 163:15  248:23  express 84:15	
117:15 163:15 248:23 84:15	24:15
Exhibit experience	
er car a la Exhibit   experience	"""
ex 64:7,11	
exact   11:23	
41:13 <b>Exhibits</b> 43.17 94:12	
3:3 4:1   237:1	
ordet	74:18
140.17	/9:15
190.10	82:24
examinatio extende	
n 195:2	· ·
Examinatio existing 9:11,15 extent	92:9
n-in- 21:1 experts 109:2	99:15
Chief 3:6 45:16 138:21 114:9	100:6,13
5:9 184:24 explain 229:4	108:9,23
exists 7.00 10.0 exterio	109:5
examined 239.7 7.23 19.2 50.17	.   111.25
14:15	
16:6 expansion	110.12,10
example 207:13 62:14 66:12 82:12	
61:19,23 <b>expect</b> 125:4 150:6	1 110.0
64:15 37:6 123.4 151:5	.
65:1 70:9 88:25 207:4,14 152:1	147.14
157:3 90:19 220:1 154:2	0,23
109:4 239:4 155:7	1 40.7
179:4	
186:16 explained	1, 102,21
except 244:7,9 31:22 externa	
137:8 245:15 42:2 108:4	167:18,21
146:21 expectancy 57:18 extrude	ed 172:20
excerpt 81.20 /1:/ 22:17	173:13
190:12 82.9 166:1 ovtrus	l l
224:14	
220:25	
explains	100-3
excluded 93:12 59:11 extrus	10015
71:14,21, 161:8 73:22 63:10	106.14
expectatio explanatio 64:5,	100 10 01
248:10,19   ns 117:12   - 00.25   00.27	100.17
excuse 07.27	200.14
113:5 <b>expected</b> 227:12 239:3	200:14
129:1 58:16 17 230:23	201:0
156.0 F	202:3 211:21,25
165.21 explanatio fabric	213:12
141 * / 4	214:3
131:7,9 ns 70:15 5:13 expecting	

~				
223:6,21	factored	122:2,10	fifty-	finance
232:5,7	158:1	<b>feel</b> 10:13	thousand	174:12
235:11	factoring	12:7	74:2,17	financial
236:6	148:9	46:25	figure	88:23
237:13,22		152:7	104:5	89:14
, 25	<b>fair</b> 67:6	195:8		
238:7,11	114:25	247:16	107:17	<b>fine</b> 68:22
fabricated	146:15		108:9	227 <b>:</b> 20
231:19	151:14	feeling	109:13	finish
	159:2	236:5	114:13	88 <b>:</b> 25
fabric's	171:20	fees	146:22	90:19
167:21	189:22	109:15	148:5,17,	195:2
<b>fac</b> 234:20	fairly	111:19	22 165:5	244:23
	97:15	112:5,7,1	172:9	
<b>face</b> 142:3	233:14	3 117:5	196:19	finished
154:9		164:11,15	201:19,25	138:14
facilities	familiar	,25	214:24	<b>first</b> 18:4
6:22 34:1	47:11	165:20	218:9	19:7
48:5	246:25	191:5	220:10	23:10
55:21	favour	199:1,2	221:13	41:16
56:1,5,13	243:8	202:19	224 <b>:</b> 6	46:19
,25 71:5		218:10,18	225:18	47:2,10
72:8	favouritis	219:5,9	figures	49:24
94:22	m	·	202:16	59 <b>:</b> 13
120:7,8	140:19,22	feet	6:1	60:8,9,24
147:19	feasibilit	64:1,18	files	79:8,23
189:16	<b>y</b> 10:1	70:22	220:12	81:13
209:19	14:14	158:11,12	<b>fill</b> 55:21	99:16
240:9	16:6	fell	148:11	101:23
244:14	18:19	204:23	filling	103:10
	25:23		79 <b>:</b> 20	104:14
facility	86:19	<b>felt</b> 73:24		116:15
20:18		85:4	final	120:23
82:14	featured	152:11	7:8,10,12	123:22
95:9	153:10,12	200:5	<b>,</b> 16 18:7	127:9
147:4	features	221:21	19:11	140:15
169:10	10:21	fences	74:8,13	149:2,14
209:23	81:2	108:4	77 <b>:</b> 6	150:3,11
234:20	94:24	<b>fewer</b> 67:3	85 <b>:</b> 19	151:12,24
235:2	95:12	156:9	135:13	152:16
245:10,23	144:22	130.9	185:9	153:19
<b>fact</b> 67:10	158:21	fibreglass	208:24	155:14,15
68:5	159:18	244:25	221 <b>:</b> 25	,22 156:4
117:11	167:4	fifty	222:10	171:8
144:3,11	235:24	74:1,16	236:22	185:21
194:2,5	<b>fee</b> 112:3	101:25	238 <b>:</b> 6	203:14
202:17	165:2,13,	172:6,23	finalized	204:8
209:9	20	194:10	135:15	219:22
232:22				222:12
237:24	feedback	fifty-six	finally	234:1
246:10	121:1,3	104:5	185:14	
			233:11	Firstly

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 273 c	of 308
158:20	228:2,5	forth	150:23	231:2
<b>fit</b> 105:6	focus	11:16	frame	248:20
159:20	35 <b>:</b> 18	33:9 53:3	45 <b>:</b> 15	249:1,12,
	162:20	94:25	48:16	15
<b>fits</b> 28:6		108:19	49:23	Frederick
five	focussed	112:11	62:9	2:11
74:2,11,1	39:15	125:25	66:8,9,12	21:13,18
6 102:1	<b>foll</b> 23:25	139:3	,13,16	179:13
157:17	<b>font</b> 35:13	forty-one	125:22	180:6
169:13	10116 33.13	171:15	132:2,6	196:3,8
171:11	foot		229:5	242:21,24
172:5,23	158:12	forward	244:24	243:4,9
194:21	159:2	15:7 16:3		·
196:16	footage	26:24	frames	<b>free</b> 10:13
197:7,11	151:22	27:10	66:10	46:25
198:21	152:24,25	32:22	238:20	247:16
200:2,5	153:2	189:15	239:6	friend
235:10	157:22	191:25	<b>Frank</b> 1:7	196:4
237:19	158:2	forwarded	21 <b>:</b> 16	
61 - +		30:9 84:5	48:10,21	front
flat	footprint	134:6	50:6,13,2	117:12
165:5,13	70:2	137:18	1 51:1,5	150:17
floor	forget	231:14	53:18 <b>,</b> 21	153:16,19
143:19	248:22		55 <b>:</b> 4	154:9
144:4,7,1	<b>form</b> 52:15	forwards	57 <b>:</b> 25	155:2,12
2,16	96:22,23	87:17	58:8 <b>,</b> 20	219:25
145:2,12	97:2	143:16	59 <b>:</b> 1	241:1
146:22	121:2	Foundation	62:1 <b>,</b> 17	frustratio
147:5,12	135:13	9:19	67 <b>:</b> 16	<b>n</b> 11:23
148:18	163:22	25:17	68:4,13,2	12:1,5,8
149:17	166:4	40:17	1 69:2	61 <b>:</b> 7
150:5,7,1	193:6	48:9	73 <b>:</b> 2,8	<b>FTP</b> 69:23
0,12	204:21	83:25	98 <b>:</b> 10	133:18
151:12,13		98:19	101:12,16	
,23,24	205:17	116:8	<b>,</b> 18	fulfill
152:2,15,	238:21	124:8,21	136:17,24	191:19
17	formal	133:6	137:3	fulsome
153:13,19	185:11	134:10	142:9,14,	213:2
,24	format	143:8	18,21,24	£
154:13	47:10	145:16	179 <b>:</b> 25	furor
155:22,23	139:24	168:12	180:8	249:21
156:3,5,1	221:5,6	174:19	184:2,5	future
8		182:18	186:3,7	17:15,24
157:19 <b>,</b> 25	formatted	206:18	193:25	18:21,24
158:17	221:4,6	213:20	194:15,20	19:14
159:14,20	forms 24:5	220:6	195:1,12	24:16
167:24	162:2	230:1	199:25	101:24
171:18	183:6	246:16	200:18	141:24
217:22	207:23	fourth	205:16,22	142:4
219:18	208:5	70:2	216:12	
221:2	210:7	10.2	230:15	

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 274 c	of 308
gathered	161:12,15	244:22	37:7	230:25
7:14	207:5		55 <b>:</b> 14	HONOURABLE
gathering	grand 18:2	Н	58 <b>:</b> 17	21:16
88:6		Hall 1:17	130:16	48:10,21
207:19	greater	247:13	heading	50:6,13,2
	60:20		59 <b>:</b> 21	1 51:1,5
<b>GD's</b> 108:8	78:17	hand	169:7	53:18,21
generally	80:4	215:22		55:4
74:14	193:15	handed	<b>heads</b> 57:4	57 <b>:</b> 25
93:7	green	150:16	heard 6:20	58:8,20
120:3	55:13,17	happen	<b>heat</b> 60:18	59:1
126:22	56:11	32:15	65:19	62 <b>:</b> 1,17
140:6	59:18	hamanad	66:22	67 <b>:</b> 16
176:25	70:1	happened 25:2	baabina	68:4,13,2
generated	75:16	49:24	heating 65:11	1 69:2
82:25	76:5	50:2	167:24	73:2,8
100:15	77:1,23	51:23		98:10
169:20	107:3	72:12	<b>held</b> 1:16	101:12,16
generic	167:22,25	204:7	207:10	,18
35:20	greenfield		<b>help</b> 145:8	136:17,24
100:24	193:22,23	<b>happens</b> 27 <b>:</b> 21	191:18	137:3
	Gregersen	249:22	231:3,20	142:9,14,
George 2:9	34:14,15		239 <b>:</b> 6	18,21,24
<b>gets</b> 14:2	35:4 <b>,</b> 17	happy	helped	179:25
139:20,21	36:11	194:19	158:13	180:8 184:2,5
getting	Gregersen'	hard	helpful	186:3,7
20:19	<b>s</b> 35:8,11	102:15,24	170:11,14	193:25
31:8	·	104:19	·	194:15,20
58:21	ground	215:23	Heritage	195:1,12
68:14,15	47:18	haven't	29:9,10	199:25
161:9	groundwork	151:10	39:16	200:18
163:19	9:4	having	<b>He's</b> 195:6	205:16 <b>,</b> 22
girts	group	34:19	<b>high</b> 78:22	216:12
64:16,20	43:18,19	38:13	106:5	230:15
given	204:8	82:1	higher	231:2
39:21	guess 13:4	102:9	60:19	248:20
60:14	17:11,25	120:2	79:2,4	249:1,12,
165:9	20:22	134:3	197 <b>:</b> 14	15
179:14,15	58:10	138:5	historical	Honour's
,16 202:7	125:22	141:22	169:14	49:18
245:18	134:19	161:25		hope 81:10
global	141:19	162:1	hole	hoping
12:1	175:16	181:13	246:22	86:18
goal	216:8	189:8	honestly	
160:24	227 <b>:</b> 7	213:2 229:3	179:16	Houghton
	guidance		Honour	2:11
gone 35:10	132:15,17	head	21:14	27:25 28:8
42:15	gypsum	6:12,16	179:13	29:13,24
125:2		30:10	194:18	27.13,24
Í.	i J			

INOUIRY	COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 27	5 of	308
~			_		

INQUI.	RI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 273 C	)1 300
30:4,11,2	233:2,6,9	228:3	63 <b>:</b> 11	204:17
0,24	,12,14	245:16,21	69 <b>:</b> 17	206:12
31:9,19,2	242:12		101:4	210:2
2 32:2	247:8	I'd 11:3	147:2	212:12
33:15		195:2,10	180:8	218:7
34:11	Houghton's	<b>ID</b> 216:3	212:14	222:7
48:12,25	7:5 36:21	242:18	216:8	227 <b>:</b> 7
56:22	87:12	<b>idea</b> 20:16	242:19	229:3
57:11,14,	178:4	39:20	243:5	231:1,4
18	214:2	64:23		235:22
58:5,12,1	hour	66:19	illustrati	238:8
6,24 73:3	142:19	79:19	on 241:21	243:10
84:6,8,15	house	128:25	I'm 6:9	244:12
,19			12:21	
85:2,8,13	199:8	144:7	15 <b>:</b> 15	immediate
,19	218:5	249:7	16:18,23	236:16,19
86:8,21	housekeepi	<b>ideal</b> 66:6	25:9,14	impeded
87:17,23	<b>ng</b> 237:6	ident	27:20	86:20
88:9,14	hundred	75:16	28:5 29:6	160:21
94:2	74:1,2,11		34:20	implicatio
95:14,18	,17	identified	35 <b>:</b> 2	ns 24:12
96:1 98:5	101:25	11:18	41:12	
99:10	102:4,5,6	15:11,16	43:3	<pre>imply 51:3</pre>
116:14	104:4,6	22:1 49:7 54:8	45:14	important
120:22	148:4,21	74:12	51 <b>:</b> 10	20:19
121:10,17	157:4,17,		52 <b>:</b> 4	21:10,15
122:1,9	20	76:17 77:2	53:1 <b>,</b> 2	·
125:3,13	164:12,25	92 <b>:</b> 25	56:2	<pre>impression 84:11</pre>
126:3,16,	171:11,15	93:14	58:22	
23	172:6,23	104:8,14	62 <b>:</b> 2	85:1,8,10 86:8
127:9,19	191:5	104:0,14	88:17	249:3,20
128:16	194:10,11	106:10	94:11	·
133:7,11	196:16	112:6	96:22	improper
134:3,6	197:7 <b>,</b> 12	119:11	97:19	179:16
136:18,22	198:12,22	166:5,13	99:16	improve
137:8,15,	200:2,5	167:3	116:22	234:22
17 138:1	214:4	172:18	117:25	improvemen
142:8	235:10	173:11,13	121:14	t 222:16
157:12	237:19	205:1	125:21	
177:19,25	Hurontario	233:16	126:13	improvemen
178:9,23	1:19		142:11,14	<b>ts</b> 14:23
179:10	_,_,	identifies	159:6	18:21 <b>,</b> 25
180:14		21:22	171:2	77:10,13
181:4,14,	i.e 121:5	identify	173:18	223:1
21,23		24:11	179:24	228:6
182:3,13	ice 9:25	29:11	184:14	inaccurate
203:3	24:2	identifyin	188:22	83:17
213:25	99:13	<b>g</b> 230:7	189:6 194:19	In-between
226:13	145:24	I'll 14:1	194:19	178:8
231:14,15	185:3		200:23	203:3
232:17,23	187:25	46:18	200.20	200.0

111001	NI CODDINGWOOD		1 age 270 e	<u></u>
inch 60:15	207:19	80:5	25:11	191:2,11,
64:3	208:24	incrued	27:14,16	13 213:2
inches	213:15,18	188:5	28:2	220:10
70:23	217:5,12,		38:4,5,8,	221:16,21
	21 219:16	incurred	10 47:19	227:2,13,
inclu	221:12	112:14	53 <b>:</b> 10	16 237 <b>:</b> 7
112:8	224:11	222:17	54:18,23	238:10
include	225:17	in-depth	57:7,9	239:18
50:22	228:8	31:17	58:3,6,9,	244:21
58:10	234:24	indicate	12,18,23	246:6
76:5	235:4	25 <b>:</b> 1	61:14	248:11
94:25	237:25	121:7,23	63:14	informed
105:21	248:10,18	196:4	67:22 <b>,</b> 23	85:4 <b>,</b> 6
110:20	249:7,10,	indicated	68:14,16 70:15	86:4
147:15	11	86:7,18	70:15	infrastruc
187:20	including	•	74:7	ture
220:13	26:6	indicates	74.7 79:11	245:19
223:21	53:14	184:11	81:4,7	
226:4	59:12	196:15	83:6,9	Ingersoll
246:18	119:4	221:1	88:5	73:19
247:5	200:12	223:16	90:4,17	74:10
included	217:20,23	indication	96:23	inherent
6:3 21:23	incorporat	75 <b>:</b> 25	101:8	60:3 <b>,</b> 25
31:1	<b>e</b> 145:2	242:6	102:12,13	78 <b>:</b> 25
38:20	incorporat	individual	122:18	79:10
53:11	ed 20:23	246:21	130:4	91:10
71:14,18,	74:13	industrial	133:24	inherently
20,23,24	77:2	225:10	135:8	93:7
72:3,11	107:23		138:6,22	in-house
76:21		industry	139:10	9:12
80:12,15, 16 86:9	incorrect	113:7	140:12	199:11
90:1	208:17	218:14	144:1,20	202:18
93:2,4,7	increase	ineffectiv	145:7	
96:13	80:9	<b>e</b> 21:7	147:10	initial
97:4,7,20	167:21	inflate	148:10,15	18:20
105:20	169:11	110:22	<b>,</b> 19	124:10 139:5
110:13	171:16	111:2	162:4,6	237:22
112:8	214:12		169:23,25	
114:10	216:11	inflating	170:5,10	initiative
144:4	218:22,25	159:3	171:3	<b>s</b> 75:17
151:24	increased	influence	173:8,24	76:6,17,1
167:17,20	214:11	249:20	175:4,9,1	9 77:1,24
168:8	218:19	infor 28:1	4,17,24	106:4
171:3	220:2	128:5	177:10	107:4
184:18	increases		178:21	injunction
188:6	117:8	informatio	180:18	73:3
190:19,21	171:18	<b>n</b> 7:13	185:6 186:9	input
192:21		8:12 20:8	189:2	128:6
194:7	increasing	23:15	190:24	130:16
	78:20	24:17	10.24	100.10

111201	. CODDINGWOOD	0 0 20 2015	rage z r r e	71 300
160:16	60:20	intended	investigat	108:14
inquiry	63:5	90:7	ing	161:5,11
1:3 2:3,4	78:18	125:14	187 <b>:</b> 21	210:10
80:20	99:15	126:18,23	investigat	issued
86:7	100:13	166:10	ion 7:21	137:7
215:2	119:5	185:9	130:21	issues
inside	146:7	intending	131:17	
64:3	162:21	144:24	132:1	230:8
66:7,9,14	184:23	intent	138:14	<b>item</b> 35:17
,19 82:13	188:3	47:20	139:4,11	101:23
154:5	201:4	47:20 86:2	140:15	103:16
159:21	223:14		240:18	107:4,6
	225:7	intention		109:14
insight	235:14	52 <b>:</b> 16	<pre>investigat ive 45:21</pre>	113:25
245:2	237:21,23	141:6		114:22
installati	238:7,21	171:2	46:2,6	149:5,10,
on 106:11	240:1	177 <b>:</b> 20	137:21	17
217:24	insulating	180:2,18	138:13	150:5,24
instance	60:15	interest	investment	151:4
	61:6	53:14	21:1	155:6,15,
33:11 66:8	64:24	231:9	191:20	17,18
84:15	65:6	interests	228:12	156:17
130:14	66:13	189:15	invited	157:13,14
149:13	insulation		41:2	160:2
153:3	59:24	interim	140:7	itemized
165:20	60:10,14,	248:16	involved	107:22
232:12	16	interior	5:14 <b>,</b> 16	<b>items</b> 26:6
	61:6,10	59:17	6:6,20	49:7
instances	64:3,19	154:6,19	8:14	51:19,20
106:3	65:19	158:21	152:1	52 <b>:</b> 7
instructed	68:15	159:17	174:15	117:18
76:5	78:14,21	227:24	176:12	149:14,21
240:13,16	79:7,15,2	244:23		,24 150:4
,23	0 80:6,16	interpret	involvemen	151:4,12
instructin	106:15	241:11	<b>t</b> 7:10	156:12 <b>,</b> 14
<b>g</b> 76:10	151:6	interrupti	30:12	<b>,</b> 23 159:7
instructio	154:8,16,	ng 66:21	130:15	166:15,21
	21 237:24	_	229:14	167:9,23,
<b>ns</b> 86:20	244:22,25	82:13	involving	25 168:6
insuda	246:11	introduce	26:1	219:12
79:6	insulative	128:2	Irwin 49:4	232:25
insufficie	60:21	introduced	247:9	<b>iter</b> 52:5
<b>nt</b> 60:6	64:10	41:16	isolated	iteration
	65:14	Introducti	200:13,15	52:6
insula 238:10	66:10	on 75:18	•	171:9
	intend		issue	
insulate	51:6	investigat	29:14	iterations
61:10	125:10	<b>ed</b> 171:24	79:23	241:21
insulated	138:24	222:14	81:24	I've
			82:1	
I	i	į l		

102:23	36:5,10,2	72:4,9,16	108:6,13,	138:9,16
	0	,21	21	140:13,21
	37:11,16,	73:12,13	109:2,7,1	<b>,</b> 25
John 2:4	22	74:20,25	2,24	141:10,16
	38:7,12,1	75:6 <b>,</b> 15	110:11,19	
3:7	8	76:4,9,15	,23	1,17,20,2
5:3,9,10,	39:3,8,18	,25	111:1,6,1	
20,24	40:6,16,2	77:12,17,	0,18,23	143:6,7,1
6:4,11,15	1	22	112:5,12,	2
,18	41:4,9,15	78:5,11	16,21	144:6,15,
7:4,9,15,	,18,19	79:22	113:2,13,	23
19	42:1,10,2	80:1,13,2	21,25	145:1,9,1
8:3,11,17				
,24	0,24	1 81:6,12	114:7,12,	
9:2,10,14	43:5,12	82:2,16,2	18	146:5,12,
,18,23	44:3,9,16	0	115:2,6,1	17
10:8,13,2	,18,25	83:7,14,2	1,21	147:1,7,1
5	45:5,19,2	4 84:4,25	116:1,7,1	
11:7,17,2	5	85:7,17	2	148:1,13,
1	46:5,10,1	86:6,17,2	117:16,23	
12:7,10,1	5,23	4 87:9	118:3,10,	
6	47:8,13,2	88:8,13	18,21,24	16,20
13:1,12,1	0,23	90:6,10,1	119:16,24	
7,25 14:7	48:8,23	8 91:1,23	120:2,10,	22
15:18	49:11,12,	92:3,8,23	15 <b>,</b> 20	151:3,9,1
16:4,25	18	93:3,10,2	121:16,21	
17:19	51:8,9,16	0,25	122:1,8,2	152:5,13,
18:3,11,1	52 <b>:</b> 9 <b>,</b> 18	94:14,17	2	20
7	53:6,12,1	95:1,13,2	123:1,7,1	
19:17,20,	6,20,23	2,25	6	22
25	54:1,2,12	96:11,16,	124:1,7,1	
20:13,20	<b>,</b> 22 <b>,</b> 25	25	6 <b>,</b> 20	15 <b>,</b> 25
21:3,11,2	55:11,12,	97:6,11,1	125 <b>:</b> 19	155:6,11,
0,21	19,23	8,25	126:2,6,1	14,21
22:12,19	56:1,3,7,	98:7,17,1	0,15,21	156:8,11,
23:11,18,	10,16,21	8,23	127:3,15	21
25	57:17 <b>,</b> 21	99:9,22	128:8,14,	157:2,10
24:10,25	59:4,5,10	100:3,11	18	158:3,15
25:6,10,1	60:19,23	101:1,4,1	129:6,13,	159:5,11
6,21	61:11,16,	4,17,21,2	17	160:1,5,9
27:12,19	24	2	130:5,18,	,12,19,23
28:25	62:23,24	102:17,22	25	161:14,24
30:11,18,	63:18 <b>,</b> 22	103:5,9,1	131:8,14	162:10,14
23	65:2,8,15	4,20,23	132:3,13	<b>,</b> 19 <b>,</b> 20
31:11,20,	<b>,</b> 22	104:3,13,	133:1,5,2	163:5,14,
25	66:1 <b>,</b> 17	24	3	20
32:8,14,2	67 <b>:</b> 5	105:7,10,	134:1,5,9	164:3,10,
32:0,14,2	69:5,6,11	18	135:1,6,1	17,24
33:2,10,1	,17,25	106:2,9,1	7,22	165:4,9,2
	70 <b>:</b> 16	7,22	136:4,9,1	4
4,19,23	71:6,15,2	107:3,11,	5	166:12,19
34:3,8,22	5	16,20	137:12,13	167:1,12,
35:1,16		•	, -	·

INQUIR	I COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 279 C	DI 300
19	198:11,17	231:4,7,8	248:16	119:6,7,1
168:5,11,	199:13,21	232:6,16,		4 186:17
16,25	200:20,21	20	jump	188:5
169:6,22	201:13,18	233:20,25	214:15	204:10
170:4,9,1	,22,24	234:4,9,1	Justice	207:3
5,20,25	202:3,15,	4	1:7	208:23
171:7,22	22	236:7,10,	justify	209:1
172:16	203:8,20,	18,23	207:5	228:16,23
173:1,9,2	25	237:8,17	241:1	large
5	204:4,11,	238:4,13		96:20
174:15,18	21	239:12,17	K	245:18
, 23	205:3,8	<b>,</b> 22		
175:20	206:1,2,9	240:15,22	<b>Kate</b> 2:3	larger
176:6,16,	<b>,</b> 17 <b>,</b> 25	241:3,8,2	kickoff	193:21
19	207:7,16	3	73:10	<b>Larry</b> 49:4
177:4,12,	208:8,17,	242:3,9,1	knew 9:5	247:9
17	23	7,23	22 <b>:</b> 6	<b>last</b> 5:10
178:10,13	209:4,8,1	243:2,7,1	23:21	14:24
,17,22	2	2,13,17,2	119:22	
179:7	210:4,24	3	134:22	22:14 24:13
180:2,12,	211:2,10,	244:4,10,	207:22,24	31:3
13,23	22	18	208:9,12	75:18
181:2,9,1	212:4,14,	245:15,24	246:4	81:12
3,20	18	246:3,7,1	lenovil odgo	103:15
182:12,17	213:14,19	4	<b>knowledge</b> 23 <b>:</b> 19	138:7
, 22	<b>,</b> 24	247:3,24	55:19 55:17	159:6
183:17,21	214:9,15,	248:3	77:24	231:1
184:9,10	20	249:13	83:7	246:14
185:12,20	215:7,12,	joint	106:19	
186:12,13	21	44:20,22	144:10	lasted
,20,25	216:3,8,1	JUDICIAL	183:22,25	181:6
187:9,13,	4,17,18,2	1:3	209:24	<b>late</b> 145:6
18	4		229:18	195:6
188:11,15	217:9,19	<b>July</b> 5:11		198:1
, 24	218:8,17,	7:19 9:24	known 23:8	202:23
189:4,7,1	24	11:9	89:21	243:10
3,19,24	219:2,15,	12:21,22	140:2	later
190:3,11,	21 220:5	13:3		56 <b>:</b> 14
20,23	221:20,24	14:1,8	L	65 <b>:</b> 8
191:1,4,1	222:5	25:23	lack	71:17
1,17,21	223:4,9	26:1,25	160:19	74:5 84:9
192:11,14	224:3,13, 19 225:25	33:24	<b>laid</b> 130:7	97:1
193:3,7,9	226:17	34:12,19	140:14	132:8,9
193:3,7,9	227:1,14,	40:24	landed	148:25
195:9,17,	21	41:5,7		168:19
18	228:15,22	45:8,21	163:10	182:23
196:1,6,9	229:6,13,	48:22,25 75:11	langua	225:18
,12,13	17,21,25		207:3	227:22
197:4,7,1	230:5,13,	133:10,12 ,14	language	232:22
6,21,25	20,25	, 14 246:21	16:5 17:2	249:3
·,,	,	∠≒∪.∠⊥		
· ·			1	

	NI COLLINGWOOD	0	1 age 200 0	1 300
layers	77:11,21	54:16	<b>line</b> 21:5	Lloyd
70:22	78:1	65:4,6	48:25	40:23
layman's	95:10	93:7,14,1	101:23	120:24
65:11	105:17	5 108:25	103:16	121:1,12,
	106:1	115:19,23	107:4,6	17,22
layouts	166:22,24	<b>,</b> 25	109:14	122:9
220:14	183:11	117:7,8	113:3,25	123:2
<b>lead</b> 40:4	213:11	199:17	114:22	<b>lo</b> 24:22
44:24	224:23,25	217:12	138:12	<b>lobby</b> 8:9
45:1 65:3	225:8,17	lesser	145:23	153:8,19
141:23	234:23	166:1	149:5,10	·
165:12	LEED's	193:15	150:24	locate
221:17	75:21,22	let's	151:4	116:23
leading	legal	177:9	155:6,17,	location
225:13	141:23	243:23	18 156:23	24:22
leads			157:14	logical
180:23,24	length	letterhead	164:10 206:20	8:21,25
	153:4	55:14	219:22	9:7
Leaf	Leonard	level	238:12	
55:13,17	26:4,10,2	12:1,5		logically
56:11	2 27:23	32:20,24	lines 42:5	17:14
learn	84:6	76:7	122:2	<b>long</b> 72:18
56:11	98:25	77:11,21	158:4	198:2
learned	99:11	84:15	208:24	longer
72:14	116:18	105:17	212:20	12:17
	119:2	106:1,16	241:24	73:4
least 23:5	124:10	128:2	link	
95:9	134:14,18	131:7,9	122:20	loss 66:22
97:15	136:19	166:22,24	<b>list</b> 3:3	lost
135:12	137:18	213:12	4:1 26:5	126:14
146:19 192:8	143:16 145:22	235:3	34 <b>:</b> 17	212:12
193:1	168:17,19	levels	49:6,15	<b>lot</b> 223:2
206:14	170:23	75 <b>:</b> 22	51:18	lots 108:4
	174:24	76:13	52:10 <b>,</b> 15	141:20
leave	177:19	78:2	53 <b>:</b> 2,4	141:20
26:11	182:24	<b>life</b> 81:20	77:10	lounge
124:20	183:15,18	82:8	80:18	143:19
150:15	,22	lift	167:17	146:23
155:1	184:16	246:23	183:3	228:3
164:7	206:19,23		listed	235:5
<b>led</b> 20:1	247:9	lighting	47 <b>:</b> 25	<b>low</b> 113:15
36:18	Leonard's	223:2	75 <b>:</b> 8	199:10
70:11	119:7	<pre>likely 6:2</pre>		lower
234:12	120:22	117:8	lists 48:5	32:20,24
LEED	120:22	185:18	50 <b>:</b> 4	113:22
70:4,8		limitation	little	199:5
75:21	less 6:20	189:8,11	10:12	217:11
76:7,10,1	20:19		14:9 62:2	
2	21:9,14	limited	186:1	lump
	28:12	7:1		113:10

111201	NI COMMINGUE	0	1 age 201 01	300
lumped	106:11	188:21	34:3,8,22	71:6,15,2
91:18	Marrocco	materials	35 <b>:</b> 1 <b>,</b> 16	5
lunch	1:7 21:16	119:4	36:5,10,2	72:4,9,16
142:25	48:10,21	212:22	0	,21
143:13	50:6,13,2	Mather 2:4	37:11,16,	73:12,13
	1 51:1,5	3:7	22	74:20,25
	53:18,21	5:3,9,10,	38:7,12,1	75:6,15
Macdonald	55 <b>:</b> 4	20,24	8 39:3,8,18	76:4,9,15
197:5,9	57 <b>:</b> 25	6:4,11,15	40:6,16,2	,25 77:12,17,
·	58:8,20	,18	1	22
MacNeil 49:5	59:1	7:4,9,15,	41:4,9,15	78:5,11
	62:1,17	19	,18,19	79:22
magnitude	67:16	8:3,11,17	42:1,10,2	80:1,13,2
112:3	68:4,13,2	,24	0,24	1 81:6,12
main 8:8	1 69:2	9:2,10,14	43:5,12	82:2,16,2
64:15	73:2,8	,18,23	44:3,9,16	0
major	98:10	10:8,13,2	,18,25	83:7,14,2
59:20	101:12,16	5	45:5,19,2	4 84:4,25
	136:17,24	11:7,17,2	5	85:7 <b>,</b> 17
management	137:3	1	46:5,10,1	86:6,17,2
26:12,18	142:9,14,	12:7,10,1	5 <b>,</b> 23	4 87:9
70:6	18,21,24	6	47:8,13,2	88:8,13
147:20	179:25	13:1,12,1	0,23	90:6,10,1
manager	180:8	7,25 14:7	48:8,23	8 91:1,23
196:25	184:2,5	15:18 16:4,25	49:11,12,	92:3,8,23
manipulate	186:3,7	17:19	18	93:3,10,2
89:5	193:25	18:3,11,1	51:8,9,16	0,25
94:19	194:15,20	7	52:9,18	94:14,17
manipulati	195:1,12	19:17,20,	53:6,12,1	95:1,13,2
on 95:3	199:25	25	6,20,23 54:1,2,12	2,25 96:11,16,
	200:18	20:13,20	,22,25	25
manufactur	205:16,22	21:3,11,2	55:11,12,	97:6,11,1
<b>e</b> 189:1	216:12	0,21	19,23	8,25
210:17	230:15	22:12,19	56:1,3,7,	98:7,17,1
manufactur	231:2	23:11,18,	10,16,21	8,23
er	248:20	25	57:17 <b>,</b> 21	99:9,22
67:20,24	249:1,12, 15	24:10,25	59:4,5,10	100:3,11
68:6,10		25:6,10,1	60:19,23	101:1,4,1
manufactur	Marron 2:9	6,21	61:11,16,	4,17,21,2
ers 23:21	<b>Marta</b> 9:24	27:12,19	24	2
207:20	13:19	28:25	62:23,24	102:17,22
Marjory	230:7	30:11,18,	63:18,22	103:5,9,1
88:19	Martin	23	65:2,8,15	4,20,23
98:25	247:4	31:11,20,	,22	104:3,13,
247:9		25	66:1,17	24
	masonry	32:8,14,2 3	67:5	105:7,10,
market	150:25	33:2,10,1	69:5,6,11	18
139:3,14	material	4,19,23	,17,25	106:2,9,1
marks		7,17,43	70:16	7,22
1	1		i	

_	1100011	KI COPPINGMOOD	09 20 2019	raye 202 0	1 300
	107:3,11,	5	166:12,19	197:4,7,1	230:5,13,
	16,20	137:12,13	167:1,12,	6,21,25	20 <b>,</b> 25
	108:6,13,	138:9,16	19	198:11 <b>,</b> 17	231:4,7,8
	21	140:13,21	168:5,11,	199:13,21	232:6,16,
	109:2,7,1	<b>,</b> 25	16,25	200:20,21	20
	2,24	141:10,16	169:6,22	201:13,18	233:20,25
	110:11,19	142:2,7,1	170:4,9,1	,22,24	234:4,9,1
	,23	1,17,20,2	5,20,25	202:3,15,	4
	111:1,6,1	3	171:7,22	22	236:7,10,
	0,18,23	143:6,7,1	172:16	203:8,20,	18,23
	112:5,12,	2	173:1,9,2	25	237:8,17
	16,21	144:6,15,	5	204:4,11,	238:4,13
	113:2,13,	23	174:15,18	21	239:12,17
	21 <b>,</b> 25	145:1,9,1	,23	205:3 <b>,</b> 8	,22
	114:7,12,	5 <b>,</b> 20	175:20	206:1,2,9	240:15,22
	18	146:5,12,	176:6,16,	<b>,</b> 17 <b>,</b> 25	241:3,8,2
	115:2,6,1	17	19	207:7,16	3
	1,21	147:1,7,1	177:4,12,	208:8,17,	242:3,9,1
	116:1,7,1	6 <b>,</b> 22	17	23	7,23
	2	148:1,13,	178:10,13	209:4,8,1	243:2,7,1
	117:16,23	24	,17,22	2	2,13,17,2
	118:3,10,	149:8,13,	179:7	210:4,24	3
	18,21,24	16,20	180:2,12,	211:2,10,	244:4,10,
	119:16,24	150:8,14,	13,23	22	18
	120:2,10,	22	181:2,9,1	212:4,14,	245:15,24
	15,20	151:3,9,1	3,20	18	246:3,7,1
	121:16,21	6 <b>,</b> 25	182:12,17	213:14,19	4
	122:1,8,2	152:5,13,	<b>,</b> 22	,24	247:3,24
	2	20	183:17,21 184:9,10	214:9,15, 20	248:3 249:13
	123:1,7,1 6	153:1,14, 22			
	124:1,7,1	154:1,10,	185:12,20 186:12,13	215:7,12, 21	matter
	6,20	15,25	,20,25	216:3,8,1	72:19
	125:19	155:6,11,	187:9,13,	4,17,18,2	88:15
	126:2,6,1	14,21	18	4	113:8
	0,15,21	156:8,11,	188:11,15	217:9,19	145:5
	127:3,15	21	,24	218:8,17,	207:9
	128:8,14,	157:2,10	189:4,7,1	24	matters
	18	158:3,15	3,19,24	219:2,15,	195:10
	129:6,13,	159:5,11	190:3,11,	21 220:5	may 6:8
	17	160:1,5,9	20,23	221:20,24	15:3 17:5
	130:5,18,	,12,19,23	191:1,4,1	222:5	24:15
	25	161:14,24	1,17,21	223:4,9	28:7 30:9
	131:8,14	162:10,14	192:11,14	224:3,13,	53:4
	132:3,13	<b>,</b> 19 <b>,</b> 20	,22	19 225:25	57:13 <b>,</b> 15
	133:1,5,2	163:5,14,	193:3,7,9	226:17	60:9
	3	20	194:17	227:1,14,	69:22 <b>,</b> 23
	134:1,5,9	164:3,10,	195:9,17,	21	86:25
	135:1,6,1	17,24	18	228:15,22	91:3 95:3
	7 <b>,</b> 22	165:4,9,2	196:1,6,9	229:6,13,	100:20,24
	136:4,9,1	4	,12,13	17,21,25	105:5
-1				l	

INQUIF	KI COTITINGMOOD	09-20-2019	rage 200 C	11 300
108:22	, 25	46:4,7,15	5	120:1,5,1
118:14	8:7,13,19	,24	86:16 <b>,</b> 23	3
127:21	9:1,3,13,	47:3,12,1	87 <b>:</b> 5	121:13 <b>,</b> 19
128:6	16	5,22	88:3 <b>,</b> 11	<b>,</b> 25
129:19	10:11,16	48:2,15	89:18	122:3,16,
132:16	11:2,11,1	49:13,14,	90:9,12,2	24
136:10	9,25	23	2 91:9	123:6,13,
138:16	12:9,14,1	50:10,17,	92 <b>:</b> 12	20
148:13	8 13:4,23	24 51:2,4	93:1,6,17	124:4,22
165:17	15:15,24	52:2,14,2	94:11,16,	125:15,21
167:13	16:15	1	21	126:5,9,1
173:5,23	17:11,22	53:1,9,15	95:7,21,2	2,19
213:9	18:9,15	54:4,21,2	3	127:1,13,
221:7,11	19:7,19,2	4	96:4,14,1	21
232:14	4	55:5,18,2	9	128:12,17
243:5	20:6,15,2	2,25	97:5,8,13	,24
248:2,13	2 21:9	56:2,6,9,	,24	129:11 <b>,</b> 16
249:6	22:5,18	14	98:6,9,24	· ·
<b>maybe</b> 13:6	23:1,13,2	57:16,20,	100:10,19	-
17:16	2 24:8,18	24	101:3,11	131:6,10,
42:16	25:4,9,14	58:1,7,15	102:9,25	21 <b>,</b> 25
85:5	,22 26:13	, 25	103:8,13,	
127:22	27:4,9,17	60:14,22	19 <b>,</b> 22	133:3,13,
128:3	28:24	61:3,14,2	104:12,17	
157:2	29:2	2 62:8,21	105:5,9,1	
165:18	30:8,14,2	63:16,19	4,23	5
170:7	2	64:9	106:8,13,	
185:20	31:10,16,	65:4,12,2	21	1
199:14	24	0,24	107:1,10,	
242:24	32:4,12,1	66:4,25	19,25	2,21
<b>mayor</b> 31:5	9,25 33:7,13,1	67:13 68:1,8,19	108:12,15 109:1,6,1	
33:20	7,22	,24	7	20
34:1	34:2,20	69:9,21	110:5,17,	
120:24	35:3,15	70:14	21,24	141:5,14,
121:1,11,	36:4,9,16	71:2,9,19	111:3,9,1	
17,22	37:1,6,13	72:2,6,13	3,22	143:12,25
123:2	,19	,19,23	112:2,10,	
McDowell	38:2,9,17	73:5,9	15,19,25	, 25
2:13	39:1,7,14	74 <b>:</b> 19	113:5,15,	
	40:1,11	75:14,24	23	146:16 <b>,</b> 25
McGrann	41:2,7,11	76:8,14	114:3,11,	
2:3	,21	77:5,15,1	14,24	1,25
215:22	42:4,13,2	9 78:3	115:4,9,1	148:6,23
McNalty	3	79:17 <b>,</b> 25	9,22	149:7,12,
3:5	43:3,10,1	80:11,17	116:6	15 <b>,</b> 19
5:4,5,7,1	4	81:5,9,25	117:10,21	150:3,13,
8,21	44:6,13,1	82:6,18	<b>,</b> 25	18,21
6:2,8,14,	7,22	83:5,10,2	118:6,16,	151:2,8,1
16,25	45:3,14,2	1 84:23	20 <b>,</b> 22	5 <b>,</b> 21
7:6,11,18	4	85:3,16,2	119:15,21	152:4,7,1

	KI COLLINGWOOD	05 20 2015	rage 204 C	)I 300
8,23	17	23	94:7,20	1,23 52:7
153:5,21,	188:7,13,	219:1,10,	125:20	72:10 <b>,</b> 22
25	22	20 220:4	128:19	73:10
154:3,14,	189:3,5,1	221:14,23	131:10	97:15
18	0,17,23	222:21	140:21	126:7
155:13,20	190:2,9,1	223:8	141:24	134:12
156:2,10,	7,22,25	224:2,9,1	143:21	202:25
16,24	191:3,10,	7 225:20	152:5	203:5,9
157:11,21	15,18,23	226:11,24	158:21	207:9
158:6,20	192:13,16	227:7,19	185:4	214:22
159:9,17	,20,23	228:14,20	191:22	247:13,18
160:4,8,1	193:5,8,2	229:2,9,1	211:10	,21,23,24
1,17,22	0	6,20,24	222:19	248:3,5,7
161:4,22	194:1,13	230:12	232:6,8	meetings
162:5,23	195:3,18	231:8	235:17	11:20
163:4,12,	196:24	232:3,8,1	meaning	24:19
17,24	197:6,10,	9 233:18	91:15	41:5,10,2
164:8,14,	18,23	234:3,6,1	91:13	l ' '
23	198:8,15,	1 235:21	means	2 45:20
165:3,7,1	23	236:9,15,	11:15,18	51:24
7	199:19,22	20,24	27 <b>:</b> 10	52:3,4 82:8
166:7,17,	200:8	237:3,14	meant	
20	201:11,15	238:2,8	19 <b>:</b> 18	mem 21:22
167:9,16,	,21,23	239:10,16	57 <b>:</b> 14	member
23 168:10	202:2,10,	<b>,</b> 20	87 <b>:</b> 23	63:6
169:21	20	240:14,17	175:7	85:14
170:2,6,1	203:7,13,	<b>,</b> 25	193:15	123:25
3,24	24	241:7,10	222:20	187:15
171:5,21	204:2,6,1	242:1,8		members
172:11,25	7 <b>,</b> 25	243:15,21	mechanical	
173:4,18	205:6,10,	244:1,7,1	108:3	34:10
174:10,17	21	7	media	49:20
175:13,23	206:7,12,	245:6,20	56 <b>:</b> 15	123:3,14
176:15,18	24	246:2,5,1	meet 26:13	127:25 128:10
,25 177:6	207:2,11	3,18	48:14	132:16
178:8,12,	208:7,12,	247:2,22	209:17	182:24
15,19	19	248:1,6,2	225:12	209:25
179:4,12	209:3,7,1	5		231:10
180:3,17,	0	249:5,14,	meeting	234:13
25	210:2,22	18,23	5:12 7:20	247:11
181:8,12,	211:1,5,1	McNalty's	11:9	
16	5	230:16	12:21,23	membrane
182:4,15	212:2,12,		40:22	16:22
183:16,20	17	mean 20:14	41:1,3	21:22
, 24	213:1,7,1	29:22	42:21	22:7,17
184:4,7	7	33:3 38:8	45:23	24:15
185:5,15,	214:8,14	41:12	48:3,13 49:2,5,8,	35:21
24	215:6,22	42:2 50:7	13,15	59:22
186:6,10,	216:23	51:2	50:1,7,25	60:2,24
16,24	217:8,15	68:5,23,2	51:3,11,2	02.10,13
187:7,10,	218:6,14,	4 90:21	01.0,11,2	63:6

	RI COLLINGWOOD	0 0 20 2019	1 agc 200 c	71 300
64:2,3	243:14	mezzanine/	117:3	modified
78:24	mention	lounge	118:16	225:12
79:9,19	29:19	221:3	minimum	modify
80:25	187:2	million	15:2,3,20	123:23
81:3,18,2	226:18	92:24	16:8 17:4	
0	242:10	101:25		moment
82:5,9,11		102:4,5,8	minute	35:3 81:1
,14,15	mentioned	104:4,6	138:8	83:23
91:5,12	138:19	105:11	minutes	215:17
99:15	148:1	107:4,5,2	55:6 84:9	Monday
100:6,13	248:7	4 108:9	98:12	195:4
115:13	mentions	146:22	181:6	249:16,19
119:5	80:1	171:11,14	194:21	money
129:1,25	merits	198:19,24	miscellane	114:3
146:7	24:21	201:5,8,1	ous	
161:16,17		9,25	109:15	month
162:21	messages	214:4,11,	111:19	20:16
166:3,23	188:14	25	112:17,22	132:8
173:14	met 166:24	215:1,16	164:15	133:9
184:23	205:4	216:11	218:19	months
185:23	metal 66:5	217:3	219:9	132:8
188:4		218:9		morning
194:6,11	method	220:2,3,1	misconcept	5:3 <b>,</b> 5
196:14	91:15	0 221:13	ion	88:24
201:4,8	93:9	224:5,15	232:4,7	89:1
207:21	methods	225:18	misreading	145:22
208:1,10	240:3	228:11,24	173:23	170:23
223:14,21 225:7	meting	229:7,8	missed	175 <b>:</b> 6
227:25	49:20	mind 7:16	21:14	202:25
228:7		12:10	28 <b>:</b> 7	203:5
235:15	mezzanine	17:19		207:10
237:21	53:3,11,1	43:13,15	<b>missing</b> 148:11	226:13
238:22	4 143:19	45:4	148:11	mortar
240:2	144:4	96:16,19	mitigate	8:2,4
244:24	145:3,12 146:23	112:18	141:25	26:8
245:7	147:12	115:16	mitigated	28:2,15
	148:18	128:20	67 <b>:</b> 10	88:21
membranes	150:5	130:6	model	172:2,8,2
62:6	152:2	151 <b>:</b> 16	202:14	2
memo 55:14	153:3,24	158:15	240:10	173:13,20
232:23,25	157:25	192:5	241:13	187:22
233:10,13	158:2,8,1	195:9		
,15,21	4,22	198:20	modelling	move 17:25
234:2	159:14,20	205:10	60:6	54:25
236:23	,25	232 <b>:</b> 4	239:25	55 <b>:</b> 1
memoran	171:18	236:3	240:13,24	moved
54:19	217:23	minds 11:9	241:10	24:22
memorandum	219:18	minimal	242:5	233:13
9:25	235:5		modellings	moving
7.25		18:25	246:1	27:10
1	1			

TNOHTRY	COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 286 of 308
INCOILI	COTTTINGMOOD	09-20-2019	rage 200 OI 300

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 286 c	of 308
87:20	204:19	51:11	164:22	41:25
138:15	207:14	110:6	offering	42:8,18
142:10,12	nine 64:2	214:9	118:9	121:6
multiple		nothing		206:16
70:21	148:4,20 195:6	69:9	210:17	<b>open</b> 10:3
	193:6	237:15	<b>Oh</b> 53:21	l -
multi-use	ninety-	237:15	249:17	12:11,15, 17 34:23
20:18,23	five	notice	<b>okay</b> 29:6	46:10
myself	148:4,21	48:16	35:16	51:10
8:20 52:4	ninety-one	notion	45:23	54:7
58:17	171 <b>:</b> 12	31:20	51 <b>:</b> 4	69:11
127:24		42:21	53 <b>:</b> 22	74:20
130:17	ninety-two	86:11	62 <b>:</b> 17	139:17,25
163:18	214:4	159:5	66:1	145:25
	nobody	<b>np</b> 2:13,15	68 <b>:</b> 13	157:5
	137:7	-	73 <b>:</b> 8	168:20
nature	183:7,8,1	num 149:1	74 <b>:</b> 25	186:21
11:12	1		97 <b>:</b> 25	212:22
38:23	non-design	0	100:11	215:7
60:3,25	112:14	objective	101:16,17	242:12
76:2		110:24,25	103:14,23	
78:24	none	111:15	111:6	opened
79:14	167:17	158:24,25	113:2	46:19
116:2,4,2	232:3	164:9	124:20	opening
5 137:21	non-	objectivit	145:15	140:1
166:8	penetrabl	y 194:14	148:24	<b>oper</b> 28:1
168:3	<b>e</b> 70:19	_	149:20	_
179:17	noon	obtained	150:8,22	operat
193:6	134:20	27:14	152:20	193:12
	135:14	54:18	156:11	operating
necessaril	174:25	231:10	173 <b>:</b> 1	14:21
<b>y</b> 19:14	184:16	obvious	195:12	26:16
necessary		242:4	200:18,25	169:7,9,1
18:20	normal	occurred	216:24	8,19,24
57 <b>:</b> 19	33:11	198:3	219:21	170:10
151 <b>:</b> 17	59:24	214:21	230:20	174:2,5,1
negative	78:14		234:9	3 190:6
67 <b>:</b> 9	114:14,16 138:18	occurring	249:12,22	191:2,24
		86:22	ones 23:8	240:8
negotiate 229:22	normally	o'clock	42:19	operation
	113:11	195 <b>:</b> 7	101:13	29:3
negotiatio	North	249:16	144:17	70:13
<b>n</b> 229:14	119:11,18	October	234:11	169:16
<b>net</b> 169:14	120:8	231:9	One's	operationa
	121:8,24	232:22	208:21	1 28:1
Neubauer	note	232:22		29:15
2:18	164:24		ongoing	169:11
neverthele	220:24	<b>odd</b> 68:18	37 <b>:</b> 7	193:13
<b>ss</b> 167:5	220:24	offered	Ontario	
night		22:16	1:20	operator
	notes 49:5			10:14

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 287 c	of 308
47:1	71:11	50:25	18:2,22	7 75:2
162:12	166:4	organized	19:8	103:10,15
		51:3	67 <b>:</b> 14	107:17
opinion 94:12	options	31:3	73 <b>:</b> 25	126:4
123:13		orient	109:23	150:23
205:7,9	16:1,6,7,	35:3	200:12	155:1
203:7,9	10,17 35:25	origin	245:22,23	157 <b>:</b> 14
opportunit	36:6	36:14	overlap	169:2
<b>ies</b> 14:19	50:17		141:7	170:16
opportunit	59:18	original		195:22
<b>y</b> 15:5	89:21	166:9	overlaps	219:22
39:23	97:14,22	217:6	138:12	220 <b>:</b> 25
40:1	105:16,19	originated	overseeing	221:8 <b>,</b> 10
43:24	,24 106:9	48:1	6 <b>:</b> 12	222 <b>:</b> 6 <b>,</b> 7
65:10,18	124:25	<b>Oth</b> 195:5		223:10,19
115:23	124:25		owner	234:1,15
139:20	129:4,14	others 6:8	139:19	244:11
159:12	130:7,19	176:17		pages
166:11	130:7,13	197:19,22	Р	10:18
194:18	136:8	otherwise	<b>p.m</b> 73:22	
203:14	144:21	89:9	99:10	painting
238:19	163:7,8,1	95:15	137:14	157:3,15,
	5,16	195:5	143:3,4	22 <b>,</b> 24
opposed	164:1	ourselves	176:8	panel
20:19 22:10	166:8,9	138:23	177:19,22	59 <b>:</b> 25
30:25	167:17,20	247:12	178:1	78 <b>:</b> 16
38:5	187:24		181:5,22	panels
116:3	224:1	outcome	182:23	78:18
138:7	234:21	185:21	184:13,17	
159:15	order	outcomes	194:23,24	<b>par</b> 223:18
165:5,13,		96:8	196:7	paragraph
21 199:15	77:3,14 112:2	185:18	233:8	9:19 <b>,</b> 23
217:3	135:10	outdoor	250:1	14:11,12
221:18	136:1	184:24	<b>pace</b> 10:14	25 <b>:</b> 17
	138:23		package	29:23
option	148:20	<pre>outline 59:19</pre>	74:7	30:24
18:21 21:23	182:9	39:19	164:20	34:4,13
	189:21	outputs	<b>pad</b> 5:13	40:17,21
44:12 89:2	211:18	241:16	18:24	46:11,16
90:20	213:10	outset	99:13	48:9
90.20	220:23	69:18	101:23	49:1,4
97:23	222:24		185:3	56 <b>:</b> 17
105:21	225:1	outside	222:15	59:6,10,1
118:8	234:17	16:24 64:2	223:20	5 60:8
129:2	ondona			61:25
130:2	orders 118:14,21	66:7,9,20	<b>page</b> 3:2	62:25
184:22		,23 108:1,19	4:2	63:1
187:22	organizati	239:5	14:9,25	73:14,18
188:2	on 241:21		17:1 18:4	74:4
	organize	overall	24:11	75:18
optional		14:16	70:1,16,1	81:13,19

111201	. CODDINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 200 C	)I 300
83:25	8:15	pasted	92:1,6	195:15,24
87:10	23:10,12	96:23	93:23	196:22
93:21	47 <b>:</b> 16	<b>path</b> 16:3	94:9 95:5	198:6
98:19	204:23	18:25	98:21	203:11
99:4	241:15	19:18	99:7,20	204:15
116:8,18,	park		100:1,17	210:20
24 118:25	8:14,16	<b>Paul</b> 2:7	102:20	211:13
120:16,20	9:5 12:12	56:4	103:3	212:10,24
121:2	14:17	<b>PAUSE</b> 9:21	104:1	213:5,22
124:8,21	15:5	10:6	105:3	214:18
133:6	18:2,5,13	13:15	106:25	215:10,19
134:6,10	,20,25	14:5	107:14	216:1,6,2
136:16	21:2	15:13 <b>,</b> 22	109:10	1 217:17
137:14	28:17	16:13	110:3	218:12
142:12,13	29:10	17:9 19:5	116:10	222:3
143:8,13	39:15,16	20:4,11	120:18	225:23
145:16	45:16	22:3,24	122:6,14	226:9,15,
168:12	57 <b>:</b> 7	25 <b>:</b> 19	123:11,18	
169:19	84:10	27:2,7	124:14,18	228:18
173:5,6	97 <b>:</b> 17	30:6,16	126:25	229:11
174:4,18	100:5	31:14	127:11	230:3,23
176:7	109:14	32:6,17	128:22	232:1
182:18	164:4	33:5	129:21	233:23
184:11,18	185:3	34:6,25	130:11	235:19
187:1,19	187:25	36:2,24	131:4,19,	236:13
190:3	192:1	37:4,25	23	237:1
191:12	217:25	39:12	143:10,23	
200:25	218:3	40:14,19	145:18	243:19
206:18	222:15,24	46:13,21	146:3,10	Pausing
207:16	parking	47:6	150:1	28:20
213:20	108:3	51:14	151:19	30:2
214:16,20	223:1,2	52:12,24	155:4,9	35 <b>:</b> 22
220:6,8	·	53:25	157:8	<b>pay</b> 202:19
222:12	participan	54:10	162:8,17	
223:10	t 178:11	56:19	165:15	payment
224:22	particular	59:8	167:7	229:18
227:22,23	112:17	60:12	168:14,23 169:4	peers
230:1,5,2 1 231:15	141:22	69:15	169:4	140:6
232:21	particular	73:16	170:18	pending
232:21	ly 68:9	74:23	172:14	127:6
234:16	158:21	75 <b>:</b> 4	174:8,21	
238:14		76 <b>:</b> 23	174:0,21	penetrate
239:22	partly	78:9	176:4,23	66:15
242:9	100:20	83:3,12,1	177:15	people
246:15	<b>party</b> 54:4	9 84:2,21	178:6	26:14
	passed	85:23 86:14	179:2	54 <b>:</b> 5
paragraphs	102:23	86:14 87:3,7	181:18	175:25
27:21		87:3,7 88:1	182:20	<b>per</b> 60:15
116:22	past 25:3	88:1 89:16	192:18	158:12
parameters	160:6,10	91:7,21	193:18	159:1
		J1.1,41		

INQUIRY	COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page	289	of :	308
2			5 -		-	

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	<u> </u>	Page 289 c	)1 3U8 
165:13	5:23,25	123:8	26:24	66:14
perceived	6:1 37:8	135:7	28:17	68:11
156:6	48:23	138:10	n1.n+	69:8 70:3
130:0	58:18	160:18	plant	71:1,22
percent	68:25		245:16,21	73:4 76:3
103:10,17	88:5	phase	please	80:14
109:15	126:5	18:20	97:19	82:10
110:1,13	156:4	28:16	125:9	84:14
111:20	161:4	phased	189:18	85:1,13,1
112:13	197:19	17:14,20	220:12	8 88:8,12
113:4,13,		phasing	233:4	89:19
17	period 7:2	17:18	244:11	90:23
114:1,10,	13:3		nluggod	92:15
13,19,23	33:24	phone	<b>plugged</b> 89:1	93:10
115:15	41:12,13	34:16		94:6 95:1
118:12	45:11	38:24	90:20,21 100:21	96:1,8,20
165:25	120:3	39:2,4	100:21	,22 98:3
191:6	132:8	178:3,9,1	plumbing	99:4
218:16,20	138:14	1,14	168:1	100:19
,21	139:4,9,1	179:11,18	<b>plus</b> 129:2	101:1
219:3,4,5	5,17,18	181:3,4,1	219:8	102:1,16,
, 8	140:5,8,9	0,14		24 104:16
	<b>,</b> 15	photovolta	<b>PM</b> 175:1	111:12
percentage	141:17,25	ics 168:2	point	113:24
109:16	142:3		11:22,23	115:16
113:10,12	237:9	physically	12:3,8,15	117:14,24
,14,16,22 118:11	permit	158:22	<b>,</b> 18 13:10	118:1,6
165:6,13,	112:3	<pre>picked 7:2</pre>	22 <b>:</b> 6	119:14
21 166:1	165:19	113:22	24:1,6,14	121:21
218:25	permits	172:16	26:24	124:2
	109:15,20	picture	27 <b>:</b> 13	126:1,22
percentage	111:19,20	191:19	30:2	127:6
<b>s</b> 146:21	,21 117:4		31:3,18,2	128:20
171:19	164:11	piece 21:2	1 34:18	129:7,12,
perception	191:5	122:10	36:11	18
140:18	218:19	170:10	38:13 <b>,</b> 19	130:3,19,
141:2,8,2	219:9	245:18	39:9,10	20
1		pieces	43:13,14	132:13,24
perfectly	permitting	175:24	45:23	133:12
31:6	111:24	placed	46:5	134:1,13,
	person	63:24	48:11,20	22 135:18
performanc	31:4	162:25	49:20	136:19
<b>e</b> 63:3,7	32:3,11	163:1	50:1,2	137:24
70:5	34:11		53:4	139:6
78:12	personal	placeholde	54:23	140:11
245:8,10,	147:18	<b>r</b> 101:2	55:19	141:4,11
13,17,23		115:13	56:10	145:5,7
performed	perspectiv	135:24	57:15,19,	147:11,17
240:4,8	<b>e</b> 20:21	placeholde	23 59:17	159:6
242:11	85:6	<b>rs</b> 185:10	61:2,4	160:14,18
nerhans	117:18	<b>plan</b> 18:2	65 <b>:</b> 17	161:5,6
perhaps		pran 10.2		

162:23	129:3,17,	potential	precipitat	153:18
163:11,12	24	18:24	<b>ed</b> 13:2	158:18
171:5	130:1,8,2	24:12	precluded	159:15
173:3	2 137:16	32:9,11	58:11	161:1,19,
175:21	143:16	36:6	20.11	20 164:6
176:12,20	145:23	39:16	pre-eng	166:2,14
177:6	169:10,16	43:8 52:1	8:2,4,8	167:4
182:3	<b>,</b> 19	67 <b>:</b> 9	16 <b>:</b> 21	172:4,19,
183:19,23	184:24	101:24	35 <b>:</b> 19	22 173:21
185:17	185:23	110:13	36 <b>:</b> 7	188:16
188:8,18,	186:21	114:5	50:12,20	189:1
25 192:25	193:11	118:14	64:15,25	190:13
199:4	198:12	129:18	95 <b>:</b> 9	199:18
200:4,11	245:11	139:15	106:14	201:6
201:16	246:12,22	141:2,20	112:22	202:6,7
202:4	,24	167:22	128 <b>:</b> 25	210:17
205:1,7,9	pools	174:5	153 <b>:</b> 7	212:1,7,1
209:9	119:18	189:16	166:22	5 214:10
213:2	120:4,11	191:20	189 <b>:</b> 5	225:16
214:24	121:5,8,2	207:5	200:11,13	226:3
218:1	4	212:8	202:13	228:10
225:15		239:6,14	213:11	234:19
231:23	populate	potentiall	220:14	prefab
244:3	136:1	y 17:20	pre-engin	28:9
248:9	portion	115:13	212:6	
249:10	187:16			prefabrica
		210:16	nro-engine	1 1 0 6 0
pointed		210:16	pre-engine	<b>ted</b> 26:8
pointed 165:10	portions	practice	35:19	<b>ted</b> 26:8 194:8
165:10	portions 69:18	<pre>practice 113:7</pre>	35:19 <b>pre</b> -	194:8 <pre>preferred</pre>
165:10 points	portions 69:18 position	practice 113:7 PRC	35:19 pre- engineere	194:8 <pre>preferred 41:24</pre>
165:10  points  12:6 65:6	portions 69:18 position 123:21	<pre>practice     113:7 PRC     5:23,25</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13,	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21,	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25	<pre>portions   69:18  position   123:21   131:1,13,   15 189:25</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19	<pre>portions   69:18  position   123:21   131:1,13,   15 189:25  possess</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15	<pre>portions   69:18  position   123:21   131:1,13,   15 189:25</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3	<pre>portions   69:18  position   123:21   131:1,13,   15 189:25  possess</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13	<pre>portions   69:18  position   123:21   131:1,13,   15 189:25  possess   183:7</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25	<pre>portions    69:18  position    123:21    131:1,13,    15 189:25  possess    183:7  possibilit    y 24:7</pre>	<pre>practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  pre 24:3</pre>	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible	practice 113:7 PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10 PRCAC 234:13 pre 24:3 78:14	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8	<pre>portions    69:18  position    123:21    131:1,13,    15 189:25  possess    183:7  possibilit    y 24:7  possible    24:23</pre>	practice 113:7 PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10 PRCAC 234:13 pre 24:3 78:14 82:23	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7	practice 113:7 PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10 PRCAC 234:13 pre 24:3 78:14 82:23 100:5	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23	practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  pre 24:3     78:14     82:23     100:5     159:22	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21	practice 113:7 PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10 PRCAC 234:13 pre 24:3 78:14 82:23 100:5 159:22 162:2	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8	practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  pre 24:3     78:14     82:23     100:5     159:22	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1	practice 113:7  PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10  PRCAC 234:13  pre 24:3 78:14 82:23 100:5 159:22 162:2 171:10	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17  54:6 99:2	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1 168:3,6	practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  pre 24:3     78:14     82:23     100:5     159:22     162:2     171:10     173:11	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7 116:4	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare 11:1
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17  54:6 99:2  116:21	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1 168:3,6  posted	practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  pre 24:3     78:14     82:23     100:5     159:22     162:2     171:10     173:11     200:10	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7 116:4 124:24	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare 11:1 45:10
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17  54:6 99:2  116:21  117:17	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1 168:3,6  posted 38:11	practice 113:7  PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10  PRCAC 234:13  pre 24:3 78:14 82:23 100:5 159:22 162:2 171:10 173:11 200:10 220:1	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7 116:4 124:24 129:9	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare 11:1 45:10 46:8
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17  54:6 99:2  116:21  117:17  118:5,11	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1 168:3,6  posted 38:11 133:18	practice 113:7  PRC 5:23,25 6:17 174:12 231:10  PRCAC 234:13  pre 24:3 78:14 82:23 100:5 159:22 162:2 171:10 173:11 200:10 220:1 224:7	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7 116:4 124:24 129:9 132:21	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare 11:1 45:10 46:8 90:11
165:10  points  12:6 65:6  77:8  105:25  138:19  207:15  233:3  pool 5:13  20:24,25  21:1,5  29:8  38:20,25  39:6,16,2  0,22,25  40:4,9  47:3,17  54:6 99:2  116:21  117:17	portions 69:18  position 123:21 131:1,13, 15 189:25  possess 183:7  possibilit y 24:7  possible 24:23 44:4,7 99:23 114:21 122:8 153:1 168:3,6  posted 38:11	practice     113:7  PRC     5:23,25     6:17     174:12     231:10  PRCAC     234:13  Pre 24:3     78:14     82:23     100:5     159:22     162:2     171:10     173:11     200:10     220:1     224:7     225:10	35:19  pre- engineere d 59:14,21, 25 67:12 74:15 76:6 77:3 80:3,9,15 ,24 81:14 90:8 99:14 101:6,14 102:3 108:10,24 109:3 112:1 115:7 116:4 124:24 129:9	194:8  preferred 41:24 42:2,8,17 185:1 186:14,22 206:15  preliminar y 73:20 127:17 133:15,17  preparatio n 6:21 14:21 108:2 139:5  prepare 11:1 45:10 46:8

prepared	195:8	primarily	proceeded	15 <b>:</b> 25
54:19	presumably	9:4	39:19	37 <b>:</b> 12
56:24	29:6	111:22	proceeding	59:12
57 <b>:</b> 2	189:20	147:13	86:4	74:6 84:5
58:13		primary	196:14	86:6,18,2
74:10	prevented	59:19		5 87:13
100:4	206:10,13		proceeds	230:7,11
119:2	preventing	prior	117:9	procuremen
135:23	237:11	30:3,8	process	t 32:9
143:15	previous	35:23	6:13 7:12	45:22
208:13	6:22 52:3	36:5 39:3	11:24	132:18
232:24	187:3	56:3	17 <b>:</b> 13	138:10,18
233:10,15	188:13	85:18	45 <b>:</b> 21	140:16
preparing	198:9	88:12	46:3,6	141:13,18
5:15,16	201:7	97:14	49:17	142:4
7:5,7	215:13	125:12	62 <b>:</b> 11	181:24
45:9,12	225:25	126:15	68 <b>:</b> 20	183:2
189:25	226:2	127:22	92:13 <b>,</b> 22	205:1,5
208:11		prob	104:22	206:21
	previously	248:12	111:5,14	
present	3:5 5:7	probably	115:1 <b>,</b> 20	producing
41:23	125:18	7:7 71:22	116:3	208:2
43:6	193:12	96:9	117:14	product
51:25	227:9	156:3	130:15	22:21
52:7	247:23	186:18	132:25	119:20
88:18	price	203:15	133:4	prog
131:11,13	98:1,5	204:9	137:21	165 <b>:</b> 23
,15	102:3	218:15	138:1,13,	
178:20	118:8		18 139:7	project
247:25	161:16	problem	140:14,19	8:14,18
248:13	164:1,20,	140:18	141:1,2,7	
presented	22 173:10	141:9	148:9	17:14,15,
15:20	201:8	proceed	160:14	21 18:5
28:18	229:22	12:12	161:3	43:24
36:6	238:12	42:12	165:11	67:21
41:23	248:10	43:8	173 <b>:</b> 21	73:10
42:14,17	priced	44:4,5	181 <b>:</b> 25	82:22
43:16	237:13	117:17	198:14	109:23
44:14,16		118:4	199:23	112:4,9
52:15	prices	129:9,25	200:9	117:2,9,1
71:3	76:20	130:3	211:8,20,	2 <b>,</b> 15
105:16	97:21	132:19,21	25	138:22,24
141:8	pricing	170:12	241:14,15	139:5
185:6	26:7,11,1	185:22	processed	140:3,11 165:23
press	5,19	186:8	237:4	
179:21	27:14,16	204:22	Proctor	166:8 186:9
	50:8	205:13	6:19 <b>,</b> 20	190:9
pressure 123:23	71:16,18	206:5	8:19,20 8:19 9:25	192:8
237:10	83:9	211:6	11:4,8,22	193:5
	189:2	214:22	13:19	200:12
pressured			13.13	200.12

	NI CODDINGWOOD	09 20 2019		
212:21	prospect	73:19	189:20	140:14
234:25	179:23	75:1,25	210:12	154:16
241:19	protection	79:11	220:9	189:21
249:4	70:20	80:12	public	203:1
projects		81:8	140:1,12	207:1
43:22	protective	91:4,12		234:5
114:17	70:22	101:8	published	purposes
138:21	protocol	105:20	240:6	113:11
147:19	135:12	106:3	242:10	114:15
199:7		120:23	<b>pull</b> 9:18	183:2
	prove	122:17	13:12	
prompted	183:8	135:2	34:3	pursued
69:7	provide	136:22	53:16	21:8
properly	8:1,12	143:15	56 <b>:</b> 16	<b>push</b> 42:21
105:6	10:23	144:1	99:16	pushed
properties	23:14	148:3	102:17	42:14
60:21	29:24	170:1,5	107 <b>:</b> 12	161:7
64:10	38:6	172:10,12	143:7	
65:14	47:21	180:14	177 <b>:</b> 12	puts
	63:2,13	213:9	195:11	123:20,21
proposal	72:7 83:8	215:2	222:1	,22
44:20,23	89:24	219:17	233:20	putting
71:16	99:13	220:18	242:20	45:18
75:23	102:25	225 <b>:</b> 18	pulled	134:24
89:22	104:13	226:5	14:2	139:11
200:15	107:22	227:18,24	148:19	199:5
204:22	122:2,9	234:21		PVC
proposals	123:4	235:3,7,1	pulling	81:15,22
140:5,11	135:25	2	102:11	82:4
187:3	145:7,23	238:6,10,	punched	02.4
propose	169:17	25	155:15	
47:18	183:11	239:9,11,	purchase	Q
195:2	184:21,25	18,19	32:9	qualificat
215:21	185:7	244:2	205:15	ion 235:4
	209:22	246:6	206:4	qualify
proposed	210:8 211:17	provides		224:25
18:5	220:22	24:3	purchasing	question
19:1,18	220.22	34:16	204:24	12:11,15,
40:24	234:17	35:23	purely	17 15:16
73:21 75:20		121:1,3	156:6	47:24
95:8	provided	233:9	purlins	49:19
	15:4 17:5	244:19,20	64:16,20	55:3
211:18,21 220:13	22:1,21	245:2	•	87 <b>:</b> 12
224:24	25:11	providing	purpose	106:11
234:18,23	35:11	26:7	10:10,19	126:13
	45:15	78 <b>:</b> 21	17:6	133:19,20
proposing	50:18	80:6	24:23	167:2,13
71:14	52:10	93:13	30:21	179:16
178:24	53:5	97:16	31:12 47:13	180:1,7,9
<b>pros</b> 119:3	69:19,23	120:21	47:13 63:12	182:3,8,1
	70:10		00:12	

INQUI	KI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 293 C	01 300
1,14	<b>R30</b> 106:15	readable	recall	122:4
212:13	<b>R-30</b> 63:8	175:16	11:19,22	125:12
221:19	78:22	180:20	12:9	126:16
232:14	79:7	reader	19:25	128:9
236:3	80:10	228:24	23:22	129:16
238:24			25 <b>:</b> 4	130:23
240:20,22	radiant	reading	27 <b>:</b> 12	163:14,17
247:4,17	167:24	16:18	30:2,14,1	,19,20
248:17	raise	172:24	9,22 31:8	165:2,3
questionin	209:8	173:19	34:18	170:3
<b>g</b> 52:4	raised	200:1 248:11	36 <b>:</b> 6	175:7
questions	206:3	248:11	37:2,8,13	
27:22	214:23	ready	38:23	179:7
63:12	234:7	134:20	39:7	181:13
89:12	248:16	135:2	40:6,11,2	
101:5		realistic	5	183:14
139:20,21	raising	234:17	41:11,16,	
,22 169:1	209:5		19	192:6,11
214:23	range	realized	43:5,10	197:10,23
221:24	63:25	182:7	44:8	201:12,13
231:11	74:3	really	48:2,3,17	
234:7,10	81:21	124:5	49:12,14,	
242:19	89:21	167 <b>:</b> 13	19 50:5	203:5,8,2
246:15	106:3,5	249:2	52:15,21	3,25 204:4
249:14	229:1	reason	53:15	
	245:12	38:15	61:7 68:8	206:2,22
quickly	rate	57 <b>:</b> 22	70:24	209:3,4,1
233:14	248:15	61:4	71:19,22	1 210:25
quite	rather	91:1,3	73:1,10 74:14	218:24
33:18		96 <b>:</b> 17	75:11,14	210:24
42:6 64:6	121:7,23 128:2	104:24	76:10	221:9
179:16		105:8	78:3	222:21
quoted	rationale	110:19	80:13,17	228:20,22
26:23	158:7	111:16	81:25	229:2
	199:5	113:21	82:18	230:10
R	204:10,13	122:11,20	83:23	233:14
R12 60:1	,18	127:16	85 <b>:</b> 17	234:2
106:15	rationaliz	137:5,10	86:1,22	238:11
100:13	ing	145:10,14	87:23	239:16,17
<b>R-12</b> 78:16	197:20	161:2	95 <b>:</b> 17	241:23
80:10	<b>re</b> 85:25	199:16,23	96:11,14	248:4,14
244:22		210:14,23	98 <b>:</b> 4 <b>,</b> 8	recalled
246:11	reach 78:1	236:10	102:2	51:21
<b>R19</b> 60:1	reached	241:1	107:5	
106:15	38:3	reasoning	112:20	recei
<b>R-19</b> 78:16	188:25	32:2	115:2,4	71:15
80:9	230:11	reasons	116:12	142:7
R-25	reaction	212:4	119:13,24	
244:24	230:14		120:2,13	92:11
244:24		rec 97:13	121:22	98:7
				I

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 294 o	f 308
182:23	174:12	181:3	65:9 70:8	133:4
225:1	178:25		75 <b>:</b> 10	reflects
received	179:6,8,1	recreation	102:25	9:24 99:9
	8 198:16	6:22	150 <b>:</b> 24	
71:16	207:10	20:18	187 <b>:</b> 21	116:2
77:22	215:4	34:1	203:22	regard
83:15	226:11	55:21	220:25	238:18
86:20	233:19	56:5,13	244:13	regarding
87:22	238:3	189:16		29:17
134:15	239:13	recycled	referenced	140:10
138:6		70:4	17:17	187:19
139:10	recommend	<b>red</b> 35:9	181:10	
162:24	129:9	49:8	186:15	regardless
179:10	130:9	49:8 51:20	197:21	200:2
180:4,21	185:14		224:6	222:17
182:13	recommenda	174:1	references	regards
204:19	tion	redevelopm	157 <b>:</b> 24	67 <b>:</b> 14
receiving	12:13	<b>ent</b> 14:17	190:4	
32:1 86:1	16:3 40:3	18:5,22		related
98:4	129:12,24	redrawing	referencin	53:20
138:2	131:1	26:6	<b>g</b> 138:19	69:6
183:14,17	176:10		245:1	171:18
206:22	185:11,22	reduce	referred	relating
		200:1	51 <b>:</b> 11	181:3
recent	recommenda	239:6	referring	relation
171:13	tions	reduced	30:1	64:22,23
recently	19:15	116:1	101:13	194:5
102:10	86:3	192:5	103:7,11	
recess	129:19	214:3	106:12	relationsh
55:5	135:16,19	reducing	117:6	ips
	176:13,21	157:18	126:8	43:19,20
recessing	177:2,3,8	200:4	147:18	relative
55:8	,11	202:5	149:4,9	9:8
98:14	184:19	218:3	164:18	156:18
143:3	185:4,8,1		178:4	158:14
194:23	0,13	reduction	188:12	166:21
recognize	205:14	214:7	197:17	relatively
				relativelv
144:2	237:5	235:9		-
	recommende	235:9 237:19	232:17	117:3
recognized			232:17 243:14	-
recognized 79:18	recommende	237:19 refer	232:17 243:14 refers	117:3
recognized 79:18 161:5	recommende d 18:1	237:19 refer 237:24	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13	117:3 release 140:16
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23	237:19 refer 237:24 reference	232:17 243:14 refers	117:3  release 140:16  released
recognized 79:18 161:5	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2	237:19 refer 237:24 reference 10:2 11:5	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13	release 140:16 released 139:14
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22	release 140:16 released 139:14 relevance
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect 165:7 recollecti	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect	release 140:16 released 139:14
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect 165:7	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18 190:19,21	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23 28:11,21	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect 160:3	release 140:16 released 139:14 relevance
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect 165:7 recollecti on 49:25	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18 190:19,21 205:12,13 217:20	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23 28:11,21 29:20,23,	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect 160:3 202:17 218:4	117:3  release 140:16  released 139:14  relevance 235:16
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect 165:7 recollecti on 49:25 95:24 121:14	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18 190:19,21 205:12,13 217:20 recommendi	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23 28:11,21 29:20,23, 25	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect 160:3 202:17 218:4 reflecting	117:3  release 140:16  released 139:14  relevance 235:16  relevant 191:25
recognized     79:18     161:5  recollect     165:7  recollecti     on 49:25     95:24	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18 190:19,21 205:12,13 217:20 recommendi ng 130:20	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23 28:11,21 29:20,23, 25 30:4,13,2	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect 160:3 202:17 218:4 reflecting 116:3	release 140:16 released 139:14 relevance 235:16 relevant 191:25 reliable
recognized 79:18 161:5 recollect 165:7 recollecti on 49:25 95:24 121:14 122:17,25	recommende d 18:1 19:22,23 20:2 105:12,13 166:13,18 190:19,21 205:12,13 217:20 recommendi	237:19  refer 237:24  reference 10:2 11:5 14:11 25:23 28:11,21 29:20,23, 25	232:17 243:14 refers 106:13 224:22 reflect 160:3 202:17 218:4 reflecting	117:3  release 140:16  released 139:14  relevance 235:16  relevant 191:25

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 295 c	of 308
25:8	191:8	60:24	178:20,21	reporting
relying	194:6	63:2 73:6	179:11	6 <b>:</b> 13
38:5	removing	74:7,9,13	180:16,19	reports
	187:2,15	,21	,20	123:5
remain	•	75:1,7,16	184:12,15	
191:13	rendered	77:23	<b>,</b> 19	represent
remaining	21:7	78:6	185:6,10,	114:2
92:19	reorganize	79:9,14,2	16,19	148:19
remember	175:15	3 81:2	186:17	218:10
12:5	177:9	82:21	187:4,6,1	representa
13:24	180:19	83:15,16,	6 188:6,9	tives
39:1 52:6	repa	22 84:6	190:5,8,1	41:22
67:19	128:13	85:19	5	49:9
69:10		86:10	191:8,14,	72:24 <b>,</b> 25
70:10	<b>repeat</b> 179:20	88:7,19	16 192:9	represente
71:20		91:24	193:2,10	<b>d</b> 92:17
72:9,12,2	replaced	96:5,6,9,	195:19,21 ,22 198:1	
1 94:14	82 <b>:</b> 12	24 97:2,4,7,	,22 198:1 201:8,16	representi
119:21	replacemen	97:2,4,7, 21	201:8,16	<b>ng</b> 109:16
120:6	<b>t</b> 81:20	99:1,11	202.23	represents
122:19	mam1 a a a a	101:9	5,19,22	60:17
132:23	replaces 109:20	102:2	205:12	109:18
133:20		104:8	207:4	reproduced
138:4	replacing	107:6	208:25	35:12
144:13	82:4,5	116:17	214:24	
178:16,17	replied	119:2	216:11	repurposed 24:16
186:17	84:8	120:22	220:13,19	24:10 25:7
192:14,23	replies	121:11,23	221:25	
,25 193:1	57:11	122:11	222:11,22	repurposin
198:2 206:25	177:25	123:15,24	223:25	<b>g</b> 25:13
234:4		124:3	224:6	request
243:22,24	reply	125:1,7,8	225:19	28:10
244:4,8	29:24	,24	226:1,18,	29:20
248:1,5	35:8 88:14	126:18,23	25	220:9,24
	143:17	127:18	227:12,13	221:12,17
Remind		128:5,13	228:16,21	requests
57:12	report	130:4	230:9	187:3
reminder	5:12,15,1	132:2,25	231:11,24	
69:7	7,18	134:12,19	232:24	require
remove	6:5,21	135:10,15	233:7	33:7 154:11
190:24	7:5,7,13,	137:22,23	235:13	
191:2	14,17	145:5	236:22	required
203:22	12:23 18:7,14	168:20 169:1,7	237:4,13, 22,24	78:1 89:6
221:7	18:7,14 46:9	171:4	238:6	94:19
removed	56:24	173:7	239:24	147:12,18
103:21	57:22	174:1,25	243:13,25	152:9
103.21	58:13,16,	175:5,16,	244:5,13	156 <b>:</b> 17
187:5,10	19,24	17,21,25	245:1,2	157:22
190:5,7	59:13,16	177:9	246:9	167:10,14
	-33, -3			

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 296 c	of 308
requiremen	166:2	237:15	214:2	242:3
<b>t</b> 115:25	169:24	result	233:13	249:9
213:15	174:2	187:11	237:23	risks
	186:13	217:4	revising	142:1
requiremen	189:15		175:8	
<b>ts</b> 9:6	194:7	resulting		<b>role</b> 7:5
166:24 225:8,12	202:8	92:18	revision	Ron 247:4
	209:21	results	5:22	<b>roof</b> 35:20
rescinded	232:24	140:2	187:1	64:16
12:19	237:18	169:15	revisions	82:4,5
research	238:16	resuming	116:16,19	106:15
7:21	239:9	55:9	119:1	167:25
81:11	246:1	98:15	125:13	
119:23,25	respond	143:4	127:18	roofing
139:2,5	28:8	194:24	178:24	81:15,22
187:24	36:12	retained	180:16	roofs 60:1
189:9	38:21	7:24	182:9	78:19
209:15,21	63 <b>:</b> 2	/:24	184:15	<b>room</b> 153:9
,25 210:3	88:14	RETIRES	185:16	192:25
213:8	176:11	249:25	195:19	197:24
researched	177 <b>:</b> 22	returning	203:14	
172:1	234:6	243:8	revisited	<b>rooms</b> 95:12
resistant	responded	reverted	139:8	95:12 153:20
60:4 61:1	57 <b>:</b> 5	61:4	reworded	
78:25	responding		121:5	roughly
	220:8	review		74:10
resistence		54:20	<b>RF</b> 206:3	247:10
79:11	responds	73:24	RFP	run
resorted	27 <b>:</b> 25	105:19	132:20,22	160:5 <b>,</b> 9
165:19	29:14	123:4	<b>,</b> 25	<b>Ryan</b> 2:14
respect	30:24	182:6	139:7,12	11,411 2.11
7:22	176:7	190:7	140:4	S
18:13	response	203:17 233:4	141:6	
24:3 26:9	28:10		182:1	sales
38:24	29:19	reviewed	203:22	23:15 38:10
45:6	63:2	135:15	206:5	
56:12,25	87:11	181:2	210:10,15	sales-type
59:21	177:22	revis	211:6,7,2	38:5,8
76:10	182:13	119:7	212:5,19	Sandra 2:9
81:8 91:5	215:1	revise	212:3,19	sandwich
99:1	221:12	179:11		59 <b>:</b> 25
101:5	247:3		Richard	78:15
116:20	responses	revised	35 <b>:</b> 5	
118:10	62 <b>:</b> 3	74:6	230:6	sandwiched
121:16	responsibl	79:14	rise	64:19
125:6	<b>e</b> 6:12,17	119:6,7	249:19	Sara
129:15	•	126:16	rises	202:24
130:21	rest 29:9	168:19	70:22	215 <b>:</b> 2
146:17	30:25	184:12 203:4		satisfacto
156:23	restricted	203.4	risk 239:9	222224000

111201	ICI CODDINGWOOD	0 2 2 0 2 0 1 3	1 agc 257 c	,
<b>ry</b> 239:1	13:13	233:1,5	219:18	40:3
satisfy	14:12	234:15	220:25	97:14
220:23	102:18	243:15	221:2,10	106:5
221:16	146:8	244:10,19	223:5	164:2
	150:15	scrolling	228:2,5	209:2
savings	155:1,19	30:23	240:20	selection
73:25	157:15	76:16	247:16	16:2 21:6
202:17	215:25	78 <b>:</b> 5	second-	
<b>saw</b> 16:5	216:15	116:21	floor	<b>sell</b> 42:7
45:20	scroll	148:16	53:3,11,1	selling
54:15	10:11,14	196:1	4 235:5	82:10
99:12	14:9,24	219:16		<b>send</b> 54:15
144:6	15:8 17:1	224:20	secondly	69:7
191:7	18:3	244:19	158:24	99:10
202:22	24:10		223:1	127:8
217:1,23	27:19	second	section	133:21,22
226:1	29:5,13	14:11,12	14:10	141:12
234:12	35:7,9	18:23	26:23	145:22
237:21	46:24	19:13,24	27 <b>:</b> 5	148:25
	47:9 49:3	20:1 21:6	75 <b>:</b> 16	174:24
scenario	51:16	24:13	78 <b>:</b> 12	174:24
20:1	54:3,12,1	28:20	80 <b>:</b> 22	233:12
91:13	3 59:15	29:17	206:21	
108:18	62:25	41:16		sending
scenarios	70:2	47:4,9	sections 175:17	63 <b>:</b> 12
15:1,9,10	75:2,15	52:5	1/3:1/	74:6 94:2
<b>,</b> 19 16:7	80:21	63:22	security	95 <b>:</b> 18
17:3 19:3	93:25	99:17	72 <b>:</b> 7	126 <b>:</b> 15
21:21	103:15	116:16,24	<b>seek</b> 52:19	133:8,21
28:23	116:20	, 25	127:9	168:17
176:10	120:25	143:19	160:16	sends
schedule	121:2	144:4,7,1		27 <b>:</b> 23
229:18	124:11,16	2,16	seeking	54:4 93:4
	125:4	145:2,12	39:9	99:1
scheduled	148:16,25	146:22	212:21	145:21
6:25 49:2	169:6	147:4,12	<b>seem</b> 68:18	168:19
schematics	170:20	148:18	seemed	senior
26:7	173:25	149:17	13:9 69:1	13:8
scissor	177:17,21	150:5,7,1		13:0
246:23	181:20	0	seems	sense
	200:21,22	151:12,23	57:13	12:24
scope	209:12	152:2,15	179:15	13:2,4
10:20	216:18	153:13,24	seen	33:11
14:17	217:1,22	155:23	136:23	37:14 <b>,</b> 17
39:17	218:17	156:3,18	137:22	39:9
40:2	219:21	157:19,25	188 <b>:</b> 15	46:25
47:16	220:7	158:17	236:21	48:20
54:6	222:8	159:14,20	<b>sees</b> 121:4	72 <b>:</b> 18
84:16	224:20	171:17		84:18
138:24	227:21	207:16 217:22	selected	86:12,25
screen	231:13	Z	19:21	95 <b>:</b> 2

INQUI	RI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	raye 290 C	)I 300
99:23	81:13	several	108:6	similarly
106:23	116:25	14:14	150:9	66:14
107:22	237:18	16:6	231:17	86:17
120:3,6,1	sentences	76:16	<b>sign</b> 7:16	154:15
1 126:22	64:8	105:12	_	161:14
132:11		119:9	signed	211:17
142:15	separated	sewer	73 <b>:</b> 7	simple
170:5	66:10	223:2	248:8,17,	105:6
185:13	September		19	
218:9	1:23	Seymour	significan	single
241:8		46:17	<b>t</b> 9:8	5 <b>:</b> 13
sensitive	sequence	51:19	118:22	18:23
231:22	27:20	54:15	225:5	38:13
	series	Seymour's	240:19	101:23
sensitivit	59:12	54:20	241:4	154 <b>:</b> 13
<b>y</b> 221:22	75 <b>:</b> 8	-1		185:2
sent	serious	sheeting	significan	222:15
14:1,8	39:21	64:20	tly 64:11	223:20
25:22		shield	156:9	single-pad
46:17	service	70:18,25	signing	17:22,23
48:25	210:13	71:18	229:14	18:19,20
50:3	services	246:19	silver	19:3 <b>,</b> 21
51:18	108:2,3	247:5	70:12	187 <b>:</b> 25
55:14	223:3	248:4,9,1	75 <b>:</b> 22	188:21
59:11,16	servicing	8,22	76:7,12	<b>site</b> 69:23
75:11,12	196:16	249:3	77:4,11,2	107:7
116:14	199:7,17	<b>short</b> 55:5	1 78:1	107:7
121:11	223:23	98:11	95:10	
125:3	224:11,16	229:4	105:17	,24 109:14
133:7,9,1	·		106:1	133:18
1 137:15	sets	shortly	166:22,24	164:4
144:9	223:19	91:3	213:12	192:1
157:11	setting	showed	224:23,25	193:12,22
163:5	15 <b>:</b> 9	228:21	225:17	,23
170:22	35 <b>:</b> 5,6	showing	234:24	196:15
174:24	48:24	181:4		199:6,16
181:21	77:23	215:3	similar 22:22	217:25
183:22	199:13	220:16	23:8	218:3,15
184:16,17	221:10		47:10	222:16
203:4	seven	shown	50:16	223:23
206:19	72 <b>:</b> 17	192:10,12	51:18	224:11,16
213:25	102:1,3,4	<b>,</b> 15	62:12	·
222:11	104:6	shows	79:6	site-
232:23	171:14	120:21	119:19	servicing
242:12	214:3	143:14	122:23	197:2
246:20,21	217:22	<b>shy</b> 146:22	152:3	198:3,12,
sentence	247:10	_	186:21	18 199:18
14:25		sic 11:20	189:25	200:12,16
63:23	seventy-	60:23	211:17	202:1,5,8
75:18	<b>two</b>	80:12	228:9	,18
	155:22	91:12		situation
i	l l			

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 299 c	of 308
32:8	11:1	107:21,23	183:18	4
68:25	27 <b>:</b> 15	113:7	speaking	specificat
159:22,23	60:9	124:6	126:21	ions
179:21	173 <b>:</b> 23	134:2	166:17	
six 101:25	189:8	138:13	177:1	59:18,19 139:12
102:1,4,5	224:7	165:18		209:18
104:4,6	someone's	171 <b>:</b> 3	speaks	
	249:20	185 <b>:</b> 10	232 <b>:</b> 17	specifics
sixth		189:9	<b>spec</b> 166:9	39:2
38:19	sometime	241:13		204:1,3
sixty-	236:21	sorts 9:14	<pre>specialty 241:12</pre>	specified
eight	249:3	70:9	241:12	164:2
157:5,20	somewhat		specific	
	197:14	sought	12 <b>:</b> 6	speculatio
six-week	somewhere	169:23	34:20	<b>n</b> 184:6
241:19	12:22	sound	37 <b>:</b> 14	spoke
slid	74:16	35 <b>:</b> 13	38:3	61:16
82:14,15	74:10	104:11	59 <b>:</b> 19	126:17
slide 63:3	sooner	107:9	69 <b>:</b> 9	132:3
	138:7	146:24	82 <b>:</b> 19	137:20
slightly	sorry	158:5	95 <b>:</b> 24	238:14
35:13	15:2 <b>,</b> 15	217:7	97 <b>:</b> 16	spoken
small	21 <b>:</b> 13	sounds	123:2,25	126:17
117:4	41:7	172:11	125:16	
snip 19:10	51 <b>:</b> 10		126:20	spreadshee
_	56:2	source	138:5	<b>t</b> 89:20
social	63:13	141:13	158:8	90:11,25
14:23	65:5	205:18	177:2	91:2 97:1
<b>soft</b> 91:16	70 <b>:</b> 25	206:5	200:23	99:24
102:11	80 <b>:</b> 22	207:6	245:9	100:4,8
104:15	93:25	209:13,14	specifical	105:6
software	99:17	221:2	<b>ly</b> 21:25	106:6
241:13	101:12	space	25:5 37:2	110:16
	116:22	154:6	50 <b>:</b> 11	116:15 124:23
sole	117:25	156:5	52 <b>:</b> 22	135:23
141:12	118:2,3	227:24	96:15	136:2
205:18	119:6,7	spaced	115:5,9	145:24
206:5	125:19	64:6,17	119:15	146:13
207:5	126:13	67:3,11	120:8,10,	148:14
209:13,14	156 <b>:</b> 12	•	14 127:14	155:19
sole-	162:14	spacing	169:21	162:11
source	187:7,9,1	63:9	190:22	163:2
205:1,4,1	6 196:3	64:22	192:13,16	168:17
5	200:10,22	65:3 67:1	,24 198:8	170:22
solution	212:12	239:3	199:20	171:9,14
210:9	215:16	speak	207:12	190:12
	216:15	55:20 <b>,</b> 23	228:21	191:7
somebody	222:20	61:12,18	232:9	201:21
26:17	<b>sort</b> 48:6	137:7	236:1	215:2,14,
someone	73:9	160:15	244:8	23
			248:2,6,1	

	NI CODDINOWOOD	0		71 JUU
216:4,9,1	62:5,6,11	189:14,19	12 159:1	185:6,7,1
3 217:11	<b>,</b> 18 <b>,</b> 19	<b>,</b> 22	<b>sta</b> 230:17	4,16
218:21	63:3,13,1	190:14		186:17
219:24	4,21	204:23	staff 5:12	187:4,16,
220:1,16	65 <b>:</b> 16	206:4,6,1	7:2	21,23
221:1	66:18	5 208:4	13:8,22	188:9,25
Sprung	68 <b>:</b> 12	209:6	19:22	189:8
20:9	69:20,22,	210:15	21:4	190:5,8,1
21:24,25	24	211:18	23:19	5
22:8,16,2	70:1,8,11	214:22	25:11	191:8,14,
22.0,10,2	,18,25	221:5	27 <b>:</b> 15	16 192:9
23:2,3,15	71:3,17,1	231:20	32:19,24	193:2,10
24:20	8 72:24	232:10	40:23	195:19 <b>,</b> 21
25:1,7,11	76 <b>:</b> 12	234:19	43:6	198:1
,13	79 <b>:</b> 12	235:2,23	46:8,9	199:8,11
26:9,11,1	81:8	238:17,24	48:3,13	201:7
3,19	82:5 <b>,</b> 7	239:8,11,	49:19	202:23
27:15	83:9	13,18	56 <b>:</b> 24	203:2
28:13	89:2 <b>,</b> 25	244:1,15,	57 <b>:</b> 22	208:25
29:7 31:5	90:8,20,2	23 245:25	73:1,6	209:18 <b>,</b> 25
32:3	3	246:2,4,6	77 <b>:</b> 25	220:19
34:12	91:13,19	,8,19,22	90:16	221:25
36:11,15,	92:11 <b>,</b> 16	247:5,12	97:10	222:11
18,19	93:3,11,1	248:4,9,1	99:1,11	223:25
37:10,18	2 100:23	8	116:17	226:18
38:3,4,14	119:19	Sprung/BLT	120:22	227 <b>:</b> 12 <b>,</b> 13
,16 40:23	120:4	162:24	121:11,23	228:16 <b>,</b> 21
41:5,14,2	132:20		122:11	230:9
2	133:9	Sprung-	123:5,14,	236:22
42:6,7,12	134:3,15,	<b>like</b> 62:5	15,21,23	237:4
,22,25	23	Sprung's	124:2	241:24
43:1,8	135:24,25	41:24	125:1,7	246:8
44:4,11,1	136:11,14	136:5	126:18,23	247:11
7,19	137:6,7	156:22	127:18,25	248:5
45:12,20,	141:3,11	158:16	128:10	staffing
25	144:2		131:1,6,9	29:15
47:18,21	147:10,13	Sprung-	132:16	
48:4,14,1	148:2,7,1	style	134:13,22	staff's
9	2,19	79:15	137:22,23	210:3
49:2,5,9,	149:18	91:5	140:6	stages 7:6
20,22	156:12,15	Sprung-	141:3	stand
50:10,14,	158:17	type	168:20	117:20
22 51:25	159:14,22	23:7,8	169:1	151:7
52:4,16,1	160:6,25	50:18,22	170:11	
9 55:20	161:8,10	square	171:4	standalone
56:5,25	163:5,21	151:22	172:1,10	18:23
57:9	166:15	152:24,25	174:24	standard
58:3,6,10	167:5,11	153:2	179:11	95 <b>:</b> 10
,11,12,23	168:3,7	157:22	180:16	113:7,14
61:8,13,1	173:8	158:2,11,	184:12,15	218:14
5,18,21	183:4	100.2,11,	,19,22,25	

1112011	KI COLLINGWOOD	05 20 2015	rage 301 C	71 300
standpoint	55:15 <b>,</b> 20	201:6	175:19	158:18
45 <b>:</b> 22	56:11	202:6,7	straff	159:14,20
start	steel	210:17	125 <b>:</b> 7	161:1
58:19	8:2,4,9	212:1,8,1	straight	162:21
73:10	22:17	5 213:11	152:23	166:3 168:3
88:6	24:4 26:8	214:10 220:2,14	172:18	189:22
139:6	28:3	223:7		190:14
216:9	29:18	224:8	straightfo	198:18,19
243:24	50:20	225:11	<b>rward</b> 140:1	,21
started	59:14	226:4,19		200:3,11
90:12	64:15,16	228:10	Street	206:4,6
182:6	65:1	231:19	1:19	207:21
starting	67:12 74:15	234:20	strike	208:1,11
34:18	74:15	steel-type	219:11	211:7
46:8	80:3,9,15	28:9	structural	214:3
89:13	88:21		28:3	223:6,7
98:3	90:8	steering	29:18	225:8,17
101:22	99:14	12:2,12,2		231:20
102:16	100:6	0 13:8	structure	232 <b>:</b> 5 <b>,</b> 7
104:16	101:6,15	18:1,7,13	8:8	235:11,23
163:11	106:14	19:9,12,1	22:7,10,1 1	236:2
state	108:10	5 28:18 89:23	23:7,9,15	237:13,23
177:10	109:3		24:1,5,15	,25
	112:1,22	step	25:13	238:7,11,
stated	115:7	206:11	26:8	17,21,22
26:4,10 59:22	124:24	240:11	35:20	240:2
62:11	128:25	241:2	38:4	244:15,16 ,23
79:9	132:21	Stepping	39:20	245:7,13
187:22	143:20	41:15	44:4,11	246:11,22
	146:6,18	123:1	59:21	·
statement 119:22	147:4	204:11	60:1	structured
208:9	153:18 158:18	steps	62 <b>:</b> 5 <b>,</b> 19	89:5 94:18
230:17	159:15,23	49:24	64:10,16	168:1
	161:1,19,	52:19	70:12,23	
stating	20 162:3	138:18	73:25	structures
186:1	164:6	stood	78:15	25:2 <b>,</b> 7
242:4	166:2,14	189:14	80:25	28:12
stay	167:4	<b>stop</b> 60:18	81:14,19 82:24	29:21 39:22,25
116:22	171:11	66:13,16	83:1 90:8	60:2,25
staying	172:4,19,	82:21	92:9,16,1	62:5
113:3	23		7 99:15	65 <b>:</b> 16
142:11	173:12,22	<pre>stopping 63:11</pre>	100:13	76:2 <b>,</b> 12
227:22	188:17	75:6	108:10,23	78 <b>:</b> 24
231:15	189:1,6	76 <b>:</b> 18	109:5	79:10,19
<b>ste</b> 228:10	190:13	78:11	119:19	81:3
Stec	194:8	81:1	129:1,3,1	124:24,25
54:4,7,18	198:18 199:18		0 146:7	228:1
,23	200:11	story	152 <b>:</b> 10	242:6
, 20	Z00:11			

	TO COMMINGWOOD		1 agc 302 c	
244:21	95:15	suppliers	231:4	<b>talk</b> 60:5
246:19	137:10	209:22	235:22	63 <b>:</b> 9
strung	228:24	211:16	238:8	239:2
23:3	suggested	supply	surface	talked
70:25	23:23	201:2	228:4	22:14
style	125:17	223:12	surmise	161:25
16:21	221:9	supplying	180:21,24	talking
22:10,11,	239:24	43:19	·	8 <b>:</b> 5
15 23:4	suggesting		surmised	109:25
50:12	24:20	support	183:25	160:2
61:5,9	126:7	12:19	surprised	241:4,5,6
153:7		168:2	72 <b>:</b> 1	247:23
165:23	suggestion	238:20	swimming	
202:13	123:2	supported	119:17,18	talks 18:4
<b>sub</b> 184:3	suggestion	244:24	·	24:1
Sub 104:3	<b>s</b> 85:20	supports	Sworn 3:5	100:12
subfloor	123:4	238:16	5 <b>:</b> 7	tally
167:23	127:7,9		system	223:20
subject	suggests	suppose	35:21	target
6:14	173:10	132:11	62 <b>:</b> 14	197:13,17
108:18		133:4	63:21	200:17
145:23	suit	178:21	64:23,24	<b>task</b> 8:22
159:3	123:24	supposed	82 <b>:</b> 11	161:3
206:20	<b>sum</b> 113:10	48:12	167 <b>:</b> 25	101:3
207:9	114:3	58:14	168:1	team
subsequent	summarizin	<b>sure</b> 6:10	206:16	26:12,18
30:19	<b>g</b> 231:9	12:21	225:4	44:2
127:22		27 <b>:</b> 10	235:15	technical
	summary	28:5	237:21	11:12
subsequent	34:4,17	41:12	241:14	technology
<b>ly</b> 203:15	35:6 56:17	57:9 58:2		183:8
substance	59:6 67:7	67 <b>:</b> 6	T	
231:1	73:14	83:23	table 3:1	Tedlar
subtract	230:21	88:17	13:5,6	183:7
104:6,8	244:20	94:11	15 <b>:</b> 9	telephone
		95:11	16:1,5	62 <b>:</b> 13
subtracted	supervisio	96:22	90:5,7	179:5
217:11	<b>n</b> 113:19	111:11	128:20	182:5
successful	supervisor	125:22	129:5	188:14
119:9	<b>s</b> 199:9	127:18	171:23	temperatur
succinct	supplied	150:10	tables	<b>es</b> 245:12
180:20	183:3	153:15	170:22	
		172:17	taking	template
succinctly 177:10	supplier	204:17	106:14	88:24
	22:6	211:22	166:21	89:14
suddenly	32:11,21	213:10	189:11	<b>ten</b> 55:5
194:11	33:9	220:22	202:12	63 <b>:</b> 25
suggest	142:2	222:7 227:8	206:11	155 <b>:</b> 23
89:9	189:6	229:3	241:1	156:1
	209:17	229.3		157:4,19

INQUI	RI COLLINGWOOD	09-20-2019	rage 303 C	)I 300
171:11	testifying	145:13	84:14	efficient
249:16	195:6	148:15	100:12	188:2,20
tend	testimony	149:1,3	third-	timeline
110:22	86:7	153:24	party	145:8,10
	249:21	154:20	240:6	·
tender		157:14	242:10	time's
140:1	text 35:10	166:4,10		195:13
tenders	54:14	194:10	thirty	titled
211:24	124:11	199:23	157:16	9:25
tension	174:1	219:2	thirty-	14:10
244:24	thank	222:23	five	145:24
term 65:9	21:18	224:22	194:12	titles
208:14	35:5 59:1	233:3,5	thirty-two	16:18
	146:12	thermal	102:4,5	TOC0187442
termed	162:15	60:4,25	104:7	4:3 10:4
164:15	194:15	63 <b>:</b> 7	+hh + -	13:13
terminolog	196:8	64:4,12	<b>thoughts</b> 94:12,15	
<b>y</b> 208:15	205:22	65:5,9,10	94:12,15	TOC0188041
_	243:7	,13,22,24	thousand	.0001.000
terms	249:13	66:2,3,16	74:1,11	<b>1</b> 4:4
6:4,22	thanking	67 <b>:</b> 3	102:1,4,5	TOC0188041
10:2 11:4	34:15	78:12,25	104:5,7	. <b>1.1</b> 14:3
14:10,15	thanks	79:10	148:4,21	
25:23	178:1	238:15,19	155:22,24	TOC0194603
28:10,21		239:5,9,1	156:1	46:19
29:20,23, 25	theoretica	4	157:4,16,	TOC0194604
30:4,12,2	<b>1</b> 240:10	thermoplas	19	47:4
0 45:12	241:15	<b>tic</b> 79:6	164:12,25	TOC0195146
46:1 48:6	there'd	they'd	171:12,15	51:12
50:3 60:7	132:22	58:10	172:6,23	
62:10	156:9	133:16	191:6	TOC0200937
63:7	Therefore		194:12	4:6
65:11	67:3	they'll	196:16	TOC0201219
75:10		64:17	197:8,12	4:8
82:23	there'll	they're	198:13,22	102:18
85:21	132:20	50:8	200:2	150:16
94:24	there's	68:14,15	214:4 235:10	TOC0201266
111:24	10:17	113:11		74:21
118:7	51:19	146:20	237:19	91:24
132:19	61:6	208:20	throughout	107:12
159:13	65:17	they've	33:23	242:13
163:15	66:2 67:3	9:9 45:9	45 <b>:</b> 8	
189:14	88:18		Thursday	TOC0201565
232:10	103:10,16	<b>thi</b> 16:18	135:14	4:7 99:18
245:23	115:23	thickness	<b>+b</b> 7.14	103:24
terrible	137:9	60:15,16	thus 7:14	TOC0202990
68:7	139:16	78:20	tides	4:10
	140:9,18,	80:5	12:24	157 <b>:</b> 6
testified	19	third	13:2	TOC0203551
202:24	141:19,24	14:12	time-	4:11
		⊥ <del>1</del> • ⊥ ∠		

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 304 c	01 308
168:21	89:8,11	48:3,13	<b>t</b> 139:17	91:2
TOC0203834	90:19	71:16	travel	turnkey
4:12	134:20	72:11	66:11,12	164:20
177:13	top 101:23	73:1 76:5	•	
	171:19	80:7 82:3	travels	twelve
<b>TOC0203891</b> 4:13	217:2	83:8	66:22	63 <b>:</b> 25
195:11	228:25	114:16	treasurer	twenty
	topic 55:1	139:19	5:21 6:6	81:16,21
TOC0218073	178:20	162:4	26:4	twenty-
4:14	231:1	169:23 189:21	205:19	four
215:8	247:7,18	199:6,8,1	Treasurer'	102:6
TOC0226261	topics	1 202:17	s	104:7
.0001.000	178:18	206:4,10,	187:11,14	155:15
<b>4</b> 243:6		13 210:14	204:20	twenty-one
TOC0226261	tot 223:19	212:19	tried	181:6
.001.004	total	216:10	62:14	twice
242:25	107:4	218:5	90:3	152:15,22
TOC0600197	155:22	229:22	160:2	154:12
4:15	157:16	245:4	trouble	twin 18:24
233:21	158:10,11	<b>TPO</b> 81:15	179:14	99:13
TOC200937	164:16	traditiona	Trudell	
69:13	171:10 219:6,8	1 8:1,4	2:17	twinned
	223:21	62:15		17:23
TOC201565	224:7	64:14	<b>true</b> 61:2	19:14
109:8		86:19	93:19	101:24
TOC202990	totally	91:15	<b>try</b> 19:9	two-six
162:11	232:13	92:20	trying	102:1
TOC203364	totals	93:9	16:1 64:7	type
146:1	137:17	108:17	67 <b>:</b> 7	50:16,19
162:15	touch	112:9	116:23	70:14
216:4	203:21	117:14	127:23	82:4
TOCO203364	towards	161:18,21	200:23	104:21
4:9	7:8,10	165:10,23 198:25	249:20	119:10
TOC0226261	78 <b>:</b> 7	190:25	Tuesday	154:4 156:5
.0001.000	82:21	207:23	39:18	183:9
<b>4</b> 4:16	92:4	208:5	132:4	209:23
	186:18	210:6	<b>turn</b> 10:22	222:17
today 171:1	197:13	246:10	89:4	227:11
201:20	Town	traditiona	91:23	232:12
241:17	1:2,17	11y	94:5	236:1,6
247:18	2:13 9:11	225:10	103:24	types 8:5
	28:1 36:7		turned	11:13
today's 49:5	39:24	Transcript 3:13	12:25	16:19
	42:11		115:16	39:21 <b>,</b> 25
Tom 40:23	43:7	transfer	182:6	45:7 76:2
73:19	44:20 45:13	60:18	turning	79:18
tomorrow	46:1	transparen	11:9 13:2	97:17
88:25	10.1			107:8

INQUI	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 305 c	of 308
108:7	176:1	71:10,11	<b>ily</b> 69:1	90:16
120:7	205:17	77:1,13	unreasonab	value
171:24	211:23	87:23	le 219:11	60:4,10,1
208:20	219:13	105:24		5,17,20
222:13	224:7	141:15	updated	61:1,6
225:6	232:11	152:14	146:13	64:25
typical	248:9,11	163:22	upgrade	65:6
113:17	249:23	204:18	16:22	78:14
133:4	understand	undertake	90:2	79:1
	ing 6:23	95:20	106:10	112:4
typically	26 <b>:</b> 24	161:2	217:24	
8:9	31:12,17	178:25		values
32:14,20	36:18	199:6	<b>upgraded</b> 8:9	59:24
81:15	37:9	240:23	0:9	78:13,17,
	38:14		upgrades	21 79:4
U	42:11,14	undertaken	95 <b>:</b> 8	80:4
ultimately	44:19	40:10	105:12,13	vandalism
19:20	53:13	130:21	<b>,</b> 15	70:21
35:23	54:17	undertakin	166:4,13,	<b>vap</b> 154:22
128:11	61:3,8,12	<b>g</b> 160:24	18,25	_
130:13	62:18	240:19	167:3	vapour
<b>un</b> 33:10	63:20	241:4	217:20	151:9
	65:17	undertook	upon 5:1	154:7,17,
unanswered	71:13	98:2	55:8,9	22
182:8	77:9,16,1		70:13	variance
uncertain	8 90:13	undue	98:14,15	172:3 <b>,</b> 22
84:19,24	92:15	123:23	143:3,4	varied
uncertaint	108:8	unexpected	194:23,24	23:4
<b>y</b> 84:16	110:12	114:4	205:18	
128:9	135:10	unfair	250:1	various
	147:11,17	141:2	urgency	14:19
uncovering	151:7		132:11	vendor
29:8	153 <b>:</b> 6	unfolding	132.11	44:2
underneath	154:11	141:1	usage	vendors
244:20	158:1	unforseen	59:22	139:18
understand	163:25	193:8	60:7	
15:16	166:23	unique	244:14	verbal
18:10	171:2	246:12	useful	70:15
23:9,12,1	173:10		179:20,23	71:4
6 27:18	179:9	unit	usually	version
36:21	204:12	158:12	32:24	14:1,2,7
67:6 85:5	206:14	units	02.21	59:13,16
89:3 94:4	224:4,10	158:10		79:8
106:17	232:9	unless		100:8
111:11	235:23	80:11	<b>va</b> 79:2	124:23
150:11	238:5	115:10	vacation	135:18
152:8	240:12	125:16	7:1 56:23	146:13
153:15	244:12	232:4	validity	171:13
167:1	understood		236:3	174:24
171:16	61:1	unnecessar		184:16,17
			valuable	

INÇ	QUIRY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 306 c	of 308
<b>,</b> 19	70:19	72:11	237:18	48:14
185:11	voted	80:18	West	49:21
187:5	117:17	85 <b>:</b> 2	231:13	50:3,7,18
188:6	214:22	87:14		52:10,15,
190:8,15		96:7	<b>we've</b> 6:19	16,19
191:8	votes	106:19	45:5	53:5,10,1
195:21	118:4	160:18	63:14	3
201:7		161:4	109:12,13	57:6,12,1
202:23	W	170 <b>:</b> 7	124:22	5,19 58:9
203:4	wait	190:19	125:2	59:11
208:25	176:11	193:22	137:22	62:4,10
214:2	177:5,23	249:9	177:23	63:2 <b>,</b> 13
215:14,2	<pre>3 waiting</pre>	<b>waste</b> 70:6	181:2	73:19
216:4	135:25	128:6	188:15	74:8,21
217:1			190:14	75:1 <b>,</b> 7 <b>,</b> 13
218:21	<b>walk</b> 27:20	water	212:20	76:1,5,10
221:25	98:1	145:24	239:3	,11,17
222:10,2	2 138:17	223:2	<b>WG</b> 58:9	77:2,23,2
226:1,2	171:7,8	<b>WD</b> 108:8	60:23	5 78:13
versions	184:14		80:12	79:9,11,2
187:4	walked	website	91:12	3 80:7
107.4	190:14	38:9,11	108:6	81:2
versus	201:20	61:15	148:10	82:25
16:22		69:22	150:9	83:8,15,1
17:23	walking	244:2	160:10	6 84:6,16
59:22	216:24	we'd	231:17	85:2,4,14
82:5	wall	197:20		,18,20
92:21	64:16,20	week 72:19	WGD	86:9,18
viable	70:20		7:20,23	88:4,10
245:18	151:6,22	weekend	8:12,18	89:24
0.24	152:25	31:7	9:10	91:4,14,2
view 8:24	153:3	welcomed	10:20	4 92:19
37:16,22	154:3,4,5	23:23	11:1,14	93:14
39:23	,7,16,19,	we'll	14:1,8	95 <b>:</b> 8
44:3 76:	74	55:4,5	15:11,17,	101:9
79:13,22	<b>walls</b> 60:2	91:2 97:1	20 16:9	102:2,12
108:8	78:16	98:11	18:12	103:6
111:11	106:15	142:25	22:1,20 24:3	104:8,25
131:16		157:2		105:15,19
147:11,1	<i>'</i>	160:13	25:22,24	106:3,10
161:6	warm 66:14	194:21	26:5,17	107:6
170:9	warranted	195:5	27:14	108:16
175:21	81:16		34:14,19	110:1,12
204:21	wash 159:8	Wendy	35:23	111:7
205:3,17	wasn 139:8	250 <b>:</b> 8	36:6,15,1	114:18
208:16	wasn't	we're 42:7	9,22	144:1,3,9
211:3	21:15	44:11	37:10,17	,16
212:18	33:16	97 <b>:</b> 25	38:15,24	145:1,6,1
213:2	36:19	132:20	39:6,9,14	0 147:14
228:3	44:1,7	138:21	,24	148:9,10,
virtually	58:9	139:11	45:6,9	20
I			47 <b>:</b> 19	

47:19

~				
149:10,14	216:10	246:2	12:2,20	234:16
, 22	220:13	248:9,18,	13:9	writes
151:11	230:8	22	18 <b>:</b> 12	57:1
155:2	whatever	249:7,11	19:9 26:5	78:13
156:12	10:14	whole	32:20,21	85 <b>:</b> 9
160:3,10,	20:16	46:25	37:20 <b>,</b> 23	182:25
15	50:8 61:4	54:14	39:14 <b>,</b> 17	247:6,17
161:2,7,1	81:11	89:21	40:9	•
2,15,25	199:10	99:24	45:6,9,25	writing
163:23	211:9	191:19	54:6	27 <b>:</b> 25
166:13,21		204:8	58:14	87:18
169:23	Wheeler	237:16	61:18	written
172:10,16	2:15		73:22	173:2
173:7,24	whereas	widely	84:12,17	183:19
188:16	113:8	67:11	85:11 <b>,</b> 21	
189:11,14	225:9	William	87 <b>:</b> 13	<b>wrong</b> 58:22
,24 192:3	228:9	2:13	88:4	83:17
198:17	whether	window	89:23	97:19
207:24	8:20		107:21,23	171:2
208:9,19	12:11	66:8,12,1	113:20	
209:5	22:20	3 <b>,</b> 15	144:23	236:6
213:9	23:8,20	windows	147:3	wrote 29:2
214:24	37:17	150:6 <b>,</b> 9	164:21	35 <b>:</b> 17
217:5,12	38:25	155:7,15,	174:13	36:11
220:16,18	40:7	18	176:10	60 <b>:</b> 23
221:4	43:1,6	156:4,7,9	177:5 <b>,</b> 20	89:13
223:17	52:5	wish 183:3	188:15	108:7
226:5	71:13,20,		213:8	231 <b>:</b> 16
230:7,10	23 76:11	witness	230:8	
231:11,24	87:12	179:15,21	worked	Y
232:24	96:1,12	249:25	88:23	year-round
233:7	108:9	wonder		169:10
234:21	125:24	136:22	working	184:22
235:7,11,	129:8	173:4	56:12	185:2
23 236:8	132:20,22	194:17	114:6	
237:6,11,	159:12	196:4	127:25	yesterday 45:20
22	166:14	wondering	155:17	45:20
238:5,18	167:3	142:15	175:3	<b>yet</b> 61:8
239:19,24	168:8		176:21	93 <b>:</b> 12
240:12,23	176:8	wood-	works	134:15,17
241:25	180:4,14	framed	10:14	135:2,3,8
WGD's	192:7	244:22	218:15	136:23
57 <b>:</b> 22	204:22	Woodworth	worship	you'll
61:3,18	206:3	250 <b>:</b> 8	244:14	233:4
74:13,15	208:4	wording	245:10	
77:10	209:21	122 <b>:</b> 23		<pre>yourself 6:5 26:1</pre>
80:14	211:25	177 <b>:</b> 2	write 63:4	34:9,14
134:13	221:15,17	185:8	90:19	•
150:19	222:22		94:17	56:22 59:12
153:7	233:3	work 6:22	116:21	128:9
202:16	245:17	9:8	125:4,7	120.9
	-10.1			

INQUIF	RY COLLINGWOOD	09-26-2019	Page 308 c	of 308
144:24 203:1 204:22 214:1 226:19				
you've  38:13  110:16  125:4  126:17  130:7  138:14  140:6  150:9  151:4  155:23  164:11  165:10,25  209:20				
Z ZOOM 99:23				