

TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

September 12th, 2019



```
2
1
                 APPEARANCES
2
3 Kate McGrann
                         ) Inquiry Counsel
4 John Mather
                         ) Associate Inquiry
5
                          ) Counsel
6
7 (No Counsel) ) For Paul Bonwick
8
9 George Marron ) For Sandra Cooper
10
11 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
12
13 William McDowell ) For Town of Collingwood
14 Ryan Breedon
                         )
15
16 Bill Trudell ) For BLT Construction
17 Eric Neubauer
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
2.5
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
2		PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	4
4		
5	SARA ALMAS, Sworn	
6	Examination-in-chief by Mr. John Mather	5
7		
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Frederick Chenoweth	155
9	Cross-examination by Mr. Paul Bonwick	213
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Ryan Breedon	241
11	Continued Cross-examination	
12	by Mr. Paul Bonwick	249
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Certificate of Transcript	252
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

			4
1		List of Exhibits	
2	Exhibit No.	Description Page No.	
3	1545	Affidavit of S. Almas - 12 Sept. 2019	
4	1546	TOC0189964	
5	1547	TOC0204156	
6	1548	TOC0190573	
7	1549	TOC0202597	
8	1550	ЕНН000010	
9	1551	TOC0549006	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
1			

5 --- Upon commencing at 10:09 a.m. 2 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: The next witness is Sara Almas. 5 6 SARA JANE ALMAS, Sworn 7 EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Good morning, Ms. 10 Almas. 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: Good morning. 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Welcome back. Just as a reminder, you are currently the clerk of the Town 14 of Collingwood? 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct. 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And this is a position you've held since 2007? 17 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct. 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: You gave evidence in part 1 of the Inquiry with respect to the Collus share sale? 21 22 MS. SARA ALMAS: I did. 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: For part 2, you've 24 provided with -- the Inquiry with a sworn affidavit. 25 Is that correct?

```
6
 1
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
 2
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: I'd ask that we
   please pull up AFF-13.
 3
 5
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 6
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Ms. Almas, this is
   an unsworn version of the affidavit that you have
   sworn and we are currently loading into the system.
   Is that correct?
10
11
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
12
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you confirm that
   the affidavit is true and accurate, to the best of
13
14
  your ability?
15
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: It is true and
   accurate to the best of my ability.
17
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: Are there any
18
  changes you wish to make to the affidavit?
19
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: No, there isn't.
20
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: We'll proceed with
   some questions we have arising from the matters
21
   discussed in the affidavit, starting with paragraph 3.
22
   In this section of your affidavit, you discuss your
24
   time on the executive management committee, which was
25 established by -- which you state was established by
```

- 1 Mr. Houghton at the time he was appointed as acting
- 2 CAO of the town of Collingwood.
- In paragraph 3, you state that:
- 4 "The purpose of the EMC was to
- 5 assist Mr. Houghton to fulfil his
- duties as CAO."
- 7 You go on to state that:
- 8 "There was no formal terms of
- 9 reference governing covering the
- 10 EMC's roles or how it should
- 11 operate."
- 12 With that in mind, what was your
- 13 understanding about how the EMC would operate when you
- 14 were appointed to the committee?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Generally, we were
- 16 put together to have a collaborative discussion about
- 17 various municipal administrative issues that we we're
- 18 dealing with. Obviously, Mr. Houghton was extremely
- 19 busy with all of his other responsibilities, and it
- 20 wasn't stated at the beginning, but it generally was
- 21 that we operated by a consensus. If -- if a -- if a
- 22 member said something, or didn't agree with something,
- 23 then we would have a pretty detailed conversation, but
- 24 generally, things wouldn't proceed unless there was a
- 25 consensus.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned that
- 2 Mr. Houghton was busy with his other responsibilities.
- 3 We understand that at this time, Mr. Houghton was the
- 4 CEO of Collus, and what would become Collus
- 5 PowerStream. He also served as the Executive Director
- 6 of Public Works.
- 7 Were there any other responsibilities
- 8 that you are aware of that Mr. Houghton had at this
- 9 time?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Mr. Houghton was
- 11 always involved in a number of associations. So he
- 12 was very active in these associations. So between
- 13 that and his duties at Collus, and with the Public
- 14 Works and engineering department, he was quite busy.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned that
- 16 the EMC made decisions by consensus. I want to know
- 17 more about that, but first, I want to understand what
- 18 sorts of decisions was the EMC tasked with making?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Basically, we -- we
- 20 met regularly as a department head team, so the entire
- 21 senior leadership group. But the four (4) of us met
- 22 informally just to discuss any significant issues that
- 23 were coming up that maybe Mr. Houghton wasn't fully
- 24 briefed on items surrounding that event, or item, or
- 25 project, or program, or initiative. And basically we

a

- 1 discussed those matters. And if there was any matters
- 2 that needed to be brought to Council's attention, then
- 3 Ed would do that, as he was the appointed acting CAO.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it the case that
- 5 decisions that had previously made -- sorry, decisions
- 6 that had been previously made by the CAO independently
- 7 were now made based on when consensus was achieved
- 8 within the EMC?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe Mr.
- 10 Houghton made decisions on his own, as well as matters
- 11 that we discussed.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you explain to
- 13 me when you say that decisions were made by consensus,
- 14 how was that consensus achieved?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly. There
- 16 wasn't any sort of formal vote. There was a sense of
- 17 trust between us. So basically, if there was no
- 18 objection, it was deemed that basically, we were all
- 19 in support of whatever item that we're talking about
- 20 was.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to August
- 22 27th, 2012, when the decision is made with respect to
- 23 the Sprung structures, were there any instances where
- 24 a decision was not made because consensus could not be
- 25 reached?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not that I recollect.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there any
- 3 instance that you can recall where a decision was made
- 4 because, for instance, a majority of the EMC thought a
- 5 certain approach should be taken, and one (1) member
- 6 thought it should not be -- be taken, but since the
- 7 majority was in favour of that approach, that was the
- 8 decision that was made?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, that happened.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

12

- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can scroll
- 14 down.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So paragraphs 5 and
- 19 6 talk about how Mr. Houghton was busy during this
- 20 time, and that as a result, that you took on many of
- 21 the public-facing functions of the CAO's office.
- 22 Similarly, in paragraph 6, you also say that more
- 23 generally, you and Ms. Leonard, the treasurer, took on
- 24 many of the CAO's duties.
- 25 Can you provide the Inquiry a sense of

- 1 what -- what these additional responsibilities were
- 2 and what they involved?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly. Since I
- 4 was the most accessible member of the EMC at the Town
- 5 Hall, I was regularly called upon whenever individuals
- 6 come into the Town Hall that want to meet with CAO, if
- 7 they had complaints, if there was a program or
- 8 initiative that they wanted to bring to our attention.
- 9 Basically because Mr. Houghton did not utilize the
- 10 CAO's office here at the Town Hall, I would have
- 11 meetings actually in his office or in my office,
- 12 because he had a greater meeting -- actually a meeting
- 13 boardroom table in there as well.
- 14 If members of Council had any sort of
- 15 issues or concerns, obviously I'm aware of a lot of
- 16 the operational administrative matters, being the
- 17 clerk, that I would be able to assist. If there's
- 18 anything of significance that I felt that I should
- 19 maybe collaborate with Marjorie, since Marjorie -- Ms.
- 20 Leonard was the treasurer and also in the Town Hall,
- 21 then I would seek to have her input on -- on anything.
- 22 But if -- again, if it was something significant, then
- 23 the EMC would have that conversation.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So was it the case,
- 25 then, that if something needed to be done, that the

- 1 CAO would typically do, whether that's talk to someone
- 2 who would come to Town Hall, or make a decision that
- 3 needed to be made in a -- in the short term, and Mr.
- 4 Houghton wasn't available, those decisions came to you
- 5 and Ms. Leonard?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you were
- 8 appointed to the EMC, was this something you -- was
- 9 this a position you expected to find yourself in?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was not appointed
- 11 to this committee. Not necessarily did I think I
- 12 would be taking on so much responsibility.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: You made point of
- 14 saying that you weren't appointed to this committee.
- 15 How did you come to be a member of the committee?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was asked to
- 17 participate on the committee by Ed.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: At that point in
- 19 time, I take it you agreed to join the committee?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, I -- I worked a
- 21 lot. I spent a lot of time trying to grow my career.
- 22 I looked up to Ed. He was a very influential
- 23 individual. I was very excited to -- I've seen this
- 24 as, you know, a potential growth in my -- my career to
- 25 have the opportunity, even though I wasn't compensated

- 1 extra. So I was -- I was excited for the -- the new
- 2 challenge.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: During this time
- 4 period, you continued to have your responsibilities as
- 5 clerk and overseeing the clerk's department, as I
- 6 understand it. Is that correct?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: As a member of the
- 9 EMC, was it your understanding that Town staff outside
- 10 of the clerk's department now reported to you, either
- 11 directly or in conjunction with Mr. Houghton?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That was never my
- 13 understanding, and I don't believe that was -- staff
- 14 believed that either.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: As -- as a member of
- 16 the EMC, did you take on any management
- 17 responsibilities for staff, again outside of the
- 18 clerk's department?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When Mr. Houghton
- 21 was appointed acting CAO, how long did you understand
- 22 that appointment was supposed to be?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: At the time, it was
- 24 indefinite. So I -- I didn't -- I didn't know how
- 25 long it would -- it would go on for.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have any
- 2 sense or were you given any sense of how long Council
- 3 intended to have Mr. Houghton in that role even though
- 4 it was indefinite?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I know that
- 6 Council was satisfied with the work that Mr. Houghton
- 7 did. Mr. Houghton always got action, so I think they
- 8 were -- they were generally satisfied with him, so I
- 9 don't know if it was their understanding that it could
- 10 evolve into a permanent CAO or they were going to
- 11 recruit a new CAO, so it wasn't -- wasn't known to me.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The Inquiry heard
- 13 evidence in the first part that at the outset Mr.
- 14 Houghton's appointment was supposed to be for a
- 15 limited period of time, a matter of two (2) or three
- 16 (3) months.
- 17 Was that something that you were aware
- 18 of at the time?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believed at first
- 20 that it would be a limited amount of time, yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: After Mr. Houghton's
- 22 appointment, were you aware of any steps Council took
- 23 to find a permanent CAO?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry, can you repeat
- 25 the question?

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Certainly. After
- 2 Mr. Houghton was appointed as acting CAO -- maybe I'll
- 3 put it this way. What did Council do, to your
- 4 knowledge, to find a permanent CAO?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: They -- they didn't
- 6 pursue anything until Mr. Houghton stepped down.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than what
- 8 you've described about Council being pleased with Mr.
- 9 Houghton's performance, do you know any other reason
- 10 why Council didn't take any steps to find a permanent
- 11 CAO before Mr. Houghton stepped down?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: We had been through a
- 13 number of CAOs. I think at that point I had been with
- 14 the municipality for seven (7) years, and I think we'd
- 15 been through four (4) CAOs. I think at that point
- 16 that Ed provided some consistency.
- 17 He understood the town, he understood
- 18 the vision of Council at that time, and there was a
- 19 lot of items that the Council wanted to see gets
- 20 completed or see achieved during that -- that period,
- 21 and I think that they believed that Ed could assist
- 22 them with that process.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking at paragraph
- 24 6 of your affidavit, you write that you found working
- 25 on the EMC as a unique opportunity but very stressful

- 1 at times.
- 2 Can you explain what about working on
- 3 the EMC you found to be very stressful?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly. So the
- 5 first few months we were busy looking at the various
- 6 tasks that Council wanted to see achieved. Obviously
- 7 at that point there was a lot of conversation about
- 8 the rec facilities within the Town of Collingwood.
- 9 The information was presented before the previous CAO
- 10 left from the Central Park Steering Committee, so that
- 11 was -- that was very busy. However, I wasn't too
- 12 involved in the recreation facilities.
- 13 At that point I took as part of the
- 14 leadership team one of the items that Council wanted
- 15 to see achieved, was an organizational review, so I
- 16 was tasked and I undertook an entire enterprise
- 17 corporate-wide organizational review and provided a
- 18 service level document, it was over two hundred (200)
- 19 pages, on -- on the various programs and services that
- 20 the municipality provided, whether they were mandatory
- 21 or discretionary, and so I was busy with that.
- That wasn't too stressful, but
- 23 obviously whenever this report came through on the end
- 24 of August and the public outcry, it became very
- 25 stressful because at that point I was still doing the

- 1 service level review. We had the casino discussions
- 2 going on, we had this discussion. Obviously I'm the
- 3 public facing person here at the town hall, there's a
- 4 lot of enquiries, I had a young family, so there was
- 5 points that was very stressful.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned in
- 7 your answer a report came through in August. Are you
- 8 referring to the report recommending proceeding with
- 9 the Sprung Structures?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's the report.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned an
- 12 email exchange in this paragraph, so I'll ask if we
- 13 can pull up Exhibit A.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down. It's
- 18 not an email exchange, I should say it's an email from
- 19 you. So this is an email from you to the other
- 20 members of the Executive Management Committee. One
- 21 (1) of the things you say in the second sentence is:
- "If an EMT is desired, regardless
- the Chair would be presumed -- would
- 24 be the presumed CAO in the public's
- 25 perception and staff's."

18 1 And then you go on to say: "I'm not certain if I can commit 2 3 more than what I am doing now. Ι'm trying so hard to keep up with 5 everything and cannot afford any 6 mistakes as the Clerk, and for my 7 family, as everyone is replaceable. I really appreciate Ed's role as the 9 acting CAO. Ed has been so 10 important." 11 In this email you appear to be 12 describing some of the things you've already told the 13 Inquiry about. 14 Can you just explain the circumstances 15 that led you to send this email at this point in time? 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I can't remember the 17 specifics to this one at this time frame in January --18 January 29th, 2013. I know that we were still in the -- hold discussions with the casino. We had all this information going back and forth about the rec 20 facilities and the process, about getting them 21 22 constructed, I was still involved in, and I felt that 23 I didn't have any support. 24 And as you heard in my -- my previous

witness statements, I have a -- I had a huge portfolio

- 1 at that time, so I was extremely busy and then
- 2 complicated with all this and then not feeling like I
- 3 had the support, was -- was difficult.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say you
- 5 didn't have the support, what do you mean by that?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Like, I -- I felt I
- 7 was doing -- I was -- I felt I was doing more than my
- 8 share on the EMC than others were.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mention in this
- 10 email that one of the -- or one of the things you say
- 11 you cannot afford any mistakes as the Clerk.
- How did being a member of the EMC
- 13 affect your role, which continued as the Town Clerk?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I take my
- 15 responsibilities as Clerk very serious, and along with
- 16 that comes great responsibility, and I did not -- I
- 17 couldn't afford to make any mistakes. I was the bread
- 18 winner for my family as well, so I was concerned
- 19 because obviously I'd just seen the termination of the
- 20 previous CAO that I was shocked about at the time, and
- 21 so I couldn't risk being in a compromised position
- 22 that would afford something to cause me to lose my
- 23 job.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this email you
- 25 send on January 29th, 2013 -- if we could go back to

1 paragraph 7 of the affidavit.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: As you stated here,
- 6 you -- you step down from the EMC in May 2013.
- 7 Understanding what you've already told us this
- 8 morning, why at this point in time did you make the
- 9 decision to step down from the EMC?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: At this time, Ed had
- 11 finally decided he was going to step down in April.
- 12 It was the three (3) of us, and it -- it wasn't
- 13 productive. It wasn't a good use of our time. I felt
- 14 again frustrated that I was taking on more
- 15 responsibility, nothing was happening for a position
- 16 of CAO, so I felt that Council would just carry on
- 17 with an EMC and -- and if I step down, that would
- 18 hopefully spark an interest to get the process going
- 19 again on -- on appointing a CAO.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it the case then
- 21 that when Mr. Houghton stepped down as CAO, or at
- 22 least was it your understanding, that the EMC now
- 23 would take on all of the responsibilities that were
- 24 held by the CAO's office?
- 25 MS. SARA ALMAS: I didn't think of us

- 1 at that time as being the CAO, but we were the most
- 2 senior group of individuals talking about anything,
- 3 and again there wasn't a Terms of Reference for our
- 4 group. We were basically just managing day to day as
- 5 best we could.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Moving to the next
- 7 section of your affidavit, so if we could scroll down
- 8 to see paragraphs 8 and 9.
- 9 You discuss the purchasing bylaw that
- 10 was in place during the 2010 and 2014 Council term --
- 11 term, as well as an unsolicited proposals guideline
- 12 that was in place.
- In 2012, what was your understanding of
- 14 the purpose of the unsolicited proposals guideline?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: If -- if an
- 16 individual, an organization, or company had something
- 17 unique and they wanted to bring it to our attention
- 18 and have it considered and knowing it -- it could be a
- 19 proprietary interest, that there was a process or a
- 20 guideline, it wasn't a formal bylaw, however, it was
- 21 this guideline that was established, together when we
- 22 received -- actually, the purchasing bylaw, both of
- 23 those came, I believe, simultaneously, I think, in --
- 24 in 2006.
- But, basically, it provided a process

- 1 that you could follow if you received such a proposal.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: In 2012, how
- 3 familiar were you, as clerk, about the contents of the
- 4 purchasing bylaw and the unsolicited proposals
- 5 guideline and what they mandated?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I wasn't -- I was
- 7 familiar as -- I was a department head, so I was
- 8 responsible for the various limits within it. So,
- 9 every department head was responsible for their
- 10 acquisitions within their department.
- 11 The -- the bylaw actually spoke to
- 12 having a purchasing manager. And in 2006 we didn't
- 13 have a purchasing manager, so that was kind of an odd
- 14 -- an -- an odd definition to have in there.
- However, at 2012, we had hired a fleet
- 16 facilities and purchasing manager even though the
- 17 corporate administration of purchasing, the purchasing
- 18 function, was within the Treasury Department. The
- 19 individual that was tasked as purchasing manager
- 20 actually reported to Mr. Houghton, as the Executive
- 21 Director of Public Works and Engineering.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And so, was that
- 23 individual David McNalty?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. Yes. Further,
- 25 my -- my knowledge with the purchasing bylaw, the

- 1 clerk, as maintaining all the corporate records, I was
- 2 responsible for -- I attended -- I received all bid
- 3 submissions.
- Between myself and my deputy, we would
- 5 have public bid openings. So, I would go to the
- 6 openings to be present to record the information.
- 7 Also in the bylaw I was responsible for -- to
- 8 participate in listening to any sort of conflict
- 9 resolution discussions if a bidder was not happy.
- I had never participated in one (1).
- 11 We didn't have any at that time. And in the piece
- 12 regarding sole sourcing, I had -- I had a
- 13 responsibility that I would be responsible to rece --
- 14 you could proceed with sole sourcing if I -- the clerk
- 15 received authorization either verbally or written --
- MR. JOHN MATHER: I want to ask you a
- 17 few --
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- based on criteria,
- 19 sorry.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, I want to ask a
- 21 few questions about what -- what you just said there--
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- beginning with
- 24 sole sourcing. You said that a sole source could
- 25 proceed if you received verbal or written

- 1 authorization.
- 2 What -- can you explain what you meant
- 3 by that?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's actually the
- wording that's from the bylaw. So, I had never been
- 6 involved in a sole source prior, so I wasn't
- 7 intimately familiar with this piece moving forward.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you understand
- 9 who could give you verbal or written authorization?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would believe it to
- 11 be the department head or the CAO.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from your
- 13 answer though that -- that never transpired prior to
- 14 2012?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So, I
- 17 understand from your answer your -- your
- 18 responsibilities as clerk with respect to the
- 19 purchasing bylaw included opening tenders when they
- 20 came in a procu -- competitive procurement acting in
- 21 some sort of dispute resolution capacity, having a
- 22 certain authoriza -- a certain ability to authorize a
- 23 sole source if you got authorization.
- Did you have any other roles, as you
- 25 understood it, in 2012 under the purchasing bylaw or

- 1 the unsolicited proposals guideline?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Just my
- 3 responsibility as a department head, as well, with the
- 4 various levels of procurement that department heads
- 5 were responsible to do.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: In 2012, was there
- 7 any individual or department at the town that was
- 8 responsible for ensuring that purchased proceeded in
- 9 accordance with the purchasing bylaw and the
- 10 unsolicited proposals guideline?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: Since the oversight
- 12 function is within the Treasury Department, I would
- 13 say that it would be within -- within the Finance
- 14 Treasury Department.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: And was that what
- 16 you understood to be the case in 2012?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Generally speaking,
- 19 when the town was looking at a procurement or -- or
- 20 preparing for procurement in 2012, who would be
- 21 responsible for overseeing and operating a specific
- 22 procurement?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So, in accordance
- 24 with the bylaw, it is the department head together
- 25 with the purchasing manager. So, at that time, we had

- 1 -- Mr. McNalty helped assist through the RFP process.
- 2 So, the bylaw also included templates
- 3 for an RFP and tenders. And, basically, the
- 4 department head would work with the purchasing manager
- 5 to undertake any sort of acquisition that was needed.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: In 2012, was it your
- 7 impression that, as a general matter, procurements
- 8 were proceeding in accordance with the purchasing
- 9 bylaw or something being referred to as procurements
- 10 proceeded?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: Did I think that it
- 12 was being complied with the bylaw?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah, as a general --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: During a procurement
- 21 or any purchasing decision, if staff wanted to consult
- 22 with legal counsel about the procurement, was that
- 23 something that was available to them?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: How would a staff

- 1 member go about seeking legal advice if that's
- 2 something they wanted?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Most often, myself,
- 4 as clerk, would coordinate a lot of the legal
- 5 consultation or advise. So, it would either be
- 6 through myself, or a department head would ask me if
- 7 there was any concern. And then they could contact
- 8 them directly, as well.
- 9 And then the CAO always had the -- the
- 10 ability to contact the legal on their own if they
- 11 wanted to see -- or seek advice.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it common for
- 13 legal advice to be sought when a procurement decision
- 14 or decisions were being made?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know why not?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think we -- we
- 21 spent thousands of thousands of dollars on our
- 22 purchasing bylaw, so I think that everybody was pretty
- 23 satisfied with our -- the process.
- And there was rarely any sort of
- 25 anomalies that came up that would require further

- 1 legal advice.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Looking at paragraph
- 3 9 of your affidavit, and it's something you've already
- 4 mentioned, which is, prior to 2012, you weren't -- at
- 5 least you do not recall the town proceeding by way of
- 6 a sole source procurement.
- 7 You've already spoken about a certain
- 8 authorization that could be granted to you for a sole
- 9 source. Other than that, in 2012, what was your
- 10 understanding of when the town could proceed with a
- 11 procurement by way of sole source?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm -- I was fa --
- 13 familiar with the 2006 bylaw, so the -- it lays out --
- 14 I believe there's approximately seven (7) or eight (8)
- 15 specific items that you could do sole sourcing for, so
- 16 whether they're the only known supplier, whether it's
- 17 an emergency purchase, whether there's an opportunity
- 18 to do a joint or cooperative purchase with another
- 19 public entity.
- There's a number of items that are
- 21 specific in that bylaw.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, continuing
- 23 through your affidavit, and now looking at paragraphs
- 24 10 and 11. And in these paragraphs you talk about --
- 25 first, you -- you mention that you were not actively

- 1 involved in the work of the Central Park steering
- 2 committee other than that you had a general sense that
- 3 cou -- council's concerns about the multi-use
- 4 recreation facility that the steering committee had
- 5 proposed.
- 6 And in paragraph 12, you go on to say
- 7 that you first became aware that council may not head
- 8 down that path when you received an email from Mr.
- 9 Houghton, who was forwarding a request from Deputy
- 10 Mayor Lloyd seeking -- asking Mr. Houghton to get a
- 11 price for a fabric cover.
- 12 So, I think we should open the exhibit
- 13 you reference here, which is that email chain. So, if
- 14 we could pull up Exhibit D. And scroll to the bottom
- 15 of the email chain.
- 16 So, at the bottom of the email chain we
- 17 have the email from Mr. Lloyd on June 12th in which he
- 18 asks Ed to get a fabric cover to completely go over
- 19 the Centennial pool and built it into Heritage Park,
- 20 as well as the cost of a fabric cover to cover the
- 21 outdoor ice rink.
- 22 Mr. Houghton forwards that email to you
- 23 with some commentary about why nothing is ever easy.
- 24 And then if you scroll up, you respond:
- 25 "He really shouldn't be directing

- 1 you to do this either. What a
- 2 pickle."
- 3 And then scrolling up further, Mr.
- 4 Houghton responds. Then you say -- you suggest that -
- 5 or you say to Mr. Houghton:
- 6 "Could he ask Rick to ask council to
- 7 make this request -- sorry, ask --
- 8 make this request in an email to all
- 9 council in Marta.
- 10 And then, if no one objects, Ron,
- Dave, or Dennis could go out and get
- 12 a couple of prices."
- 13 Starting with your first email to Mr.
- 14 Houghton, why did you tell him at that point in time
- 15 that Deputy Mayor Lloyd shouldn't be directing him to
- 16 make that sort of request?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because a member of
- 18 council shouldn't be directing staff to undertake
- 19 something, especially without anybody having an idea
- 20 that that's happening.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you sent that
- 22 email, was that a principle that you thought Mr.
- 23 Houghton was aware of? Do you...
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: I know that he was
- 25 aware of. But I purposely sent that email to remind

- 1 him that he shouldn't be doing that, as well.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why did you
- 3 believe that Mr. Houghton needed a reminder, or you
- 4 thought it would be useful to provide a reminder?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Again, Mr. Houghton
- 6 was really busy. He was very active, as we can see.
- 7 And so, I -- I felt that it was a good reminder to
- 8 bring it to his attention that, you know, he shouldn't
- 9 be going out on his own doing something that wasn't --
- 10 that wasn't -- wasn't a council direction.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware of
- 12 Mr. Houghton in the past ever of acting on a direction
- 13 from an individual member of councillor (sic)?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm sure I was;
- 15 hence, the reminder.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your response --
- 17 subsequent respond to Mr. Houghton, you suggest that -
- 18 either that, if -- if the email was sent to all
- 19 council and Marta, I assume Marta is Mr. Proctor?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: That -- and there's
- 22 no objections that Ron or Dave or Dennis could get a
- 23 couple of prices. Who are Ron, Dave, and Dennis?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: So, Ron Martin was
- 25 our deputy chief building official. And I believe he

- 1 had the title project manager at that time, or shortly
- 2 thereafter.
- 3 Dave McNalty was our fleet facilities
- 4 purchasing manager. Dennis Seymour was responsible
- 5 for rec facilities, I believe the pool, as well as the
- 6 arena, but definitely the arena.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why did you
- 8 suggest that it be one (1) of them who go out and get
- 9 prices if there's no objection?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Honestly, I didn't
- 11 believe that a price would be so difficult. I thought
- 12 it would be just phone them up, say this is kind of
- 13 what we have, give us the approximate value of what --
- 14 what they're -- what they're at.
- I didn't think it was going to be a
- 16 big, complex task. So, I thought it was something
- 17 that, you know, someone a little bit more junior could
- 18 be tasked with.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: As opposed to Mr.
- 20 Houghton?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. And,
- 22 obviously, they're more familiar with -- with the
- 23 facilities themselves and the requirements and needs.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 25 paragraph 159.

```
THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Ca --
```

- 2 can I just -- before you do that, can you put the
- 3 email back on the screen, please?

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 8 if I can refer you to the email. You -- you say
- 9 you're -- you're asking Mr. Houghton to ask Rick, Rick
- 10 is Rick Lloyd --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: The deputy mayor.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- to
- 13 ask you in an email that all council sees. Why did
- 14 you put that in there?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think it was
- 16 important that council knew what he was doing rather
- 17 than going out on his own trying to direct staff to
- 18 undertake something because, obviously, we had already
- 19 received the presentation on the rec facilities and
- 20 what's happening.
- 21 We were -- I believe it was around that
- 22 time, as well, that there was a whole conversation
- 23 about the next steps from that steering committee
- 24 recommendation.
- So, I thought to go out and -- and do

- 1 something that was the opposite of what we'd been
- 2 discussing, someone should be aware of it.
- And I thought, you know, it could be a
- 4 good idea because I know that there -- I knew how much
- 5 money the proposed plan was going to be and maybe this
- 6 was something that might be a reasonable alternative.
- 7 However, people need to know.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 9 why did you want Marta Proctor to see the email?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: It was --
- 11 Your Honour, she was the director of leisure services
- 12 of the time, and recreation facilities are her -- are
- 13 her responsibility and her priority.
- 14 Even the -- like the development or
- 15 redevelopment or renovation of any facility was under
- 16 her portfolio. So Ed shouldn't be going around doing
- 17 something without Marta being aware of it.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 19 you say if -- so -- and you say if no one objects,
- 20 then ask those people to get a couple of places. So
- 21 was the idea that perhaps there might be an objection
- 22 from somebody for the reasons that you just mentioned?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. And I
- 24 didn't think we needed to waste staff resources and
- 25 time exploring something that -- that somebody or

- 1 people would have an issue with.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: At the
- 3 point where you become aware of the request of the
- 4 deputy mayor and -- did that appear to you -- had you
- 5 a reason to believe that was coming, or was it
- 6 something out of the blue or...
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: It was out of the
- 8 blue.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 10 right. Thank you.
- 11
- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could pull
- 14 up paragraph 159 in the Foundation Document.
- 15
- 16 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 17
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the email that we
- 19 were looking at on -- just previously was dated
- 20 June 12th, 2012.
- This is an email dated June 14th, 2012
- 22 or it's describing an email from Deputy Mayor Rick
- 23 Lloyd to Mr. Houghton copying members of Council,
- 24 Margaret Proctor, and the EMC in which he makes a
- 25 request for prices for covering the pool and the

- 1 arena.
- 2 Do you recall receiving a copy of this
- 3 email when Mr. -- when Deputy Mayor Lloyd sent it?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have received
- 5 it, but I don't recollect specifically at this point.
- 6 But obviously, my suggestion worked.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know what
- 8 happened after the email was sent?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Only through the
- 10 Foundation Documents did I, you know, recollect that
- 11 some members had responded saying that's, you know, a
- 12 good idea. Or I think there was -- I think there was
- 13 some positive reaction, but I don't recall. I don't
- 14 recall if there was any objection. I don't think
- 15 there was.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if
- 17 anyone from staff after this email was sent went and
- 18 looked into pricing for fabric buildings?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe that
- 20 this kind of initiated, you know, the conversation
- 21 with -- with Sprung.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to
- 23 paragraph 157. So just to orient you in time, Deputy
- 24 Mayor Lloyd emailed Mr. Houghton on June 12th, and
- 25 then Mr. Houghton forwarded it on to you. We've seen

- 1 the email on June 14th from Deputy Mayor Lloyd to all
- 2 of Council, Ms. Proctor, and the EMC.
- 3 This paragraph describes an email
- 4 exchange between a Sprung representative Pat Mills and
- 5 Mr. Houghton on June 13th. As part of this email
- 6 chain, Mr. Houghton writes that he's been asked by a
- 7 member of Council to get a rough estimate for the
- 8 installation of two (2) fabric buildings and asked if
- 9 it can be discussed.
- 10 Were you aware at this time that
- 11 Mr. Houghton had had this email exchange with a
- 12 representative from Sprung?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was not aware.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: At any point, did
- 15 Mr. Houghton inform you that he had sent this email or
- 16 had this email exchange with a representative from
- 17 Sprung?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not that I recollect.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
- 20 time -- June 2012 -- what did you know about what a
- 21 fabric building was?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I didn't have very
- 23 much experience. I -- I grew up on a farm, so I knew
- 24 what a coverall building was. But I -- I wasn't too
- 25 familiar with -- with a fabric structure.

- I knew at Blue Mountain, they had a
- 2 fabric structure where their tennis courts -- so it
- 3 wasn't -- it's wasn't a big popular structure around
- 4 this area.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: To your knowledge
- 6 prior to this point in time, had the Town ever
- 7 considered fabric structures for any form of
- 8 construction?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe around this
- 10 time and a little bit earlier was the whole
- 11 conversation that was being had with the Pretty River
- 12 Academy and their structure that they were
- 13 investigating for over their soccer pitches.
- 14 And that was the only other opportunity
- 15 that I had heard about such structure.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: So Deputy Mayor
- 17 Lloyd sends his initial request to Mr. Houghton on
- 18 June 12th, 2012.
- 19 What we see in the Foundation Documents
- 20 is the day before that -- so June 11th, 2012 -- there
- 21 was a meeting of Council that has been called the
- 22 strategic planning session or a strategic planning
- 23 workshop where Council members were given the
- 24 opportunity to speak about options for recreational
- 25 facilities and what they might like to see.

```
1 Did you attend that meeting on
```

- 2 June 11th, 2012?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall how
- 5 that meeting came to be?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Actually, I reviewed
- 7 a lot of documents in preparation for this, and I --
- 8 honestly, I -- I can't specifically recollect that
- 9 event.
- 10 I believe that there was discussions
- 11 facilitated through, I believe, the CAO, and I believe
- 12 Marta played obviously a role in that as well. But I
- 13 don't have a very strong memory of that meeting.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if the
- 15 concept of a fabric structure or fabric building was
- 16 raised at that meeting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I cannot recall, but
- 18 it -- it could have been.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could return
- 20 to your affidavit in paragraph 12.

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this portion of
- 25 your affidavit talks about the July 16th, 2012 Council

- 1 meeting in which the outcome of the meeting is Council
- 2 directs staff to pursue the construction of a single
- 3 pad arena or looking into the construction of a single
- 4 pad arena as well as covering the outdoor pool with a
- 5 fabric cover.
- In paragraph 12 of your affidavit, you
- 7 describe two (2) -- sorry -- you describe a document
- 8 that was presented to Council in advance of the
- 9 meeting which contained a summary of potential
- 10 resolutions that Council could consider with respect
- 11 to recreation facilities.
- 12 The resolutions, as you describe it, is
- 13 divided into two (2) categories. The first category
- 14 is a series of resolutions that Council could pass if
- 15 it was to continue or pursue the recommendations of
- 16 the Steering Committee. And then the second category
- 17 is resolutions that Council could pass if it was
- 18 seeking to pursue other options.
- 19 So if we could open that document,
- 20 which is Exhibit E. So this is the document you refer
- 21 to in that paragraph of your affidavit. If we could
- 22 scroll to near the bottom of the document. Continue
- 23 scrolling. Scrolling. Stop on this box here, if we
- 24 can scroll to have the whole box on the screen. Thank
- 25 you.

- 1 So this is a resolution that's
- 2 presented in direction B which is other options
- 3 Council consider, and there's a list of ten (10) paths
- 4 that Council could direct staff to pursue.
- 5 You say in your affidavit that you
- 6 don't recall how this specific list was generated, but
- 7 I do have some questions for you about two (2) of the
- 8 items on this list or really one (1) item.
- 9 But first with reference to the first
- 10 option, it says "Construct a Single Pad Arena," and
- 11 then -- which is one of the selections that's taken.
- 12 And then if we look at item 8, it says, "Enclose the
- 13 Outdoor Pool with a Fabric Building."
- Do you know why when it came to
- 15 enclosing the outdoor pool that the option of a fabric
- 16 building was the only option that was presented?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware of
- 19 any discussions prior to the July 16th meeting or any
- 20 research that was done in terms of what construction
- 21 options would be available if Council wanted to cover
- 22 the outdoor pool?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 24 recollect. Obviously, I was aware that the deputy
- 25 mayor had asked for prices on fabric structure, but

- 1 I -- I don't know all the considerations and why this
- 2 was chosen and not another one was chosen.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go back
- 4 to paragraph 14 of your affidavit.
- 5 So paragraph 14 introduces an excerpt
- 6 from the minutes of this July 16th Council meeting,
- 7 and if we scroll down, we see the motion that was
- 8 carried at the meeting. It's the -- it's a similar
- 9 box to the one we were looking at in the -- and
- 10 Council's made two (2) selections with respect to
- 11 items 1 and 8.
- 12 When Council directed staff to pursue
- 13 construction of a single pad arena and enclosing the
- 14 outdoor pool with a fabric building, what did you
- 15 understand that direction to entail?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believed it to
- 17 be what was -- what was resolved in this resolution,
- 18 that they would come back with a timeline and some
- 19 estimates on the two (2) different items that they had
- 20 chosen.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what were you --
- 22 and with staff directed to come back with timelines
- 23 and estimates, how did you expect staff would go about
- 24 doing that?
- 25 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think, like,

- 1 obviously having the conversation with individuals
- 2 that could review the needs of what was needed at the
- 3 arena or at the pool and determine costing, determine
- 4 a project timeline, how soon construction could start,
- 5 when the items would be completed because the
- 6 community had big aquatic and ice needs.
- 7 So I think that there was a sense of
- 8 importance because obviously a lot of time was spent,
- 9 too, with the Steering Committee on reviewing options
- 10 to increase these recreation facilities. So I knew
- 11 that both of those were important.
- 12 And obviously before they could
- 13 potentially proceed on -- on the recommendation of the
- 14 Steering Committee, if Council thought that these were
- 15 more -- a better value option, then they would need to
- 16 see that information before agreeing or not agreeing
- 17 with the Steering Committee's recommendations.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: I just want to make
- 19 sure. So what I understand from your answer is that
- 20 you expected that staff would go out, look into cost
- 21 and timelines for these options, and that would then
- 22 serve as information Council could use to determine
- 23 whether or not it wanted to proceed with the Central
- 24 Park recommendation, or one of the -- or one (1) of
- 25 the options, or both of the options identified here?

 $4\,4$

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay.
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry, I didn't
- 4 articulate that as well.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: I just wanted to
- 6 make sure that I understood what you said.
- 7 You mentioned getting information about
- 8 cost; you mentioned getting information about
- 9 timeline. Was there anything else that you recall
- 10 Council wanting to know about these two (2) options?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. I didn't really
- 12 contemplate it at the time. I knew it wasn't -- it
- 13 wasn't something I was doing because I'm not familiar
- 14 with construction of buildings or facilities or -- or
- 15 recreation needs or construction contracts or
- 16 anything.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it your
- 18 expectation at this point in time that on -- by
- 19 August 27th when staff reported back to Council they
- 20 would be making a recommendation in terms of whether
- 21 or not to pursue one of these options or continue with
- 22 the Central Park Steering Committee?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: In hindsight, I would
- 24 change a lot about that report, but at that time, I
- 25 felt that we would be coming back with a timeline and

- 1 pricing, and Council would make a decision whether
- 2 they wanted to go out with an RFP, or whether they
- 3 wanted to pursue the recommendations of the Steering
- 4 Committee.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know how the
- 6 August 27th, 2012 date was decided as the date for
- 7 staff to report back?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I know that there was
- 9 initially a conversation about having it for the next
- 10 meeting that month, and obviously, we're in the middle
- 11 of summer. We're extremely busy. That's when we're
- 12 closing all the -- the documents for the hydro sale,
- 13 that there is positively no way that July 30th, I
- 14 think was the proposed original date, would have
- 15 worked. So then it was agreed upon -- well actually,
- 16 I don't know even who said the August 27th timeframe,
- 17 but regardless, that was still an extremely tight
- 18 timeline to get this much information, especially in
- 19 the summer months.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So you meant -- you
- 21 said that it was an extremely tight timeline in the
- 22 August 27th date. Was that -- I take it that was a --
- 23 a view you held.
- 24 Do you know if other members of staff
- 25 also saw this as a tight timeline?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe I was not
- 2 alone in that thought.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did anyone on staff
- 4 express any concerns to Council about the timeline
- 5 that had been given?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 7 recall, but I know that there was -- there was
- 8 pressure to have that information sooner than later.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you mean
- 10 when you say there was pressure to have that
- 11 information sooner than later?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I know the
- 13 deputy mayor was -- was really keen on -- on moving
- 14 forward, and so I believe that that specifically was
- 15 probably most likely a date that he settled with once
- 16 we said that July 30th date wasn't going to work.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you know why the
- 18 deputy mayor was keen on moving forward?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I think there
- 20 was just a sense of moving forward with these rec
- 21 facilities. They obviously wanted to see some action.
- 22 They wanted to see these buildings constructed or
- 23 renovated before, obviously, their next -- the next
- 24 Council election. And there was a lot of community --
- 25 as I said, community desire to have improved aquatic

- 1 opportunities, and additional ice pad, because I know
- 2 it was -- time demands were crunched here at the Eddie
- Bush Arena. Different teams were going and scheduling
- 4 practices at other -- other municipalities.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have
- 6 any conversations with Mr. Houghton about the
- 7 timeframe that had been set for reporting back to
- 8 Council?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, because I knew it
- 10 wasn't going to be me. I -- I didn't -- I didn't
- 11 realize it would be a report that would come back that
- 12 said EMC on it, for one, and I didn't -- if -- if he
- 13 felt that he could achieve that timeline, and then
- 14 good for him, but it wasn't -- it -- I -- I really
- 15 didn't think too much of it at the time.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from that
- 17 answer, it was your understanding that it was Mr.
- 18 Houghton who was going to be responsible for
- 19 fulfilling this -- this request of Council?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: Between Ed and Marta
- 21 as the director.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you ever in
- 23 discussions with Ms. Proctor about the timeline that
- 24 had been -- that --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm sure I did at the

- 1 time. I don't specifically recollect. I know that
- 2 she had scheduled two (2) weeks of holidays in August,
- 3 so that would have been a significant concern.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there any
- 5 discussion that you're aware of about this timeline,
- 6 with reference to the fact that Ms. Proctor, the
- 7 director of Parks and Recreation, would be away for
- 8 two (2) weeks during this time period?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I don't think
- 10 whenever Council made the decision for the August
- 11 27th, I wasn't aware that she was taking two (2)
- 12 weeks. Regardless, it is the summer months. But I
- 13 wasn't aware at the time.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: I appreciate the
- 15 comments you've already made about this essent -- from
- 16 what I understood, not being the prod -- a project you
- 17 were going to oversee, but in your role as clerk, did
- 18 you have any expectation about what -- what you would
- 19 provide to this project, or what your role would be in
- 20 any capacity?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. In the
- 22 documents, too, which shows that I believe the end of
- 23 July the 1st -- or the first few days of August, there
- 24 was a meeting with Sprung. I was invited to that
- 25 meeting, but I didn't attend that meeting, so I --

- 1 even though it says, the EMC met with the
- 2 representatives, I wasn't part of that discussion. I
- 3 didn't have any conversations with any members of
- 4 Sprung. And I was busy working away at all my other
- 5 responsibilities, and the service-level inventory that
- 6 I was tasked from the EMC to do. So I didn't have too
- 7 much focus on -- on that whole conversation of the rec
- 8 facilities.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could pull
- 10 up paragraph 16 of your aff --
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 12 before you that, it says in -- I've got the resolution
- 13 in front of me on the screen. I -- I think you do
- 14 too. It's -- it says that:
- "Council directs staff to pursue the
- 16 following recommended options."
- I -- I don't know how much -- what the
- 18 importance of a particular word is, but how did a
- 19 fabric building become a recommended option at this
- 20 stage when nobody -- nobody made any inquiries yet?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: So this resolution
- 22 went to Council on July 16th. So the -- the only
- 23 reference that I have that could justify why it was
- 24 put in there was that email from the deputy mayor in
- 25 June that brought the notion of having a fabric

- 1 building, and seeing no objection, that could be why
- 2 it was included on this list. But again, that's an
- 3 assumpt -- an assumption. I -- I don't --
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well -
- 5 well, would you expect that a Council member, seeing
- 6 it referred to as a recommended option would -- would
- 7 you expect that they would assume that there'd been
- 8 some preliminary determination that this was a rec --
- 9 recommended?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think purely the --
- 11 the terminology of having recommended in the
- 12 resolution was the -- this was just -- was just a -- a
- 13 pool of -- of ideas. And then when they picked the
- 14 two (2) that they want, that was the Council's
- 15 recommended options.
- 16 I -- I don't believe that -- that staff
- 17 necessarily recommended, you know, these ten (10)
- 18 items yearly.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 20 right. Who -- who actually drafted this particular
- 21 resolution? Do you know?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So generally, I have
- 23 assistance in providing help with doing resolutions or
- 24 the motion preparation, so it wouldn't surprise me
- 25 that I helped with this. No, because when we provide

- 1 options, we kind of put it out that way, so I could
- 2 have been assisting in that wording, but the items
- 3 under it, I had no participation with.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 5 right. All right.

6

- 7 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so if we could
- 9 turn up paragraph 16 of Ms. Almas's affidavit.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So in paragraphs 16
- 14 and 17, you describe that what you had already
- 15 mentioned, that you were invited to an early meeting
- 16 with Sprung, and you cannot attend. At -- in
- 17 paragraph 17, that Mr. Houghton, Marjory Leonard, and
- 18 David McNalty were primarily responsible for preparing
- 19 the report, and they were acting under Mr. Houghton's
- 20 direction.
- 21 In paragraph 18, you go on to discuss
- 22 Ms. Proctor. You say that you recall that she was
- 23 less involved in preparing for the August 27th meeting
- 24 as she had been with Central Park. You say that it's
- 25 -- your belief was that she was frustrated about the

- 1 decision to disregard the work of the Steering
- 2 Committee, and -- and not to proceed with the
- 3 recommendations, and also that she was frustrated --
- 4 or you believed she was frustrated with Mr. Houghton's
- 5 control and involvement in recommendations for
- 6 recreation facilities, as they were her
- 7 responsibility, and was not Mr. Houghton's expertise.
- 8 Can you just expand on what you -- what
- 9 you meant by -- by this?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly. Marta was
- 11 intimately involved in the process with the Central
- 12 Park Steering Committee, together with the whole
- 13 conversation about potential next steps, market
- 14 sounding, the strategic discussion, and I think that
- 15 once Ed really got involved, you know, there was a
- 16 sense of frustration.
- I think at that -- at that time, I
- 18 accepted Ed's role in helping with the project because
- 19 Marta was so intimately involved, so if these other
- 20 options were feasible, was Marta too set in the
- 21 original recommendation to not be able to, you know,
- 22 objectively look at alternatives that might get us to
- 23 the same outcome with an additional ice pad, an
- 24 additional pool of opportunities? Would she be
- 25 objective or not?

- 1 So I think that's why Ed was involved,
- 2 but Ed -- Ed took complete control, and -- and I think
- 3 that was extremely hard for her, because again she was
- 4 the one with the expertise and she's kind of blindly
- 5 providing as much information as she can on costing,
- 6 needs, operational costs, staffing, so there was a
- 7 frustration.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have
- 9 conversations with Ms. Proctor about these
- 10 frustrations?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. Ms. Proctor and
- 12 I would -- would have conversations.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: About -- about
- 14 what's discussed in this paragraph?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: Generally I had a
- 16 good relationship with all the senior management
- 17 group, and so I -- I knew this was -- was a
- 18 frustration, so I tried to help the -- you know, kind
- 19 of a peacemaker between my colleagues as well, because
- 20 I think equally there was a sense of frustration from
- 21 Mr. Houghton about Ms. Proctor as well, you know, him
- 22 trying to get the information, knowing that it's
- 23 rushed -- rushed off, knowing that Marta is -- is
- 24 pretty focused on the original recommendation of the
- 25 Steering Committee. You know, I think he's -- he was

- 1 feeling that he might be hitting roadblocks, as Marta
- 2 was feeling the same about Mr. Houghton, so I think
- 3 there was a sense of frustration probably on both
- 4 ends.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from what
- 6 you've written here and what you've said that at least
- 7 your understanding of Ms. Proctor's view was that Ed
- 8 was -- was taking too much control and had too much
- 9 involvement in the process.
- 10 Was that a view you shared?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I thought he was
- 12 taking a significant amount of time and control on
- 13 this. There was a lot of energy that they were
- 14 putting into this -- this research and report and the
- 15 emails flying around, and the requests for
- 16 information, and determining the needs. That -- that
- 17 month of August was extremely busy.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: I guess my question
- 19 is, it appears that, at least from what we can see
- 20 here, that Ms. -- Ms. Proctor's view, at least the
- 21 sense you got, was that -- that it was inappropriate
- 22 or that Mr. Houghton was taking too much -- the level
- 23 of involvement was not what would be expected.
- Is -- do you agree with that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I completely agree

- 1 with that. Actually in preparing for this, I actually
- 2 reviewed the job description of the Director of
- 3 Leisure Services at this time in 2012, because now
- 4 it's -- the position has evolved differently, and at
- 5 that time it specifically -- I think it's -- one (1)
- 6 of the -- I think it's the very first item within the
- 7 job description, the Director is responsible for the
- 8 strategic development of recreation facilities,
- 9 renovations, or -- it's worded along that line. So it
- 10 was Marta's job to do this; it wasn't Ed's job to do
- 11 this.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you raise this
- 13 with Mr. Houghton at the time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Why not?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I quess I wasn't
- 17 intimately familiar, number one, with the job
- 18 description, but in knowing the whole -- the pressures
- 19 of -- like, I know Marta was set with her thoughts on
- 20 the recommendations from the Steering Committee and I
- 21 think that he was -- Ed was trying to do -- you know,
- 22 look at these other options as well, because he didn't
- 23 bel -- I -- I feel that he didn't think that Marta
- 24 would be able to get the prices and get that
- 25 information objectively because she was -- she was

- 1 very supportive of the Steering Committee's
- 2 recommendation, if that makes sense. Sorry.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you -- and I
- 4 guess my question is, at the time as a member of the
- 5 EMC, did you turn your mind to whether or not Mr.
- 6 Houghton was taking on responsibilities that properly
- 7 fell to Ms. Proctor?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect at
- 9 that time feeling that way. After the fact,
- 10 absolutely.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have an
- 12 understanding of why Mr. Houghton was -- was more
- 13 involved was more involved in this project than --
- 14 than might have been anticipated?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, but I see now.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can pull up
- 17 TOC0189964.
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down to the
- 22 bottom of the email chain. Just for your ref -- just
- 23 to understand what you're looking at, Ms. Almas, this
- 24 is a -- a fairly lengthy email chain, as between the
- 25 members of the EMC and Dave McNalty. It starts with

- 1 Marjory Leonard writing an update on what Mr. McNalty
- 2 was doing with WGD, which was an architectural firm.
- 3 Just as a starting point, did you have
- 4 any involvement in WG -- WGD's work with respect to
- 5 preparing for the August 27th meeting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I did not.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I just wanted to
- 8 bring you to the first email to orient you. If we
- 9 scroll up, there are several emails back and forth, in
- 10 which you're copied, about planning WGD's work, what
- 11 is happening, what is -- what -- what staff is going
- 12 to do.
- And if we continue to go up, Mr.
- 14 Houghton writes to Mr. McNalty, and Mr. McNalty writes
- 15 back. Continue going up.
- 16 And so at the end of the email chain,
- 17 Mr. Houghton sends just Mr. McNalty an email, and in
- 18 that email he says:
- 19 "The last point I should make is
- 20 that I will be the context pers -- I
- 21 will be the contact person with
- 22 Sprung. The Def -- the Deputy Mayor
- 23 made that perfectly clear with me on
- the weekend."
- You're not copied on this email, but at

- 1 this point in time was it your understanding that Mr.
- 2 Houghton was to be the contact person with Sprung?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how did you come
- 5 to form that understanding?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Just from his basic
- 7 involvement in the staff report, the original email,
- 8 and -- and conversation between him and the Deputy
- 9 Mayor. I -- I don't think necessarily -- did I think
- 10 that only he could speak to -- to Sprung actually?
- 11 Just for clarification, I think he was telling Dave
- 12 McNalty, because Dave McNalty was a more junior
- 13 position, that he as the acting CAO and Executive
- 14 Director would be making that -- that call.
- But now with the ability to see all the
- 16 information that's come out, I actually, when I seen
- 17 this in the Foundation Documents, looked at it and --
- 18 and thought to myself, well it's probably because he
- 19 didn't want the conversation to come up about Green
- 20 Leaf's involvement in it.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I'm just
- 22 interested in your understanding at the point in time
- 23 when you were a clerk and a member of the EMC at this
- 24 point.
- Did you know if anyone else other than

- 1 Mr. Houghton had interactions with Sprung prior to
- 2 August 27th, 2012?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm not aware.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Prior to the August
- 5 27th meeting, were you aware of the company BLT
- 6 Construction Services?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Oh sorry.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I knew that BLT and
- 10 Sprung worked together. I wasn't intimately familiar
- 11 of what the difference was and that one (1) was
- 12 actually the -- the contractor and one (1) was
- 13 actually the -- the -- the producer of the structure.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to par --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 16 before you do that, can I just take you to that email,
- 17 the last email, "the last point I should make." I
- 18 appreciate you weren't copied on it.
- 19 But consistent with what you told me
- 20 before, I assume the Deputy Mayor ought not to be,
- 21 assuming the Deputy Mayor did, directing that all
- 22 contact with Sprung goes through a specific member of
- 23 staff.
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely. That --
- 25 that -- that is inappropriate.

- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Did --
- 2 did -- did you know or did you form any view at the
- 3 time, what's the big -- what's the real rush?
- I -- I appreciate what you've said,
- 5 that -- you know, that you have the arena and -- and
- 6 that teams are going elsewhere. I understood that.
- 7 But at the same time, it takes time to build any kind
- 8 of a structure.
- 9 Did -- did you have any sense of what
- 10 suddenly created, or what created this pressure to
- 11 deal with this in such a short period of time, back
- 12 then?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I knew it was --
- 14 it was rush, but I didn't understand -- I didn't
- 15 understand what -- what -- what the rush or the
- 16 pressure was about.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Did
- 18 you find it odd that it was suddenly rushed or that it
- 19 was rushed?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: To this extent, yes,
- 21 especially when the original conversation was to have
- 22 the time lines and the estimates by July 30th from a
- 23 July 16th meeting. So then they came up with the
- 24 August 27th date and I thought, well, at least that's,
- 25 you know, some breathing space, but I think the

- 1 initial conversations too, whenever they were dealing
- 2 with Sprung, was to have -- have this and have
- 3 construction and have these projects completed,
- 4 actually one of them I think before even the end of
- 5 the year. So it was just -- there was a real desire
- 6 that -- that Council wanted the public seeing them
- 7 taking action, and that's -- that's the only thing I
- 8 can think of.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 10 that's speculation on --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- on
- 13 your part. Thank you.

14

- 15 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can pull up
- 17 paragraph 331 of the Foundation Document.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: While that's being -
- 22 actually, just pull it up.
- 23 So this is an email from August 18th,
- 24 2012, that Mr. Houghton -- paragraph -- if we could
- 25 scroll up.

- 1 I need to confirm my paragraph number,
- 2 and on that, I think -- we could -- I'm happy to look
- 3 it up now or it might be a good time for a break and
- 4 then --
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 6 take the morning break.

7

- 8 --- Upon recessing at 11:23 a.m.
- 9 --- Upon resuming at 11:40 a.m.

- 11 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Ms. Almas, you were
- 13 speaking earlier today about a organizational review
- 14 that you were responsible for overseeing or
- 15 conducting.
- We saw reference in the first
- 17 Foundation Document to an organizational review that
- 18 KPMG was brought in to assist with an individual named
- 19 Bruce Peever.
- Is that the same organizational review?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: How the process
- 22 started was we undertook a service level inventory
- 23 review. And the basis of that document would be what
- 24 would allow us to judge how we were going to move
- 25 forward with the organizational review piece.

- 1 Originally, at that point, it was
- 2 something that we contemplated undertaking internally.
- But as the -- the craziness unfolded, by the time that
- 4 hit in, I believe, March of 2013 is when we -- we
- 5 brought in KPMG to actually undertake the full
- 6 organization review, and it was in three (3) phases.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: At the time KPMG was
- 8 brought in, what had been done with respect to the
- 9 organizational review?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Basically, just this
- 11 compilation of information of the programs and
- 12 services, the department, structure review. There was
- 13 a summary document put together of our boards and
- 14 committees because this organization review was also
- 15 taking a look at the governance piece, as well.
- So, all the preliminary work was what I
- 17 had been working on as part of my role with the EMC
- 18 before then.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Thank you.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And --
- 21 and did you prepare a report outlining the preliminary
- 22 review that -- or the preliminary work that you had
- 23 done?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recall
- 25 specifically. I know we went to council to get

- 1 authorization to go forward with KPMG. So, there
- 2 would have been something within that that provided
- 3 that background to council.
- 4 And that document was available to all
- 5 staff and members of council of the information that I
- 6 had prepared. I don't know how that was formally
- 7 presented. I can't recollect specifically.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.

9

- 10 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 12 paragraph 373 of the Foundation Document.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: This is a par --
- 17 this describes an email from August 21st, 2012, from
- 18 Mr. Houghton to the EMC dec -- discussing a
- 19 conversation that he had with Sprung and BLT about
- 20 their pricing.
- 21 Stepping back from this specific email,
- 22 at this point in time, I appreciate you weren't in
- 23 contact with Sprung and BLT, but did you know -- or
- 24 have a sense of how frequently Mr. Houghton or others
- 25 were meeting and speaking with Sprung and BLT?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I had a sense that it
- 2 was quite frequent because I know how they were
- 3 struggling to get numbers and information. And --
- 4 and, obviously, the -- we couldn't get appropriate
- 5 estimates and quotes without having an understanding
- 6 of, you know, the -- the needs and the concerns, for
- 7 example, with the pool, you know, what the specific
- 8 dimensions were and -- and, I guess, the -- the --
- 9 basically, the -- the life cycle of that asset right
- 10 there, so there was a lot of analysis that was going
- 11 on.
- 12 So, there was a lot of conversation
- 13 even though I wasn't directly involved.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And in this email
- 15 that we have on the screen, it appears, at least from
- 16 the email, that the topic of conversation that Mr.
- 17 Houghton's reporting on is he prices that BLT is going
- 18 to charge with respect to the Sprung structures.
- 19 Was your understanding -- or do you
- 20 recall that -- at the time, that one (1) of the
- 21 matters being discussed with BLT and Sprung was their
- 22 pricing?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have assumed
- 24 so because council's resolution indicated that they
- 25 wanted cost estimates, so pricing would be needed from

- 1 the various suppliers.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Something you
- 3 mentioned in your affidavit is that it was your
- 4 expectation up until the final versions of the staff
- 5 report, which we'll get to, but it was your
- 6 expectation that any -- any decision to proceed with
- 7 building recreational facilities would proceed by way
- 8 procurement, do I have that right, by an RF -- request
- 9 for proposal --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- and a competitive
- 12 procurement?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's -- that's
- 14 correct. And that's how the initial reports were
- 15 drafted.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember if
- 17 there was any concern with yourself or anyone on staff
- 18 at this point in time about whether or not the nature
- 19 of the discussions with Sprung and BLT would in any
- 20 way impede Sprung or BLT's ability to participate in a
- 21 procurement if one (1) went forward?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I did not contemplate
- 23 that at the time. However, following this in our
- 24 changes to our purchasing policy, I'm intimately
- 25 familiar now of not having contractors that are going

- 1 to be bidding on the work participate.
- 2 There's specific rules and -- and
- 3 requirements now. But at that time, I wasn't -- I
- 4 wasn't too familiar with -- with that public
- 5 procurement piece.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that a principle
- 7 you knew of at all at that point in time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I think generally,
- 9 yes. However, for this instance and learning through
- 10 this whole process that they had the -- you know, one
- 11 (1) of a kind type of product, that that gave them the
- 12 ability to be the only one to be consulted on -- on an
- 13 estimate.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you remember
- 15 if you were aware of anyone on staff identifying this
- 16 as something that needed to be considered?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'm now going to ask
- 19 some questions about the staff report that was
- 20 prepared. And we've already looked at the portion of
- 21 the affidavit that says that you were not actively
- 22 involved in drafting the staff report.
- 23 What level of involvement did you have?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think I was asked
- 25 at one point to look at the -- the resolution, what

- 1 would form the -- the basis of the resolution piece to
- 2 is, but I was not actively reviewing it.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 4 paragraph 331 of the Foundation Document.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 9 while they're pulling it up, it -- it said in the
- 10 document that was previously on the screen that Sprung
- 11 was -- had been asked to make a presentation.
- Do you know why they would be asked to
- 13 make a presentation about their product or -- or how
- 14 that normally would take place?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: In thinking back at
- 16 that time, I believe that most people were infamiliar
- 17 -- were -- were not familiar with, or unfamiliar with,
- 18 the fabric structures that was being talked about.
- 19 So, for the members of public and
- 20 council to have a better understanding of what their
- 21 product is, it'd be best for them to -- to come and
- 22 present and demonstrate the --
- 23 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But --
- 24 but what I guess I'm having some trouble is who asks
- 25 you to do that? Would -- wouldn't the council ask for

- 1 them to make a presentation, or -- or would somebody
- 2 else?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Generally, if there
- 4 is a presentation that is some sort of operational
- 5 need or something that's being looked at, there
- 6 wouldn't be a reason why a department head couldn't
- 7 ask someone to come in to present if they were more of
- 8 an expert matter or expert on the subject.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.

- 11 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, I now have
- 13 paragraph 333 of the Foundation Document in front of
- 14 you. This paragraph describes that, on August 18th,
- 15 Ms. Leonard sent a draft staff report which, from what
- 16 the Inquiry has seen, is the first draft of what
- 17 ultimately becomes the staff report recommending
- 18 proceeding with the two (2) Sprung structures.
- 19 My question at this point in time is,
- 20 do you recall whether you reviewed the first draft of
- 21 the staff report that Marjory Leonard circulated?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't. I think I
- 23 would have seen it. I don't recall that I did any
- 24 sort of thorough review of any of the versions of the
- 25 staff report until it got to the very end.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you mean,
- 2 "Until it got to the very end"?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So, the agenda
- 4 packages are delivered and distributed to -- to
- 5 council and the public on Thursdays. This report
- 6 wasn't even finished at that point. And I think the
- 7 final version, as you can see, came from Dave McNalty
- 8 at, I think, quarter to -- quarter to midnight.
- 9 And then there was a conversation the
- 10 next morning reviewing the entire report. So, when we
- 11 reviewed the entire report as -- was basically the
- 12 first time I was intimately aware of what was going
- 13 on.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I'll have more
- 18 questions in a moment. But when you received that
- 19 version of the report from Mr. McNalty, at that point,
- 20 did you read the full report?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not on my own. As
- 22 you can see from my affidavit, I was supposed to be on
- 23 vacation starting that Friday into the next week. So,
- 24 I -- I wasn't actively even looking at my emails on
- 25 that Thursday night.

- I knew that a request had come through
- 2 to me to review the final versions since nobody -- we
- 3 hadn't got -- received it yet. So, I believe when I
- 4 came in on Friday morning, that I just printed the
- 5 copies, start having a look at it, because I had a
- 6 conversation with Ms. Leonard at that time saying, did
- 7 you realize that the recommendation no longer says
- 8 RFP.
- And then we went into our meeting. And
- 10 then we were kind of brief on the -- the whole
- 11 document at that point.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could pull
- 13 up paragraph 22 of your affidavit.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, this relates to
- 18 what I -- I believe you were just describing. So,
- 19 looking first actually at paragraph 21. You describe
- 20 that you were scheduled to take vacation on --
- 21 beginning on August 24th, 2012.
- 22 And on August 23rd you receive a
- 23 version of the staff report from Marjory Leonard, or a
- 24 revised version. And this version of the staff report
- 25 contemplates that any -- that the decision to proceed

- 1 will go by way of an RFP or competitive procurement.
- 2 At 5:59 p.m., Mr. McNalty emails that -
- 3 and advises that he's working on another draft of
- 4 the report; it's the same information but with a
- 5 different approach.
- 6 Pausing there, did you understand what
- 7 he meant when he sent that -- when he said that at --
- 8 in that email at that point in time?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry, that he was
- 10 further revising the report?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What he meant by
- 12 same information but a different approach.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have any
- 15 understanding of what approach he was going to take?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I did not.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Then we see that Mr.
- 18 McNalty sends a revised a version of the report at
- 19 11:45 p.m. and that this version of the revised report
- 20 did not mention proceeding by way of competitive
- 21 procurement.
- I'm going to ask some questions about
- 23 these emails. Before I do that, you had already
- 24 mentioned that staff reports are due on the Thursday
- 25 before the Monday, which, in this case, would have

- 1 been August 23rd.
- 2 You're receiving a version at 11:45
- 3 p.m. on the 23rd. Do you know why this staff report
- 4 was late?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: They didn't have the
- 6 information. I'm not sure. It's similar to why the
- 7 staff report was late for the sale of the Collus
- 8 shares. It went out on the Friday, too.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and when you
- 10 say, "Similar," what do you mean by that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Both those reports
- 12 were being rushed to be finished, and that we've set a
- 13 way stronger or stringent deadline on reports now.
- 14 And it's very rare that we would issue any sort of
- 15 addendum for this very reason.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In this instance, do
- 17 you remember if there was any discussions about either
- 18 advising council or finding a way to advise that the
- 19 report wouldn't be prepared on time, and so either
- 20 deferring the matter or providing more time for staff
- 21 to get the report to council, and then have council
- 22 have the opportunity to review?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe that
- 24 Ed was pretty adamant that we would meet that time
- 25 line of August 27th. So, as you can see, a lot of

- 1 staff were pretty dedicated and -- and spent a lot of
- 2 time, like, into the night, quarter to midnight, a
- 3 report is going out.
- It was -- it was a struggle to get that
- 5 information.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And did you have any
- 7 understanding at the time why Ed was adamant that the
- 8 August 27th date had to be met?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I think he just
- 10 felt a sense of, you know, wanting to satisfy
- 11 Council's direction. Council's direction was I wanted
- 12 the report back, not later than August 27th. So we
- 13 were going to meet that deadline.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have a
- 15 conversation with Mr. Houghton about -- as this
- 16 deadline approached and the report wasn't ready about,
- 17 you know, what we've been discussing whether it should
- 18 be deferred or pushed back so there's more time to
- 19 complete the report?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect
- 21 having a specific discussion around that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned --
- 23 when I asked why the report was late, you indicated
- 24 that it might have been that certain information was
- 25 available -- or sorry -- certain information was not

- 1 available. Is that something you have a recollection
- 2 of? And what -- if so, what is it?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: My recollection was
- 4 when Marjory -- and I think it was back in one of
- 5 those emails -- through her version seeing, like, this
- 6 is as far as I can get 'cause I don't have the numbers
- 7 yet.
- 8 So I knew -- I knew that they were
- 9 waiting on getting various numbers. I don't know
- 10 specifically what the numbers were and who was holding
- 11 up on -- on getting those numbers. But I knew that
- 12 there was a lot of discussion happening behind the
- 13 scenes that we weren't privy to and hence why it got
- 14 down to the wire.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could open
- 16 Exhibit I, which is referenced here in paragraph 23.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down to the
- 21 bottom of the email chain. So we see at the second
- 22 email, it's the email that you quote in your affidavit
- 23 at just about 6:00 p.m. that Mr. McNalty is working on
- 24 a new draft with same information, a different
- 25 approach.

- If we scroll up, you then write
- 2 Mr. McNalty, and you say:
- "Do you want me to still work on a
- 4 couple recommendation scenarios?"
- 5 Do you recall what you had done up
- 6 until that point of time with respect to
- 7 recommendations?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know at this
- 10 point what recommendations staff was considering?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: It was my
- 12 understanding still the RFP, like doing a competitive
- 13 process, but I wasn't -- I -- I believe at this point,
- 14 I knew quite strongly that the recommendation would be
- 15 moving forward with a BLT/Sprung-type structure.
- 16 Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: My question was:
- 18 What did you understand at this point in time about
- 19 what staff was going to recommend or what the
- 20 discussions were in terms of what the staff
- 21 recommendation would be?
- 22 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I knew from the
- 23 research that had been done and the information's been
- 24 shared with everyone that I knew that it was going to
- 25 come forward with a recommendation based on the -- the

- 1 Sprung/BLT structure, but I thought it was going to be
- 2 through recommending there be an RFP process for it.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when you say
- 4 that, do you mean that there would be an RFP -- an RFP
- 5 looking for bids on building a fabric-type structure
- 6 or a structure akin to what Sprung builds?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: When we -- when we
- 9 talked about the July 16th meeting, you said at that
- 10 point that your expectation was that staff was going
- 11 to come back to Council with information about the
- 12 two (2) recommended options so that Council could
- 13 decide between those options or proceeding with the
- 14 Central Park Steering Committee.
- 15 It sounds from their recommendation --
- 16 it sounds like from your answer at this point in time,
- 17 it has been decided that there will -- the
- 18 recommendation will not be to proceed with the second
- 19 Central Park Steering Committee but the recommendation
- 20 will be to go ahead with the two (2) other options.
- 21 Do you remember how that decision came
- 22 about?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No. So, like, at
- 24 this point -- so the email here at 6:49 about working
- 25 on the recommended scenarios, I -- I knew that up

- 1 until that point for a place saver, the whole
- 2 conversation was to -- having a competitive process.
- 3 So as for scenarios, it would be, you
- 4 know, revert back to the original Steering Committee
- 5 recommendation, or a modification of that, or proceed
- 6 with doing a competitive process for the Sprung
- 7 structures. That would be what I would be
- 8 contemplating at this point.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. When we spoke
- 10 about an RFP, you were talking about an RFP as between
- 11 bidders proposing a form of fabric structure.
- One thing we see in the documents is
- 13 staff also looked into or did some -- did some
- 14 research into other forms of construction with respect
- 15 to the arena, specifically pre-engineered steel.
- 16 Do you recall how the decision was made
- 17 to recommend a fabric-type structure or proceed with a
- 18 fabric-type structure as opposed to a pre-engineered
- 19 steel structure or any other form of construction
- 20 material?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was
- 22 through their analysis that they undertook, and the
- 23 costings that they received, and the timelines they
- 24 were looking at.
- 25 And together with the alternative and

- 1 the timelines and the cost, it just seemed much more
- 2 appealing.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And how did you come
- 4 to understand that was what -- who held that view, or
- 5 who had come to that conclusion?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: As Ed was taking the
- 7 lead role, I believe it was based on Ed's review
- 8 'cause he was reviewing all the information as it was
- 9 coming in and being prepared 'cause -- because
- 10 Dave McNalty and Ms. Leonard were, you know, working
- 11 basically under Ed's direction on this report, and he
- 12 was the key contact.
- So I believe ultimately it was Ed's
- 14 analysis that -- that recommended moving forward in
- 15 this way.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can continue
- 17 up this email chain. So Mr. McNalty replies to your
- 18 question about continuing on recommended scenarios
- 19 with: "I would wait."
- 20 Scrolling up. And then we see that the
- 21 next email is from Mr. Houghton to the EMC, and he
- 22 says:
- 23 "Thanks, Dave, and thanks for our
- 24 call earlier."
- Do you know what telephone conversation

```
80
   Mr. Houghton is referring to in this email?
 2
                   MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not.
 3
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: August 23rd, 2012,
   did you have any phone conversations with Mr. Houghton
   about the rec facilities on that day?
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I do not
 6
   recollect. I don't think so, but I don't recollect.
 7
 8
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever have
   any conversations with Mr. McNalty about any
   conversations he had with Mr. Houghton on August 23rd?
10
11
                  MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't believe so.
12
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could back to
   your affidavit at paragraph 23.
13
14
15
                      (BRIEF PAUSE)
16
17
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: So at the end of
18
   paragraph 23, it says:
19
                      "Mr. McNalty's revised report did
20
                      not mention proceeding with a
21
                      competitive procurement."
22
                   Then you say:
23
                      "I do not recall if I reviewed
24
                      Mr. McNalty's emails on
2.5
                      August 23rd."
```

- 1 But that -- you were then asked to come
- 2 in for August 24th to discuss the staff report.
- 3 You mention earlier that you do recall
- 4 at some point reading Mr. McNalty's revised report.
- 5 You mention that you took note of the fact that it no
- 6 longer contemplated a competitive procurement.
- 7 Was there anything else that you recall
- 8 observing or taking note of when you reviewed
- 9 Mr. McNalty's report?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't think I spent
- 11 any real time reviewing their figures and their
- 12 numbers because I wasn't involved in that, so it
- 13 didn't mean that much to me.
- 14 Obviously, my concern was, you know,
- 15 the framing of what the recommendation was going to be
- 16 and, in hindsight, why there was a report that was --
- 17 said it from the EMC.
- There was never a report ever that was
- 19 presented that was from the EMC before 'cause we
- 20 weren't a recognized group. So why didn't it have
- 21 whose names it was that was actually putting it
- 22 forward and not my name? I -- I didn't speak up at
- 23 the time when I seen that, and I regret that.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why do you regret
- 25 that?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because of all the
- 2 issues that have arisen from it. You know, because if
- 3 I'm going to put my name to something, I should have
- 4 my name on it because I full -- full fledged agree
- 5 with everything, and I've done my due diligence as
- 6 best I can, and I can fully support it.
- 7 At that point, I hadn't dedicated the
- 8 energy into that because I was too busy with
- 9 everything else. And I wish I had of spoke up more.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have a sense
- 11 of what you would have said if you had the -- if you
- 12 had spoken up at that time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I would say -- I
- 14 would say, don't put my name on it because I haven't
- 15 done -- done my appropriate research on it.
- I did my own personal research
- 17 obviously whenever this came about because we had all
- 18 been circulated the information of the email address,
- 19 so we had reviewed it.
- 20 I was in awe by the facilities and
- 21 the -- the diagrams they had and the sample of the
- 22 structure. Still to this day when I go into the pool
- 23 facilities, there's individuals that come up and say
- 24 what an amazing facility it is.
- So do I believe that it was ultimately

- 1 the right decision? Yes. But with the in -- like
- 2 right now, was it a good decision? Yes, it was a good
- 3 decision. But I didn't thoroughly review bricks and
- 4 mortar buildings, steel structure buildings.
- 5 I -- I wouldn't have recommended not
- 6 proceeding without a competitive process. I wouldn't
- 7 have had any of my conversations with -- with a
- 8 company that we're actually going to be requesting
- 9 RFPs from getting that information.
- 10 So a lot of things I would have done
- 11 differently.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you received
- 13 the version of the staff report that didn't
- 14 contemplate a competitive procurement, appreciating
- 15 what you just said, what was your reaction to that at
- 16 the time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I was shocked, for
- 18 one, because I wasn't -- I think that goes back to the
- 19 question of it being -- the staff report being late.
- 20 I wasn't too concerned because I thought we were still
- 21 looking at potential scenarios and, you know, going
- 22 through a competitive process in which Council would
- 23 still have to award the final contract for -- for an
- 24 RFP.
- So then all of a sudden now, we have a

- 1 late staff report, and it's recommending something,
- 2 you know, flat out.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So your affidavit
- 4 contemplates that after you receive the report, there
- 5 was a meeting scheduled that morning with the EMC and
- 6 Mr. McNalty to discuss it.
- 7 At paragraph 25, you mention that
- 8 before the meeting you had a conversation with
- 9 Marjory Leonard about Mr. McNalty's version or a
- 10 revised version of the staff report.
- 11 What can you tell us about that
- 12 conversation?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: Just I knew that
- 14 Ms. Leonard writes very detailed and thorough staff
- 15 reports, and I knew how much time that she had put
- 16 into doing the staff report, that I -- I wanted to
- 17 bring to her attention that, do you see that they've
- 18 changed it?
- 19 So I remember that conversation. I
- 20 don't think it was a very long conversation because I
- 21 came in enough time to print off the report so I could
- 22 start taking a look at it, then Marjory came in, and
- 23 then we, I believe, had our -- our meeting.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what
- 25 Ms. Leonard said to you during that conversation?

1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically

- 2 recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you have a sense
- 4 that Ms. Leonard was aware about -- aware of the
- 5 changes that had been made?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I didn't believe that
- 7 she was aware.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 9 paragraph 399 of the Foundation Document.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You're
- 11 going on to something a little different, I guess,
- 12 right?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: No. It is
- 14 related --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 16 ahead.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- it is related to
- 18 this.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 20 ahead.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Just give me a
- 22 moment.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we'll come back
- 3 to that once I have my reference.
- 4 So you have this conversation with
- 5 Ms. Leonard in the -- that morning. You've received a
- 6 staff report that, to your surprise, no longer
- 7 contemplates a competitive procurement.
- If we could pull up your affidavit
- 9 again and go to paragraph 26, you then describe that
- 10 after your conversation with Ms. Leonard you attend a
- 11 meeting with the EMC and Mr. McNalty to discuss the
- 12 staff report.
- And at that meeting, Mr. Houghton
- 14 explained why the staff report now recommended
- 15 proceeding with two (2) Sprung structures without an
- 16 RFP. What do you recall about what he said at that
- 17 meeting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't have any
- 19 specific recollection of what -- what he stated.
- 20 Obviously it's a review with everyone
- 21 of now what direction we were going, and basically
- 22 that was -- formed the discussion that we had.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you -- do you
- 24 have any recollection of Mr. Houghton saying anything
- 25 about why the staff report now said that -- or now no

- 1 longer contemplated a competitive RFP?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, so -- so Mr.
- 3 Houghton is very charismatic and very influential, and
- 4 basically was stating strongly the reasons why it was
- 5 justified that we went down this route.
- 6 He was -- he's always extremely
- 7 articulate. I, not being too intimately involved with
- 8 this, only undertake my own brief research, I -- I
- 9 didn't question -- I didn't question it.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you remember
- 11 about what Mr. Houghton said was the reasons for --
- 12 for this approach?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I think -- I
- 14 don't specifically recall, but I'm -- I'm presuming
- 15 it, you know, it's going back to providing rec
- 16 facilities, providing a cost-effective solution,
- 17 providing something that's unique and can get
- 18 delivered in a timely manner.
- I believe all of that would have formed
- 20 kind of the basis of the conversation. And again, it
- 21 would be like a review of the analysis that was
- 22 incorporated into that report, I'm assuming as well.
- 23 But --
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: You described that
- 25 when you saw that the staff report no longer

- 1 contemplated an RFP that you were shocked. Was that
- 2 something you raised at the meeting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm sure I did, but
- 4 the meeting was scheduled and the purpose of the
- 5 meeting was to justify the numbers and timelines came
- 6 back. I think he felt comfortable in moving forward
- 7 with them. He was the key contact, having the
- 8 conversations back and forth with BLT Sprung.
- 9 So I think that just to avoid any
- 10 further delays, that it would just be the simplest
- 11 thing to do.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you spoke with
- 13 Ms. Leonard that morning, had -- did she say anything
- 14 to you about there had -- there having been
- 15 discussions about no longer proceeding by way of RFP?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recall
- 17 specifically at that time, but I know that there was -
- 18 I know that there was now conversations that
- 19 evening.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: At the time, had you
- 21 been involved in any conversations prior to that about
- 22 removing competitive procurement?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I was not.
- Now just -- for -- can I clarify
- 25 something that I'd said earlier?

- I -- I want it to be known that if I --
- 2 if I had a clear concern about -- that it was the
- 3 wrong decision at that time, I would have -- I would
- 4 have spoken up.
- 5 But I didn't have -- based on all the
- 6 information that was provided to me, I was -- I was
- 7 comfortable with her recommendation. So it wasn't
- 8 just because they had a consensus, it was based on the
- 9 information that was provided and shared that I -- I
- 10 felt that I -- there was no reason for me to object, I
- 11 guess is a better way for me to put it.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you're talking
- 13 about the information that was provided and shared,
- 14 what information are you referring to?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: So the information
- 16 that was gathered and provided as part of the report
- 17 and after hearing all the justification that Ed had,
- 18 because Ed was -- Ed was pretty powerful and pretty
- 19 persuasive.
- 20 And I was -- and I trusted Ed at that
- 21 time and I felt that it was -- it was good information
- 22 and there was no reason for me to be at the last
- 23 minute the person of the -- the group to object to the
- 24 decision.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up

- 1 paragraph 403 of the Foundation Document.
- 2 So this is an email from Ms. Leonard to
- 3 Dave McNalty, copying the other members of the EMC,
- 4 and just to point you in time, this is the day before
- 5 the meeting on August 24th. It's an email -- it's at
- 6 8:27 PM, so it's after Mr. McNalty has written the EMC
- 7 advising that he's taking a new approach to the report
- 8 and before he circulated the version of the report
- 9 that does not contemplate an RFP.
- In the email, Ms. Leonard says:
- "Dave, I think we have done our due
- 12 diligence for procurement purposes
- 13 already."
- 14 And then she goes on to explain that
- 15 further.
- 16 Do you recall receiving this email from
- 17 Ms. Leonard on the evening of August 23rd?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: So, I didn't review,
- 19 I -- because I was supposed to be on holidays. I
- 20 didn't review any of my emails that evening. So when
- 21 I came in, in the morning, I just printed the staff
- 22 report. So I -- I didn't realize that this
- 23 conversation had happened, that's why I had the
- 24 conversation with Marjorie, like oh my goodness, did
- 25 you know that it changed, because I wasn't familiar

- 1 with -- with this email.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did Ms. Leonard talk
- 3 about this email in your conversation the next
- 4 morning?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: She had mentioned
- 6 that she received a call from Ed Houghton the night
- 7 before, advising that he would like to see Ed go
- 8 forward as a sole source procurement.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did Ms. Leonard tell
- 10 you anything else about the conversation she reported
- 11 having with Mr. Houghton?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not that I recollect.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did Ms. Leonard say
- 14 anything about what had prompted her to send this
- 15 email?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was the
- 17 -- the telephone conversation from Ed.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did she specifically
- 19 say that, or is that an impression you got from
- 20 speaking with her?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: At the time I don't
- 22 specifically recollect. But I know now, like in
- 23 conversations that I've had with her.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So at the meeting
- 25 where -- on August 24th where it's discussed that this

- 1 will not -- the recommendation will not be -- to
- 2 proceed by way of competitive RFP, at that point in
- 3 time do you know what had been done to negotiate the
- 4 price that BLT and Sprung were offering for the two
- 5 Sprung structures?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I was not
- 7 involved in any of those conversations.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you made aware
- 9 at any point in and around August 27th what had
- 10 occurred in terms of price negotiations?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't aware -- I'm
- 12 not aware if -- if I was part of those conversations,
- 13 but I -- I don't believe so.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can pull up
- 15 paragraph 331 of the Foundation Document. Sorry, 333.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: This is where we
- 20 were a -- a little bit ago, before we began discussing
- 21 August 23rd and 24th, so we're going to go back in
- 22 time just for a moment.
- 23 And this is when the first version of
- 24 the staff report was circulated by Marjorie Leonard.
- 25 If we could go down to paragraph 338.

```
So, Ms. -- on August 19th Mr. Houghton
 1
    forwarded the initial draft that Ms. Leonard had sent
   to Deputy Mayor Rick Lloyd and wrote:
 3
                      "Take a look at this. I've not read
 5
                      it yet, but will, but I'm going to
 6
                      ask Marjorie to send it to you as
 7
                      well."
                   And if you scroll down we see that in
   paragraph 339, Mr. Lloyd writes back with some
    feedback, including saying that if Council -- that he
10
11
   does not like the phrase of Council chooses to
12
   proceed.
13
                   Were you aware at the time that Mr.
14
   Houghton had provided Deputy Mayor Lloyd with an early
15
   draft of the staff report?
16
                   MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I was not.
17
18
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
19
20
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: Is it common for
```

- 21 individual members of council to be given the
- 22 opportunity to review an early draft of a staff
- 23 report?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry, I -- I should
- 25 clarify. So, in this, the email chain, you can see

- 1 I'm included in it, so I'm aware that he has. I
- 2 didn't know that he was going to sent it to him. And,
- 3 no, it's not common. And, no, that's not a good
- 4 practice. And, no, no.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: You've expressed a
- 6 couple times today when we've seen the deputy mayor
- 7 either giving direction to staff or being involved on
- 8 an individual basis with staff and said that that is
- 9 not something that should be done.
- 10 Why is that not something that should
- 11 be done?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because it's not --
- 13 members of council individually are not to be having
- 14 that conversation with -- providing direction to
- 15 staff.
- 16 At this point in time, the governance
- 17 structure was set up a little differently, as well.
- 18 We had different members of council that acted as a
- 19 liaison to various depar -- departments, actually, one
- 20 (1) in -- one (1) particularly.
- 21 There was a representative, and I --
- 22 and I believe it was -- it was Rick Lloyd, that was
- 23 the sole individual that was to liaise with public
- 24 works and engineering because there wasn't a public
- 25 works engineering committee like there is a parks rec

- 1 and culture committee.
- 2 And there's a number of members from
- 3 the public. There's members -- members of council
- 4 that sit on that -- that committee. And the same with
- 5 -- even though the budget committee is -- is all of
- 6 council, the deputy mayor chaired the budget
- 7 committee.
- 8 So, it wasn't overly alarming at that
- 9 point. And, again, I was busy. I -- I didn't, you
- 10 know, take the time to really evaluate what was going
- 11 on, but it really is not an appropriate practice.
- 12 And any sort of direction needs to be
- 13 coming from council as a whole to members of staff
- 14 because you can have competing interests. You can
- 15 have personal interests in -- in how things happen
- 16 that can influence how decisions are put forward to
- 17 council, which is not appropriate.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: From your
- 19 perspective, as a senior member of staff, other than
- 20 what you've said, is there anything else that gives --
- 21 gives you concern about an individual member of
- 22 council providing direction or input directly to staff
- 23 members as opposed to through council?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: In hindsight, I
- 25 should have -- I should have asked the question why,

- 1 like, why -- why is it -- why is he being so
- 2 intimately involved in this conversation, knowing,
- 3 again, that he wasn't sitting as a member of the
- 4 recreation committee or part of Marta's team with that
- 5 committee, or part of the -- the Centra Park steering
- 6 committee, why -- why did he have so much interest in
- 7 rec facilities.
- 8 I -- I don't know. And I should have
- 9 asked the question.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Speaking more
- 11 generally, does it cause you concern, as a staff
- 12 member, about, you know, the -- about zu -- did you
- 13 have any concern, as a staff member, about the -- the
- 14 nature and the integrity of staff's research and
- 15 process if individual members of council are directing
- 16 or speaking with staff about the work they're doing?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely, there --
- 18 there should be concern.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why is that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Because they're --
- 21 they're trying to -- obviously, having the
- 22 conversation. And in looking at how he's responding
- 23 is he's trying to influence how a report is written
- 24 that would not necessarily be written that way.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we could go

- 1 to paragraph 4.
- 2 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Ju -
- 3 just before you leave that, I think you sort of
- 4 touched on it in your last answer, but thi -- this is
- 5 not only reading the report, it's redrafting the
- 6 report in a way that makes it more persuasive of a
- 7 particular point of view?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And I
- 10 don't want to put words in your mouth, but -- but
- 11 that's a staff report?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So, a
- 14 council member receiving that would assume that that's
- 15 the staff's collective view. And I assume they
- 16 wouldn't know of -- of this drafting exercise that
- 17 we're reading about?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Does
- 20 that --
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: Do I -- do I think
- 22 that, if the deputy mayor hadn't looked at it, that
- 23 the report would be a different report? Absolutely.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 25 right. Would -- would you -- is -- is it -- it's

- 1 difficult for -- can you describe whether it's
- 2 difficult or not for a staff person to stand in the
- 3 way of what appears to be a flow of events or a
- 4 movement toward a conclusion?
- If you're the staff member and you -- I
- 6 mean, can you explain what that's like, how the -- how
- 7 you feel, as a staff person, when you may not -- you
- 8 may think you're standing in the way of -- of --
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Definitely.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- a
- 11 chain of events?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: Definitely there is a
- 13 fear or reprisal, as well, that when -- that -- and --
- 14 and, obviously, I knew who was instrumental in the
- 15 termination of the previous CAO.
- 16 And, as a staff member, sometimes as --
- 17 well, at -- at that time, I feel that things are much
- 18 different now, but you had to walk a very fine line on
- 19 what you're going to object to and why. And you
- 20 better have -- you better have some pretty detailed
- 21 information on, if you're not going to agree, why
- 22 you're not going to agree.
- 23 For me to send that email in January of
- 24 2013 that says, you know, come on, I need help, that
- 25 was me finally starting to speak up because I had just

- 1 -- at -- at that time and during this time, I think
- 2 there was a difficulty, and the culture at that time
- 3 was -- was much harder.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Why
- 5 did you finally decide to speak up in that email?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I just knew
- 7 everything that was happening was wrong. Like, it
- 8 just -- it -- it -- when it started off, it was
- 9 enjoyable and challenging. I -- and, of course, being
- 10 the clerk and being responsible for a lot of the
- 11 openness accountable integrity type of policy, I just
- 12 -- it was -- it was killing me.
- 13 And, again, you know, I finally decided
- 14 to -- and, of course, I have a young family, as well.
- 15 But, like, I -- I devoted so much time, so much time
- 16 to work at that point that, yeah, I -- I think there
- 17 obviously was a fear, especially during that time, for
- 18 my job because just -- just five (5) months earlier,
- 19 not even, because Kim spoke up, our previous CAO, and
- 20 she was trying to -- to say when things were wrong,
- 21 she got fired.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 23 you.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: All right.
- 2.5

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your response to
- 3 Justice Marrocco's question you indicated that you had
- 4 -- that you knew who was instrumental in the
- 5 termination of the previous CAO, Ms. Wingrove.
- Who did you understand that to be?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: And -- and the proof
- 8 now is in the Foundation Documents. It was -- the
- 9 deputy mayor was extremely involved in that and, I
- 10 believe, another member of council, as well.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned the
- 12 Foundation Documents. Was it your understanding at
- 13 the time that the deputy mayor had been involved or
- 14 played a role in Ms. Wingrove's termination?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: The deputy mayor was
- 16 the strongest and most -- had the most power over
- 17 members of council in -- in that council term. So,
- 18 basically -- basically, if the deputy mayor didn't
- 19 agree, then it didn't happen.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 24 paragraph 413 of the Foundation Document?
- 25 MS. SARA ALMAS: And if -- and vice

- 1 versa. If the deputy mayor wanted something, he got
- 2 it.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So going back to
- 4 August 24th, this is an email sent at 10:46 a.m.,
- 5 which appears to be about 50 minutes after the EMC
- 6 meeting was scheduled to end.
- 7 And Ms. Leonard sends an email to
- 8 Mr. McNalty and the EMC, subject "Procurement
- 9 Section," and in it, she sets out a series of
- 10 paragraphs relating, what appears, to be to the
- 11 decision not to proceed with the procurement.
- Do you recall receiving this email?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 14 recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what
- 16 the purpose of this email was?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think it was -- it
- 18 was to provide the basis of what would be included in
- 19 the final version of the report.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: With respect to the
- 21 decision not to proceed with the procurement?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So the first
- 24 paragraph of Ms. Leonard's email talks about due
- 25 diligence. The staff is exercising research in

- 1 potential forms of construction.
- 2 The third paragraph that begins "Cost
- 3 effectiveness" talks about an investigative process
- 4 that is determined that the architectural membrane
- 5 would be most cost-effective solution and inclusive of
- 6 all -- most cost-effective and inclusive solution.
- 7 And then the fourth paragraph states
- 8 that:
- 9 "It has been determined through
- 10 research that there is only one
- 11 supplier that can meet the
- 12 specifications."
- 13 You mentioned earlier that you had done
- 14 some research into Sprung, and you mentioned in your
- 15 affidavit that you'd done some Internet research.
- 16 Do you remember when in the process you
- 17 undertook that research?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was
- 19 early on once that conversation happened at the
- 20 July 16th meeting and I knew that the conversations
- 21 had started with Sprung.
- I can't remember exactly what time
- 23 frame, too, that there was email -- the emails going
- 24 around, too, about the website addressed.
- 25 But regardless, I'm -- I'm a googler.

- 1 I like googling; I google everything. And so I
- 2 searched up the information and looked at all the
- 3 examples of structures that they had -- they had
- 4 built.
- 5 And they were -- in my opinion, they
- 6 were very unique, and obviously, there's lots of great
- 7 testimonials on the website. But that's as much
- 8 information that I really -- I really undertook for --
- 9 for research.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you share what
- 11 you found with anyone on staff?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Basically, I was
- 13 hearing from them what they had heard. And so that's
- 14 what I was wanting to verify for myself and take a
- 15 look at the information myself.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Related to that,
- 17 what -- prior to August 27th, 2012, what did you
- 18 understand other members of staff had done in terms of
- 19 researching both the nature of a fabric membrane as
- 20 opposed to other forms of construction and whether or
- 21 not they were competitors for Sprung?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: At that time, I knew
- 23 that Marjory was preparing the report, and at that
- 24 time, I thought Marjory was doing the research on it.
- 25 I've since found out that she was only

- 1 doing her own personal research as well. I think she
- 2 looked at some competitors, but she didn't do the --
- 3 the formal review of -- if there's competitors not --
- 4 that was undertaken by Dave McNalty and Ed Houghton.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know what
- 6 Mr. McNalty and Mr. Houghton had done to research
- 7 these matters?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know
- 9 specifically what they had done. I -- I know that
- 10 there was -- a lot of the basis was just on the
- 11 information that was on the website through the
- 12 various providers.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you understand
- 14 they had done more than -- more than looking on the
- 15 Internet at that point in time in terms of whether or
- 16 not Sprung had competitors?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect,
- 18 but I think it was -- it was more -- I think a
- 19 majority was definitely based on the website
- 20 information.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Just to be clear, on
- 22 August 27th -- on August 24th, 2012, was that your
- 23 understanding then or understanding you gained
- 24 subsequently?
- 25 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe at

1 that point -- because he's trying to justify why it

- 2 should be sole source, I believe he would have, at
- 3 that point, reviewed why he believed they were the
- 4 sole source provider and advised us of the research.
- 5 But I don't recall specific details.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the paragraph
- 7 that's at the top of this screen from Ms. Leonard's
- 8 email, it talks about:
- 9 "Elements of competition was
- included in the gathering of
- 11 estimates."
- 12 It talks about how the manufacturers of
- 13 the architectural membrane knew they were in
- 14 competition with more traditional forms of
- 15 construction and that WG (sic) Architects knew that it
- 16 -- that they were in competition with the
- 17 architectural membrane structure.
- Does that align with what you
- 19 understood to have happened prior to August 24th,
- 20 2012?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: They definitely knew
- 22 that the Town was investigating bricks and mortar
- 23 steel fabrication buildings as well, but do I think --
- 24 do I think that that's a fair comparitor (sic) or
- 25 whether that should be considered competitive? I --

- 1 I'm not necessarily sold on that.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why aren't you sold
- 3 on that?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: 'Cause it -- they're
- 5 two (2) completely different products. It's not
- 6 apples to apples.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than
- 8 WGD Architects, were you aware of any other
- 9 manufacturer or supplier that Town spoke to about
- 10 whether or not they could fulfill what had been
- 11 identified as the recreation needs for the Town?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't believe so,
- 13 and I believe the WGD Architects were taken because
- 14 they were the ones that undertook the work for the
- 15 Central Park Steering Committee.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you understand
- 17 WGD to be a competitor to Sprung?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. I -- I wouldn't
- 19 have -- I wouldn't have thought that they were a
- 20 competitor 'cause they were the architectural
- 21 engineers.
- 22 Yeah. So I -- I don't think that I
- 23 would have thought that they were -- now I don't
- 24 believe that they were competitors. I don't know what
- 25 I was -- I don't think I -- I put my -- my thoughts to

- 1 it at the time.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: The last paragraph
- 3 of Ms. Leonard's email says that:
- 4 "If one of the more traditional
- 5 forms of construction had been
- 6 determined to provide the most
- 7 cost-effective solution, there would
- 8 have been a further need to issue an
- 9 RFP for construction since there are
- 10 many companies capable of providing
- 11 this service."
- 12 Around this time or at any point prior
- 13 to August 27th, were you aware of any discussions
- 14 about whether or not Sprung -- let me put -- whether
- 15 or not there could be an RFP in which both Sprung and
- 16 other forms of construction could bid?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: At that time -- well,
- 18 still it would have -- it was my -- my idea -- my
- 19 thought at the time, whenever this -- the
- 20 recommendation was going to be to have an RFP process,
- 21 that it would be a competitive -- a competitive
- 22 process for fabric structure or an architectural
- 23 membrane structure.
- 24 And that -- that was the structure that
- 25 was going to be the competition, that Council wasn't

- 1 going to then say, no, we need to have a whole range
- 2 RFP for everything, and then go -- go from that 'cause
- 3 I believe that's what all the research was leading up
- 4 to.
- 5 And that's why in the original
- 6 resolution like it spoke to, you know, a fabric
- 7 structure for the pool but then left it open for the
- 8 arena that it could be either/or.
- 9 So it was my -- my anticipation was
- 10 that Council was going to make a decision on what type
- 11 of structure, and then that's what would be RFPed.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you know if,
- 13 at any point, anyone considered whether or not you
- 14 could have an RFP which would be open both to a
- 15 manufacturer like Sprung and a manufacturer of, let's
- 16 say, a pre-engineered steel building?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: I can't -- I don't
- 18 recall if that conversation was had.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could open
- 20 the final version of the staff report, which is
- 21 CJI6146.
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Stopping at the

- 1 beginning of the report, it's report EMC 2012-01 and
- 2 you've already mentioned that this was the first EMC
- 3 staff report.
- 4 Do you remember why or how it was
- 5 decided that this report would come from the EMC?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I have no idea.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you first saw
- 8 that this was how it was being formulated, did you ask
- 9 questions of anyone about why this was coming from the
- 10 EMC?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I really wasn't
- 12 paying attention to who it was from, which I should
- 13 have and that's one of the things I definitely would
- 14 have changed.
- 15 I think as -- I believe that it was
- 16 changed and it was made the EMC, because at this point
- 17 for the month of August there was already community
- 18 getting riled up about the July 16th decision.
- 19 So regardless, we knew it was going to
- 20 be -- Ed knew it was going to be a contentious issue
- 21 and that it would look better to have it come from
- 22 more people than just one (1) person.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was that something
- 24 Ed discussed with you?
- 25 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. I -- no, that's

- 1 -- that's how I feel.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: After this report,
- 3 did that EMC deliver further reports? You know, was
- 4 there an EMC 2012-02?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect.
- 6 There might have been one in 2013 about the
- 7 organizational review. But I'd have to verify that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from that
- 9 answer, if there was, not that many?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 12 down to page 6 of the report, please? Keep scrolling
- 13 down.
- 14 So paragraph 4 says that a -- the
- 15 report was reviewed by the EMC, the Director of Parks
- 16 and Recreation and Culture, and the manager of FLEET
- 17 Facilities and Purchasing, August 21 and circulated to
- 18 department heads for comment on August 23rd, comments
- 19 were received and reviewed and incorporated prior to
- 20 having the report proceed to Council.
- 21 Do you know if any comments were
- 22 received from any department head, other -- any -- any
- 23 department head?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: I do not -- I don't
- 25 recall. The only one (1) that -- or potentially two

- 1 (2) that would have comments would be Marta. The
- 2 August 21st date would be a date that's consistent
- 3 with our department head meetings, because we always
- 4 met on -- on Tuesdays.
- 5 However, obviously the report wasn't
- 6 even finalized until midnight on the -- on the 23rd,
- 7 24th, and so I don't think that this is truly factual.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you think is
- 9 not factual?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: And circulated to
- 11 department heads for comment on August 23rd. I -- I
- 12 don't -- I have not -- I don't recollect an email that
- 13 it went to all department heads on August 23rd.
- 14 The report wasn't finished on August
- 15 21st, so I'm not sure -- if -- maybe it was one of the
- 16 drafts, so regardless if it wasn't the final version
- 17 of the report.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if the
- 19 department heads were ever provided an opportunity to
- 20 see a version of the staff report that did not
- 21 contemplate proceeding with a competitive procurement?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have to go
- 23 back to that August 21st agenda to see if -- if -- if
- 24 one of the draft reports were prepared and included in
- 25 that package.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if a
- 2 version of the report was sent to them on August 24th
- 3 at any point?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I don't -- I
- 5 don't specifically recall. But because of our --
- 6 because the such -- the late timing of the report and
- 7 having to get it out to Council and the public as soon
- 8 as we can, I -- I doubt that there was time, that
- 9 there was any review with department heads. I think
- 10 department heads probably received the final version
- 11 the same time the public and Council received it.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: On that, how does
- 13 the public get access to the staff report?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: All of the Town of
- 15 Collingwood's agenda, so we don't provide just the
- 16 summary on our website, we provide the entire package.
- 17 So all the supporting material and the staff reports,
- 18 correspondence, are all included in a public package
- 19 that is provided on the website.
- 20 We also keep hard copies, or kept at
- 21 that time hard copies here at the Town Hall, so if
- 22 anybody came in and wanted a hard copy they could get
- 23 one.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 25 paragraph 430 of the Foundation Document. Scroll down

1 a couple paragraphs, actually.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Paragraph 434.
- 6 Perfect.
- 7 So this is an email that you sent to
- 8 Mr. Houghton on the same day, August 24th, 2012, at
- 9 1:16 p.m., and you ask him if he wants some Sprung
- 10 packages hand delivered that day.
- 11 Do you know what those Sprung packages
- 12 were?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was
- 14 their additional promotional material that -- that we
- 15 had and we had copies of, so we hand delivered that.
- 16 So in preparation of our Council agenda
- 17 packages, if there's any supporting material that's
- 18 not necessarily needed for the public, but you know,
- 19 could help or provide assistance or presentations or
- 20 provide it to Council generally on the Friday, so in
- 21 this case there was this promotional material that,
- 22 you know, further detailed the -- the Sprung
- 23 structures and how they worked and provided examples
- 24 and they were all hand delivered.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: How was it decided

- 1 what material would be included in the agenda and be
- 2 publically available, and what is additional material
- 3 that will be delivered to Councillors?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: So the supporting
- 5 material that formed the basis of the staff report is
- 6 pretty specific. I think just because it was detailed
- 7 promotional type material, it would just, you know, we
- 8 were -- we were cognizant too of adding more maybe
- 9 information that would maybe be less relevant to the
- 10 public.
- 11 There's -- there's not a specific
- 12 policy in how we pick and choose. But you know, if
- 13 there's a hundred pages of, you know, coloured,
- 14 laminated material, and we scan that in, now the file
- 15 size is, you know, significant that people can't open
- 16 it or we can't upload it, that was actually one (1) of
- 17 the reasons why we didn't do sometimes because it was
- 18 just the files were too big.
- 19 But I don't know at this time why
- 20 specifically that material was -- was provided
- 21 separately.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it common for
- 23 Council, in advance of a meeting, to be provided
- 24 material from a supplier that they were considering
- 25 purchasing from?

1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not -- not that I

- 2 recall.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall then
- 4 in this instance why it was the case that Sprung
- 5 marketing material was provided to the Councillors?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Because we were going
- 7 forward with this sole-source recommendation.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall who
- 9 directed you to deliver this material?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was Ed
- 11 Houghton.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go
- 13 now to paragraph 477.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 15 before you do that, the -- the Town frequently resorts
- 16 to RFPs?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: If, in
- 19 this situation, the decision had been made to put the
- 20 project out for an RFP, how much longer would that
- 21 have taken? How much time would that take?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So generally if we
- $23\,$ had all the specs ready to go for an RFP, it --
- 24 generally we'd put it out for about three weeks.
- So once the information is out, it's

- 1 out for three (3) weeks, depending on the complexity
- 2 of this project. So since, you know, this is a -- you
- 3 know, was two (2) pretty significant rec facilities,
- 4 the process could have been longer, so maybe four (4)
- 5 to six (6) weeks.
- 6 Generally by the time we open the --
- 7 the -- do the public opening and we review the
- 8 documents, generally that would take a week or two and
- 9 then we would recommend the awarding of the contract.
- So a couple months.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So in
- 12 terms of the delay associated with resorting to an
- 13 RFP, approximately a couple of months?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Right. Not -- not
- 15 too significant.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 17 you.
- 18
- 19 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to
- 21 paragraph 480. 477, actually, I apologize.
- 22 So this, Ms. Almas, sets out the --
- 23 what was included in the agenda for the August 27th
- 24 meeting with respect to the items dealing with
- 25 recreation facilities.

1 We know that Sprung made a presentation

- 2 at this meeting, or Tom Lloyd of Sprung made a
- 3 presentation at this meeting.
- This wasn't identified in the agenda.
- 5 Do you know why that was?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: If there's a
- 7 presentation that was part of a staff report, that
- B would just happen during the staff report
- 9 presentation.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: In an instance
- 11 where, as part of that -- would it be common for as
- 12 part of the staff report presentation an outside
- 13 company would be included in that?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: If the company was
- 15 being recommended as part of that staff report, I
- 16 wouldn't -- I wouldn't think it was -- it rarely
- 17 happened, but I wasn't concerned with it at that time
- 18 because we're going forward with our recommendation to
- 19 proceed with this group.
- 20 So Council better understand what their
- 21 product is. So I didn't have a concern from that
- 22 fact.
- 23 But normally, normally the company
- 24 wouldn't -- wouldn't come, you would make your
- 25 recommendation on what you're going to purchase and it

- 1 would go from there.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Your Honour, I'm
- 3 looking at the time. I'm about to move to another
- 4 area and I'm wondering if it's an appropriate time for
- 5 the lunch break?
- 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Two
- 7 o'clock.

8

- 9 --- Upon recessing at 12:57 p.m.
- 10 --- Upon resuming at 2:08 p.m.

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 14 paragraph 29 of Ms. Almas's affidavit?
- While that's coming up, Ms. Almas, what
- 16 we see in the Foundation Document is that after the
- 17 August 27th, 2012 meeting, the Town received several
- 18 requests for information from various individuals
- 19 about the decision to proceed with the Sprung
- 20 structures, including some in the form of Freedom of
- 21 Information Act requests.
- What you state here in your affidavit
- 23 is that you were responsible for handling the requests
- 24 that were received. How did you come to be in that
- 25 position?

1 MS. SARA ALMAS: As clerk, part of my

- 2 job responsibilities is being the head under the
- 3 Municipal Freedom of Information Protection and
- 4 Privacy Act. So -- and also as clerk, a lot of
- 5 notices, complaints, requests would always come
- 6 through me, and generally I would assist in the
- 7 coordination of getting that information, if it wasn't
- 8 through an FOI, and help respond to individuals.
- 9 And then, obviously, if it formal FOI
- 10 request, I am responsible from start to finish through
- 11 that project.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned in
- 13 your affidavit multiple requests. In terms of the
- 14 number of requests that were received with respect to
- 15 the Sprung decision, is that comparable at all to any
- 16 other requests that followed from other decisions of
- 17 Council up until that point, in your experience?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would say no.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'm going to have a
- 20 few questions about some of the requests that were
- 21 received and the answers provided. If we could turn
- 22 up paragraph 628 of the Foundation Document.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And while that's
- 2 pulling up, how did the volume of requests compared to
- 3 other requests? Can you give us a sense of that?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: The volume of
- 5 requests for information --
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- relevant to the
- 8 rec facilities to anything else that would happen?
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe that
- 11 filing for freedom of information requests and
- 12 requests for information has steadily increased
- 13 throughout my tenure as clerk, and again, that's in a
- 14 -- providing openness and transparency. But I believe
- 15 that at this point, obviously, the -- the number,
- 16 because there is a -- so many in the community that
- 17 spoke up against that decision. So there was that
- 18 much more concern, so they were wanting to share all
- 19 this information with everybody.
- 20 So the requests came in asking for a
- 21 lot of materials that I had to coordinate from the
- 22 various sources, wherever it was from -- from Mr.
- 23 Houghton, Mr. McNalty, Ms. Leonard, or any other
- 24 department, whether it was Marta or her staff.
- 25 So it was -- it was pretty significant.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: You say in your
- 2 affidavit that responding to the requests at times was
- 3 overwhelming. Can you explain what you meant by that?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly. So at
- 5 that time, we were also dealing with a casino proposal
- 6 for the area, as well as all my other
- 7 responsibilities. So it was just -- it -- it just
- 8 really added to my workload.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: On the screen, at
- 10 paragraph 628, this is describing a -- a document
- 11 request that an individual named Paul Cadieux
- 12 submitted on behalf of the FOCP, which was a group
- 13 called the Friends of Central Park. That request was
- 14 submitted on August 30th, 2012.
- 15 Scrolling down, the next few paragraphs
- 16 describe some discussion back and forth about
- 17 responding to the request. And then at paragraph
- 18 635...
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We see that you
- 23 provide a response to Mr. Cadieux about his request.
- 24 That's in the part of the email that's been excerpted,
- 25 here, is the end of the email, in which you say:

- 1 "The one item missing is the
- 2 handwritten detailed matrix, as it
- is with Ed's files he kept for his
- 4 discussions with various concerned
- 5 stakeholders."
- Do you recall what you were referring
- 7 to when you were describing a handwritten detailed
- 8 matrix?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I can't specifically
- 10 recall, but I -- I do -- like, I can almost picture --
- 11 picture it, because, you know, obviously a lot of
- 12 correspondence is always electronic now. And I
- 13 remember there being this detailed handwritten note.
- 14 You know -- and I -- and I don't know if it just had,
- 15 like, comparators on -- on costs, or more -- wheth --
- 16 whether it had all the different structure suppliers,
- 17 which it could have had, or whether it had basically
- 18 the architectural membrane structure and all the
- 19 components for the steel, or bricks and mortar type
- 20 facility.
- 21 I'm -- I'm not specific, sorry.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: It sounds like at
- 23 some point, you did see a handwritten matrix of some -
- 24 some form?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember if
- 2 it was before Mr. Cadieux sent his email request about
- 3 documents?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe it --
- 5 I believe it is, and that's why I referenced this, but
- 6 I can't be certain.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 8 recollection of who generated the handwritten document
- 9 that you were describing?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, but I believe it
- 11 would have been either Ed himself, or Mr. McNalty, as
- 12 they were the ones that were doing that primary part
- 13 of the -- the research.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if
- 15 either of those individuals explained to you what the
- 16 purpose of that matrix was?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm sure at the time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 19 recollection?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if the
- 22 document you described here was ever provided to Mr.
- 23 Cadieux?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't -- I don't
- 25 know.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 2 paragraph 753 of the Foundation Document.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: This paragraph
- 7 describes a series of emails you exchanged with Steve
- 8 Berman regarding Inf -- Information Act requests that
- 9 he had filed.
- 10 As part of the exchange, you wrote and
- 11 advise Mr. Berman that Mr. Houghton and Marjory
- 12 Leonard:
- "Confirm that the only information
- 14 obtained by any other structure
- 15 suppliers was obtained from their
- 16 website."
- 17 Do you recall sending Mr. Berman that
- 18 email?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Not specifically. I
- 20 had another -- a number of interactions with Mr.
- 21 Berman at that time, and I know that my recollection
- 22 was that -- primarily, that the research that I was
- 23 aware of was done by -- by website.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: In order to provide
- 25 Mr. Berman answers to these questions, do you speak

- 1 with Mr. Houghton and Ms. Leonard?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm looking at how
- 3 this is worded, and I'm assuming on this date for this
- 4 email, that -- because I'm saying Mr. Houghton and --
- 5 and Marjory Leonard, confirmed, so I would have had a
- 6 conversation.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall having
- 8 a conversation with either or both of them?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall what
- 11 your reaction was when you learned that the
- 12 information about other supplies had come strictly
- 13 from suppliers' websites?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I know that in
- 15 the process and subsequent to this, in -- in the
- 16 various discussions I've had, that yes, I was
- 17 disappointed that there wasn't more substantial due
- 18 diligence undertaken.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: When you attended
- 20 that meeting on August 24th, 2012 to discuss the tes -
- 21 staff report, did -- was it your understanding at
- 22 the time that more due diligence had been done other
- 23 than looking at competitors' websites?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: It would have been my
- 25 expectation, yes.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And why did it
- 2 disappoint you after the fact to learn that there
- 3 wasn't more work done?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Just because this
- 5 received -- it was so controversial. Everything had
- 6 happened. My workload increased. All this work was
- 7 undertaken then to go back and then justify why that
- 8 decision was made, when I thought that the information
- 9 was already reviewed to justify the recommendation.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 11 paragraphs under -- 719 of the Foundation Document.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is a
- 16 different request for Mr. Berman, and he's asking for
- 17 all accounts payable -- listing all accounts payable,
- 18 a listing of all fees paid by cheque or other method
- 19 to Compenso from January 1st, 2011, to present, which
- 20 at that point was October 12th, 2012.
- 21 And then if we can go to paragraph 813.

22

23 (BRIEF PAUSE)

24

25 MR. JOHN MATHER: This is another

- 1 Information Act request from Mr. Berman from January
- 2 2013. And if we scroll down, number 3, in this one,
- 3 he asks that he would like to know, yes or no, if the
- 4 arrangement between Green Leaf and Collus can in any
- 5 way be tied to Collus/Town of Collingwood partnership.
- 6 Do you recall being -- receiving a
- 7 request from Mr. Berman or others in this time period
- 8 about whether or not Mr. Bonwick or Green Leaf were
- 9 involved in either the Collus Transaction, or with
- 10 respect to the Sprung structures?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: Specifically recall,
- 12 no, other than I read -- when I read the Foundation
- 13 Documents, this, but again I -- I was not aware that
- 14 there was an involvement between Compenso or Green
- 15 Leaf with BLT or Sprung.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware of
- 17 who Abby Stec was in 2012?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: I knew of Abby Stec
- 19 from her work at Pretty River Academy when we were
- 20 looking at the issues with their dome structure and
- 21 there was some complications with that, but I do not
- 22 believe that I knew that she worked at Compenso during
- 23 this time.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: In 2012, did you
- 25 know anything about the company called Green Leaf

- 1 Distribution?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I have heard of
- 3 Green Leaf because of the solar vent projects, so I
- 4 was aware of it.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you aware that
- 6 Ms. Stec and Mr. Bonwick were involved in Green Leaf's
- 7 business?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I knew that Abby was
- 9 involved. I -- I knew it was -- I believed at the
- 10 time that it was -- Paul Bonwick had some involvement
- 11 in it, and I actually thought that Abby Stec actually
- 12 was an assistant to Paul Bonwick. I didn't realize
- 13 she had a -- a greater position.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did you form the
- 15 impression that Ms. Stec was Mr. Bonwick's assistant?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: A lot of general type
- 17 meeting requests and correspondence. I shouldn't say
- 18 a lot. Correspondence that I would receive that might
- 19 set up a meeting or have a conversation about
- 20 something that they were doing would often come from
- 21 Ms. Stec.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Just to clarify,
- 23 prior to August 27th, 2012, did you have any knowledge
- 24 that Ms. Stec and Mr. Bonwick or the company, Green
- 25 Leaf, had any relationship to Sprung or BLT?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I had no idea.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the process of
- 3 answering questions from individuals about the Sprung
- 4 structures, did you have any conversations with anyone
- 5 else in the town about whether or not Ms. Stec, Green
- 6 Leaf, or Mr. Bonwick had been involved in the Sprung
- 7 structures?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I am sure that I
- 9 would have shared that I had a request asking about
- 10 it, but I don't -- I don't remember specific
- 11 conversations and what the outcomes of those
- 12 conversations were.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 14 ever asked anyone spe -- anyone directly whether or
- 15 not any of those -- whether or not Ms. -- Mr. Bonwick,
- 16 Ms. Stec, or Green Leaf had been involved?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 18 recall.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What was your
- 23 reaction when you came to understand that Green Leaf,
- 24 Ms. Stec, and Mr. Bonwick had a relationship with BLT?
- 2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. SARA ALMAS: Surprised, but not
- 4 surprised.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you expand on
- 6 what you mean by that?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because at that time
- 8 I thought we were making a good decision. We were
- 9 still actually feeling very positive about the
- 10 recommendation, even though all this controversy was -
- 11 was going on, surrounding us. We still felt pretty
- 12 confident in Sprung, BLT product.
- And then when I found out, which was
- 14 probably years later, and then I understood the
- 15 involvement a little bit more of Mr. Bonwick in the
- 16 Collus Hydro share sale, I guess I shouldn't have been
- 17 surprised as much.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did finding out
- 19 that Green Leaf, Mr. Bonwick, Ms. Stec, had a role in
- 20 the Sprung structures affect your view on what had
- 21 taken place to get to the decision to proceed with
- 22 them?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: How did it affect me?
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did it affect
- 25 your view of the process that had led to the decision?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Obviously --
- 2 obviously my view was that, you know, maybe the -- the
- 3 due diligence truly wasn't undertaken and -- yeah,
- 4 like, obviously I was disappointed and would reflect
- 5 on how that decision was made and why the pressure for
- 6 the expedited process happened. All of it just
- 7 provided more clarity.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

10

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Move on to paragraph
- 12 705 of the Foundation Document.

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: I bring you here
- 17 first as a point of reference, that on October 2nd,
- 18 2012, Council directed staff to prepare a report on
- 19 including a therapeutic pool with the Centennial pool
- 20 development, which was the pool that was being covered
- 21 with a Sprung structure, and that report was to be
- 22 presented October 15th, 2012, Council meeting.
- We can go ahead to paragraph 707. I
- 24 see that on October 10th, 2012, Marta Proctor
- 25 circulated a draft staff report which was with respect

- 1 to the therapeutic pool.
- 2 And the next paragraph, you send an
- 3 email to the EMC, Ms. Proctor, and some others about
- 4 the draft report and your proposed recommendations --
- 5 that the recommendations include two (2) alternates,
- 6 one (1) to receive the report outlining an option to
- 7 have a therapeutic pool within the new Central --
- 8 Centennial Pool development, or second, to receive the
- 9 report and direct staff to incorporate a therapeutic
- 10 pool.
- 11 Pausing there, what is the effect of
- 12 the first proposed recommendation?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Basically there's no
- 14 further action on it. They received it, they
- 15 understand that they can install it at this point, and
- 16 unless they -- so if they receive it, they've just
- 17 received it.
- 18 Secondly, if they decided to go with
- 19 the other option, it was then providing a direction to
- 20 move forward with adding that component to the
- 21 construction.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: In the email you
- 23 state that:
- 24 "The purpose of this is so that it
- is -- it is Council that chooses

- 1 which option to proceed with. It is
- 2 not staff's recommendation."
- Why did you think that was how the
- 4 recommendation should be framed?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believed that
- 6 since there was so much controversy over the initial
- 7 recommendation, that truly it needs to be a Council
- 8 decision on -- on whether they want to be moving
- 9 forward or not, and it was here nor there to me, and I
- 10 was not going to put my name on a report that made a
- 11 recommendation that truly I'm not the one to decide if
- 12 a therapeutic pool need was out there, because I
- 13 wasn't involved in the whole process, whenever the
- 14 Central Park Steering Committee was doing their review
- 15 on aquatic needs for the -- the community.
- So I wasn't about to put forward
- 17 another staff report that made a recommendation that I
- 18 wasn't supportive of. Well, I shouldn't say not
- 19 supportive; that I didn't have full information on it.
- 20 I thought it was a Council, political decision to be
- 21 making.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did the experience
- 23 of this decision on August 27th and the subsequent
- 24 public reaction inform the -- the proposal you were
- 25 putting forward in this email?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And how's that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Because that report,
- 4 when it went forward in August, had a -- had a firm,
- 5 concrete recommendation from staff rather than
- 6 providing options that initially was what I thought it
- 7 was going to be, and because of the controversy of it,
- 8 I didn't want -- did not want to be put in that same
- 9 position again only a little over a month later.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why didn't you want
- 11 to be put in that same position? What had happened?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was -- I didn't
- 13 want to be put in another compromised position. I
- 14 have a position of authority and respect in the
- 15 community, and I didn't want to be -- I didn't want to
- 16 be associated with more -- more controversy, more
- 17 questionable actions, I quess.
- Then again, since it wasn't my area of
- 19 expertise, it wasn't me to decide, and I didn't think
- 20 that we should be making recommendations, that it was
- 21 a Council decision.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: What was it -- you
- 23 said you didn't want to be in a compromised position
- 24 at this point. Did you feel that your position had
- 25 been compromised?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, I do, because I
- 2 -- I shouldn't have had my name on that report, and so
- 3 that started -- it put me in a bad position in that I
- 4 shouldn't have had my name on that report because I --
- 5 I didn't -- I didn't do the research that I should
- 6 have done to be able to support my name being on that
- 7 report.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you personally
- 9 receive feedback from people in the community or
- 10 elsewhere about that Sprung decision?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recall
- 12 personally. It's just my own personal integrity, I
- 13 think.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. Houghton
- 15 replies to your su -- suggestion, noting that it was a
- 16 very good point. And he says, "We've been taking all
- 17 the bullets."
- 18 What did you understand Mr. Houghton to
- 19 mean by that?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because his staff had
- 21 been fielding all of the -- the issues. So, once
- 22 council makes the decision, it's left for staff to
- 23 undertake the implementation and address any recourse
- 24 that comes from it, so all the requests for
- 25 information, all the process.

- 1 You know, then it was underway to get
- 2 moving forward and getting these projects organized
- 3 and -- and, I guess, getting them started. Again, at
- 4 that time, we didn't have a communications officer, so
- 5 I was responsible for doing communications as much as
- 6 I could.
- 7 Other departments assisted from time to
- 8 time. I know, at this point, too, because some of it
- 9 was pretty specific, that there was members of the
- 10 Leisure Services team at the time, or Parks Rec and
- 11 Culture now, that also assisted with a media release
- 12 or two (2) during that point.
- But staff were taking a lot of the
- 14 blame versus council. I shouldn't say that. I'm sure
- 15 council felt some, too, but staff felt a lot.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And from your
- 17 perspective as clerk, how was that affecting staff and
- 18 the town's operations?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Obviously, it's
- 20 affecting your focus on your -- your own, your
- 21 responsibilities that you're supposed to be doing.
- 22 And, you know, it -- it puts a lot of stress on staff.
- 23 The -- both -- both professionally and personally it
- 24 put a lot of stress on a lot of our lives.

137 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Go to paragraph 713. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: you do that, I think I -- there's a question I want to 6 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Of course. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: want to put. Can you help me with this? From -- from your perspective, how -- how do you react to the 10 suggestion -- and -- and you kind of alluded to it a 11 bit in your evidence earlier, but how do you react to 13 the suggestion -- or the -- the thought that it -- it doesn't matter about the process, as long as the 14 15 building's okay? 16 Can you -- can you help me with -- with 17 what -- from your perspective as the clerk and as a 18 staff person, how -- how you react to that kind of 19 rationale? 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: So, I'm not sure under the context that was stated. But process, for 21 22 me, is actually pretty important. And I believe that -- I believe that, at the time, I felt that -- at the 24 time, I felt confident enough to move forward with

what was being proposed, you know, based on what Mr.

- 1 Houghton was sharing about the benefits of the
- 2 facilities and how there's nothing like it.
- I felt supportive that they were --
- 4 were the only sole provider at that time. And I felt
- 5 that, after the decision was made and once the
- 6 buildings were constructed and the public saw how --
- 7 how good the facilities were going to be because
- 8 that's how I expected it to be, they would be more
- 9 appreciative of -- of the work that was undertaken at
- 10 the time, if that makes any sense.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 12 guess what I was trying to get at was, not -- I
- 13 appreciate your answer, but it -- it was more a
- 14 question of the -- the suggestion that the -- the
- 15 process and the way you get there doesn't matter if
- 16 the outcome is okay.
- I -- I'm trying to get an appreciation
- 18 from the staff's perspective of how they would see
- 19 that kind of a proposition and -- and whether there
- 20 are any problems with that from the staff's
- 21 perspective that -- that we need to appreciate.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Actually, I think --
- 23 I don't know if -- if any member -- well, I shouldn't
- 24 say any member. I mostly consulted with Ms. Leonard.
- 25 And I don't think -- and -- and some of my responses

- 1 to the inquiries, I don't think that the proce -- I
- 2 didn't believe that anything was contravened.
- 3 Do I think that more time should have
- 4 been spent, should we have rushed before that August
- 5 27th? No. But I don't want anybody to ever think
- 6 that -- that staff, at least on behalf of myself,
- 7 would think that compromising process is okay if the -
- 8 if there was a benefit afterwards because process is
- 9 imperative.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And --
- 11 and can -- and I understand that. Can you just
- 12 explain why that's your belief? Just -- just tell --
- 13 help me with how -- how you get to that conclusion.
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because if you make a
- 15 decision and it's -- you haven't followed the
- 16 appropriate steps, then is that decision really
- 17 credible? I quess.
- And, you know, rules are put in place
- 19 for a reason. So, that reason is that -- to instill
- 20 public trust in process, to make sure that appropriate
- 21 protocol is followed, to ensure that diligence is
- 22 taken correctly because you're spending taxpayers'
- 23 money.
- And so, there needs to be some
- 25 justification on how you get to make the final

- 1 decision.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No.
- 6 that's fine.

7

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'm going to ask
- 10 some questions now about the allocation of the Collus
- 11 share proceeds towards the Sprung structures. I'm
- 12 going to start with the August 27th staff report. If
- 13 we can bring that up again, CJI6146.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could go
- 18 to page 6, please, down to the bottom. So, this is
- 19 from the final version of the August 27th sta -- staff
- 20 report.
- 21 It identifies at that point in time the
- 22 total cost of the buildings is \$11.6 million. And
- 23 then if you scroll down, funding options unfortunately
- 24 go over two (2) pages, but it lists the funding
- 25 options that are available. So, if we can scroll up.

- 1 Keep scrolling. That's helpful. Thank you.
- So, I want to make sure we have
- 3 everything on the screen. So, this identifies the
- 4 funds that were available, the largest portion of
- 5 which was \$8 million from the Co -- Collus PowerStream
- 6 partnership, and then (to be confirmed by public.)
- 7 What did you understand that to mean,
- 8 that the Collus funds were available but to be
- 9 confirmed by public?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: So, basically, the
- 11 sale concluded July 31st. And we advised the public
- 12 that we would undertake a public consultation on the
- 13 use of the funds before we allocate them anywhere.
- 14 And so, the treasurerNovember 20, 2019
- 15 identified this as a potential source for funding the
- 16 rec facilities.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
- 18 time, did you understand that the Collus funds had
- 19 been committed to the Sprung structures?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 22 paragraph 440 of the Foundation Document?
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Just to put you in
- 2 context, Ms. Almas, we're looking at -- paragraph 440
- 3 is describing the version of the staff report that
- 4 Marjory Leonard circulated around midday on August
- 5 23rd, so the version before the competitive
- 6 procurement is removed. And this paragraph just shows
- 7 what that version of the report said with respect to
- 8 the effect on Town's finances.
- 9 And so we see a chart along the lines
- 10 that we've seen in the final report, but then beneath
- 11 that, there's wording that there would be a minimum of
- 12 4.5 million to a maximum of 8.5 requiring long-term
- 13 financing and then a table of debenture repayment
- 14 schedules showing, what I understand to be the amount
- 15 it would cost to borrow to fund the structures.
- 16 Is that your understanding as well?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Then we -- if we can
- 19 go to paragraph 414.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

22

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down. Scroll
- 24 up; scroll up. One moment.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: Paragraph 421,
- 4 please. I'm sorry.
- 5 So this discusses -- this describes a
- 6 version of the staff report that was circulated later
- 7 on August 24th, 2012. It was a version that was
- 8 circulated by Mr. Houghton, and you can see here that
- 9 this describes that in this version of the report the
- 10 information about debenture costs, which we had just
- 11 seen that was in the earlier version of the staff
- 12 report, had been omitted.
- Do you recall the decision to omit that
- 14 information from the staff report?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I do not recall that
- 16 decision.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall being
- 18 aware of -- of being party to any discussions about
- 19 whether or not that debenture information should be
- 20 included in the staff report?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not recall.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: At the time Council
- 23 votes to proceed with the Sprung structures, what was
- 24 going to happen if it ultimately was decided that the
- 25 Collus funds weren't available for the Sprung

- 1 structures?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: It was my
- 3 understanding that it would be debentured.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: We don't see that as
- 5 part of the motion with respect to the Collus funds,
- 6 and it's not in the staff report.
- 7 Did you understand that to have been a
- 8 final decision by Council that if the Collus funds
- 9 were not available, then they would go and take out a
- 10 debenture for whatever the reminding costs needed to
- 11 be covered?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 13 recollect. It's -- it's not part of the -- the final,
- 14 and I can't recall at the meeting if there was
- 15 discussions about that either. I -- I would expect
- 16 that there would be, but I -- I can't specifically
- 17 recall.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: In the section of
- 19 the staff report we were looking at has an effect on
- 20 town finances, would you have expected that to have
- 21 included setting out what staff's recommendation was
- 22 for what to do if the Collus funds weren't available?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Normally, it's a
- 24 little more comprehensive in that section. I believe
- 25 that's why the treasurer initially put that

- 1 information in there.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Does it give you any
- 3 pause at this point that that information wasn't set
- 4 out in the staff report?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. It was a pretty
- 6 clear understanding that I knew that Mr. Houghton felt
- 7 that that was probably a good use of the money. So it
- 8 was just simpler to put it in there like that versus
- 9 identifying huge numbers in debenture amounts.
- 10 So I believe that's why it was -- it
- 11 was probably modified and simplified for that very
- 12 purpose.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if
- 14 Council at any point prior to voting on August 27th
- 15 was told what the implications would be if they needed
- 16 to debenture those remaining funds?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recollect.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to
- 19 paragraph 30 of your affidavit.
- 20
- 21 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 22
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So as you -- as you
- 24 state in paragraph 30 of the affidavit that you were
- 25 the staff member responsible for overseeing the public

- 1 consultation process.
- 2 Was conducting public consultation
- 3 something you typically did in your role as clerk?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. As the clerk
- 5 and responsible for any sort of special public meeting
- 6 for any such consultation purposes, I generally had --
- 7 if I wasn't the overall responsible individual, I was
- 8 always part of the consultation process.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Had you ever
- 10 overseen or been involved in a public consultation
- 11 about the use of funds that were available to Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Not at this point,
- 13 no.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And in the next
- 15 paragraph of your affidavit, you discuss parts of the
- 16 public consultation process. Specifically, you talk
- 17 about a meeting that took place on December 1st, 2012
- 18 to seek input from the public about how to allocate
- 19 the Collus funds.
- 20 And then you also discuss that a survey
- 21 was distributed on the same day seeking input from the
- 22 public about those funds and then the results of that
- 23 survey were gathered and summarized and reported to
- 24 Council.
- Other than those two (2) things -- the

- 1 meeting and the surveys -- what else was done to
- 2 consult the public with respect to the use of the
- 3 Collus funds?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: So there was --
- 5 obviously when this was put out for public
- 6 consultation, there was advertisements that were in
- 7 our town page that notified that this was undertaking;
- 8 we're undertaking these public meeting -- this public
- 9 meeting; the public survey; and they're also welcome
- 10 to contact me directly to provide any sort of feedback
- 11 on how they'd like to use the funds as I was the
- 12 contact individual.
- So those. We also had a radio show
- 14 that the -- generally the mayor, if not another member
- 15 of Council, would participate on weekly. So
- 16 information like this would be regularly put out to
- 17 the public advising them that we're seeking input from
- 18 stakeholders and residents and to submit your
- 19 information through me.
- 20 So I would have had -- part of this
- 21 would be some of our email correspondence that we
- 22 received as well.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: And as you mentioned
- 24 in the affidavit that the survey results were, you
- 25 know, taken, summarized, and presented to Council.

- 1 Is there any other way the feedback for
- 2 the public was reported to Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No. It was only -- I
- 4 believe it was only the staff report.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: What was your
- 6 understanding about what Council was going to do with
- 7 the feedback it received from the public?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: Obviously, Council
- 9 consults with the public to -- to feed into their
- 10 decision-making process.
- 11 So obviously, they would have to
- 12 undertake a -- a comprehensive understanding of what's
- 13 being requested from the public and looking at -- at
- 14 the -- I quess, the financial side of it from the
- 15 municipality and determine how they want to move
- 16 forward.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is it your
- 18 understanding that Council was in any way bound by the
- 19 public's preferences?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry?
- 22 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. If -- if it was
- 23 put out -- now we have a community engagement
- 24 strategy, and it's actually put out there the various
- 25 ways that we engage and consult with the public and

- 1 only a decisions that's determined by referendum
- 2 basically would be the public's way to make something
- 3 formalized.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I take it, what
- 5 you're discussing is a program that came into time --
- 6 place after 2013?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Go to
- 9 paragraph 853 of the Foundation Document.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So paragraph 853
- 14 describes the staff report about the use and
- 15 allocation of share sale and dividend funds.
- 16 Is that the staff -- is that the report
- 17 you were referring to earlier when asked about how the
- 18 public feedback was given to Council?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were you involved in
- 21 drafting the report?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I can't specifically
- 23 recall. However, I generally had pretty detailed
- 24 conversations with -- with Marjory regarding issues
- 25 like this, and at that point, we were still within our

- 1 EMC as well. But I don't recall specific
- 2 conversations.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it says here in
- 4 this paragraph that the report recommended that
- 5 Council receive staff report 2013-4 including all the
- 6 public comments and input on the use of the funds and
- 7 deliberate the use during the upcoming budget project.
- 8 Do you know why this recommendation was
- 9 selected at this point in time?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Again, I think -- I
- 11 think we were a little -- a little gun-shy from taking
- 12 all the bullets from the decision from August.
- So we weren't saying use them for these
- 14 three things, we -- we were leaving it for -- we've
- 15 provided you exactly what the public has given us,
- 16 these are the top three that were in there, do you --
- 17 you go and deliberate and decide how to use them.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if staff
- 19 at any point provided any form of recommendation?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't -- I don't
- 21 know. I don't -- I'm not sure.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than what Ms.
- 23 Leonard included in this report, do you know if anyone
- 24 on staff ever investigated or retained a consultant to
- 25 advise on what was the best use of the Collus funds

- 1 from a financial perspective?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I do not believe that
- 3 anybody was retained. I believe the review -- I think
- 4 this report even contained some scenarios about paying
- 5 down debentures and what that -- what that equated to,
- 6 Ms. Leonard is an extremely competent individual with
- 7 her accountant designation and she has her MBA that I
- 8 -- I believe that she probably would have undertaken
- 9 such a review.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if she
- 11 did?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, and I'd have to
- 13 go back and take a look at this report too, I can't
- 14 recall exactly how it was laid out.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if there
- 16 was any consideration given by staff or Council about
- 17 using the Collus funds to support the new partnership
- 18 between the Town and -- sorry, Collus Power Stream and
- 19 -- sorry, the Town and Power Stream?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: Use the funds to
- 21 strengthen the partnership, sorry?
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Any discussion about
- 23 taking the funds and investing them in -- in that
- 24 partnership, whether it be looking at growth
- 25 opportunities or other investment --

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm not aware that
- 2 that was contemplated, or if it was contemplated.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we go to
- 4 paragraph --
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm sure Ed would
- 6 have liked that, but --
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we go to
- 8 paragraph 32 of your affidavit.
- 9 So in this paragraph it describes that
- 10 on June 10th, 2013 Council -- and correct me if I'm
- 11 wrong, but what I understand, Council essentially
- 12 voted on that date to apply the share sales proceeds
- 13 first to the recreation facilities and then to the
- 14 reconstruction of Heritage Park.
- Do I have that correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your affidavit
- 18 you say the Council voted to waive the -- before that
- 19 vote was made, Council voted to waive the procedural
- 20 requirement for a notice of motion.
- 21 What did you mean by that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I specifically
- 23 included that in this affidavit, because I felt that
- 24 was important to note.
- So the staff report identifying the

- 1 outcome of the consultation was presented and received
- 2 by Council in February and it doesn't show back up on
- 3 -- on the agenda until June 10th. And it -- it was on
- 4 the agenda at the very end of the meeting identified
- 5 under older deferred business that this topic would
- 6 need to be discussed.
- 7 So when it came up on the agenda, again
- 8 there was no -- no even list of options for them to
- 9 consider, it wasn't re-put in there, the staff report
- 10 might have been appended back to that agenda package.
- 11 However, Council decided at that point
- 12 to waive the notice requirements because in a
- 13 procedural by-law before you make a motion, you need
- 14 to provide notice of motion that a meeting previous so
- 15 that it gives your colleagues at the table and the
- 16 public an opportunity to be aware that you're wanting
- 17 to make some decision. And they decided because you
- 18 do have the ability to waive that protocol or
- 19 requirement that's in the procedural by-law, and
- 20 immediately make a decision after you waive that
- 21 notice provision.
- 22 So that's what happened at this
- 23 meeting. They be -- they started having the
- 24 conversation and they decided to waive notice so they
- 25 could make a decision right away.

- 1 So again, it was quite quick.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And what was your
- 3 view when that happened?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think I thought it
- 5 was quite quick. Like, was there a reason why they
- 6 couldn't have provide the notice, someone said this
- 7 has been in older deferred business for this long, I
- 8 would like to make a recommendation for the next
- 9 meeting that we allocate it to these three -- or two
- 10 things, three things. And then make that -- make that
- 11 come forward at the next Council meeting.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if
- 13 there was any discussion about why that didn't happen?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 16 understanding why that didn't happen?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have to -- I
- 18 -- I would have to go back and take a look at that
- 19 meeting.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if prior
- 21 to Council voting to use the Collus funds with respect
- 22 to the recreation facilities, and then Hume Street,
- 23 whether anyone on staff investigated or retained any
- 24 form of consultant to consider what was the most cost-
- 25 effective way to pay for those recreation facilities?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't believe that
- 2 anybody was retained. Again, the treasurer provided
- 3 an analysis, that's all that I'm aware of.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take it from that
- 5 nothing beyond what Ms. Leonard would have done?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Those are my
- 8 questions.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr. --
- 10 Mr. Chenoweth, why don't we take ten (10) minutes now,
- 11 because otherwise you'll no sooner get started and
- 12 we'll want to break, so.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,
- 14 Your Honour.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 16 you.
- 17
- 18 --- Upon recessing at 2:58 p.m.
- 19 --- Upon resuming at 3:08 p.m.
- 20
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,
- 22 Your Honour.
- 23
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Ms. Almas,

- 1 my name is Chenoweth, again, Fred Chenoweth. We had
- 2 occasion to meet at earlier times in this Inquiry,
- 3 part 1 of the Inquiry.
- If I might, let me direct some
- 5 questions to initially about the formation of the
- 6 executive management team. There hadn't been an
- 7 executive management team earlier times in the Town?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I -- I
- 10 take it the Town found themselves in a unique position
- 11 with the precipitous termination of Kim Wingrove in
- 12 April?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 14
- 15 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 16
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you
- 20 very much. And it was obvious that -- I take it, to
- 21 the Town and others that the gentleman who, as a
- 22 courtesy, had agreed to take on the role of the CAO,
- 23 already had a -- a very full plate?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you've

- 1 spoke about the pact -- the fact that he was director
- 2 of Public Works, that he was CEO and president of
- 3 Collus, and that he was involved in a number of
- 4 organizational obligations in the main -- in the power
- 5 industry, correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Power, and water,
- 7 wastewater -- I -- I believe there's a number of
- 8 associations he was involved in.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All really
- 10 in relationship to his work on behalf of the Town?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, whether it was
- 12 the Collus or the Public Works engineering.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 14 So that it would not seem like an unreasonable idea,
- 15 given those circumstances, that if Mr. Houghton was
- 16 going to take on this role, and given the full plate
- 17 he already had, that he might -- he might recommend,
- 18 and in fact, make as a term of his taking on the --
- 19 the role as interim CAO, that he have some assistance?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And no
- 22 question that the executive management team was -- was
- 23 the assistance that -- that he needed.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So it made

- 1 sense to you?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, it did.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 And you spoke in your evidence-in-chief in a -- in a
- 5 positive way about your anticipations of being
- 6 involved in the -- in the EMC. It was obvious that to
- 7 you, I take it, that it was going -- it was going to
- 8 increase the work that you had on your plate, and in
- 9 fact, you already had a full plate?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. I was looking
- 11 forward to the -- the new opportunity.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You found it
- 13 an opportunity, and you found it a challenge, and you
- 14 looked forward to it with a positive view?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I did.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 17 And I -- I take it that the EMC really didn't take
- 18 away anything from the discretion which Council had --
- 19 had historically, and had under the -- under the
- 20 various procedures of the municipality as you
- 21 understood them. It didn't take away any -- any power
- 22 from Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And Council
- 25 still had its ability to make all the decisions it

- 1 would otherwise make?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 Now it seems clear that Mr. Houghton, who I think you
- 5 confirmed would have initially believed that his
- 6 appointment was for two (2) or three (3) months,
- 7 correct?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I don't want to
- 9 put months to it, really, but it -- I believe that --
- 10 that thought was that it was an interim solution.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I think it
- 12 was his evidence at earlier times in this Inquiry, in
- 13 part 1, that he took it on the basis that it would be
- 14 a two (2) or three (3) month sinecure. You know
- 15 nothing to -- to suggest that was an improper
- 16 understanding on his part?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 And it went on for quite a while?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: It did.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 And you were a regular attender at Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I was.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 25 would have been aware of the fact that -- that Mr.

- 1 Houghton, on a couple of occasions, addressed Council
- 2 and said to Council that, I'm swamped. You're killing
- 3 me. I have too much work on my plate. You're going
- 4 to have to move on promptly with appointing a full-
- 5 time CAO?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't specifically
- 7 recall that without going back in my written material.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 9 At this time, you don't recall those --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- those --
- 12 those suggestions to the Council made by Mr. Houghton?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, I do not.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 15 In any of that -- in any event of that, I think you
- 16 told us your evidence-in-chief that Mr. Houghton
- 17 eventually indicated to Council in -- in April of 2013
- 18 that he could no longer continue?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And as a
- 21 result of that, Council eventually did something to
- 22 find a new CAO?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 25 And it wasn't until Mr. -- Mr. Houghton took himself

- 1 out of the job that they actually moved forward with
- 2 that obligation?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. But it still
- 4 was -- it was still a few months afterwards, because
- 5 we started down the KPMG process --
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- and the KPMG
- 8 process, one (1) of the phase is the first phase
- 9 because of the urgency of looking at a CAO -- was
- 10 looking at a CAM -- a CAO/EMT type of structure, but
- 11 part of that organizational review was requiring them
- 12 to put together a -- a new job description for the
- 13 position.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 15 And in fact, as -- as part of that investigative
- 16 process, you had occasion to write a letter which is
- 17 marked as Exhibit A to your affidavit. Could we bring
- 18 that up, please, Exhibit A to Ms. Almas's affidavit?
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's -- it's
- 23 out -- a little bit of a curious email that you wrote
- 24 on this occasion. Tell me what the purpose of the
- 25 email was again, just so I can understand it? Was

- 1 this part of the -- of the process of investigating a
- 2 consultive role with the CAO and a -- and possibly a
- 3 continuation of the EMC?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I can't recall if
- 5 there was a specific matter that precipitated this,
- 6 but it was the general frustration that was happening
- 7 regarding my -- I -- I was stretched to capacity, and
- 8 needed some assistance.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 You were really, from what I can see in the letter,
- 11 reaching out to your fellow EMC members who continued
- 12 to be in harness, in spite of the fact that Mr.
- 13 Houghton had withdrawn. You were reaching out to them
- 14 and telling them of -- of your, really, frustrations
- 15 and concerns?
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: At this point in
- 17 time, Mr. Houghton was still the CAO.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Correct,
- 19 that is correct, yes. Correct.
- 20
- 21 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You were
- 23 reaching out to -- in fact, Mr. Houghton was copied on
- 24 this email. You were reaching out to these
- 25 individuals and expressing your concern at that

- 1 juncture?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 No question that in January of 2013, you -- you
- 5 expressed certain things about Mr. Houghton at that
- 6 point:
- 7 "I really appreciate Ed's role as
- 8 the acting CAO. It has been so
- 9 important."
- 10 Double exclamation mark?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So as of
- 13 January of 2013, I take it you were of the role, as
- 14 you expressed to your fellow members of the EMC, that
- 15 -- that Mr. Houghton's role had been most important --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- in moving
- 18 matters forward to that date?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You felt
- 21 he'd made a real contribution?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And he'd
- 24 done so in an effort to -- to continue to have a
- 25 Council and an administration produce good results for

- 1 the townspeople of Collingwood?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was making the
- 3 comment that, you know, Ed -- Ed was very supportive
- 4 as well, but we couldn't act -- and earlier in that
- 5 email, I note that if an EMT has decided regardless,
- 6 the chair would be presumed as the CAO in the public's
- 7 perception.
- 8 So if Council had have made the
- 9 decision to have an EMC versus appointing an acting
- 10 CAO, I think that was a wrong decision, because I
- 11 think that it was imperative to have an acting CAO.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 13 Got it. But clearly, you were expressing the fact
- 14 that you were content with the efforts of Mr. Houghton
- 15 to that date?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: He was extremely
- 17 busy.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 And you were content with the efforts of Mr. Houghton
- 20 to that date? I think you've indicated that to me.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. Yeah.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 23 "I'm sure Ed and us all realize how important he" --
- 24 in capitals -- "has been."
- 25 That was your thought at the -- at the

- 1 time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You feel
- 4 that he'd made a real contribution?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 7 Now on another issue, you have indicated that in order
- 8 to sole source, as you understood things, there was a
- 9 necessity that you receive written direction in that
- 10 respect from a department head or -- well, actually,
- 11 you seemed a little uncertain who you'd get the
- 12 direction from.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you were
- 15 supposed to get written direction from somebody?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I -- I take
- 18 it that written direction from Council in that respect
- 19 would be something that would be authorization for
- 20 you? In other words, if Council told you to proceed
- 21 and go ahead, and to -- to do a procurement with a
- 22 particular organization, if you were directed in that
- 23 respect by Council, you'd feel authorized to do it?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I would feel, yes.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

- 1 And that's really what happened here on the 27th of
- 2 August, 2013, correct?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think it's -- I
- 4 think it can be looked at in both -- in -- in both
- 5 lights. The fact that staff is making that
- 6 recommendation was -- was staff providing the verbal
- 7 or written authorization to me or was Council
- 8 providing that verbal authorization to me. Ultimately
- 9 I would fall upon that Council truly made the final
- 10 decision. So --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So you were
- 12 -- you were -- you were belt and suspenders on that
- 13 decision.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: M-hm.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You had the
- 16 authorization of staff and through the recommendation
- 17 -- and you clearly had -- and again you clearly had
- 18 the authorization of Council, who passed a resolution
- 19 in that respect.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So you felt
- 22 fully authorized?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And there's
- 25 -- there's no doubt that whatever the recommendation

- 1 of staff might have been with respect to these
- 2 matters, including its recommendation with respect to
- 3 purchasing from one source, Council, in spite of that
- 4 recommendation, had the authority to make the final
- 5 decision --
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- as to
- 8 what would be done in -- in those circumstances,
- 9 including whether or not they were to be sole source,
- 10 correct?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 13 And just to clarify another matter you were speaking
- 14 of, you indicated that the Treasurer was to some
- 15 extent the -- the keeper of the -- and to some extent
- 16 the -- the interpreter of the purchasing bylaws?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: It -- within that by
- 18 -- there's a number of municipal bylaws, and generally
- 19 a municipal bylaw -- for example, the procedural bylaw
- 20 is administered, interpreted by the Clerk, and the
- 21 sign bylaw is administered and interpreted by the CBO
- 22 and it specifically -- actually within those bylaw,
- 23 this -- this bylaw doesn't have specific provision
- 24 that speaks to exactly who is the administrator of the
- 25 purchasing bylaw, but the Treasury Department was

- 1 responsible for the purchasing function.
- 2 Mind you, the waters got a little muddy
- 3 whenever they hired a purchasing manager that didn't
- 4 report to the purchasing function within the purch --
- 5 within the Treasury Department. So --
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, I take
- 7 it really the -- the question of departmentalization
- 8 of -- of various staff functions in the municipality
- 9 was for the purpose of develop -- developing an
- 10 expertise, and you had an expertise in the -- in the -
- 11 in some of the administrative activities that the
- 12 Town undertook resolutions and matters of that nature.
- 13 That was your expertise.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And when it
- 16 came to purchasing, et cetera, people understood that
- 17 the -- the expertise in that respect was in the hands
- 18 of the Treasurer.
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 21 You say the waters got a little muddy when you hire a
- 22 we hire a purchasing chap, and in this case that was
- 23 Mr. McNalty, correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 But little doubt that -- that Mr. McNalty's purchasing
- 2 activities would have been overseen or canvassed by
- 3 the Treasury Department and Ms. Leonard on an ongoing
- 4 basis.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Mr. McNalty reported
- 6 to Ed Houghton as the Executive Director of Public
- 7 Works and Engineering, because of the fleet and
- 8 facilities component of his job description, and I
- 9 don't recall -- within the purchasing bylaw, it does
- 10 speak to the Purchasing Manager, who then would be
- 11 Dave McNalty, once we had that position in place,
- 12 would work with respective department head through
- 13 that procurement process. So I don't believe that the
- 14 Treasurer had oversight of Mr. McNalty and his
- 15 actions.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 17 But in any event, it looks like McNalty, at least with
- 18 respect to these matters, kept the Treasury Department
- 19 --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- fully
- 22 advised of what was going on.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So that if
- 25 there was any question about the procurement practices

- 1 that McNalty was undertaking, there would be an
- 2 opportunity for the Treasurer, who is the keeper of
- 3 the expertise in that particular area, to make comment
- 4 with respect to same.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Looking for
- 7 the moment at Exhibit D of your affidavit, this is the
- 8 -- started out -- the email chain starts out with an
- 9 email from Rick Lloyd dated June 12th, 2012, to Ed
- 10 Houghton. Go down to the bottom and look at that,
- 11 please. And you spoke of that in your evidence-in-
- 12 chief, and eventually you said, if you go up a little
- 13 further to an email at 5:19 on that day -- you said:
- 14 "You (sic) really shouldn't be
- directing you to do this either."
- There's no doubt that Ed sent you the
- 17 email he'd seen from -- from Rick Lloyd and you and he
- 18 shared the concept that this created a bit of a
- 19 pickle, to use your phrase.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 Is it fair to say that Ed to some extent was looking
- 23 for a little guidance from you on this? Do you think
- 24 that's one of the reasons he sent it to you?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Well, potentially.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I think we had -
- 3 Ed and I had a good rapport. I -- I respected Ed.
- 4 I knew he was busy and everything was complicated.
- 5 I'm sure we had conversations about how complex
- 6 different matters were getting, and this is like here
- 7 you go, here's another example, now look at what we've
- 8 got.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. This
- 10 -- this is early in his tenure too.
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And he's not
- 13 -- he's not a CAO guy, and he's been over in the LDC
- 14 Department with respect to power. So this is in early
- 15 days as his -- in terms of his experiences as CAO.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So it's not
- 18 unreasonable to suggest that he was looking for a
- 19 little guidance from you in that respect, correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 22 And in fact it appears that -- that he took that
- 23 quidance, and I think the Foundation Document confirms
- 24 that -- that as a result it appears of contact that he
- 25 had with -- with Mr. Lloyd, Rick Lloyd, Mr. Lloyd

- 1 redirected a similar email, including all of Council
- 2 and the EMC, et cetera.
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 5 So he took your suggestion.
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: It looks like it. He
- 7 did, yes.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 9 thank you. And you felt that that was appropriate?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: There's more --
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did he take
- 12 your -- did he take your suggestion?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah. But I wish I
- 14 had to give better advice, but that was better than
- 15 what he was doing to begin with, so I was satisfied
- 16 with that.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 18 what he was doing was sending the request to you for
- 19 your guidance with respect to it, and he followed your
- 20 guidance.
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm not necessarily
- 22 sure that -- Ed was an extremely professional, well-
- 23 versed individual on -- on politics, but I offered my
- 24 suggestion.
- I don't know if -- if he was coming to

- 1 me for advice, but that's what I had to say to him and
- 2 he ended up accepting it.
- 3 So do I think intentionally was he
- 4 asking me for advice? I don't think Ed would come to
- 5 me necessarily for that -- that kind of advice.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you gave
- 7 him some and he took it.
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- 10 Thank you. And then you suggested further to him that
- 11 -- that if there is no objection, that you ask Ron or
- 12 Dave or -- or Dennis to -- to make some enquiries
- 13 about pricing.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 16 You told us I think in your evidence-in-chief that the
- 17 remarks you remembered were positive remarks, that
- 18 there were councillors who -- who chimed in to say
- 19 that -- that they felt these enquiries were a good
- 20 idea and were in favour of them.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I think
- 23 you told us you don't recall any objections.
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 So that -- that being the case, and Council all being
- 2 advised, and having got over this little hurdle with
- 3 respect to whether or not Mr. Lloyd as Deputy Mayor
- 4 should be advising staff, you were suggesting that Mr.
- 5 Houghton have some -- some individuals in the Staff
- 6 Department make enquiries?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Clearly this
- 9 was going to be -- we weren't buying a car here, or a
- 10 van, or a truck, or something of that nature. This
- 11 was going to be a significant acquisition?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: As I said, I did -- I
- 13 wasn't too familiar with fabric structures, I didn't
- 14 know how complex they were. That's actually why I
- 15 suggested yes, just get them to get a couple prices.
- 16 I -- I didn't think it was so complex as it ended up
- 17 to be.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 So that given what it ended up to be in
- 20 terms of complexity, I take it you wouldn't think it
- 21 inappropriate that Mr. Houghton, with its complexity,
- 22 made some inquiries himself with respect to pricing?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 25 Now, in terms of the time line, you've expressed your

- 1 concerns with respect to the time line that you were
- 2 given by Council on July 16th, i.e., bring back a
- 3 report by August 27th?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 6 don't recall that you made any complaints with respect
- 7 to that time line?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't recall, I --
- 9 I could have said that's a pretty tight time line, but
- 10 I knew it wasn't a project that I was going to be
- 11 actively involved in. So if they thought it was
- 12 something that they could achieve, then --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 14 Clearly, it was going to be an
- 15 ambitious project for a whole variety of reasons,
- 16 including the fact that the closing of the Collus sale
- 17 was happening some two weeks after the July 16th --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- Council
- 20 meeting?
- 21 So you had a lot to do in that respect?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: A lot.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And there
- 24 was a lot going on in the -- in the Council and the
- 25 community at that juncture?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So it was --
- 3 it -- it was difficult.
- But that's the time lines that Council
- 5 gave you?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And -- and I
- B take it that Ed proceeded to do what he could to try
- 9 and ensure that the time lines, which had been
- 10 dictated by Council, were met?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And that
- 13 would have taken -- it would have led Mr. Houghton to
- 14 -- to take some control of the process, we would need
- 15 to take some control of the process and lead on the
- 16 process in order to ensure that it was completed by
- 17 August 27th?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: And he was the acting
- 19 CAO and had the oversight of staff. So, yes.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So that was
- 21 all in keeping with his obligations and in keeping
- 22 with the directions that he received from Council on
- 23 July 16th?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So it's no -

- 1 it's no surprise that he dug in and attempted to get
- 2 it done?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 5 And you mentioned deputy mayor Rick
- 6 Lloyd's involvement in these matters at some time.
- 7 I take it you would have been aware,
- 8 forgetting about Rick Lloyd for the moment, and we'll
- 9 come to him, I take it you would have been aware that
- 10 -- that Council was anxious to move forward with the
- 11 process of solving the recreational problem?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You would
- 14 have known that from -- at least in part from the --
- 15 from the answers you got from -- from some of
- 16 Council's members who -- who voiced their approval of
- 17 going ahead and -- and getting this pricing and
- 18 exploring this -- this potential option?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, and -- and the
- 20 previous months of work that the steering committee
- 21 undertook.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So -- but
- 23 more than that, there was a bit of a -- a bit of a
- 24 political movement, if I can describe it as such, or a
- 25 bit of a movement by Council in that direction, you --

1 you heard echos of that at the very least in the

- 2 replies you got from Councillors to the
- 3 correspondence?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Certainly, and I knew
- 5 there was, for example, a specific individual that was
- 6 very tightly associated with the -- the hockey
- 7 community, and had a keen desire to -- to get the
- 8 additional ice pad.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Does that --
- 10 does that bring us to deputy mayor Lloyd?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That one that --
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The
- 13 individual you're talking about, deputy mayor Lloyd?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: No, it was actually
- 15 Councillor West.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay, all
- 17 right.
- 18 And so you were -- you knew that West
- 19 was -- was keen on this proposal?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I --
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Keen on
- 22 solving the problem in an event?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: On the ice problem,
- 24 yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,

- 1 good.
- 2 So you knew that as a Councillor he was
- 3 anxious to move this forward?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Anxious in moving
- 5 forward with getting an ice -- any sort of ice pad, he
- 6 just wanted more ice time.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: He was -- he
- 8 was particularly keen on that, and seemed to feel that
- 9 -- that the community wanted that --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- and was
- 12 very keen on that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And was
- 15 there a general sense in the community at that
- 16 juncture that -- that ice time for -- for young
- 17 athletes was -- was at a premium and was a problem in
- 18 the community?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's 'cause teams
- 20 had to go elsewhere to practice.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 22 would have been aware of that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And others
- 25 on the EMC would have been aware of that, and I take

- 1 it likely staff would have been aware of that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So everyone
- 4 pitched in to attempt to match what Council had
- 5 requested for August 27th?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Now, deputy
- 8 mayor Lloyd had a sense that you were aware that he
- 9 was anxious to have this moved forward?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And can you
- 12 tell me, is there any sense of -- of pressure from him
- 13 with respect to these matters?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 16 Tell me -- tell me more about that and
- 17 -- and how that affected staff.
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think right from
- 19 that email that was mid-June where he had sent out the
- 20 request to get some prices on it, which ultimately was
- 21 sent to all members of Council, then ultimately
- 22 Council making that resolution in July, I think he was
- 23 a -- I believe that he was a key player in seeing this
- 24 movement go forward.
- I think he, also being concerned with

- 1 the \$35 million proposal that had been put forward for
- 2 the multi-use facility was obviously a bit concerning
- 3 to him. He was chair of the finance committee at that
- 4 point and as we even seen in the first phase of the
- 5 hearings, Mr. Lloyd liked to get things done and he
- 6 was in regular discussions with Ed and I'm -- I know
- 7 that Ed felt that pressure.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 9 So that was, I take it, rightly or
- 10 wrongly, that would have been another reason for Mr.
- 11 Houghton, for staff, and for the EMC given really the
- 12 sense of power that you've described that the deputy
- 13 mayor had, that would have been another significant
- 14 incentive for the whole team to attempt to get things
- 15 done by that -- that tight time schedule?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would agree.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- Okay, if we could look at TOC0189964.
- 19 And just looking at the email that's -- that's last up
- 20 in this chain, and it was a fairly extensive chain,
- 21 you indicate -- you don't indicate, actually, Mr.
- 22 Houghton indicates and he's directing this email to
- 23 McNalty:
- 24 "The last point I should make is
- 25 that I will be the contact person

- 1 with Sprung, the Deputy Mayor made
- 2 that perfectly clear with me on the
- 3 weekend."
- Again, you've spoken of the -- of the
- 5 pressure that -- that the deputy mayor appeared to be
- 6 exerting on -- on Ed and other members of the team.
- 7 Do you have any reason to doubt that the -- the deputy
- 8 mayor had told Mr. Houghton that he would wish to have
- 9 Mr. Houghton as the contact person for Sprung?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I'm sorry, was
- 11 the question --
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You don't
- 13 doubt what Mr. Houghton states in this correspondence?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No, no, it's --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The deputy
- 16 mayor directed him to do that?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I could see that,
- 18 yes. It wasn't -- it doesn't surprise me.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That makes
- 20 sense to you?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: M-hm.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You said
- 23 something in your evidence in-chief about -- about the
- 24 attempt to hide Green Leaf's involvement or something
- 25 of that nature?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: M-hm.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I -- I take
- 3 it that that isn't what this email suggests?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I --
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: This email
- 6 suggests that it was Mr. Lloyd who directed them to
- 7 take that position, correct?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, and -- and I
- 9 don't doubt that Mr. Lloyd did it, but I -- would have
- 10 done something like this. But it was -- it's my own
- 11 personal connection that -- that -- whether it was
- 12 just Ed, whether the deputy mayor also didn't want
- 13 Dave making that connection as well.
- 14 Again, that was -- that's my
- 15 assumption.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That's --
- 17 it's an assumption of yours, it's not -- it's not
- 18 based on anything that we've seen in the -- in the
- 19 Foundation documents, it's just some -- a conclusion
- 20 that you came to on your own?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 23 thank you.
- 24 And I take it you understand the
- 25 conclusions in these matters are -- are -- are for the

- 1 Commissioner --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- as
- 4 opposed to the witnesses? Thank you.
- 5 Now, you mentioned -- I think we were
- 6 reviewing and we were reviewing paragraph 373 of the
- 7 Foundation Document. And if we could turn to that
- 8 just for a moment, please?
- 9 And I think through the course of
- 10 reviewing paragraph 373, Inquiry counsel asked you
- 11 what you understood had been the frequency of contact
- 12 between BLT Sprung and -- and members of staff.
- 13 And I think you indicated that there'd
- 14 been frequent contact?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 17 And -- and I take it that the frequent
- 18 contact you're referring to was frequent contact that
- 19 would have been made by Dave McNalty, by Marjorie
- 20 Leonard, by Ed Houghton, and by other people who were
- 21 busy trying to get the details so they could answer
- 22 the request of Council for August 27th?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe that a lot
- 24 of the conversation went back through Ed, so I don't
- 25 know if Ed then was the conduit based on what he had

- 1 said to Dave McNalty, that he would be the contact for
- 2 Sprung, or whether once the process was underway, that
- 3 here was more flexibility and more members were able
- 4 to speak to them directly, which I think was likely
- 5 the case, but I'm -- I'm not certain.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: In fairness,
- 7 you don't -- you don't really know about the frequency
- 8 of contact, you're just -- you're just assuming that
- 9 there would have been frequent contacts during that
- 10 period of time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, because there --
- 12 there's a number of emails that go back and forth
- 13 requesting numbers, providing more information on
- 14 further detail.
- So to get that information you'd have
- 16 to get that information.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- 18 And those emails involved a number of
- 19 people, one of whom would have been Ed Houghton?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Others would
- 22 have been McNalty and Leonard, et cetera?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Potentially, if -- if
- 24 --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

Now, looking at paragraph 373, can we

- 2 move that up ever so slightly so we can see more of
- 3 it. Okay, that's good.
- 4 It appears he just got off the line
- 5 with Sprung BLT and was talking to them about pricing.
- 6 He says later in the document:
- 7 "I have no clue what the price is
- 8 because I didn't want them to tell
- 9 me until it is in the form we
- 10 wanted."
- 11 And he also says in the first
- 12 paragraph:
- "They finally have all the prices
- and I wanted to let them know what
- form I have asked them to provide to
- 16 us."
- 17 It seems to indicate in the second of
- 18 those paragraphs, or maybe it's the third paragraph,
- 19 whatever, that -- the second paragraph, he wants
- 20 prices for two (2) buildings. He wanted to include a
- 21 mezzanine in the area. He wanted prices for non-
- 22 building items such as Zambonis, et cetera, and
- 23 scoreboards and he wanted it to be on a total turnkey
- 24 price basis.
- I take it you understood from this

- 1 correspondence to the EMC, including you, that the
- 2 discussions that he had with Sprung/BLT on that
- 3 occasion were about the things he wanted prices on and
- 4 the format he wanted the prices to be given rather
- 5 than a discussion about the actual prices themselves?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Honestly, I didn't
- 7 know what -- what he was meaning about not getting the
- 8 price because I'd thought that all along that that was
- 9 what we'd been asked to get was estimates.
- 10 So, again, I wasn't following too
- 11 closely with this because I wasn't strictly involved,
- 12 but I can't say that I completely understand what's --
- 13 what he's requesting here and what he's asking.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 15 So you didn't completely understand what he was saying
- 16 by this email to the EMC found in paragraph 373.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 Were you assisted with the third paragraph:
- "I have no clue what the price is
- 21 because I didn't want them to tell
- me until it was in the form I
- 23 wanted."
- 24 Did that assist you?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So that -- that piece

- 1 is -- is what kind of confuses me within this.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 3 So you're not exactly sure what he was discussing in
- 4 that.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 7 And we'll have to look to other witnesses to find that
- 8 out, if at all. Okay. Thank you.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Moving for
- 13 the moment to the meeting that took place on the
- 14 morning of August 24th, and that was a meeting that
- 15 included staff and included the EMC?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe so, yes.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 18 And I think you indicated, in fairness, that you
- 19 really had no specific memory of that meeting.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 And that's -- that's accurate. Correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 25 You seem to remember that Ed was speaking at the

- 1 meeting or do you?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I...
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's pretty
- 4 vague, is that fair to say?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: It's -- it's very
- 6 vague. So that -- that meeting was set up to explain
- 7 and go over basically the changes to the staff report
- 8 'cause we didn't receive that final version.
- 9 By the end of the day on Thursday --
- 10 because I think that meeting was actually scheduled
- 11 around quarter to 5 on the Thursday for us to get
- 12 together to meet to review the final documents --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- since we hadn't
- 15 seen it. So the purpose of the meeting was --
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Was to --
- 17 surely it was to review the final document.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely, yeah.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 So it wasn't a surprise to you that you would have a
- 21 meeting like that to review the final document as it
- 22 was being concluded.
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: I wasn't surprised at
- 24 all. I -- I made arrangements to come back from my
- 25 vacation to make sure that I was there.

1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

- 2 That was a helpful thing for you to do.
- 3 And it would be your practice -- I take
- 4 it to talk about at a meeting of this nature when you
- 5 were talking about a final report, it would be your
- 6 practice to talk about how that report would be
- 7 presented to Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So you have
- 10 an anticipation that that may have been one of the
- 11 things that you talked about on that occasion?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah. I'm -- I
- 13 presume that that was discussed before we concluded
- 14 the meeting, yes.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That was a
- 16 presumption of yours.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You have
- 19 a -- you suggested at earlier times that there was
- 20 some discussions about sole sourcing at that meeting.
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: Again, since the
- 22 recommendation changed to go sole sourcing, I believe
- 23 it was the -- we discussed the rationale on why we
- 24 landed -- or it was landed upon that point to
- 25 recommend.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I take it
- 2 again, understanding that you don't have a specific
- 3 memory of the meeting, you don't have any specific
- 4 memory of what was said with regard to that issue.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know what was
- 6 discussed specifically. I know it was obviously
- 7 discussed 'cause it was something that alarmed you
- 8 (sic) when I came in and printed off the report, and I
- 9 had a conversation with Marjory in my office saying --
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: -- hey, did you know
- 12 this report's changed? So that would have been
- 13 something I would not have been remiss in bringing to
- 14 their attention whenever I attended the meeting.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Sure. But
- 16 what was specifically discussed about it, again given
- 17 no specific memory of the meeting is unclear to you.
- 18 Correct?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. It -- it
- 20 would be just the rationale on why it was decided.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And that's
- 22 again an assumption of yours that that would have
- 23 taken place.
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.

- 1 And you say that you had a meeting with Marjory
- 2 Leonard. I think the meeting on that morning was
- 3 scheduled for 8:30 to 10:00 or something of that
- 4 nature --
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe so.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- amongst
- 7 staff and the EMC. And it -- prior to the
- 8 commencement of that meeting, at or about 8:30, that
- 9 you had a meeting with Marjory Leonard.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And no doubt
- 12 she was aware that she had been following the changes
- 13 or possibly you hadn't 'cause you were on vacation.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So she
- 16 seemed to be aware of those changes at the time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19 And at the meeting, you spoke of a -- of there being a
- 20 consensus, and it's the practice of the EMC, in any
- 21 event, to move forward on consensus.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I think
- 24 you indicated that -- I take it the consensus would
- 25 have involved Ms. Leonard, Dave McNalty, and Ed as --

- 1 is it Irwin the third member of the EMC?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. So truly, the
- 3 EMC consensus would have been Larry, Ed, Marjory, and
- 4 myself.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- 6 Right. So there was Larry, and Marjory, and Ed that
- 7 were part of the consensus, as you understood it, with
- 8 respect to the EMC?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe so, yes.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And there
- 11 would have also been staff members there as well?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe the
- 13 invitation included Dave McNalty. I believe Marta was
- 14 on vacation at that time.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 16 it would have included Leonard as well?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, definitely.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 So the consensus was, in fact, at the very least
- 20 three (3) people from the EMC and the two (2) major
- 21 staff persons, as you understood it, that had been
- 22 working on the recommendation, being McNalty and
- 23 Leonard.
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: So Leonard was on the
- 25 EMC

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes, you're
- 2 right.
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Correct.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: So -- so it was --
- 6 the only other staff member that I recollect being at
- 7 that meeting would have been Dave McNalty.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So the
- 11 consensus was pretty strong at that point amongst all
- 12 those who had been involved in the drafting of the
- 13 recommendation.
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: Everybody seemed
- 15 favourable to the final recommendation.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I think
- 17 you indicated in your evidence-in-chief that given the
- 18 consensus, in particular, that you were at that time
- 19 content with a recommendation.
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 And, in fact, you proceeded almost immediately after
- 23 that meeting to draft some recommendation-type wording
- 24 with respect to that recommendation.
- 25 And in that respect, might we look at

195 paragraph 414, please? 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: 11:21 a.m. on that morning shortly after the meeting was over: 7 "Sara Almas emailed draft Council 8 9 recommendation language to the EMC, 10 to Dave McNalty, and to Deputy Mayor 11 Lloyd asking for comments." 12 I notice you included Deputy Mayor Lloyd in that draft. 13 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You didn't find that inappropriate to do that at that juncture? 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know why I 18 included him --19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay. 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- in this email. I don't know if I was directed to include him in this 21 22 email, but yes, it is inappropriate that he be 23 included on this email. 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you did 25 it anyway.

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 3 And it looked like -- it looks like others had done
- 4 the same keeping him in the loop on other occasions --
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: That is correct.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- the same
- 7 way that you had on this occasion.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Correct?
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 12 And can you just scroll up a little bit from that, if
- 13 you would, please? Thank you.
- 14 And on that occasion, both in the
- 15 two (2) penultimate paragraphs of that direction, you
- 16 say that Council directs staff -- this is their
- 17 recommendation:
- 18 "Council directs staff to proceed
- 19 with the construction of a Sprung
- 20 insulated architectural membrane."
- 21 That was the draft that you put forward
- 22 on the morning of the 24th after the meeting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 25 And that was with respect to the single ice pad arena.

- 1 And again, with respect to the Centennial pool, it
- 2 directs staff to proceed with the installation of a
- 3 Sprung insulated architectural membrane structure over
- 4 the existing Centennial pool.
- 5 This was your drafting?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And it was
- 8 in keeping with what you told me earlier that you were
- 9 content with the obvious consensus that was evident to
- 10 you in that meeting on the morning of the 24th?
- 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 13 And you were prepared without any objection to proceed
- 14 forward with the recommendation that involved --
- 15 specifically to proceed with the construction of a
- 16 Sprung architectural roof. Correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19 And indeed, if we look at paragraph 417, and if we
- 20 could pull it up for a moment, please?

21

22 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I take
- 25 it on this occasion we're given another copy of -- I'm

- 1 not sure whether it was the final final or close to
- 2 the final report that was being proffered to at that
- 3 juncture.
- But whatever it was, you reply to the
- 5 EMC group and the others on the email chain that
- 6 copied Mayor Cooper and indicated that we're replying
- 7 with your approval to the form of the report that was
- 8 in evidence following that meeting on the morning of
- 9 the 24th.
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I believe so.
- 11 I'd have to take a look at that, but I believe that's
- 12 what I was doing.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Do you want
- 14 to have a look at the email, or are you content to
- 15 give that answer? I don't want to forestall your --
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: When I reviewed all
- 17 the Foundation documents I -- I went in to look at the
- 18 specific attachments and I -- I believe I know which--
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm not
- 20 putting to you that it was the final final report. It
- 21 was the report that you had received shortly after
- 22 that meeting.
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: Maybe before I agree
- 24 to it, if I -- if I can look at that?
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Sure. What

- 1 are you looking to look at?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: So the 417 and the
- 3 TOC0204156.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Do you want
- 5 to look at both the 40 and the 56?
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry, I thought you
- 7 were asking my clarification.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No, I'm
- 9 asking your clarification with respect to the one at
- 10 paragraph 417, which is the 156.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Okay.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The one you
- 13 want to look at? Let's pull that up if we could,
- 14 please?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's the one.
- 18 You were asking me to confirm that this
- 19 is --
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I am.
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: And can you scroll
- 22 down? Yes, so I'm -- I see that revised
- 23 recommendation that was provided from Dave McNalty,
- 24 and -- sorry, if you can scroll back up. And included
- 25 everybody that I was satisfied with that version.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 2 So you were satisfied with the version
- 3 that Dave McNalty sent you at -- at 12:02 p.m. on that
- 4 day?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 7 And throughout this process you didn't
- 8 make a complaint or a -- you -- you didn't put up your
- 9 hand and say this is -- this is all quite
- 10 inappropriate and we shouldn't be moving in this
- 11 direction?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I did not object.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You didn't
- 14 sole-source and we shouldn't buy from Sprung and you
- 15 didn't do any of those things, correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: No. I trusted my
- 17 colleagues and didn't feel that there was any by-law
- 18 that was being contravened. So I -- I didn't have a
- 19 reason to object.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, you --
- 21 you trusted your own instincts too, I take it, because
- 22 you had been -- you had been, as a member of the AMC,
- 23 you'd been copied on this process on an ongoing basis
- 24 with all the iterations and reiterations of -- of this
- 25 report, all the way I think from August 18th onward.

1 So you knew what the report was up to

- 2 and the direction it was taking?
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. Even though I
- 4 may not specifically have been, you know, reviewing,
- 5 you know, the full reports, the full details of
- 6 everything, I was aware definitely through the emails
- 7 of what was going on and the work that was being
- 8 undertaken.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 And you supported that work and
- 11 approved the recommendation that was going forward to
- 12 Council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I didn't object to
- 14 it. Again, it was a consensus, we don't -- we didn't
- 15 put our hands up and vote, I approve.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Unless there was an
- 18 objection, then it goes forward.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I think you
- 20 indicated in your evidence in-chief that you were
- 21 content?
- 22 MS. SARA ALMAS: I was content.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: One moment,
- 2 Your Honour.

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Look, if you
- 7 might, please, at paragraph 413 of the Foundation
- 8 Document 2, the revised version. If we could bring
- 9 that up, please?
- In addition you got, I take it, a copy
- 11 of Marjorie Leonard's email of -- of August 24th, sent
- 12 at 10:46 on the morning of the 24th to McNalty and
- 13 members of the EMC, including yourself.
- 14 And you reviewed that -- that document
- 15 with Inquiry counsel through the course of your
- 16 evidence in-chief, and it talks about elements of
- 17 competition which you made some comment on, cost
- 18 effectiveness and benefits to the Town, sole-sourcing,
- 19 et cetera.
- 20 And you didn't make any objection to
- 21 that at all, I take it. In fact, later in the day, at
- 22 12:07, you replied and approved what McNalty had sent,
- 23 which included verbiage very similar to what Leonard
- 24 had put in paragraph -- what we see in paragraph 413,
- 25 correct?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. In
- 2 hindsight, I did not object at the time. I -- I
- 3 really hadn't focussed on that piece of it. In
- 4 hindsight I would have probably questioned that much
- 5 stronger.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: If you had
- 7 focussed on it?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, and after the
- 9 fact that I -- that's one thing I would have changed,
- 10 I would have paid a little bit more attention to items
- 11 such as this.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well --
- 13 well, you -- you had some focus on it, didn't you? I
- 14 mean, on the morning of the 24th the first thing you
- 15 did was you got there early and went in to see
- 16 Marjorie Leonard about sole-sourcing, because of your
- 17 apparent shock with respect to that.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: M-hm.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So what do
- 20 you mean you didn't focus on it?
- 21 You were well aware of the sole-
- 22 sourcing aspect of this?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, the -- the sole-
- 24 source. I'm -- I'm talking about the issue of that
- 25 the element of competition between an architectural

- 1 membrane structure in a competition with a bricks and
- 2 mortar structure.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: What -- that piece is
- 5 what I -- I wasn't really familiar with what they were
- 6 -- were getting at because I don't think that that is
- 7 a fair competition.
- 8 As I said earlier in my -- my
- 9 statement, that I didn't believe -- I don't believe
- 10 that that's apples to apples.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you had
- 12 some -- some trouble with the rationale at that time?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I didn't have any --
- 14 any at that time, because of -- that didn't -- again,
- 15 I -- I came back from my vacation, I'm -- I'm just
- 16 looking at the reports since I just printed it off and
- 17 we're going through it, most likely together, and I --
- 18 I really -- I -- I didn't take my due diligence in
- 19 reviewing the entire document or questioning
- 20 everything that was in it.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, if you
- 22 had any concerns about the rationale at that time,
- 23 fair to say it didn't lead you to make any objection
- 24 to the recommendation that the architectural membrane
- 25 be purchased at that time?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Back to even
- 3 immediately thereafter, drafted a recommendation that
- 4 it wasn't the final form of the recommendation, but it
- 5 was a recommendation that specifically included
- 6 Sprung, correct?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.

8

9 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And in any
- 12 event, I think we've already -- you've already told me
- 13 this in any event of the recommendation that was made,
- 14 it was in the purview of Council to do other than was
- 15 recommended by -- by staff at that juncture?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Now, you
- 18 spoke in paragraph 18 of your affidavit about
- 19 frustration that -- that seemed to be being
- 20 experienced by Marta Proctor. And you gave an
- 21 explanation as to why that frustration arose, and you
- 22 talked about there may have been some mutual
- 23 frustration from Ed as well.
- 24 I was interested in the sources of that
- 25 frustration, and I take it if -- could we look very

206 quickly at TOC0190573, a July 25th email -- I'm sorry -- a July email from Marta Proctor? 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So I take it that this is an email from Marta Proctor to Dave McNalty. I take that she indicated: 9 "I am currently away from the 10 office, returning to work on 11 Tuesday, August 7th." 12 You would have been aware of this? 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have been 14 aware. 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right. And you became aware of this really very shortly after the July 16th direction given by Council to do the 17 18 report and do it by August 27th? 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Again, I didn't have 20 oversight of staff. So their vacation schedules, I --21 I wouldn't be aware of until they were saying, just so 22 you know, I'm going to be away next week or --23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- a conversation. 2.5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.

- 1 Okay. But you were -- I take it you were aware that
- 2 Marta Proctor was aware for two (2) weeks -- away for
- 3 two (2) weeks immediately after the direction by
- 4 Council on July 16th.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: And I believe she was
- 6 on holidays later in the month as well.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 8 that's reflected, if we can look at it very quickly,
- 9 in another email, TOC0202597.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And again,
- 14 Marta Proctor is speaking to a number of people on
- 15 staff, and again, she's away on vacation "the
- 16 remainder of this week." So she's also away during
- 17 the week of the 21st.
- So I take it, she was away not only in
- 19 the first two (2) weeks of taking on this quite
- 20 substantial project that staff was taking on following
- 21 July 16th, but she was away in the penultimate week of
- 22 the 21st to the 24th.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That's
- 24 August though.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: She was --

- 1 sorry?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: The
- 3 email's dated August 21st, and she says:
- "I'll be on vacation this week."
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes,
- 6 correct.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Not
- 8 July.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm sorry.
- 10 I misspoke. I meant August.
- 11
- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So, in fact,
- 14 to complete the point I was making, she was away for
- 15 the two (2) weeks immediately after you got direction
- 16 from Council on July 16th, and she was away from
- 17 the -- she was away for the penultimate week leading
- 18 up to the conclusion of the staff report on the
- 19 24th of August.
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't think she --
- 21 she necessarily took full weeks, but there was
- 22 definitely a number of days that she was away between
- 23 the decision of Council and the -- in July to the
- 24 decision of Council in August, yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 Well, she was away clearly for that -- "I will be" --
- 2 it's dated the 21st:
- 3 "I'll be away on vacation the
- 4 remainder of this week."
- 5 So she was away, I'm assuming, the
- 6 21st, 22nd, 23rd, and the 24th, which is the
- 7 penultimate week for the preparation of that staff
- 8 report. Correct?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 Can we look for a moment at email ${\tt E}$ -- or
- 12 document EHH10, please?

13

14 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Can we go
- 17 down a little further in that document if we could.
- 18 There is an email from Mr. Houghton, dated
- 19 August 21st, and he appears to be sending this to the
- 20 EMC:
- 21 "Dale West just told me that Marta
- felt left out of the Central Park
- solution that we are putting
- 24 together."
- 25 And he goes on to say that it really

1 upset him that she's taking this position as we're

- 2 scrambling to do -- to get this report ready as
- 3 Council requested.
- And go up a little further if we could,
- 5 please, up to your response to this email. You say
- 6 again to the EMC members:
- 7 "There has been a million meetings
- 8 with her on this."
- 9 And you say:
- "I was venting with Marjory today
- 11 that I was sick and tired of
- meetings with her, and I'm a little
- disappointed."
- So no doubt, to put it mildly, you were
- 15 a little frustrated by the statement of Marta that she
- 16 was left out?
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. I -- I felt
- 18 that we were trying to include her as much as we
- 19 could. Again, then, you know, Ed trying to
- 20 managing -- manage the different perspective that
- 21 Marta had.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: But there was
- 24 definitely a frustration all around.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 And fair to say that the frustration to some
- 2 significant extent grew out of the fact that Marta was
- 3 away for what appears to be three (3) of the weeks
- 4 that were pivotal in staff satisfying the July 16th
- 5 request of Council.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And the
- 8 necessity to meet with her "a million meetings." I
- 9 take it meetings had to keep her in the loop.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 So you guys were making every effort to keep her in
- 13 the loop.
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: Marta liked her
- 15 meetings, yes.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So is it
- 17 fair to say that that was, in essence, the source of
- 18 the frustration that was being experienced, certainly
- 19 by you in any event and by Ed with respect to Marta's
- 20 participation?
- 21 MS. SARA ALMAS: And I think Marta's
- 22 participation was trying to gather all the information
- 23 we needed on what sort of dimensions and facility
- 24 requirements were needed.
- 25 I think her frustration was -- so she

- 1 was providing all this information. However, she
- 2 wasn't being consulted in what was -- what was going
- 3 to be recommended, I think.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I take it
- 5 one of the difficulties is that she was absent for a
- 6 good portion of that period of time.
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, she was.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And in any
- 9 event of that potential frustration of Marta, it
- 10 appears that your entire group, specifically including
- 11 yourself, had made every effort to keep Marta in the
- 12 loop, and the reason she was feeling a little
- 13 disoriented on this was because she was away.
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: And obviously this
- 15 key week --
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- 17 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- when it's being
- 18 finalized --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- was a pivotal week
- 21 to be there.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That created
- 23 the frustration.
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Some of the
- 25 frustration, yes.

213 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour, those are the questions I have of this witness. Thank you. 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank 7 you, Mr. Chenoweth. Mr. Marron...? 8 MR. GEORGE MARRON: I have no questions, Your Honour. Thank you. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank 10 11 you. Mr. -- BLT, Mr. Trudell...? 12 MR. BILL TRUDELL: No questions. 13 Thank you very much, sir. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 14 15 Mr. Bonwick? Actually what I might do, Mr. Bonwick, is take a few minutes, and then we'll get started. 17 Five (5) minutes. 18 19 --- Upon recessing at 4:20 p.m. --- Upon resuming at 4:27 p.m. 20 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL BONWICK: 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Good afternoon, Ms. 24 Almas. As you're aware, I'm a participant in the 25 Hearing. And we'll be asking some questions this

- 1 afternoon.
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: Good afternoon.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, thanks very
- 4 much for your time and the information that you've
- 5 shared.
- I think you've provided some
- 7 information in this regard, but for my benefit and for
- 8 the benefit of all in -- in the room, I wanted to
- 9 touch on a little bit of background first.
- 10 How long have you been with the
- 11 municipality or have you worked with the municipality?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Since 2004.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Now, in your
- 14 experience with working with colleagues, and I'm
- 15 thinking, titles keep changing, but director of public
- 16 works and environmental services, water utility, COOs,
- 17 treasurers, PRC directors, I quess it's Leisure
- 18 Services directors now.
- 19 Generally speaking, do you get a
- 20 feeling from your colleagues that they're always
- 21 trying to work in the best interests of the community,
- 22 that they try to as unfiltered as possible deliver the
- 23 best and most accurate information to council?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I do.
- 25 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Of course, we

- 1 recognize, and we're very fortunate -- I think it's
- 2 important to note that we're very fortunate to have
- 3 them. There's a lot of hardworking people that are
- 4 very dedicated to the community, raise their families
- 5 either in the community or around the community,
- 6 neighbours, friends.
- 7 So, they're not only accountable to
- 8 council. They're accountable to the community at
- 9 large.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And so,
- 12 with -- with that notion in mind, and I know you've
- 13 referred to the importance you put on integrity and
- 14 the importance of what people feel in terms of how you
- 15 do your job, I'm going to assume they have like-minded
- 16 opinions?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, I would assume
- 18 so.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I want to switch
- 20 over to members of council. And you've worked with
- 21 several elected councils now.
- Would you agree that, generally
- 23 speaking, the elected officials, again, similar to
- 24 staff, are from the community at large, they're in the
- 25 service clubs, they work in the community, they have

- 1 friends and family in the community, at the end of the
- 2 day, on mass they're trying to do the best possible
- 3 job they can?
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe that that
- 5 is the -- for the most part, the intention of all
- 6 members of council when they run for council and
- 7 become elected.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Now, what --
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: And they have
- 10 different views than each other, but they are elected
- 11 to represent the community.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Very much so. I
- 13 once heard a mayor say it was like herding flies. But
- 14 moving that aside, from ti -- clearly, you'll have a
- 15 difference of opinion irrespective of what staff and
- 16 the hard work that they do and the recommendations
- 17 that they make.
- 18 Clearly, council -- and I'm interested
- 19 in your opinion on this. Council has the autonomy
- 20 and, in fact, the responsibility to make decisions
- 21 that they think are in the best interests of the
- 22 community irrespective of what a staff report might
- 23 provide?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 25 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And in fairness,

- 1 they're no way bound to a staff report or a
- 2 recommendation or options. They can -- they have that
- 3 level of autonomy based on the democracy in which we
- 4 live?
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Do you feel, in
- 7 your experience, that councillors take that
- 8 responsibility very seriously?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Of -- of weighing
- 10 whether they are going to follow a staff
- 11 recommendation versus making their own political
- 12 decision?
- 13 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Let -- let me
- 14 rephrase it so I can be a little bit more succinct,
- 15 sorry. Do you feel that the elected officials, in
- 16 your experience, on mass try to make and feel that
- 17 they have a responsibility in fact to make the best
- 18 decision possible for the municipality?
- 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think, for the most
- 20 part, yes.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. I had
- 22 more questions. And I think I'll come back and
- 23 revisit those in a moment because I was extremely
- 24 pleased to see a focus today that was sort of focussed
- 25 or predicated on the process and the speed under which

- 1 this process took place.
- I think Judge Marrocco, rightly so, has
- 3 identified it as one (1) of the key pillars for
- 4 consideration by the Inquiry.
- 5 What I wanted to do was get your
- 6 opinions or reflections on some of the history that
- 7 led up to this process specific to Leisure Services or
- 8 what was formerly known as Parks and Recreation.
- 9 And so, will you be aware of the fact
- 10 that in the late 1990s, early 2000s, somewhere in
- 11 there, and I don't know the exact date, sorry, that
- 12 council tasked a steering committee to research and
- 13 identify the needs of the community and make a
- 14 recommendation on how those recreational needs were
- 15 going to be supported?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know. All --
- 17 all I recollect is that there was a decision to use
- 18 some government funding for a multi-use facility that
- 19 ultimately got denied.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Ma --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: So, I assume there
- 22 was a process that led up to that.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry, maybe I'll -
- 24 I'll give a little more context to it, it might
- 25 help. So, there was a steering committee struck. The

- 1 steering committee engaged a outside consultant.
- 2 There was a very lengthy process followed.
- 3 The process delivered a recommendation.
- 4 If you'll recall, the recommendation was to locate the
- 5 multi-use facility and what's I think now known as
- 6 Fisher Field or the soccer pitches?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe that was
- 8 the area that --
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You're --
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- I'm familiar with.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You'd be aware of
- 12 the fact then that, through that process with engaging
- 13 outside consultants, as whether -- as well as the work
- 14 within the steering committee and staff, that detailed
- 15 drawings, site plans, an application for funding was
- 16 submitted to the Provincial and Federal Government,
- 17 that this -- this multi-use facility was advanced in a
- 18 very significant way?
- 19 You're familiar with that?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm not familiar with
- 21 the process. But, obviously, when you're applying for
- 22 funding to the government, you have to have a lot of
- 23 that background material. So --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: -- to get that

- 1 material, obviously, work had been --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, I'm not
- 3 sure. In fairness, you weren't employed with the Town
- 4 of Collingwood --
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- at that time. I
- 7 was the member of Parliament at the time. And between
- 8 myself and Mr. Wilson working with the municipality,
- 9 we were actually able to secure somewhere around \$10
- 10 million in funding to support that multi-use facility.
- 11 Are you familiar with that?
- 12 MS. SARA ALMAS: I knew that there was
- 13 funding that was going to be committed that was -- the
- 14 town turned down, yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, are you
- 16 aware of the fact that in the 11th hour, when all of
- 17 this information was brought back to council and
- 18 council was tasked with authorizing the work to
- 19 commence, council changed direction and chose, based
- 20 on other considerations, not to move forward with the
- 21 multi-use -- the \$24 million multi-use facility out at
- 22 Fisher Field?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm aware of that,
- 24 yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: In short, they

- 1 didn't deliver on what they promised. Are you aware
- 2 of the fact that the former Parks and Rec and Culture
- 3 director was tasked with putting together a detailed
- 4 budget and model for the refurbishment of the
- 5 Collingwood Centennial pool in the '90s, that he did
- 6 so, and that the budget and proposal was brought back
- 7 to council?
- 8 Are you aware of that?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: In the '90s? No, I'm
- 10 not aware of that.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: It would have been
- 12 the late '90s, early 2000, but it was a -- it was a
- 13 fairly high profile situation. Unfortunately, other
- 14 considerations became involved and council didn't move
- 15 forward with refurbishing the pool.
- 16 Are you aware of the former contact
- 17 centre in Collingwood?
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, I am aware of
- 19 that.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, then you'll be
- 21 aware, of course, that another -- or a Parks and Rec -
- 22 former Parks and Rec director, in fairness, put
- 23 together a detailed budget on refurbishing the contact
- 24 centre because it was -- I'll be polite and say it was
- 25 in somewhat disrepair and that that -- for the benefit

- 1 of -- of council, the contact centre was a
- 2 recreational facility that provided recreational
- 3 amenities to the Town of Collingwood, its residents?
- It had a fitness room, therapeutic
- 5 pool, yoga studios, various parts in that. And so,
- 6 council, once again, tasked the PRC director -- as I
- 7 think you're aware, that the PRC director put together
- 8 a detailed budget on having the building refurbished
- 9 so that it could at least meet code.
- 10 And, of course, will you agree that,
- 11 once again, council, based on other considerations,
- 12 chose not to refurbish the contact centre? For the
- 13 purposes of this Inquiry, we'll call it a recreation
- 14 facility.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct, because I
- 16 was involved in the sale of that facility.
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And good job on
- 18 repurposing it.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think,
- 21 eventually, the fire inspector -- or fire chief, if
- 22 I'm not mistaken -- what do they call that when they
- 23 serve a notice and say you can't occupy it any longer?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: The -- there was
- 25 definitely a notice of --

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But my point to it

- 2 is simply that there was a multi-use facil -- or
- 3 sorry, a recreational facility that had again gone
- 4 through a fairly exhaustive process and other
- 5 considerations come into play and, once again, council
- 6 did not deliver.
- 7 I'm not saying it was right or wrong,
- 8 just the reality of the situation is they didn't
- 9 deliver.
- 10 Fast-forward. Council, once again,
- 11 tasked a steering committee to go out and assess the
- 12 needs of the community, determine what recreational
- 13 amenities would be required.
- 14 The committee did yeoman's work in
- 15 determining what all they thought was required. I
- 16 would sometimes submit terms of reference would be
- 17 good in terms of budgetary considerations.
- But you're aware of the fact that this
- 19 steering committee was tasked with doing effectively
- 20 the same thing that was done about fourteen (14) years
- 21 earlier by a previous steering committee?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Are you referring to
- 23 the Central Park steering committee?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Yes.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Okay. Yes.

- 1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: They moved
- 2 locations from Fisher Field to Central Park. Would
- 3 you be aware of the fact that there was an on and off
- 4 again relationship between the Town, or I should say
- 5 more specifically the Parks and Rec Department and the
- 6 YMCA, that it was testy at times over several years?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: I don't know the time
- 8 span, but I knew that there was some concern with that
- 9 relationship, yes.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, in fairness,
- 11 over an extended period of time that relationship was
- 12 at times dysfunctional. Again, it's not a finger
- 13 pointing exercise, it's just the reality of what it
- 14 was?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So I count, based
- 17 on what we've just discussed, no less than four (4)
- 18 separate occasions, where Council has, over a twenty
- 19 (20) year period, had full intent on providing better
- 20 recreational amenities for the taxpayers of
- 21 Collingwood, and on four (4) separate occasions,
- 22 failed to deliver.
- We agree on that?
- 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. There might
- 25 even be more.

```
1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I -- I suspect
```

- 2 there's -- there's more.
- Now, I'm going to get in a process in a
- 4 second, but then would it come as no surprise to you
- 5 in the face of budget constraints, in the face of
- 6 twenty (20) years of not delivering on recreational
- 7 amenities to the Town of Collingwood, and you
- 8 referenced people travelling outside. I can remember
- 9 taking my boys out to Feversham at seven o'clock on a
- 10 weekday morning for hockey practice.
- 11 But can you sympathize with
- 12 Councillors, individual and collectively, why they
- 13 might, in the face of what is now a thirty (30) -- I
- 14 think your number was a \$35 million proposal, why they
- 15 might want to expedite, prioritize, a solution that
- 16 appears to have cost somewhere in the neighbourhood of
- 17 about half of what the proposal was by the current
- 18 steering committee.
- 19 Can you appreciate why they might move
- 20 in that direction.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes, I can -- I can
- 22 appreciate that.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And the -- Judge
- 24 Marrocco was providing, I think, some really good line
- 25 of questioning as it related to process, and the --

- 1 the idea of, do you sacrifice process for the product.
- 2 Well, there's perhaps greater liberties taken in the
- 3 private sector, I think at the end of the day, what
- 4 the judge is trying to understand and appreciate, and
- 5 I think he fully comprehends this, is there's a
- 6 heightened level of scrutiny required when you're
- 7 dealing with taxpayers' money versus me wanting to put
- 8 a swimming pool in my backyard?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And so we
- 11 would agree that, following process, following the
- 12 rule is important?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. Absolutely.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Good. That being
- 15 said, we've witnessed on no less than four (4)
- 16 occasions, and likely more, where we have to assume
- 17 the rules were followed, but they were done in a very
- 18 protracted way. Clearly, competing interests come
- 19 into play, marshaling the resources to bring it to
- 20 bear affected not delivering on any of the initiatives
- 21 that took place over the last twenty (20) years.
- 22 Is that fair?
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I quess I'm not
- 24 totally understanding that statement.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Let me rephrase it.

- 1 Over the past twenty (20) years, Collingwood Council
- 2 had gone through no less than four (4) different
- 3 processes to either upgrade or provide additional
- 4 recreational amenities and buildings --
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- for the
- 7 taxpayers of Collingwood? And throughout the
- 8 processes that were followed, and quite exhaustive in
- 9 some cases, Collingwood Council was unable to deliver
- 10 or marshal the resources necessary in support to
- 11 deliver on any of those four (4), and potentially, to
- 12 your word, possibly more?
- 13 MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I don't -- I
- 14 take exception to the -- your word "deliver." So
- 15 Council didn't deliver. Well, Council did deliver,
- 16 they delivered the answer which they felt was
- 17 appropriate.
- So yes, they were very long -- more
- 19 long, exhaustive processes, but ultimately, Council
- 20 made the -- the conscious choice to proceed or not
- 21 proceed, and for these four (4) plus cases, they chose
- 22 not to proceed after a long process.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Fair enough. I
- 24 watch with interest as we have the discussion about
- 25 expediting process, working within the rules, but

- 1 expediting roce -- process versus going through so
- 2 much more -- a somewhat more protracted process.
- 3 Would you agree with me that likely the
- 4 foremost criticism of politicians in this country is
- 5 not delivering or following through on commitments
- 6 that they make to taxpayers?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: I would have to agree
- 8 with that.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you agree
- 10 that the second arguably strongest or most prevalent
- 11 criticism of elected officials in this country is
- 12 their lack of respect for taxpayers' money?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I'm not going to
- 14 answer that.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay. Fair enough.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 17 Neither am I.
- 18
- 19 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Well, I can tell
- 21 you, I certainly got lots of it.
- 22 And so going back to the point at hand,
- 23 in fairness, you don't -- you -- you're not an elected
- 24 official. You're a civil servant, and -- and take
- 25 that job very seriously. Elected officials, and I

- 1 think you would agree with me, run on platforms, agree
- 2 to their neighbours, to their associations, to their
- 3 rotary clubs, to whatever the case might be, that
- 4 they're going to push certain agendas along, or try
- 5 to, when they're elected.
- 6 Would you agree with that point?
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so you have
- 9 agreed, I think I -- I put quotations around your
- 10 remarks, but in -- in short, there was a very
- 11 significant desire on behalf of the people of
- 12 Collingwood for a very extended period of time.
- This allow -- that elected Council,
- 14 their neighbours, their friends, their family, there
- 15 was a desire in the community to deliver, finally, on
- 16 recreational facilities?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: On -- on
- 20 specifically, the key items that kept coming up was
- 21 the ice and aquatic needs.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Fair enough. It
- 23 was with interest when I watched the \$35 million one,
- 24 I think Brampton had just recently approved a \$28
- 25 million multi-use facility, and I was trying to get my

- 1 head wrapped around the two (2) numbers and the two
- 2 (2) population bases.
- But again, I'm not in any way, and I
- 4 want to be clear on this, suggesting that you or I are
- 5 criticizing the \$35 million solution.
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: Right.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: We just knew it was
- 8 on the table.
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's right,
- 10 absolutely.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so some of
- 12 these councillors had been on for three (3), four (4)
- 13 terms or five (5) terms intermittently over long
- 14 periods of time, correct?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And so they
- 17 witnessed first-hand the number of times that the
- 18 councillors chose not to move forward on plans that
- 19 had been in place. They witnessed that.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's fair, yes.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And so I
- 22 come back to my point then. If, as you've earlier
- 23 indicated, the staff are very diligent and very
- 24 respectful.
- In fact they have to provide accurate

- 1 information, they have to lay out what the rules are,
- 2 because councillors are elected from the community at
- 3 large.
- 4 They don't understand the Municipal Act
- in great detail, they don't understand Finance Act,
- 6 they're not necessarily accountants that can follow
- 7 the procurement issues in detail, and so they do rely
- 8 heavily on Treasurer, Clerk --
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: They do, yes.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- or whomever to -
- 11 to help them.
- 12 If you tell them that they're able to
- 13 work within a certain set of rules and move something
- 14 forward, would it then make sense, based on everything
- 15 that we've just discussed and you've agreed to, that
- 16 they might want to expedite this process?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I do want to touch
- 19 quickly on your comments regarding what would have
- 20 happened under a RFP scenario.
- 21 And so I -- I don't want to lead you
- 22 based on my experience but I've been doing this for a
- 23 long time on both sides, and I would say one (1) of
- 24 the greatest fair or unfair criticisms within the
- 25 private sector is trying to get Government to move in

- 1 an expeditious manner as it's dealing with -- we see -
- 2 sometimes see salt contracts go out in December for
- 3 road.
- 4 So I sit there and I look at vehicles
- 5 that are having problems within the Town yard, I look
- 6 at fire trucks and all that -- it's kind of easy stuff
- 7 to RFP, and quite often it takes months and months to
- 8 develop it and agree on it and get it to a point where
- 9 Council is satisfied with it, and subsequently to go
- 10 out to the RFP, and depending on the complexity of the
- 11 RFP, would you agree that quite often third parties
- 12 like KPMG or Deloitte and Touche or whomever are
- 13 brought in to actually analyze it and make
- 14 recommendations based on what's been proposed in the
- 15 RFP?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah. Depending on
- 17 what the RFP is, sometimes we do have an external
- 18 evaluator.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. I'm not
- 20 suggesting for pick-up trucks or for dump trucks, but
- 21 if we get into --
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Large --
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- something that's
- 24 fairly complex, in fairness, staff only have so much
- 25 time and so many abilities to deliver on their own

- 1 responsibilities to take something like that on.
- So, again, I look at the process and in
- 3 -- in my experience when you start getting into those
- 4 kinds of complex RFPs, it is not, in my experience,
- 5 and I want your comment on it, it's not untowards for
- 6 these things to take several months before in fact
- 7 you're able to come back either with a third party
- 8 recommendation or a consideration -- options for staff
- 9 or for Council.
- 10 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sometimes preparing
- 11 those documents do take a longer amount of time, yes.
- 12 Some are straightforward.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And we've already
- 14 touched on the fact the political reality is
- 15 councillors do like to delivery for their neighbours,
- 16 friends, and family, and so it would come to you again
- 17 as no surprise that they would -- as long as they're
- 18 working within the rules, which the paperwork has
- 19 suggested, albeit expediting and focusing people and
- 20 energies on the process, to drive the process, it
- 21 would come to you as no surprise that they would want
- 22 to deliver something before the next election.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: While the process
- 4 is extremely important, the rules, I should say, are
- 5 critically important. You don't want to have a
- 6 situation where staff have inadvertently given advice
- 7 or latitude to Council that is not predicated on rule
- 8 or the legislation.
- 9 Is that a fair statement?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That is.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But once you give
- 12 Council, and I'm not going to try and make them out to
- 13 be unsophisticated, but they're not experienced in the
- 14 world of municipal law and procurement, once you give
- 15 Council, these councils that are deep in thought in
- 16 terms of delivering for their constituents things that
- 17 they think are important, once you give them that
- 18 latitude, at the end of the day it's their decision
- 19 and their decision alone, individually. Correct?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: It's -- it's their
- 21 decision, but I think the -- the staff member, if they
- 22 didn't follow the rules, are still accountable too.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Agree entirely,
- 24 agree entirely. But working on the premise that staff
- 25 did follow the rules --

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- and I think I'm
- 3 speaking more to the expedited nature of this and the
- 4 sole sourcing, assuming that the Treasurer did
- 5 understand policy and legislations and rules and
- 6 provided them the report, at the end of the day my
- 7 point is, it is solely their responsibility to make
- 8 that final decision.
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of
- 11 the vote that all of these individuals -- are you
- 12 aware of the vote that took place in support of moving
- 13 forward in this direction?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I was present, yes.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And the vote was?
- 16 MS. SARA ALMAS: I'd have to go back
- 17 and -- and take a look at it.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I
- 19 think was it eight (8) to one (1)?
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I believe it was
- 21 eight (8) to one (1) but I wasn't present.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I believe it was
- 23 eight (8) to one (1) too but I just -- I don't want to
- 24 be quoted on it, but I'm pretty sure --
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I

- 1 thought that was the evidence.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I wasn't -- I
- 3 wasn't present.

- 5 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So as I said, I --
- 7 I think it is imperative to understand that the -- the
- 8 rules need to be followed, the legislation needs to be
- 9 followed, the process does offer flexibility, most
- 10 certainly as you've rightly noted, but I think it is
- 11 also critically important for the taxpayers -- that's
- 12 really why we're here, is the judge has assigned a
- 13 significant responsibility on behalf of the taxpayers
- 14 to find out was the process good, was the transaction
- 15 reasonable, or in accordance with the law, and did
- 16 they get value for what they actually paid for.
- 17 And so you have commented, and I think
- 18 we'll have an opportunity to hear from other witnesses
- 19 as well -- you've commented about the feedback that
- 20 you've got specific to the aquatics facility, and I'm
- 21 wondering if you might share a bit of information in
- 22 terms of the feedback that you have got on that.
- 23 MS. SARA ALMAS: So most recently, our
- 24 Accessibility Advisory Committee held their Advisory
- 25 Committee meeting in the observation room at the pool

- 1 facility and also conducted a ribbon-cutting ceremony
- 2 because they changed the family change room into a
- 3 universal change room for all accessibility needs.
- 4 During that meeting in which members of Council were
- 5 present, an individual walked into the observation
- 6 room and excused himself to everybody for interrupting
- 7 the meeting but wanted to congratulate the members of
- 8 Council for putting up such a fabulous facility.
- 9 It's -- it is a very unique facility
- 10 and I encourage people to go and see it, to see what a
- 11 Sprung structure is.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think that pretty
- 13 much...
- 14
- 15 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 16
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I served on Council
- 18 myself many years ago, back in the -- during the mid
- 19 '90s. I can't help but touch on the one (1) point
- 20 that you raised about Deputy Mayor Lloyd, and I think
- 21 it was something along the lines of he was very
- 22 strong.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And it's my word,
- 25 "tenacious," if he felt something should happen -- I

- 1 think the evidence in the earlier Part 1 of the
- 2 Inquiry demonstrated he was sort of getting into the
- 3 weeds, sometimes perhaps more than he should have.
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But where I was
- 6 having difficulty was in your assertion that if the
- 7 Deputy Mayor wanted something to happen, it did, and
- 8 if he didn't want it to happen, it didn't.
- 9 And so I think out of respect, out of
- 10 the eight (8) other independent-minded councillors,
- 11 some of whom would have disagreed, I suspect, with
- 12 Councillor -- or Deputy Mayor Lloyd on a regular
- 13 basis, I'd like to think just for confidence in the
- 14 democratic process that eight (8) elected officials
- 15 can't be bullied or intimidated or coerced in a
- 16 collective fashion, but rather, going back to my point
- 17 right at the beginning, these people are from the
- 18 community, they're raised, or they raise their
- 19 children in the community, and they make decisions
- 20 based on what they truly believe is in the best
- 21 interest of the community. Fair?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Fair, and I -- I'm
- 23 actually glad -- I didn't even think of that when you
- 24 said that -- that -- and that was very disrespectful,
- 25 and I probably shouldn't have worded it that way.

- 1 I just meant that he did have power, a
- 2 lot of influence, and in a council of nine (9), you
- 3 only need five (5), and a lot of the decisions
- 4 happened to go in the favour that he was influencing.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And in this
- 6 particular instance it's eight (8) one (1) and I've --
- 7 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- been friends
- 9 with him for many years, and I can tell you he is a
- 10 tenacious rascal sometimes, but -- I think --
- 11 Oh, I did want to touch on one (1)
- 12 other point; that was the consultation process.
- I understand, and in fairness I just
- 14 learned about it during the cross-examination, that
- 15 there was a consultation process for the 8 million or
- 16 14 million, whatever the dollar amount was, that came
- 17 over from the 50 percent sale of Collus.
- Did I understand that properly?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm curious, and
- 21 consultation processes over spending taxpayers' money
- 22 always interests me. Let me provide some context and
- 23 see if your experience is the same.
- I remember hosting one (1) at the
- 25 Leisure Time Centre, 200 and some odd people come out

- 1 to it. I'm curious if your -- your experiences are
- 2 similar, and I -- I had people put their hands up and
- 3 talk about the need for multi-use facilities, and I
- 4 had people put up their hand and talk about the need
- 5 for new roads and expanded highways and first street
- 6 and various things. We had people talk about a new
- 7 hospital. We had people talking about increasing
- 8 funding for the military. And every time somebody did
- 9 it, they'd get -- each little section, they'd get a
- 10 round of applause.
- 11 The one guy at the back, he was about
- 12 one of the last speakers, he got up and said I'm not
- 13 disagreeing with what anybody said but he says I need
- 14 a tax break, and everybody got up and gave him a round
- 15 of applause.
- And so while it's a good political
- 17 exercise to go out and ask, is it your experience that
- 18 sometimes the people that are asking don't have the
- 19 full picture in terms of what the responsibility are
- 20 of Council and how they have to distribute a finite
- 21 amount of money?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: That concludes my
- 24 questioning. Thank you, Your Honour.
- 2.5

```
241
 1
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 2
 3
                   THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
   Breedon ...?
 5
 6
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
   CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RYAN BREEDON:
 9
                   MR. RYAN BREEDON: Can we have
10
  CJI6146, please.
11
12
                      (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
14
                   MR. RYAN BREEDON: Ms. Almas, you were
15
   asked a number of questions about this staff report.
   If we turn down to page 6 and scroll down so we can
   see the department head review section.
17
18
                   You'll recall that this morning,
19
   Mr. Mather took you to this section which suggests
   that the report had been provided to various people on
21
   the 21st and circulated to the department heads for
   comment on the August the 23rd. You'll recall that.
22
23
                   And you testified that it was possible
24 that the draft report in some form had been submitted
  to the department heads at their meeting earlier that
```

242 week? 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. 3 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. Can we look at TOC549006, please? 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MR. RYAN BREEDON: These are the minutes of the department head meeting from August the 21st, 2012? 10 11 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. Could 12 13 you scroll down to page 3, please. And you'll see towards the bottom of this screen under -- now towards 14 15 the middle of the screen under "Parks, Recreation, and Culture, " you'll see it says: 17 "Central Park follow-up report to be 18 circulated as soon as it is ready." 19 MS. SARA ALMAS: Correct. 20 MR. RYAN BREEDON: And does that assist your recollection as to whether or not a draft 21 22 report had been provided at the department head 23 meeting? 24 MS. SARA ALMAS: Yes. I had an opportunity during the lunch break to do a follow up

- 1 on that, and that report wasn't ready, and it wasn't
- 2 presented at that August 21st department head meeting,
- 3 as we could see through the evidence that sit wasn't
- 4 finalized until late on the Thursday evening.
- 5 And I had a chance to review my emails
- 6 as well, and I do not believe that it was circulated
- 7 to all of department heads on the 23rd.
- 8 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. So when
- 9 the report says that it had been circulated, that was
- 10 incorrect.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That -- that is
- 12 correct. I can provide a little bit of background to
- 13 that as well, and I -- I would have to take a look at
- 14 the original report.
- A lot of times department heads don't
- 16 really look at that section in a report anyways.
- 17 Whenever we prepare the report that is reviewed at
- 18 department heads, we would write in the report,
- 19 reviewed at department heads, and any comments would
- 20 be incorporated. Unless there's something specific,
- 21 then we would beef up that section.
- 22 So it's kind of prepared in -- in the
- 23 staff report. So I would have to see if the
- 24 version -- one of the original versions that Marjory
- 25 had prepared actually indicated that and then how that

2 4 4

- 1 got changed.
- But regardless, what was in there is --
- 3 was incorrect.
- 4 MR. RYAN BREEDON: And just so we're
- 5 clear, when you're talking about that language, you
- 6 mean the language that we just looked at a moment ago
- 7 under the department head section?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 9 MR. RYAN BREEDON: That's something
- 10 that's standard in these reports?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Pretty much, yes.
- 12 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. The
- 13 other issue with the staff report that came up a bit
- 14 in your evidence today -- and I just want to make sure
- 15 I have this correct -- do I understand your evidence
- 16 to be that in retrospect, you feel your name should
- 17 not have been on the staff report?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely.
- 19 MR. RYAN BREEDON: And why is that?
- 20 MS. SARA ALMAS: Because I wasn't
- 21 fully immersed in it. The executive management team
- 22 basically was on consensus, but we'd never put forward
- 23 a staff report in our names either, and now we
- 24 operate -- we're completely evidence based is -- is
- 25 how we operate in our culture here right now.

But regardless at that time, if -- if I

- 2 wasn't comfortable, I shouldn't have just took their
- 3 word for it, and I shouldn't have allowed my name to
- 4 be on it.
- 5 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. And so
- 6 I -- when you say you shouldn't have took their word
- 7 for it, what do you mean by that?
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: I trust them and
- 9 their research and their preparation of the material
- 10 and -- and basically, I -- I trusted that they had
- 11 undertaken a full comprehensive review process.
- MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. And do
- 13 you know whether that was in fact done?
- 14 MS. SARA ALMAS: I think -- and as I
- 15 noted earlier, I didn't realize it was truly based on
- 16 website material. And there's -- I -- I think doing
- 17 your diligence includes much more than that.
- 18 MR. RYAN BREEDON: So we can go
- 19 through the report if you like, but it's almost 5:00,
- 20 so hopefully we won't have to.
- 21 Do I take it that the contents of the
- 22 report in terms of the information that it contains
- 23 about Sprung and other alternatives, none of that
- 24 comes from you. That all came from the other members
- 25 of the team?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 2 MR. RYAN BREEDON: Okay. And --
- 3 MS. SARA ALMAS: Sorry. Can I just
- 4 clarify something, too?
- 5 MR. RYAN BREEDON: Sure.
- 6 MS. SARA ALMAS: For clarity purposes,
- 7 I'm not saying that I don't think the information in
- 8 that report isn't true, and that's enough information.
- 9 It could exactly be true. It's just that -- yeah, I
- 10 just wanted to make that statement.
- 11 MR. RYAN BREEDON: I take it you don't
- 12 know one way or another.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah. No.
- 14 MR. RYAN BREEDON: Is that fair?
- 15 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- 16 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. And so
- 17 you've been asked a number of questions about the
- 18 process and just -- I don't intend to belabour that,
- 19 but sitting here today and looking back on the process
- 20 that led up to the decision to purchase the Sprung
- 21 buildings, do you have a view as to whether that
- 22 process was appropriate?
- MS. SARA ALMAS: I -- I don't think --
- 24 I think the process -- I don't think the process was
- 25 in contravention of any other policy. I think we

- 1 followed the rules that we -- we had.
- 2 Do I think it was expedited and maybe
- 3 there should have been, you know, maybe more attention
- 4 put into, you know, some of the area? 'Cause
- 5 obviously we were down to the eleventh hour finalizing
- 6 our report.
- 7 Does that make our Friday morning
- 8 meeting of value? Like we hadn't even had a chance to
- 9 kind of digest it ourselves. So even -- even in an
- 10 extra, you know, two (2) weeks is generally between
- 11 each Council meeting, you know, that could have
- 12 assisted in providing a little bit more clarity on --
- 13 on the process 'cause it changed all of a sudden from
- 14 an RFP to a sole source, and then we had to review
- 15 that in less than 12 hours.
- 16 So I think that's the piece that I
- 17 think might have been compromised a little bit, but
- 18 I -- I still, to this day, don't think that -- that
- 19 any rules were broken.
- 20 MR. RYAN BREEDON: All right. Thank
- 21 you very much. Those are my questions.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Your Honour --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: To
- 24 follow up on that, were staff reports typically in the
- 25 mind of a particular member who prepared the report

- 1 rather than a committee?
- 2 MS. SARA ALMAS: That's correct.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And so
- 4 this was odd.
- 5 MS. SARA ALMAS: This was -- this was
- 6 very odd.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Any
- 8 idea what happened before?
- 9 MS. SARA ALMAS: No. If there is
- 10 two (2) departments that coauthor it, then the two (2)
- 11 names would go on it, but they would have equal say
- 12 and equal exercise within that document. But there
- 13 was never something like this previously.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 15 you. Mr. Bonwick...?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm happy to take
- 17 your direction at this. I do apologize to the other
- 18 participants and their lawyers.
- 19 I had an oversight here, and I missed
- 20 two (2), what I think, are pretty important questions
- 21 that are specific to me, and I'm --
- 22 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'll
- 23 allow you to ask the questions, but this is sort of
- 24 twice in two (2) days this has happened, and if -- I'm
- 25 going to eventually stop doing that.

1 Go ahead and ask the questions.

- 3 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. And I
- 5 apologize, Ms. Almas, and I meant to do this, but I've
- 6 got pages of notes here.
- 7 Counsel for the Inquiry raised the
- 8 issue with regards to Green Leaf's involvement and
- 9 brought up Ms. Stec and my own name, and I just wanted
- 10 to seek clarification for the Inquiry.
- During the time leading up to this
- 12 process and subsequently the vote, did any staff
- 13 person or any member of Council raise an issue with
- 14 regards to either Ms. Stec or myself interfering in
- 15 the process or doing anything -- acting in any way
- 16 untowards -- was there any issues raised with you
- 17 specific to Ms. Stec, myself, or...
- 18 MS. SARA ALMAS: Absolutely not
- 19 because I wasn't even aware in the involvement. So
- 20 that brings me back to my comment that I just said
- 21 that I don't feel that any rules were broken.
- I guess one of my items when all of the
- 23 information had come out was I didn't realize that
- 24 your company was compensated out of -- out of this
- 25 transaction at all.

- 1 So that part I'm -- I just want to make
- 2 clear that I had no idea there was any involvement --
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And --
- 4 MS. SARA ALMAS: -- or that the price
- 5 was inflated.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Your Honour,
- 7 respectfully --
- 8 MS. SARA ALMAS: Oh, sorry. Not
- 9 "inflated." I shouldn't say inflated. Sorry.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You just did.
- MS. SARA ALMAS: Yeah. So I redact my
- 12 word "inflated" because I think there's some concern
- 13 whether that was built within the -- the profit margin
- 14 of BLT's product or was added to, and I don't want to
- 15 get in the middle of that debate. Sorry.
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And the other --
- 17 what I just wanted to clear up for the point is a
- 18 respect for Ms. Stec.
- 19 You suggested you were under the
- 20 impression she was my assistant based on some
- 21 communications. And I'm just wondering if you can
- 22 bring any evidence to bear at any time on any
- 23 occasions, did Ms. Stec ever contact you and ask for a
- 24 meeting on my behalf or suggest that I was asking her
- 25 to communicate on my behalf with you?

- 1 MS. SARA ALMAS: There -- there had
- 2 been some communications with Abby because I was
- 3 familiar with her name and then her becoming
- 4 associated -- you know, working from your office. I
- 5 didn't know what that relationship was, and I -- I
- 6 can't clarify at this point what -- what that
- 7 relationship is that Abby had -- what I was aware of.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: The reason I ask
- 9 the question is earlier testimony -- and I don't have
- 10 it in front of me -- would show that I think you
- 11 alluded to the fact that I had the feeling that, in
- 12 short, Ms. Stec was his assistant because of the
- 13 interactions. And I was just wanting to clarify --
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 15 we've heard --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 18 we've heard from Ms. Stec what her version was. And
- 19 the witness' impression, it's her impression.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 22 right. Anything further? Any questions arising out
- 23 of that?
- 24 All right. Thank you. Tomorrow at
- 25 10:00? Is 10:00 convenient for everybody? Ten

```
252
 1 o'clock tomorrow morning.
 2
                   And thank you very much, Ms. Almas. I
 3 should have done that before I rose.
 5
                      (WITNESS STANDS DOWN)
 6
7 --- Upon adjourning at 5:13 p.m.
8
 9
10 Certified Correct,
11
12
13
14
15 Wendy Woodworth, Ms.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

INQUIKI	TE COTTINGMOC	00 12 2019	rage 233	01 314
\$	192:3	37:1	146:17	2004
\$10 220:9	251 : 25	1545 4:3		214:12
\$11.6	10:09 5:1	1546 4:4	2	2006 21:24
140:22	10:46		2 5:23	22:12
\$24 220:21	101:4	1547 4:5	14:15	28:13
\$28 229:24	202:12	1548 4:6	37:8 40:7,13	2007 5:17
	10th	1549 4:7	41:7	2010 21:10
\$35 181:1	131:24	155 3:8	42:10,19	2011
225:14 229:23	152:10	1550 4:8	44:10	126:19
230:5	153 : 3		48:2,8,11	
	11 28:24	1551 4:9	50 : 14	2012 9:22 21:13
\$8 141:5	11:21	156 199:10	69:18	22:2,15
	195:6	157 36:23	77:12 , 20	24:14,25
1 1 5:20	11:23 62:8	159 32:25	86:15 106:5	25:6,16,2
10:5		35:14	111:1	0 26:6
17:21	11:40 62:9	15th	116:3	28:4,9
23:10	11:45	131:22	132:5	35:20,21
32:8 41:8	72 : 19		136:12	37:20
42:11	73:2	16 49:10	140:24	38:18,20
43:24	11th 38:20	51:9,13	146:25	39:2,25 45:6 55:3
55 : 5	39:2	16th 39:25	159:6,14	59:2
59:11,12	220:16	41:19	186:20	61:24
65:20	12 4:3	42:6	193:20	64:17
66:21	29:6	49:22 60:23	196:15 202:8	71:21
67:11 94:20	39:20	77:9	202:0	80:3
109:22	40:6	102:20	9 208:15	103:17
110:25	247:15	109:18	230:1,2	104:22
114:16	12:02	175 : 2 , 17	247:10	105:20
132:6	200:3	176:23	248:10,20	113:8 118:17
156:3	12:07	206:17	, 24	121:14
159:13	202:22	207:4,21	2:08	125:20
161:8	12:57	208:16	118:10	126:20
218:3	118:9	211:4	2:58	127:17,24
231:23 235:19,21	12th 1:23	17	155 : 18	128:23
,23	29:17	51:14,17	20 141:14	131:18,22
237:19	35 : 20	18 51:21	224:19	,24 143:7
238:1	36:24	205:18	225:6	146:17
239:6,11,	38:18	18th 61:23	226:21	170:9 242:10
24	126:20	69:14	227 : 1	
1:16 113:9	170:9	200:25	200 16:18	2012-01
10 28:24	13th 37:5	1990s	239:25	109:1
41:3	14 42:4,5	218:10	2000	2012-02
50:17	223:20	19th 93:1	221:12	110:4
155:10	239:16	1st 48:23	2000s	2013 18:18
10:00	14th 35:21	1 st 48:23 126:19	2000s 218:10	19:25
		120.17	210.10	20:6 63:4
I	1			l

Page	254	of	314

98:24 92:21 10:6 10:6 10:14 29th 18:18 110:14 110:14 127:2 104:22 149:6 105:19 2nd 131:17 224:17,21 66:15 6 199:5 152:10 111:7 12:2 2nd 131:17 226:15 6 6 10:19,22 166:2 125:20 3 6:22 7:3 4.5 142:12 116:12 15:24 116:15 16:11 16:		. IC CODDINGWO		1 age 254	
110:6	98:24	92:21	29 118:14	97 : 1	50 101:5
127:2	110:6	101:4		110:14	
149:6 105:19 105:19 224:17,21 224:17,21 66:17 11:7 2nd 131:17 226:15 66:2 227:2,11, 21 66:2 227:2,11, 21 66:2 227:2,11, 21 66:2 227:2,11, 21 61:19,22 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 15:24 110:12 213:19 140:18 241:16 4:20 116:5 241:16 2019:12 213:19 140:18 241:16 242:1 10:12 221:11 240:18 241:16 241:16 242:1 10:12 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 241:16 242:11 241:16 241:16 242:13 241:16 242:13 241:16 242:13 241:16 242:13 202:17,24 635 121:18 241:10 242:13 202:17,24 635 121:18 211:10 242:13 202:17,24 635	127:2	104:22		116:4	
152:10	149:6	105:19	19:25	224:17,21	56 199:5
160:17			2nd 131:17	•	
163:4,13					6
166:2			3		6 10:19,22
2013-4 143:7 14:16 20:12 4:20 116:5					15:24
150:5 188:14 20:12 213:19 140:18				4.5 142:12	110:12
2014 21:10 2014 21:10 2019 1:23 198:9 127:2 141:14 203:14 203:14 193:20 2011;12 2017 1:19 208:19 209:6 242:13 209:6 242:13 207:22 211:3 209:6 242:13 207:24 213:20 6:00 75:23 40 199:5 6:49 77:24 213:20 208:19 210:17 209:6 242:13 207:22 211:3 209:6 242:13 207:7,24 208:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 215:19 216:11 21:10 217:19 218:19 218:19 218:19 228:19				4:20	116:5
2014 21:10 197:10 116:1 4:27 241:16 2019 1:23 198:9 127:2 213:20 6:00 75:23 4:3 202:11,12 159:6,14 40 199:5 6:49 77:24 21 71:19 208:19 230:12 413 100:24 628 119:22 121:10 213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 414 142:19 7 15:14 20:12	150:5			213:19	140:18
2019 1:23 198:9 127:2 213:20 6:00 75:23 4:3 202:11,12 159:6,14 40 199:5 6:49 77:24 21 71:19 207:22 211:3 403 90:1 628 119:22 21 71:19 208:19 230:12 413 100:24 628 119:22 213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 414 142:19 7 21st 64:17 252 3:18 155:19 195:1 7 21st 64:17 21st 68:9 22 3:18 417 197:19 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 20:1 <th< td=""><th>2014 21:10</th><td></td><td></td><td>4.27</td><td>241:16</td></th<>	2014 21:10			4.27	241:16
4:3 202:11,12 159:6,14 40 199:5 6:49 77:24 141:14 203:14 193:20 403 90:1 628 119:22 21 71:19 207:22 211:3 403 90:1 628 119:22 213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 413 100:24 635 121:18 21st 64:17 252 3:18 155:19 195:1 7 111:2,15, 23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 7 200:1, 208:3 27th 9:22 30th 45:13 421 143:3 705 131:12 208:3, 209:2, 6, 1 9:24:21 45:6,16,2 66:22 434 113:5 707 131:23 208:4:10 45:6,16,2 66:22 434 113:5 707 131:23 707 131:23 227:10 45:6,16,2 66:22 434 113:5 707 131:23 227:113 57:5 31st 142:2 713 137:3 220:12 248:11 121:14 477 115:13 753 124:2 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 16:21 7th 20:61 80:13,18 74:8,12	2010 1.00				6.00 75.00
141:14 203:14 193:20 201:3 40 199:5 6:49 7/:24 21 71:19 208:19 230:12 413 100:24 121:10 213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 414 142:19 121:18 21st 64:17 252 3:18 155:19 195:1 7 111:2,15, 23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 20:1 28:14 209:2,6,1 9 24:21 44:19 44:19 44:16 44:13 430 112:25 707 131:23 242:10 242:10 243:2 2 48:11 121:14 440 141:22 713 137:3 713 137:3 22 71:13 57:5 31st 121:14 440 141:22 713 137:3 713 137:3 713 137:3 22 76:16 80:13,18 74:8,12 92:9 32 152:8 116:21 753 124:2 7th 206:11 23 75:16 80:13,18 74:8,12 92:9 32:15 33 69:13 53:6 82:14 23 75:16 80:17 92:15 92:15 90:17 107:13 92:15 92:15 92:15 59:01 59:15 59:15 30 11:2 29:9 93:1 106:23 333 69:13 92:15 92:15 92:18 10:18 42:11 23:18 28:14 41:12 415:17 92:21 10:18 116:17 92:15 92:15 92:18 10:18 10:18 10:18 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:19 10:18 10:19 10:19 10:18 10:19 10:19 10:19 10:19 1					
21 71:19		I		40 199:5	6:49 77:24
21 71:19 208:19 230:12 413 100:24 121:10 213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 414 142:19 7 21st 64:17 252 3:18 155:19 195:1 7 21i1:2,15, 25 3:08 417 197:19 7 23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 20:1 200:1 22 30th 45:13 421 143:3 705 131:12 200:2 20:3 20:25:13 421 143:3 705 131:12 20:1 22:513 421 143:3 705 131:12 24:10 24:19 46:16 430 112:25 707 131:23 24:210 24:11 51:23 31st 142:2 713 137:3 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 23rd 71:22 92:9 331 61:17 480 116:21 7th 206:11 23rd 71:22 92:9 53:6 821:8 23rd 71:22 92:9 9				403 90:1	628 119:22
110:17	21 71:19				
213 3:9 25 84:7 3:08 414 142:19 7 111:2,15, 23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 7 207:17,22 208:3 26 86:9 225:13 421 143:3 20:1 28:14 209:2,6,1 9 241:21 44:19 242:10 44:19 45:6,16,2 60:22 43:11 44:19 45:6,16,2 60:22 43:11 44:19 45:13 440 141:22 707 131:23 705 131:12 243:2 27:1:13 57:5 31st 12:14 4014:22 719 126:11 713 137:3 713 137:3 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 60:24 73:25 74:8,12 753 16:17 74:8,12 753 124:2 714:11 77:15:13 753 124:2 23rd 71:22 92:9 73:1,3 104:22 73:1,3 104:24 73:1,2 104:24 74:24:1,2 104:24 74:24:1,2 104:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:24:24:24:1,2 104:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24:24	110:17				
21st 64:17	213 3.9		242:13	202:7,24	635 121:18
111:2,15, 23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 199:2,10 7 15:14 20:1 28:14 207:17,22 208:3 209:2,6,1 9 241:21 9 241:21 242:10 243:2 27th 9:22 48:11 21:14 40:14:22 243:2 30th 45:13 430 112:25 434 113:5 705 131:12 707 131:23 705 131:12 707 131:23 707 131:23 22 71:13 51:23 57:5 31st 12:14 40.14:22 71:14 40.14:22 71:15:13 713 137:3 31st 142:2 71:13 713 137:3 713 137:3 713 137:3 719 126:11 713 137:3 713 13:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7:1 7		25 84:7	3:08	414 142:19	
23 25th 206:1 30 417 197:19 7.14 207:17,22 26 86:9 225:13 199:2,10 28:14 209:2,6,1 27th 9:22 30th 45:13 421 143:3 705 131:12 209:2,6,1 44:19 45:6,16,2 60:22 434 113:5 707 131:23 242:10 2 48:11 51:23 440 141:22 713 137:3 22 71:13 57:5 31st 142:2 719 126:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 74:8,12 68:4 92:15 8 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 10:18 28:14 41:12 116:23 388 92:25 53:6 28:14 10:18 118:17 99:18 23:17 23:17 11:6,11, 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 235:19,21 13:42:5 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12		252 3:18	155:19	195:1	7
207:17,22		25+b 206.1	30	/17 107.10	7 15:14
207:17,22 26 86:9 225:13 421 143:3 28:14 208:3 209:2,6,1 44:19 46:16 430 112:25 707 131:23 242:10 248:11 51:23 31st 142:2 713 137:3 22 71:13 57:5 31st 142:2 719 126:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 74:8,12 68:4 92:9 7s:1,3 103:17 68:4 92:15 88 21:8 23rd 71:22 92:9 92:15 5 3369:13 10:18 28:14 41:22 116:23 338 92:25 10:18 28:14 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:11 235:19,21 10:18 118:17 339 93:9 213:17 23 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 239:19,21 11:6,11 128:23 373 64:12 239:3 239:6,15					20:1
208:3 209:2,6,1 9 241:21 44:19 45:6,16,2 243:2 22 71:13 57:5 31st 22nd 209:6 80:13,18 73:25 73:1,3 80:3,10,2 59:17 92:21 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 110:18 111:6,11, 128:23 131:12 243:2 243:2 243:11 338 92:25 141:22 29:9 68:4 92:15 338 92:25 110:18 111:6,11, 128:23 139:93:9 213:17 22nd:209:6 241:22 243:7 243:7 2441:11 25:14 26:16 26:22 248:11 21:14 240 141:22 271:13:137:3 705 131:12 707 131:23 707 131:23 707 131:23 707 131:23 707 131:23 707 131:23 707 131:23 708 131:22 709:6 141:11 477 115:13 719 126:11 753 124:2 774 206:11 88 8 21:8 8 21:8 8 21:8 8 21:8 10:18 99:18 10:18 99:18 10:18 235:19,21 10:18 239:3 238:10,14 239:6,15 241:22 140:12,19 145:14 186:1 175:3 176:17 24th 71:21 180:5 184:22 176:17 24th 71:21 180:5 15:15 15:15 15:15 15:59 72:2 853	· ·	26 86:9	•	•	28:14
Add		27th 9:22		421 143:3	705 131.12
41:21 45:6,16,2 46:16 60:22 434 113:5 707 131:23 243:2 2 48:11 51:23 121:14 440 141:22 719 126:11 22 71:13 57:5 31st 142:2 719 126:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 73:25 331 61:17 480 116:21 7th 206:11 23rd 71:22 92:9 531 61:17 480 116:21 8 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 53:6 8 21:8 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 90:18 42:11 235:19,21 90:17 107:13 92:15 10:18 42:11 235:19,21 42:11 10:18 118:17 339 93:9 213:17 ,23 235:19,21 ,23 11:6,11 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 238:10,14 239:3 238:10,14 239:3 239:6,15 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:1				430 112:25	
243:2 2 48:11 51:23 713 137:3 227 71:13 57:5 31st 142:2 719 126:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 74:8,12 331 61:17 480 116:21 8 23rd 71:22 92:9 92:15 5 8 21:8 73:1,3 103:17 68:4 92:15 28:14 41:12 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 92:15 28:14 41:12 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 10:18 28:14 41:12 42:11 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:18 235:19,21 235:19,21 235:19,21 235:19,21 235:19,21 235:19,21 236:10,14 239:3 238:10,14 239:3 238:10,14 239:3 239:6,15 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 175:3 176:17 5:19 8:30 192:3,8 24th 71:21 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>434 113.5</th><th>707 131:23</th></t<>				434 113.5	707 131:23
243:2 51:23 31st 142:2 719 126:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 73:25 331 61:17 480 116:21 8 23rd 71:22 92:9 68:4 92:15 5 73:1,3 103:17 92:15 5 3:6 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 5 3:6 28:14 99:17 107:13 92:15 5 3:6 99:18 42:11 235:19,21 10:18 18:17 339 93:9 189:11 235:19,21 10:18 18:17 339 93:9 213:17 238:10,14 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 249 3:12 176:17 4 333 8:21 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 333 8:21 5:59 72:2 853				434 113:3	713 137:3
22 71:13 57:5 31st 142:2 75 120:11 22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 74:8,12 68:4 92:15 8 23rd 71:22 92:9 68:4 92:15 8 73:1,3 103:17 68:4 92:15 28:14 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 10:18 28:14 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 99:18 235:19,21 110:18 118:17 128:23 189:11 235:19,21 111:6,11, 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 239:3 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:38:21 5:59 72:2 853	243:2		121:14	440 141:22	710 106.11
22nd 209:6 59:2,5 141:11 477 115:13 753 124:2 23 75:16 60:24 73:25 74:8,12 74:8,12 74:8,12 74:8,12 74:8,12 74:8,12 74:8,12 753 124:2 753 124:2 753 124:2 753 124:2 753 124:2 753 124:2 753 124:2 754 20:11 753 124:2 753 124:2 754 20:11 753 124:2 753 124:2 754 20:11 753 124:2 <t< th=""><th>22 71:13</th><th></th><th>31st</th><th>142:2</th><th></th></t<>	22 71:13		31st	142:2	
23 75:16 60:24 32 152:8 116:21 7th 206:11 80:13,18 73:25 331 61:17 480 116:21 8 23rd 71:22 92:9 68:4 92:15 8 73:1,3 103:17 68:4 92:15 28:14 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 28:14 41:12 92:21 107:13 92:15 99:18 42:11 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:11 235:19,21 10:18 118:17 339 93:9 213:17 23 11:6,11, 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 239:3 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 5:59 72:2 853	22nd 209:6		141:11	477 115:13	753 124:2
23 75:16 73:25 74:8,12 331 61:17 480 116:21 23rd 71:22 92:9 333 69:13 8 21:8 73:1,3 103:17 5 5 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 5 5 90:17 107:13 92:15 10:18 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:18 110:18 99:18 235:19,21 11:6,11, 128:23 139:9 213:17 13 142:5 133:23 239:9 213:17 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 175:3 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:3 8:21 5:59 72:2 853			32 152:8		7th 206:11
80:13,18 74:8,12 331 61:17 480 116:21 23rd 71:22 92:9 333 69:13 8 21:8 73:1,3 103:17 333 69:13 5 3:6 8 21:8 28:14 41:12 90:17 107:13 92:15 99:18 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 99:18 235:19,21 10:18 118:17 339 93:9 213:17 23 235:19,21 13:16,11, 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 238:10,14 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 4 70:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 4 3:0 15:15 5:59 72:2 853					
23rd 71:22 92:9 68:4 73:1,3 103:17 333 69:13 5 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 10:18 5 90:17 107:13 92:15 10:18 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:11 110:18 118:17 138:23 189:11 111:6,11, 128:23 373 64:12 230:13 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	80:13,18			480 116:21	8
73:1,3 103:17 333 69:13 28:14 80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 92:15 10:18 92:21 116:23 38 92:25 189:11 235:19,21 110:18 118:17 128:23 139:9 213:17 235:19,21 13 142:5 133:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 238:10,14 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 5:59 72:2 853	23rd 71:22	92:9			
80:3,10,2 104:22 333 69:13 92:15 10:18 41:12 42:11 42:11 42:11 235:19,21 42:11 235:19,21 235:19,21 235:19,21 236:13 236:15 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 8.5 142:12 15:15 8.5 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12 142:12	73:1,3	103:17	92:15		
5 90:17 107:13 92:15 10:18 42:11 92:21 116:23 338 92:25 189:18 235:19,21 110:18 118:17 139:9 213:17 23 13 142:5 133:23 373 64:12 230:13 238:10,14 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	80:3,10,2		333 69:13	5 3:6	
92:21 116:23 338 92:25 99:18 235:19,21 10:18 118:17 339 93:9 213:17 23 13 142:5 133:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:6,15 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 245:19 8:5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 175:3 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	5 90:17	107:13	92 : 15		
110:18 118:17 128:23 339 93:9 213:17 ,23 13 142:5 133:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:3 239:6,15 209:6 139:5 140:12,19 186:1 239:3 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 24th 71:21 180:5 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	92:21	116:23	338 92.25		
111:6,11, 13 142:5 209:6 241:22 243:7 241 3:10 241 3:12 241 71:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 209:6 139:5 139:5 184:6,10 186:1 187:16 399 85:9 4 4 313 252:7 4 4 3:3 8:21 15:15 238:10,14 239:6,15 239:6,15 8.5 142:12 245:19 8:27 90:6 8:27 90:6 8:30 192:3,8 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 238:10,14 239:6,15 5:10 8.5 142:12 8:27 90:6 8:30 192:3,8 813 126:21	110:18	118:17			i i
13 142:5 133:23 373 64:12 230:13 239:6,15 209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 8.5 142:12 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:3 8:21 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	111:6,11,	128:23	339 93:9		
209:6 139:5 184:6,10 239:3 241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 24p 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:3 8:21 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	13 142:5	133:23	373 64:12		
241:22 140:12,19 186:1 5:00 8.5 142:12 243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:3 8:21 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	209:6	139:5	184:6,10	239:3	·
243:7 145:14 187:16 245:19 8:27 90:6 241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 3:3 8:21 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	241:22	140:12,19		5:00	8.5 142:12
241 3:10 166:1 399 85:9 5:13 252:7 8:30 249 3:12 176:17 5:19 192:3,8 24th 71:21 180:5 4 170:13 813 126:21 81:2 90:5 184:22 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	243:7	145:14	187:16		8:27 90:6
249 3:12 175:3 176:17 5:19 4 180:5 192:3,8 170:13 81:2 90:5 184:22 4 3:3 8:21 15:15 5:59 72:2 853	241 3:10	166:1	399 25.0		8.30
24th 71:21		175:3			
81:2 90:5 184:22 4 3:3 8:21 5:59 72:2 853	249 3:12	176:17			
01.2 90.3 104.22 5:59 72:2 853	24th 71:21			170:13	813 126:21
01 05 000-10 15.15	81:2 90:5			5:59 72:2	853
	91:25	206:18	15:15		149:9,13

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 255	of 314
	167:6	230:25	175:11	addendum
9	175:18	achieve	activities	73 : 15
9 21:8	180:14	47:13	168:11	adding
28:3	184:2	175:12	169:2	114:8
239:2	189:18			132:20
90s	205:16	achieved	actual	
221:5,9,1	215:10	9:7,14	187:5	addition
2 237:19	226:9,13	15:20	actually	202:10
97 1:19	229:17	16:6,15	11:11,12	additional
97 1:19	230:10	acquisitio	21:22	11:1 47:1
	233:23	n 26:5	22:11,20	52:23 , 24
A	244:18	174:11	24:4 39:6	113:14
a.m 5:1	249:18	acquisitio	45 : 15	114:2
62:8,9	Academy	ns 22:10	50:20	178:8
101:4	38:12		55 : 1	227:3
195:6	127:19	act 118:21	58:10,16	address
Abby	accepted	119:4	59:12,13	82 : 18
127:17 , 18	52:18	124:8	61:4,22	135:23
128:8,11		127:1	63:5	addressed
251:2,7	accepting	164:4	71:19	102:24
abilities	173:2	231:4,5	81:21	160:1
232:25	access	acted	83:8	
	112:13	94:18	94:19	adjourning
ability	accessibil	acting 7:1	113:1	252 : 7
6:14,16	ity	9:3 13:21	114:16	administer
24:22	236:24	15:2 18:9	116:21	ed
27:10	237:3	24:20	128:11 130:9	167:20,21
58:15		31:12	130:9	administra
66:20	accessible	51:19	137.22	tion
67:12 153:18	11:4	58:13	148:24	22 : 17
158:25	accordance	163:8	161:1	163:25
	25:9,23	164:9,11	165:10	
able 11:17	26:8	176:18	167:22	administra
52:21	236:15	249:15	174:14	tive 7:17
55:24	accountabl	action	178:14	11:16
135:6	e 99:11	14:7	181:21	168:11
185:3	215:7,8	46:21	189:10	administra
220:9	234:22	61:7	213:15	tor
231:12	accountant	132:14	220:9	167:24
233:7	151:7	actions	232:13	advance
absent		134:17	236:16	40:8
212:5	accountant	169:15	238:23	114:23
absolutely	s 231:6		243:25	
56:10	accounts	active	adamant	advanced
59:24	126:17	8:12 31:6	73:24	219:17
96:17	accurate	actively	74:7	advertisem
97:8,23	6:13,16	28:25		ents
134:1	188:22	67 : 21	added	147:6
156:24	214:23	68:2	121:8	advice
157 : 20	217.20	70:24	250:14	
1				

INQUINI	re contingwoo	70 07 12 2017	Page 230	01 314
27:1,11,1	70 : 22	229:9	10:1,9	58:3,6
3 28:1	71:13	231:15	11:3	59:3,7,9,
172:14	75 : 22		12:6,10,1	24
173:1,4,5	80:13	<pre>agreeing 43:16</pre>	6 , 20	60:13 , 20
234:6	84:3 86:8		13:7,12,1	61:11
advise	102:15	ahead	9,23	62:12 , 21
27:5	118:14,22	77:20	14:5,19,2	63:10,24
73:18	119:13	85:16 , 20	4 15:5,12	65:1,23
124:11	121:2	131:23	16:4	66:10,13,
150:25	145:19,24	165:21	17:10	22
	146:15	177:17	18:16	67:8 , 17 , 2
advised	147:24	249:1	19:6,14	4 68:15
105:4	152:8,17,	akin 77:6	20:10,25	69:3,22
141:11	23		21:15	70:3,21
169:22	161:17,18	alarmed	22:6,24	72:9,13,1
174:2	170:7	191:7	23:18,22	6
advises	205:18	alarming	24:4,10,1	73:5,11,2
72:3	afford	95:8	5	3 74:9,20
	18:5	albeit	25:2,11,1	75:3
advising		233:19	7,23	76:8,11,2
73:18	19:11,17, 22		26:11,14,	2 77:7,23
90:7 91:7	22	align	16,24	78:21
147:17	afternoon	105:18	27:3,15,2	79:6
174:4	213:23	allocate	0 28:12	80:2,6,11
Advisory	214:1,2	141:13	30:17 , 24	81:10
236:24	afterwards	146:18	31:5,14,2	82:1,13
aff 49:10	139:8	154:9	0,24	83:17
	161:4	allocation	32:10,21	84:13
AFF-13 6:3		140:10	33:11 , 15	85:1,6
affect	against	140:10	34:10,23	86:18
19:13	120:17		35 : 7	87:2 , 13
130:20,23	agenda	allow	36:4,9,19	88:3,16,2
,24	70:3	62 : 24	37:13,18,	3 89:15
affected	111:23	229:13	22 38:9	90:18
180:17	112:15	248:23	39:3,6,17	91:5,12,1
226:20	113:16	allowed	41:17,23	6,21
	114:1	245:3	42:16 , 25	92:6,11
affecting	116:23	-114-4	44:1,3,11	93:16,24
136:17,20	117:4	alluded	,23 45:8	94:12
affidavit	153:3,4,7	137:11 251:11	46:1,6,12	95:24
4:3 5:24	,10	231:11	, 19	96:17 , 20
6:8,13,18	agendas	Almas 3:5	47:9,20,2	97:8,12,1
,22,23	229:4	4:3	5 48:9,21	8,21
15:24		5:4,6,10,	49:21	98:9,12
20:1 21:7	ago 92:20	11,15,18,	50:10,22	99:6,24
28:3,23	237:18	22	52 : 10	100:7,15,
39:20,25	244:6	6:1,7,11,	53:11,15	25
40:6,21	agreed	15,19	54:11,25	101:13,17
41:5 42:4	12:19	7:15	55 : 14 , 16	, 22
51:9 66:3	45:15	8:10,19	56:8,15,2	102:18
67 : 21	156:22	9:9,15	3 57:6	103:12,22

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD	09-12-2019	Page 257 of	f 314
------------------------	------------	-------------	-------

	i ie edhinewee		1 age 257	<u> </u>
104:8,17,	146:4,12	175:4,8,1	17,22	239:7,19
25 105:21	147:4	8 , 22	203:1,8,1	240:22
106:4,12,	148:3,8,2	176:1,6,1	8 , 23	241:14
18 107:17	0,22	1,18,24	204:4,13	242:2,11,
108:17	149:7,19,	177:3,12,	205:1,7,1	19 , 24
109:6,11,	22	19	6	243:11
25	150:10,20	178:4,11,	206:13,19	244:8,11,
110:5,10,	151:2,12,	14,20,23	,24 207:5	18,20
24	20	179:4,10,	208:20	245:8,14
111:10,22	152:1,5,1	13,19,23	209:9	246:1,3,6
112:4,14	6,22	180:2,6,1	210:17,23	,13,15,23
113:13	154:4,14,	0,14,18	211:6,10,	248:2,5,9
114:4	17	181:16	14,21	249:5,18
115:1,6,1	155:1,6,2	182:10,14	212:7,14,	250:4,8,1
0,17,22	5	,17,21	17,20,24	1 251:1
116:14,22	156:8,13,	183:1,4,8	213:24	252 : 2
117:6,14	18,24	,21	214:2,12,	Almas's
118:15	157:6,11,	184:2,15,	24	51:9
119:1,18	20,24	23	215:10,17	118:14
120:4,7,1	158:2,10,	185:11,20	216:4,9,2	161:18
0 121:4	15,23	, 23	4	
122:9,25	159:2,8,1	187:6,17,	217:5,9,1	alone 46:2
123:4,10,	7,20,23	25	9	234:19
17,20,24	160:6,10,	188:5,16,	218:16,21	already
124:19	13,19,23	20,23	219:7,10,	18:12
125:2,9,1	161:3,7	189:2,5,1	20,25	20:7
4,24	162:4	4,18,23	220:5,12,	28:3 , 7
126:4	163:2,11,	190:8,12,	23	33:18
127:11,18	16,19,22	17,21	221:9,18	48:15
128:2,8,1	164:2,16,	191:5,11,	222:15,19	51:14
6	21	19,24	,24	67 : 20
129:1,8,1	165:2,5,1	192:5,10,	223:22,25	72:23
7	3,16,24	14,17,22	224:7,15,	90:13
130:3,7,2	166:3,14,	193:2,9,1	24 225:21	109:2,17
3 131:1	20,23	2,17,24	226:9,13,	126:9
132:13	167:6,11, 17	194:3,5,9	23	156:23
133:5 134:1,3,1	168:14,19	,14,20 195:8,14,	227:5,13 228:7,13	157:17
2	,24	17,20	229:7,13	158:9
135:1,11,	169:5,20,	196:1,5,8	19	205:12
20 136:19	23	,10,23	230:6,9,1	233:13
137:20	170:5,20,	197:6,11,	5,20	alternates
138:22	25	17	231:9,17	132:5
139:14	171:2,11,	198:10,16	232:16,22	alternativ
140:4	16,20	,23	233:10,23	e 34:6
141:10,20	172:3,6,1	199:2,6,1	234:10,20	78 : 25
142:2,17	0,13,21	1,15,17,2	235:1,9,1	
143:15,21	173:8,14,	1	4,16,22	alternativ
144:2,12,	21,24	200:5,12,	236:23	es 52:22
23	174:7,12,	16	237:23	245:23
145:5,17	23	201:3,13,	238:4,22	am 18:3
		, – - ,	,	

	i ic confinowo		1 age 250	<u> </u>
119:10	138:13	88:13	apples	74:16
129:8	184:21	91:10,14	106:6	appropriat
199:20	198:15	95:20	204:10	e 65:4
206:9	227:16	120:8	applicatio	82:15
221:18	228:14	127:25	n 219:15	95:11,17
228:17	answering	139:2		118:4
amazing	129:3	158:18	apply	139:16,20
82:24		183:18	152 : 12	172:9
	answers	249:15	applying	227:17
ambitious 175:15	119:21 124:25	251 : 22	219:21	246:22
	177:15	anyway	appointed	
AMC 200:22	1//:15	195:25	7:1,14	approval 177:16
amenities	anticipate	22222	9:3	198:7
222:3	d 56:14	anyways 243:16	12:8,10,1	198:/
223:13	anticipati		4 13:21	approve
224:20	on 108:9	anywhere	15:2	201:15
225:7	190:10	141:13		approved
227:4		apologize	appointing	201:11
	anticipati	116:21	20:19	202:22
amongst 192:6	ons 158:5	248:17	160:4	229:24
192.0	anxious	249:5	164:9	
	177:10	apparent	appointmen	<pre>approximat e 32:13</pre>
amount	179:3,4	203:17	t 13:22	e 32:13
14:20	180:9		14:14,22	approximat
54:12	anybody	appealing	159:6	ely 28:14
142:14	30:19	79:2	appreciate	116:13
233:11	112:22	appear	18:8	April
239:16	139:5	18:11	48:14	20:11
240:21	151:3	35:4	59 : 18	156 : 12
amounts	155:2	APPEARANCE	60 : 4	160:17
145:9	240:13	s 2:1	64 : 22	aquatic
analysis	anyone		138:13,21	43:6
65:10	36:17	appeared	163:7	46:25
78:22	46:3	182:5	225:19,22	133:15
79:14	58:25	appears	226:4	229:21
87:21	66:17	54:19	appreciati	
155:3	67:15	65 : 15	ng 83:14	aquatics
analyze	103:11	98:3	_	236:20
232:13	108:13	101:5,10	appreciati	Architects
	109:9	171:22,24	on 138:17	105:15
anomalies	129:4,14	186:4	appreciati	106:8,13
27:25	150:23	209:19	ve 138:9	architectu
answer	154:23	211:3	approach	ral 57:2
17:7	anything	212:10	10:5,7	102:4
24:13,17	11:18,21	225:16	72:5,12,1	105:13,17
43:19	15:6 21:2	appended	5 75:25	106:20
47:17	44:9,16	153:10	87 : 12	107:22
77:16	81:7	applause	90:7	122:18
97:4	86:24	240:10,15		196:20
110:9			approached	197:3,16
1	i	I		I

	. IC COMMINGNO	OD 09 12 2019	1 age 200	<u> </u>
203:25	asset 65:9	65 : 23	August	176:17
204:24	assigned	assuming	9:21	180:5
area 38:4	236:12	59 : 21	16:24	184:22
118:4		87 : 22	17 : 7	188:14
121:6	assist 7:5	125:3	44:19	200:25
134:18	11:17	185:8	45:6,16,2	202:11
170:3	15:21	209:5	2	206:11,18
186:21	26:1	235:4	48:2,10,2	207:24
219:8	62:18	233.4	3 51:23	208:3,10,
247:4	119:6	assumpt	54 : 17	19,24
	187:24	50:3	57 : 5	209:19
arena 32:6	242:21	assumption	59:2,4	241:22
36:1	assistance	50:3	60:24	242:10
40:3,4	50:23	183:15,17	61 : 23	243:2
41:10	113:19	191:22	64 : 17	
42:13	157:19,23		69:14	authority
43:3 47:3	162:8	athletes	71:21,22	134:14
60:5		179:17	73:1,25	167:4
78:15	assistant	attachment	74:8,12	authoriza
108:8	128:12,15	s 198:18	80:3,10,2	24:22
196:25	250:20		5 81:2	authorizat
aren't	251:12	attempt	90:5,17	ion 23:15
106:2	assisted	180:4	91:25	24:1,9,23
	136:7,11	181:14	92:9,21	28:8 64:1
arguably	187:19	182:24	93:1	165:19
228:10	247:12	attempted	101:4	
arisen		177:1	103:17	166:7,8,1 6,18
82:2	assisting	attend	104:22	·
	51:2	39 : 1	105:19	authorize
arising 6:21	Associate	48:25	107:13	24:22
	1:7 2:4	51:16	109:17	authorized
251:22	associated	86:10	110:17,18	165:23
arose	116:12		111:2,11,	166:22
205:21	134:16	attended	13,14,23	
arrangemen	178:6	23:2	112:2	authorizin
t 127:4	251:4	125:19	113:8	g 220:18
		191:14	116:23	autonomy
arrangemen	associatio	attender	118:17	216:19
ts 189:24	ns	159 : 22	121:14	217:3
articulate	8:11,12	attention	125:20	available
44:4 87:7	157:8	9:2 11:8	128:23	12:4
aside	229:2	21:17	133:23	26:23
216:14	assume	31:8	134:4	41:21
210:14	31:19	84:17	139:4	64:4
aspect	50:7	109:12	140:12,19	74 : 25
203:22	59:20	191:14	142:4	75 : 1
assertion	97:14,15	203:10	143:7	114:2
238:6	215:15,17	247:3	145:14	140:25
	218:21		150:12	141:4,8
assess	226:16	AUDIBLE	166:2	143:25
223:11	assumed	101:22	175 : 3	144:9,22
	assumed			111.0,22

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 09 12 2019	rage 200	01 314
146:11	223:18	230:2	becomes	68:16
avoid 88:9	224:3	basic 58:6	69:17	71:3,18
award	235:10,12	basically	becoming	73:23 76:13
83:23	249:19	8:19,25	251:3	
	251 : 7	9:17,18	beef	78:21 79:7,13
awarding	away 48:7	11:9	243:21	80:11
116:9	49:4	21:4,25		82:25
aware 8:8	153 : 25	26:3	begin	84:23
11:15	158:18,21	63:10	172 : 15	85:6
14:17,22	206:9,22	65 : 9	beginning	87:19
29:7	207:2,15,	70:11	7:20	91:16
30:23,25	16,18,21	79:11	23:23	92:13
31:11	208:14,16	86:21	71:21	94:22
34:2,17	,17,22	87:4	109:1	100:10
35:3	209:1,3,5	100:18	238:17	102:18
37:10,13	211:3	103:12	begins	104:25
41:18,24	212:13	122:17	102:2	105:2
48:5,11,1	awe 82:20	132:13		106:12,13
3 59:3,5		141:10	behalf 121 : 12	,24 108:3
67:15	В	149:2	139:6	109 : 15
70:12	background	189:7	157:10	113:13
85:4,7	64 : 3	244:22	229:11	115:10
92:8,11,1	214:9	245:10	236:13	120:10,14
2 93:13	219:23	basis	250:13	123:4,5,1
94:1	243:12	62:23		0 127:22
106:8 107:13	backyard	68 : 1	behind	137:22,23
124:23	226 : 8	87:20	75 : 12	139:2
127:13,16		94:8	bel 55:23	144:24
128:4,5	bad 135:3	101:18	belabour	145:10
143:18	based 9:7	104:10	246:18	148:4
152:1	23:18	114:5		151:2,3,8
153:16	76:25	159:13	belief	155:1
155:3	79:7	169:4	51:25	157:7
159:25	89:5 , 8	186:24	139:12	159:9
177:7,9	104:19	200:23	believe	169:13
179:22,25	137:25	238:13	9:9 13:13	180:23
180:1,8	183:18	bear	21:23	184:23
192:12,16	184:25	226:20	24:10	188:16 190:22
201:6	217:3	250:22	28:14	190:22
203:21	220:19	became	31:3,25	193:9,12,
206:12,14	222:11	16:24	32:5,11	133.3,12,
,16,21	224:16	29 : 7	33:21	198:10,11
207:1,2	231:14,22	206:16	35:5	,18 204:9
213:24	232:14	221:14	36:19	207:5
218:9	238:20 244:24		38:9	216:4
219:11	244:24	become 8:4 35:3	39:10,11 46:1,14	219:7
220:16,23	250:20	35:3 49:19	48:22	235:20,22
221:1,8,1		49:19 216:7	50:16	238:20
0,16,18,2	bases	Z I U • /	63:4	243:6
1 222:7			JJ. 4	

INQUIRY	re Collingwoo	DD 09-12-2019	Page 261	OI 314
believed	107:16	type	16,21	242:25
13:14	bidder	76:15	231:10,18	breathing
14:19	23:9	BLT's	232:19,23	60:25
15:21		66:20	233:13,24	
42:16	bidders	250:14	234:3,11,	Breedon
52:4	78:11		23	2:14 3:10
105:3	bidding	blue	235:2,10,	241:4,8,9
128:9	67 : 1	35:6,8	15 , 20	,14
133:5	bids 77:5	38:1	236:2,5,6	
159:5	Dias //.5	boardroom	237:12,17	
belt	Bill 2:16	11:13	,24 238:5	
166:12	213:12		239:5,8,2	
	bit 32:17	boards 63 : 13	0 240:23	2,19
beneath	38 : 10	03:13	247:22	245:5,12,
142:10	92:20	Bonwick	248:15,16	
benefit	130:15	2:7	249:3,4	246:2,5,1
139:8	137:12	3:9,12	250:3,6,1	
214:7,8	161:23	127:8	0,16	247:20
221:25	170:18	128:6,10,	251:8,16,	bricks
	177:23,25	12,24	20	83:3
benefits	181:2	129:6,15,	Bonwick's	105:22
138:1	196:12	24	128:15	122:19
202:18	203:10	130:15,19		204:1
Berman	214:9	213:15,22	borrow	brief 6:5
124:8,11,	217:14	,23	142:15	10:11,16
17,21,25	236:21	214:3,13,	bottom	17:15
126:16	243:12	25	29:14,16	20:3
127:1,7	244:13	215:11,19	40:22	26:18
best	247:12,17	216:8,12,	56:22	27:17
6:13,16	blame	25	75 : 21	33:5
21:5	136:14	217:6,13,	140:18	35:16
68:21		21	170:10	39:22
82:6	blindly	218:20,23	242:14	51 : 11
150:25	53:4	219:9,11,	bound	56:19
214:21,23	BLT 2:16	24	148:18	61:19
216:2,21	59:5,9	220:2,6,1	217:1	64:14
217:17	64:19,23,	5,25	box	68:6
238:20	25	221:11,20	40:23,24	70:15
better	65:17,21	222:17,20 223:1,24	40.23,24	71:10,15
43:15	66:19	224:1,10,		75 : 18
68:20	88:8 92:4	16	boys 225:9	80:15
89:11	127:15	225:1,23	Brampton	85:24
98:20	128:25	226:10,14	229:24	87 : 8
109:21	129:24	,25	bread	92:17
117:20	130:12	227:6,23	19:17	93:18
172:14	184:12	228:9,15,		100:21
224:19	186:5	19,20	break	108:23
beyond	213:11	229:8,18,	62:3,6	113:3
155:5	BLT/	223.0,10,	118:5	119:24
	Sprung-	230:7,11,	155:12	121:20
bid 23:2,5	- -	/	240:14	124:4
1				i

111001111	Te COLLINGWOO	JB 09 12 2019	raye 202	01 311
126:13,23	9:2 49:25	103:4		107:10
129:20	62 : 18	250:13	C	capacity
130:1	63 : 5,8	bullets	Ca 33:1	24:21
131:9,14	220:17	135:17	Cadieux	48:20
137:1	221:6	150:12	121:11,23	162:7
140:15	232:13		123:2,23	
141:24	249:9	bullied	•	capitals
142:21	Bruce	238:15	CAM 161:10	164:24
143:1	62 : 19	Bush 47:3	canvassed	car 174:9
145:21		business	169:2	career
149:11	budget	128:7	CAO 7:2,6	12:21,24
156:15	95 : 5 , 6	153:5	9:3,6	•
161:20	150:7	154 : 7	11:6 12:1	carried
188:10	221:4,6,2 3 222:8		13:21	42:8
195:3	3 222:8 225:5	busy 7:19	14:10,11,	carry
197:22	223:3	8:2,14	23	20:16
201:25	budgetary	10:19	15:2,4,11	case 9:4
202:4	223 : 17	16:5,11,2	16:9	11:24
205:9	build 60:7	1 19:1	17 : 24	20:20
206:4		31:6	18:9	25:16
207:11	building	45:11	19:20	72:25
209:14	31:25	49:4	20:16,19,	113:21
213:1	37:21,24	54:17	21 21:1	115:4
234:1	39:15	82:8 95:9	24:11	168:22
237:15	41:13,16	164:17	27 : 9	174:1
241:1,6,1	42:14 49:19	171:4	39 : 11	185:5
2 242:6	50:1 66:7	184:21	58 : 13	229:3
briefed	77:5	buy 200:14	98:15	
8:24	108:16	buying	99:19	cases
bring 11:8	186:22	174:9	100:5	227:9,21
21:17	222:8		156 : 22	casino
31:8 57:8		bylaw 21:9,20,2	157 : 19	17 : 1
84:17	buildings	21.9,20,2	160:5,22	18:19
131:16	36:18	22:4,11,2	161:9	121 : 5
140:13	37:8	5 23:7	162:2,17	categories
161:17	44:14	24:5,19,2	163:8	40:13
175:2	46:22	5 25:9,24	164:6,10,	category
178:10	83:4	26:2,9,12	11	40:13,16
202:8	105:23 138:6	27:22	171:13,15	
226:19	140:22	28:13,21	176:19	cause
250 : 22	186:20	167:19,21	CAO/EMT	19:22
bringing	227:4	,22,23,25	161:10	75:6
191:13	246:21	169:9	CAOs	79:8,9
		by-law	15:13,15	81:19
brings	building's	153:13,19	·	96:11
249:20	137:15	200:17	CAO's	106:4,20
broken	builds		10:21,24	108:2
247:19	77 : 6	bylaws	11:10	179:19
249:21	built	167:16,18	20:24	189:8
brought	29:19		capable	191:7
	∠ J • ⊥ J			

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWO	JD	rage 203	01 314
192:13	52:10	237:2,3	164:12,18	15,18,23
247:4,13	121:4	changed	, 22	193:5,10,
CBO 167:21	178:4	84:18	165:3,6,1	15 , 18
	211:18	90:25	4,17,25	194:1,4,8
Centennial	228:21	109:14,16	166:11,15	,10,16,21
29:19	236:10	190:22	,21,24	195:5,15,
131:19	Certificat	191 : 12	167:7 , 12	19 , 24
132:8	e 3:18	203:9	168:6,15,	196:2,6,9
197:1,4		220:19	20 , 25	,11,24
221:5	Certified	237:2	169:16,21	197:7,12,
Centra	252:10	244:1	,24	18,24
96:5	cetera	247:13	170:6,21	198:13,19
Central	168:16	ah an ma a	171:1,9,1	
16:10	172:2	changes 6:18	2,17,21	199:4,8,1
29:1	185:22	66:24	172:4,8,1	
43:23	186:22	85:5	1,17	200:1,6,1
44:22	202:19	189:7	173:6,9,1	·
51:24	chain	192:12,16	5,22,25	201:9,16,
52:11	29:13,15,		174:8,18,	· ·
77:14,19	16 37:6	changing	24	202:1,6
106:15	56:22,24	214:15	175:5,13,	
121:13	57:16	chap	19,23	19
132:7	75 : 21	168:22	176:2,7,1	204:3,11, 21
133:14	79:17	charge	2,20,25 177:4,13,	
209:22	93:25	65 : 18	22	17
223:23	98:11		178:9,12,	
224:2	170:8	charismati	16,21,25	23,25
242:17	181:20	c 87:3	179:7,11,	· ·
centre	198:5	chart	14,21,24	25 · · · / · · / · · · / · · · / · · · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
221:17,24	chair	142:9	180:3,7,1	
222:1,12	17:23	Chenoweth	1,15	2,13,25
239:25	164:6	2:11 3:8	181:8 , 17	209:10,16
CEO 8:4	181:3	155:10,13	182:12 , 15	210:22 , 25
157:2	chaired	,21,24,25	, 19 , 22	211:7,11,
	95:6	156:1,9,1	183:2,5,1	16
ceremony		7,19,25	6 , 22	212:4,8,1
237:1	challenge	157:9,13,	184:3,16	6,19,22
certain	13:2	21,25	185:6,17,	213:3,7
10:5 18:2	158:13	158:3,12,	21,25	cheque
24:22	challengin	16,24	187:14,18	126:18
28:7	g 99:9	159:3,11,	188:2,6,1	<pre>chief 1:7</pre>
74:24,25	Chambers	18,21,24	2,17,21,2	31:25
123:6	1:18	160:8,11,	4	170:12
163:5		14,20,24	189:3,13,	222:21
185:5	chance	161:6,14,	16,19	
229:4	243:5 247:8	22	190:1,9,1	children
231:13		162:9,18,	5 , 18	238:19
certainly	change	21,22	191:1,10, 15,21,25	chimed
9:15 11:3	44:24	163:3,12,	192:6,11,	173:18
15:1 16:4		17,20,23	102.0,11,	
1	i			

111001111	TE COTTINGMO	JD 09 12 2013	rage 204	01 314
choice	251:6,13	closing	d's	5:1
227:20	clarity	45:12	112:15	comment
choose	131:7	175:16	Collus	110:18
114:12	246:6	clubs	5 : 20	111:11
chooses	247:12	215:25	8:4,13	164:3
93:11	clear	229:3	73 : 7	170:3
132:25	57:23	clue 186:7	127:4,9	202:17
	89:2	187:20	130:16	233:5
chose	104:21		140:10	241:22
220:19	145:6	Co 141:5	141:5,8,1	249:20
222:12	159:4	coauthor	8 143:25	commentary
227:21	182:2	248:10	144:5,8,2	29 : 23
230:18	230:4	code 222:9	2 146:19	
chosen	244:5		147:3	commented
42:2,20	250:2,17	coerced	150 : 25	236:17,19
circulated	·	238:15	151:17,18	comments
69:21	clearly	cognizant	154:21	48:15
82:18	164:13	114:8	157:3 , 12	110:18,21
90:8	166:17	collaborat	175 : 16	111:1
92:24	174:8	e 11:19	239:17	150:6
110:17	175:14 209:1	e 11 : 19	Collus/	195:11
111:10	216:14,18	collaborat	Town	231:19
131:25	226:14,16	ive 7:16	127 : 5	243:19
142:4		colleagues		Commission
143:6,8	clerk 5:13	53:19	coloured	er 184:1
241:21	11:17	153:15	114:13	
242:18	13:5 18:6	200:17	comes	commit
243:6,9	19:11,13,	214:14,20	19:16	18:2
	15 22:3		135:24	commitment
circumstan	23:1,14	collective	245:24	s 228:5
ces 18:14	24:18	97:15	comfortabl	committed
157:15	27:4	238:16	e 88:6	141:19
167:8	48:17	collective	89 : 7	220:13
civil	58:23	ly 225:12	245:2	
228:24	99:10	Collingwoo		committee
CJI6146	119:1,4	d	<pre>coming 8:23 35:5</pre>	6:24 7:14
108:21	120:13	1:2,17,20	8:23 35:5 44:25	12:11,14,
140:13	136:17	2:13 5:14	79:9	15,17,19
241:10	137:17	7:2 16:8	95:13	16:10
clarificat	146:3,4 167:20	127:5	109:9	17:20
ion 58:11	231:8	164:1	118:15	29:2,4 33:23
		220:4	172:25	40:16
199:7,9	clerk's	221:5,17	229:20	
249:10	13:5,10,1	222:3		43:9,14 44:22
clarify	8	224:21	commence	45:4
88:24	close	225:7	220:19	52:2,12
93:25	198:1	227:1,7,9	commenceme	53:25
128:22	closely	229:12	nt 192:8	55:20
167:13	187:11	Collingwoo	commencing	77:14,19
246:4	10/.11	COTTTINGWOO	Commencing	78:4
	1			/ · · · ·

94:2	25	22 218:13	76:12	233:4	250:12
95:3	1,4,5,	223:12	78 : 2 , 6	complexity	concerned
7		229:15	80:21	116:1	19:18
96:	4,5,6	231:2	81:6	174:20,21	
106	:15	238:18,19	83:6,14,2	232:10	117:17
133	:14	,21	2 86:7		122:4
177	:20	companies	87 : 1	complicate	180:25
181	: 3	107:10	88:22	d 19:2	
218	:12,25	107.10	92:2	171:4	concerning
219	:1,14	company	105:25	complicati	181:2
223	:11,14	21:16	107:21	ons	concerns
,19	,21,23	59:5 83:8	111:21	127:21	11:15
225	:18	117:13,14	142:5		29:3 46:4
236	:24,25	,23	competitor	complied	65:6
248	:1	127:25	106:17,20	26:12	162:15
Commi	ttees	128:24	ŕ	component	175:1
63:1		249:24	competitor	132:20	204:22
		comparable	s 103:21	169:8	
Commi		119:15	104:2,3,1	components	concluded
	3:17		6 106:24	122:19	141:11
56:	1	comparator	125 : 23		189:22
commo	n	s 122:15	compilatio	comprehend	190:13
27:		compared	n 63:11	s 226:5	concludes
93:2	20	120:2		comprehens	240:23
94:3	3	comparitor	complaint	ive	conclusion
114	:22	105:24	200:8	144:24	79:5 98:4
117	:11		complaints	148:12	139:13
		compensate	11:7	245:11	183:19
	nicat 50:25	d 12:25	119:5		208:18
e ∠:	3U:Z3	249:24	175 : 6	compromise	
commu	nicat	Compenso	complete	d 19:21	conclusion
ions	s	126:19	53:2	134:13,23	s 183:25
136	:4,5	127:14,22	74:19	,25	concrete
250	:21	competent	208:14	247:17	134:5
251	:2	151:6		compromisi	conducted
commu	nitv		completed	ng 139:7	237:1
43:	_	competing	15:20	concept	
	24,25	95:14	43:5 61:3	39 : 15	conducting
109	•	226:18	176:16	170:18	62:15
120		competitio	completely		146:2
133	:15	n	29 : 18	concern	conduit
134	:15	105:9,14,	54 : 25	27:7 48:3	184:25
135	:9	16 107:25	106:5	66:17	confidence
148	:23	202:17	187:12,15	81:14	238:13
175		203:25	244:24	89:2	
178	:7	204:1,7	complex	95:21	confident
	:9,15,	competitiv	32:16	96:11,13,	130:12
	214:21	e 24:20	171:5	18 117:21	137:24
215	:4,5,8	66:11	174:14,16	120:18	confirm
,24	, 25	72:1,20	232:24	162:25	6:12 62:1
216	:1,11,	12.1,20		224:8	124:13
1		I	I	I	l l

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-12-2019	Page 266	of 314
199:18	222:11	consultant	245:22	51:7
confirmed	223:5,17	150:24	contemplat	61 : 15
125:5	considered	154:24	e 44:12	62 : 11
141:6,9	21:18	219:1		64:10
159:5	38:7		66:22	69:11
	67:16	consultant	83:14	86:1
confirms	105:25	s 219:13	90:9	100:1
171:23	103:23	consultati	111:21	116:19
conflict		on 27:5	contemplat	118:12
23:8	considerin	141:12	ed 63:2	140:8
	g 76:10	146:1,2,6	81:6 87:1	162:11 , 21
confuses	114:24	,8,10,16	88:1	208:12
188:1	consistenc	147:6	152:2	228:19
congratula	y 15:16	153:1	contemplat	236:5
te 237:7	-	239:12,15	es 71:25	249:3
conjunctio	consistent	,21	84:4 86:7	continuing
n 13:11	59:19	consulted		28:22
	111:2	67:12	contemplat	79:18
connection	constituen	138:24	ing 78:8	
183:11,13	ts 234:16	212:2	content	contract
conscious	constraint		164:14,19	83:23
227:20	s 225:5	consultive	194:19	116:9
consensus		162:2	197:9	contractor
7:21,25	Construct	consults	198:14	59 : 12
8:16	41:10	148:9	201:21,22	contractor
	constructe	contact	contention	
9:7,13,14	constructe d 18:22	contact 27:7.10	contentiou	s 66 : 25
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8		27:7,10	s 109:20	s 66:25
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21	d 18:22	27:7,10 57:21	s 109:20	s 66:25 contracts 44:15
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24	d 18:22 46:22 138:6	27:7,10 57:21 58:2	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3	s 66:25
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi	27:7,10 57:21	s 109:20	s 66:25 contracts 44:15
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3	s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21	s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16 218:4	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts	<pre>s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16</pre>	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1 6 132:21	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts 185:9	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16 160:18	s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15 controvers
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16 218:4	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1 6 132:21 196:19 197:15	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts 185:9 contained	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16 160:18 163:24	s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15 controvers ial 126:5
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16 218:4 233:8	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1 6 132:21 196:19 197:15 consult	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts 185:9 contained 40:9	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16 160:18 163:24 continued	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15 controvers ial 126:5 controvers</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16 218:4 233:8 considerat	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1 6 132:21 196:19 197:15 consult 26:21	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts 185:9 contained 40:9 151:4	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16 160:18 163:24 continued 3:11 13:4	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15 controvers ial 126:5 controvers y 130:10</pre>
9:7,13,14 ,24 89:8 192:20,21 ,24 193:3,7,1 9 194:11,18 197:9 201:14 244:22 consider 40:10 41:3 153:9 154:24 considerat ion 151:16 218:4 233:8 considerat ions 42:1	d 18:22 46:22 138:6 constructi on 2:16 38:8 40:2,3 41:20 42:13 43:4 44:14,15 59:6 61:3 78:14,19 102:1 103:20 105:15 107:5,9,1 6 132:21 196:19 197:15 consult	27:7,10 57:21 58:2 59:22 64:23 79:12 88:7 147:10,12 171:24 181:25 182:9 184:11,14 ,18 185:1,8 221:16,23 222:1,12 250:23 contacts 185:9 contained 40:9	s 109:20 contents 3:1 22:3 245:21 context 57:20 137:21 142:2 218:24 239:22 continuati on 162:3 continue 40:15,22 44:21 57:13,15 79:16 160:18 163:24 continued	<pre>s 66:25 contracts 44:15 232:2 contravene d 139:2 200:18 contravent ion 246:25 contributi on 163:21 165:4 control 52:5 53:2 54:8,12 176:14,15 controvers ial 126:5 controvers</pre>

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO)D 09-12-2019	Page 26/	of 314
134:7,16	150:2	66:14	235:9	15:3,8,10
·	171:5	77:7	239:19	,18,19
convenient		97 : 12	242:2,19	16:6,14
251 : 25	Cooper 2:9	110:10	243:12	20:16
conversati	198:6	149:7,19	244:8,15	21:10
on 7:23	cooperativ	152:10,15	246:1,15	29:7
11:23	e 28:18	155:6	248:2	30:6,9,18
16:7	coordinate	156:8,13,	252 : 10	31:10,19
33:22	27:4	17,18		33:13,16
36:20	120:21	157:5	correctly	35 : 23
38:11		158:23	139:22	37:2,7
43:1 45:9	coordinati	159:2,7,1	correspond	38:21,23
49:7	on 119:7	7 160:19	ence	39:25
52:13	COOs	162:18,19	112:18	40:1,8,10
58:8 , 19	214:16	166:2,23	122:12	,14,17
60:21		167:10,11	128:17,18	41:3,4,21
64:19	copied	168:14,19	147:21	42:6,12
65:12 , 16	57:10,25	,23	178 : 3	43:14,22
70:9 71:6	59 : 18	169:23	182:13	44:10,19
74:15	162:23	170:5	187 : 1	45:1
78 : 2	198:6	171:16,19		46:4,24
79:25	200:23	,20 172:3	cost 29:20	47:8,19
84:8,12,1	copies	173:14,24	43:20	48:10
9,20,25	71:5	174:7	44:8	49:15,22
86:4,10	112:20,21	176:11,24	65 : 25	50:5 61:6
87:20	113:15	180:6	79:1	63:25
90:23,24		183:7	102:2	64:3,5
91:3,10,1	copy 36:2	187:17	140:22	68:20,25
7 94:14	112:22	188:5,20,	142:15	70:5
96:2 , 22	197:25	22,23	154:24	73:18,21
102:19	202:10	190:8	202:17	77:11,12
108:18	copying	191:18,19	225:16	83:22
125:6,8	35:23	,24	cost-	93:10,11,
128:19	90:3	192:10,14	effective	21
153 : 24	corporate	,22	87 : 16	94:13,18
184:24	22:17	194:4,20	102:5,6	95:3,6,13
191:9	23:1	196:1,5,9	107:7	,17,22,23
206:24		,10,23	costing	96:15
conversati	corporate-	197:11,16	43:3 53:5	97 : 14
	wide	,17		100:10,17
ons 47:6	16:17	200:15	costings	107:25
49:3	correct	202:25	78 : 23	108:10
53:9,12	5:15,18,2	203:1	costs 53:6	110:20
61:1	5	205:1,6,7	122:15	112:7,11
80:4,9,10	6:1,10,11	208:6	143:10	113:16,20
83:7	13:6,7	209:8,9	144:10	114:23
88:8,18,2	24:15	216:24	cou 29:3	117:20
1 91:23	31:20	220:5		119:17
92:7,12 102:20	32:21	222:15	council	131:18,22
102:20	34:23	230:14,15	1:18	132:25
12 149:24	44:1	234:19	11:14	133:7,20
147.44			14:2,6,22	,
 ı				

INQUIKI	TE COTTINGMOC	70 09 12 2019	rage 200	01 314
134:21	220:17,18	202:15	139:17	2
135:22	, 19	249:7	criteria	46:15,16
136:14,15	221:7,14	count	23:18	60 : 24
143:22	222:1,6,1	224:16		74:8
144:8	1		critically	111:2
145:14	223:5,10	country	234:5	125:3
146:11,24	224:18	228:4,11	236:11	152:12
147:15,25	227:1,9,1	couple	criticism	163:18
148:2,6,8	5 , 19	30:12	228:4,11	164:15,20
,18	229:13	31:23	criticisms	218:11
149:18	232:9	34:20	231:24	dated
150:5	233:9	76:4 94:6		35:19,21
151:16	234:7,12,	113:1	criticizin	170:9
152:10,11	15	116:10,13	g 230:5	208:3
,18,19	237:4,8,1	160:1	cross-	209:2,18
153:2,11	7 239:2	174:15	examinati	
154:11,21	240:20	course	on	Dave 30:11
158:18,22	247:11	99:9,14	3:8,9,10,	31:22,23
,24	249:13	137:7	11 155:24	32:3
159 : 22	councillor	184:9	213:22	56:25
160:1,2,1	31 : 13	202:15	239:14	58:11,12
2,17,21	178 : 15	214:25	241:8	70:7
163:25	179:2	221:21	249:3	79:10,23
164:8	238:12	222:10		90:3,11
165:18,20	councillor		crunched	104:4 169:11
,23	s 114:3	courtesy	47 : 2	173:12
166:7,9,1	\$ 114:3 115:5	156:22	culture	183:13
8 167:3	173:18	courts	95:1 99:2	184:19
172:1	178:2	38:2	110:16	185:1
174:1	217:7	cover	136:11	192:25
175:2,19,	225:12	29:11,18,	221:2	193:13
24	230:12,18	20 40:5	242:16	194:7
176:4,10,	231:2	41:21	244:25	195:10
22 177:10,25	233:15		curious	199:23
180:4,21,	238:10	coverall	161:23	200:3
22 184:22		37:24	239:20	206:8
190:7	councils	covered	240:1	
195:8	215:21	131:20	current	David 22:23
196:16,18	234:15	144:11	225:17	51:18
201:12	council's	covering		
205:14	9:2 29:3	7:9 35:25	currently	day 21:4
206:17	42:10	40:4	5:13 6:9	38:20
207:4	50:14	craziness	206:9	80:5
208:16,23	65 : 24	63:3	cycle 65:9	82:22
,24 210:3	74:11			90:4
211:5	177:16	created		113:8,10
214:23	counsel	60:10	Dale	146:21
215:8,20	2:3,5,7	170:18	209:21	170:13
216:6,18,	26:22	212:22		189:9
19 218:12	184:10	credible	date	200:4
			45:6,14,2	202:21

INQUIRI	. TE COLLINGWOC	D 09-12-2019	rage 209	01 314
216:2	113:25	decision-	deliberate	173:12
226:3	132:18	making	150:7,17	depar
234:18	143:24	148:10	deliver	94:19
235:6	153:11,17	decisions	110:3	donomenant
247:18	,24 164:5	8:16,18	115:9	<pre>department 8:14,20</pre>
days 48:23	191:20	9:5,10,13	214:22	
171:15	decision	12:4	221:1	13:5,10,1 8
208:22	9:22,24	27:14	223:6,9	22:7,9,10
248:24	10:3,8	95:16	224:22	,18 24:11
deadline	12:2 20:9	119:16	227:9,11,	25:3,4,7,
73:13	26:21	149:1	14,15	12,14,24
74:13,16	27:13	158:25	229:15	26:4 27:6
· ·	45:1	216:20	232:25	63:12
deal 60:11	48:10	238:19	233:22	69:6
dealing	52:1 66:6	239:3	delivered	110:18,22
7:18 61:1	71:25	dedicated	70:4	,23
116:24	77:21	74:1 82:7	87:18	111:3,11,
121:5	78:16	215:4	113:10,15	
226:7	83:1,2,3		,24 114:3	112:9,10
232:1	89:3,24	deemed	219:3	120:24
debate	101:11,21	9:18	227:16	165:10
250:15	108:10	deep	delivering	167 : 25
debenture	109:18	234:15	225 : 6	168:5
142:13	115:19	Def 57:22	226:20	169:3,12,
142:13	118:19	1.61	228:5	18 171:14
143:10,19	119:15 120:17	deferred	234:16	174:6
145:9,16	126:17	74:18 153:5		224:5
	130:8,21,	154:7	delivery	241:17,21
debentured	25 131:5		233:15	, 25
144:3	133:8,20,	deferring	Deloitte	242:9,22
debentures	23 134:21	73 : 20	232:12	243:2,7,1
151:5	135:10,22	definitely	demands	5,18,19
dec 64:18	138:5	32:6	47 : 2	244:7
	139:15,16	98:9,12		department
December	140:1	104:19	democracy	alization
146:17	143:13,16	105:21	217:3	168:7
232:2	144:8	109:13	democratic	department
decide	150:12	193:17	238:14	s 94:19
77:13	153:17 , 20	201:6	demonstrat	136:7
99:5	, 25	208:22	e 68:22	248:10
133:11	164:9,10	210:24	demonstrat	
134:19	166:10,13	222:25		depending
150:17	167:5	definition	ed 238:2	116:1
decided	208:23,24	22:14	denied	232:10,16
20:11	217:12,18	delay	218:19	deputy
45:6	218:17	116:12	Dennis	23:4 29:9
77:17	234:18,19		30:11	30:15
99:13	,21 235:8	delays	31:22,23	31:25
109:5	246:20	88:10	32:4	33:11
				35:4 , 22

111201111	·		rage 270	
36:3,23	121:10	g 54:16	es 212:5	94:7,14
37:1	122:7	223:15	difficulty	95:12 , 22
38:16	123:9	develop	99:2	132:19
41:24	142:3	168:9	238:6	165:9,12,
46:13,18	descriptio	232:8		15 , 18
49:24	n 4:2		digest	177 : 25
57 : 22	55:2,7,18	developing	247:9	196:15
58:8	161:12	168:9	diligence	200:11
59:20,21	169:8	developmen	82 : 5	201:2
93:3,14		t 34:14	90:12	206:17
94:6 95:6	designatio	55 : 8	101:25	207:3
97:22	n 151:7	131:20	125:18,22	208:15
100:9,13,	desire	132:8	131:3	220:19
15,18	46:25	devoted	139:21	225 : 20
101:1	61:5	99 : 15	204:18	235:13
174:3	178:7		245:17	248:17
177:5	229:11,15	diagrams	diligent	directions
178:10,13	desired	82 : 21	230:23	176:22
180:7	17:22	dictated		
181:12		176:10	dimensions	directly
182:1,5,7	detail		65 : 8	13:11
, 15	185:14	difference	211:23	27:8
183:12	231:5,7	59:11	direct	65:13 95:22
195:10,12	detailed	216:15	33:17	129:14
237:20	7:23	different	41:4	147:10
238:7,12	84:14	42 : 19	132:9	185:4
describe	98:20	47 : 3	156:4	
40:7,12	113:22	72:5 , 12	directed	director
51:14	114:6	75 : 24	42:12,22	8:5 22:21
71:19	122:2,7,1	85:11	115:9	34:11
86:9 98:1	3 149:23	94:18	131:18	47:21
121:16	219:14	97:23	165:22	48:7
177:24	221:3,23	98:18	182:16	55 : 2,7
described	222:8	106:5	183:6	58:14
15:8	details	122:16	195:21	110:15
87:24	105:5	126:16		157:1
123:22	184:21	171:6	directing 29:25	169:6
181:12	201:5	210:20	29:25 30:15 , 18	214:15
describes	determinat	216:10 227:2	59:21	221:3,22 222:6,7
37:3	ion 50:8		96:15	•
64:17		differentl	170:15	directors
69:14	determine	y 55:4	181:22	214:17,18
124:7	43:3,22	83:11		directs
143:5,9	148:15	94:17	direction	40:2
149:14	223:12	difficult	31:10,12	49:15
152:9	determined	19:3	41:2	196:16,18
	102:4,9	32:11	42:15	197:2
describing	107:6	98:1,2	51:20	disagreed
18:12	149:1	176:3	74:11	238:11
35:22	determinin	difficulti	79:11	200.11
71:18	determinin	difficult1	86:21	

INQUIKI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 09-12-2019	raye 2/1	01 314
disagreein	75 : 12	149:15	dollar	93:2,15,2
g 240:13	86:22	document	239:16	2 111:24
disappoint	121:16	16:18	dollars	131:25
126:2	151:22	35:14	27 : 21	132:4
dicompoint	154:13	40:7,19,2	dome	194:23
disappoint	187:5	0,22	127:20	195:8,13
ed 125:17	227:24	61 : 17		196:21
131:4 210:13	discussion	62:17,23	done 11:25	241:24
	s 17:1	63:13	41:20	242:21
discretion	18:19	64:4,12	63:8,23	drafted
158:18	23:9	68:4,10	76:5,23	50:20
discretion	39:10	69:13	82:5,15	66 : 15
ary 16:21	41:19	71:11	83:10	205:3
_	47 : 23	85:9 90:1	90:11	drafting
discuss	66:19	92:15	92:3	67 : 22
6:23 8:22	73:17	100:24	94:9,11	97 : 16
21:9 51:21	76:20	112:25	102:13,15	149:21
81:2 84:6	88 : 15	118:16	103:18	194:12
86:11	107:13	119:22	104:6,9,1	197:5
125:20	122:4	121:10	4 124:23	drafts
146:15,20	125:16	123:8,22	125:22 126:3	111:16
	143:18	124:2	135:6	
discussed	144:15	126:11	147:1	drawings
6 : 22	181:6	131:12	155:5	219 : 15
9:1,11	187:2	141:22	163:24	drive
37:9	190:20	149:9	167:8	233:20
53:14	disoriente	171:23 184:7	177:2	due 72:24
65:21	d 212:13	184:7	181:5,15	82:5
91:25	dispute	189:17,21	183:10	90:11
109:24	24:21	202:8,14	196:3	101:24
153:6		204:19	223:20	125:17,22
190:13,23 191:6,7,1	disregard	209:12,17	226:17	131:3
6 224:17	52:1	248:12	245:13	204:18
231:15	disrepair		252 : 3	
	221 : 25	documents	Double	dug 177:1
discusses	disrespect	36:10	163:10	dump
143:5	ful	38:19 39:7		232:20
discussing	238:24	45:12	doubt	during
34:2	distribute	48:22	112:8	10:19
64:18	240:20	58:17	166:25	13:3
74:17		78:12	169:1	15 : 20
92:20	distribute	100:8,12	170:16	21:10
149:5	d 70:4	116:8	182:7,13 183:9	26:20
188:3	146:21	123:3	183:9	48:8
discussion	Distributi	127:13	210:14	84:25
7:16 17:2	on 128:1	183:19		99:1 , 17
48:5 49:2	divided	189:12	draft	117:8
52:14	40:13	198:17	69:15,16,	127 : 22
74:21		233:11	20 72:3	136:12
	dividend		75 : 24	150:7

	. IC COLLINGWO	OD	1 age 272	OI JI4
185:9	178:1	Edwin 2:11	108:8	35:18,21,
207:16	Ed 9:3	effect	elected	22
237:4,18	12:17,22	132:11	215:21,23	36:3,8,17
239:14	15:16,21	142:8	216:7,10	37:1,3,5,
242:25	18:9	144:19	217:15	11,15,16
249:11	20:10		228:11,23	49:24
duties 7:6	29:18	effective	,25	56:22 , 24
8:13	34:16	154:25	229:5,13	57:8,16,1
10:24	47 : 20	effectivel	231:2	7,18,25
	52:15	y 223:19	238:14	58 : 7
dysfunctio	53:1,2	effectiven		59:16,17
nal	54:7	ess 102:3	election	61:23
224:12	55:21	202:18	46:24	64:17,21
	73:24	202:10	233:22	65:14,16
E	74:7 79:6	effort	electronic	72 : 8
earlier	89:17,18,	163:24	122:12	75:21,22
38:10	20	211:12	element	77:24
62:13	91:6,7,17	212:11	203:25	79:17,21
79:24	104:4	efforts		80:1
81:3	109:20,24	164:14,19	elements	82 : 18
88:25	115:10	ЕНН0000010	105:9	90:2,5,10
99:18	123:11		202:16	,16
102:13	152:5	4 : 8	eleventh	91:1,3,15
137:12	164:3,23	EHH10	247:5	93:25
143:11	169:6	209:12	else 44:9	98:23
149:17	170:9,16,	eight	58:25	99:5
156:2,7	22 171:3	28:14	69:2 81:7	101:4,7,1
159:12	172:22	235:19,21	82 : 9	2,16,24
164:4	173:4	,23	91:10	102:23
190:19	176:8	238:10,14		105:8 107:3
197:8	181:6,7	239:6	120:8	111:12
204:8	182:6	either	129:5	113:7
223:21	183:12	13:10,14	147:1	121:24,25
230:22	184:20,24	23:15	elsewhere	123:2
241:25	, 25	27:5 30:1	60:6	124:18
245:15	185:19	31:18	135:10	125:4
251:9	188:25	73:17,19	179:20	132:3,22
	192:25	94:7		133:25
early	193:3,6	123:11,15	email	1/7.21
51:15	205:23	125:8	17:12,18,	161:23,25
93:14,22	210:19	127:9	19	162:24
102:19	211:19	144:15	18:11,15	164:5
171:10,14	Eddie 47:2	170 : 15	19:10,24	170:8,9,1
203:15	Ed's 18:8	215:5	29:8,13,1	3 1./
218:10	52 : 18	227:3	5,16,17,2 2	172 : 1
221:12	55:10	233 : 7	30:8,13,2	180:19
easy 29:23	79:7,11,1	244:23	2,25	181:19 , 22
232:6	3 122:3	249:14	31:18	183:3,5
echos	163:7	either/or	33:3,8,13	187:16
			34:9	195:20,22
			J4.9	

INQUINI	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 2/3	01 314
, 23	,10,16	engaging	Eric 2:17	events
198:5,14	110:3,4,1	219:12	especially	98:3,11
202:11	5 132:3	engineerin	30:19	eventually
206:1,2,7	150:1	g 8:14	45:18	160:17,21
207:9	158:6,17	22:21	60:21	170:12
209:11,18	162:3,11	94:24,25	99:17	222:21
210:5	163:14	157:12	99.11	248:25
emailed	164:9	169:7	essence	
36:24	172:2		211:17	everybody
195:8	179:25	engineers	essent	27 : 22
	181:11	106:21	48:15	120:19
emails	187:1,16	enjoyable		194:14
54:15	188:15	99:9	essentiall	199:25
57 : 9	192:7,20		y 152:11	237 : 6
70:24	193:1,3,8	enquiries	establishe	240:14
72:2,23	,20,25	17:4	d 6:25	251 : 25
75 : 5	195:9	173:12,19	21:21	everyone
80:24	198:5	174:6	estimate	18 : 7
90:20	202:13	ensure	37:7	76 : 24
102:23	209:20	139:21	67:13	86 : 20
124:7	210:6	176:9,16		180:3
185:12,18	EMC's 7:10	ensuring	estimates	
201:6		25:8	42:19,23	everything
243:5	emergency		60 : 22	18:5
email's	28:17	entail	65:5,25	82:5,9
208:3	employed	42:15	105:11	99:7
EMC 7:4,13	220:3	enterprise	187:9	103:1 108:2
8:16,18	EMT 17:22	16:16	et 168:16	
9:8 10:4	164:5	entire	172:2	126 : 5 141:3
11:4,23		8:20	185:22	171:4
12:8	Enclose	16:16	186:22	201:6
13:9,16	41:12	70:10,11	202:19	201:6
15:25	enclosing	112:16	evaluate	231:14
16:3	41:15	204:19	95:10	
19:8,12	42:13	212:10		evidence
20:6,9,17			evaluator	5 : 19
,22 35:24	encourage 237:10	entirely	232:18	14:13
37:2		234:23,24	evening	137:12
47:12	energies	entity	88:19	159:12
49:1,6	233:20	28:19	90:17,20	182:23
56:5,25	energy	environmen	243:4	198:8
58:23	54:13			201:20
63:17	82 : 8	tal	event 8:24	202:16
64:18		214:16	39:9	236:1
79:21	engage	equal	160:15	238:1
81:17,19	148:25	248:11,12	169:17	243:3
84:5	engaged	equally	178:22	244:14,15
86:11	219:1	53:20	192:21	,24
90:3,6	engagement		205:12,13	250 : 22
101:5,8	148:23	equated	211:19	evidence-
109:1,2,5	140.20	151:5	212:9	
100.1,4,0				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	ЭD 09-12-2019	Page 2/4	01 314
in 170:11	124:7	42:23	expertise	7:18 19:1
evidence-	excited	50:5,7	52:7 53:4	45:11,17,
in-chief	12:23	144:15	134:19	21 53:3
158:4	13:1	expectatio	168:10,13	54 : 17
		n 44:18	,17 170:3	87 : 6
160:16	exclamatio			100:9
173:16	n 163:10	48:18	explain	151:6
194:17	excused	66:4,6	9:12 16:2	164:16
evident	237:6	77:10	18:14	172:22
197:9		125:25	24:2	217:23
evolve	executive	expected	90:14	234:4
14:10	6:24 8:5	12:9	98:6	
14.10	17:20	43:20	121:3	F
evolved	22 : 20	54:23	139:12	
55:4	58:13	138:8	189:6	fa 28:12
exact	156:6 , 7	144:20	explained	fabric
218:11	157 : 22	expedite	86:14	29:11,18,
	169:6	225:15	123:15	20 36:18
exactly	244:21		1	37:8,21,2
102:22	exercise	231:16	explanatio	5 38:2,7
150:15	97:16	expedited	n 205:21	39:15
151:14	224:13	131:6	exploring	40:5
167:24	240:17	235:3	34:25	41:13,15,
188:3	248:12	247:2	177:18	25 42 : 14
246:9		expediting	express	49:19 , 25
Examinatio	exercising	227:25	46:4	68:18
n-in-	101:25	228:1		78 : 11
chief 3:6	exerting	233:19	expressed	103:19
5:8	182:6		94:5	107:22
	exhaustive	expeditiou	163:5,14	108:6
example		s 232:1	174:25	174:13
65:7	223:4	experience	expressing	fabricatio
167:19	227:8,19	37 : 23	162:25	
171:7	exhibit	119:17	164:13	n 105:23
178:5	4:2 17:13	133:22		fabric-
examples	29:12,14	214:14	extended	type 77:5
103:3	40:20	217:7,16	224:11	78:17 , 18
113:23	75:16	231:22	229:12	fabulous
exception	161:17,18	233:3,4	extensive	237:8
227:14	170:7	239:23	181:20	
44/14	Exhibits	240:17	extent	face
excerpt	3:3 4:1		60:20	225:5,13
42 : 5		experience	167:15	facil
excerpted	existing	d 205:20	170:22	223:2
121:24	197:4	211:18	211:2	
	expand	234:13		facilitate
exchange	52 : 8	experience	external	d 39:11
17:12,18	130:5	s 171:15	232:17	facilities
37:4,11,1		240:1	extra 13:1	16:8,12
6 124:10	expanded		247:10	18:21
exchanged	240:5	expert		22 : 16
	expect	69:8	extremely	32:3,5,23

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 09-12-2019	Page 2/5	OI 314
33:19	166:5	216:25	194:15	31:7
34:12	171 : 22	220:3	f 00.12	44:25
38:25	175:16	221:22	fear 98:13 99:17	47 : 13
40:11	193:19	224:10	99:17	74:10
43:10	194:22	228:23	feasible	88:6
44:14	202:21	232:24	52 : 20	89:10,21
46:21	203:9	239:13	February	130:11
49:8 52:6	208:13	fall 166:9	153:2	136:15
55:8 66:7	211:2	IAII 100:9		137:23,24
80:5	216:20	familiar	Federal	138:3,4
82:20,23	217:17	22:3,7	219:16	145:6
87:16	218:9	24:7	feed 148:9	152:23
96:7	219:12	28:13	feedback	163:20
110:17	220:16	32:22	93:10	166:21
116:3,25	221:2	37 : 25	135:9	172:9
120:8	223:18	44:13	147:10	173:19
138:2,7	224:3	55 : 17	148:1,7	181:7
141:16	230:25	59:10	149:18	209:22
152:13	233:6,14	66:25	236:19,22	210:17
154:22,25	245:13	67 : 4		227:16
169:8	251:11	68 : 17	feel 55:23	237:25
229:16	factual	90:25	98:7,17	Feversham
240:3	111:7,9	174:13	110:1	225:9
facility	·	204:5	134:24	
29:4	failed	219:10,19	165:3,23,	Field
34:15	224:22	,20	24 179:8	219:6
82:24	fair	220:11	200:17	220:22
122:20	105:24	251:3	215:14	224:2
181:2	170:22	families	217:6,15,	fielding
211:23	189:4	215:4	16 244:16	135:21
218:18	204:7,23	family	249:21	figures
219:5,17	211:1 , 17	17:4 18:7	feeling	81:11
220:10,21	226:22	19:18	19:2	
222:2,14,	227:23	99:14	54:1,2	file
16 223:3	228:15	216:1	56:9	114:14
229:25	229:22	229:14	130:9	filed
236:20	230:20	233:16	212:12	124:9
237:1,8,9	231:24	237:2	214:20	files
facing	234:9		251 : 11	114:18
17:3	238:21,22	farm 37:23	fees	122:3
	246:14	fashion	126:18	
fact 48:6	fairly	238:16	fell 56:7	filing
56:9 81:5	56 : 24	Fast-		120:11
117:22	181 : 20	forward	fellow	final 66:4
126:2	221:13	223:10	162:11	70:7 71:2
157:1,18	223:4		163:14	83:23
158:9	232:24	favour	felt 11:18	101:19
159:25	fairness	10:7	18 : 22	108:20
161:15	185:6	173:20	19:6,7	111:16
162:12,23	188:18	239:4	20:13,16	112:10
164:13	T00:TΩ	favourable	,	139:25
1				

140:19	70:6	213:17	68:1	fortunate
142:10	73:12	230:13	78:11 , 19	215:1,2
144:8,13	111:14	239:3	118:20	forward
166:9	finite	flat 84:2	122:24	24:7
167:4	240:20		128:14	46:14,18,
189:8,12,		fledged	150:19	20 62:25
17,21	fire	82 : 4	154:24	64:1
190:5	222:21	fleet	186:9,15	66:21
194:15	232:6	22:15	187 : 22	76:15,25
198:1,2,2	fired	32:3	198:7	79:14
0 205:4	99:21	110:16	205:4	81:22
235:8	firm 57:2	169:7	241:24	88:6 91:8
finalized	134:4	flexibilit	formal 7:8	95:16
111:6	6: + 0 - 17	y 185:3	9:16	115:7
212:18	first 8:17	236:9	21:20	117:18
243:4	14:13,19		104:3	132:20
finalizing	16:5	flies	119:9	133:9,16,
247:5	28:25	216:13	formalized	25 134:4
	29:7	flow 98:3	149:3	136:2
finally	30:13 40:13	flying		137:24
20:11	41:9	54 : 15	formally	148:16
98:25	48:23		64:6	154:11
99:5,13	55:6 57:8	FOCP	format	158:11 , 14
186:13	62:16	121:12	187 : 4	161:1
229:15	69:16,20	focus 49:7	formation	163:18
finance	70:12	136:20	156:5	177 : 10
25:13	71:19	203:13,20		179:3 , 5
181:3	92:23	217 : 24	formed	180:9,24
231:5	101:23	focused	86:22	181:1
finances	109:2,7	53:24	87:19	192:21
142:8	131:17		114:5	196:21
144:20	132:12	focusing 233:19	former	197:14
financial	152:13		221:2,16,	201:11,18
148:14	161:8	focussed	22	220:20
151:1	181:4	203:3,7	formerly	221:15
	186:11	217:24	218:8	230:18
financing	203:14	FOI	£	231:14
142:13	207:19	119:8,9	forms 78:14	235:13 244:22
finding	214:9	follow-up	102:1	
73:18	240:5	242:17	103:20	forwarded
130:18	first-hand		105:20	36:25
fine 98:18	230:17	foremost	107:5,16	93:2
140:6		228:4	•	forwarding
	Fisher	forestall	formulated	29:9
finger	219:6 220:22	198:15	109:8	forwards
224:12	220:22	forgetting	forth	29:22
finish		177:8	18:20	
119:10	fitness		57:9 88:8	Foundation
finished	222:4	form 38:7 58:5 60:2	121:16	35:14 36:10
	five 99:18	30.3 00:2	185:12	20:10

INGOIN	i ie edhlindwed	D 07 12 2017	rage 277	OI JII
38:19	69:9	4,17,25	194:1,4,8	115:15
58:17	85:10,15,	166:11,15	,10,16,21	Friday
61:17	19	,21,24	195:5,15,	70:23
62:17	97:2,9,13	167:7 , 12	19,24	71:4 73:8
64:12	,19,24	168:6,15,	196:2,6,9	113:20
68:4	98:10	20 , 25	,11,24	247:7
69:13	99:4,22	169:16,21	197:7,12,	
85:9 90:1	115:14,18	,24	18,24	friends
92:15	116:11,16	170:6,21	198:13,19	121:13
100:8,12,	118:6	171:1,9,1	, 25	215:6
24 112:25	137:4,8	2,17,21	199:4,8,1	216:1
118:16	138:11	172:4,8,1	2,16,20	229:14
119:22	139:10	1,17	200:1,6,1	233:16
124:2	140:2,5	173:6,9,1	3,20	239:8
126:11	155:9,15	5,22,25	201:9,16,	front
127:12	207:23	174:8,18,	19,23	49:13
131:12	208:2,7	24	202:1,6	69:13
141:22	213:6,10,	175:5,13,	203:6,12,	251:10
149:9	14 228:16	19,23	19	frustrated
171:23	235:18,25	176:2,7,1	204:3,11,	20:14
183:19	241:3	2,20,25	21	51:25
184:7	247:23	177:4,13,	205:2,11,	52:3,4
198:17	248:3,7,1	22	17	210:15
202:7	4,22	178:9,12,	206:6,15,	
fourteen	251:14,17	16,21,25	23,25	frustratio
223:20	,21	179:7,11,	207:7,13,	n 52:16
	Fred 156:1	14,21,24	25	53:7,18,2
fourth		180:3,7,1	208:5,9,1	0 54:3
102:7	Frederick	1,15	2,13,25	162:6
frame	2:11 3:8	181:8,17	209:10,16	205:19,21
18:17	155:13,21	182:12,15	210:22,25	,23,25
102:23	,24,25 156:9,17,	,19,22	211:7,11,	210:24
framed	19,25	183:2,5,1	16	211:1,18,
133:4	157:9,13,	6,22	212:4,8,1	25
	21,25	184:3,16	6,19,22	212:9,23,
framing 81:15	158:3,12,	185:6,17,	213:3	25
1 01:13	100.01121	21,25		frustratio
	16.24	·	freedom	
Frank 1:7	16,24 159:3.11.	187:14,18	freedom 118:20	ns 53:10
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12	159:3,11,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1		
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18	159:3,11, 18,21,24	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2	118:20	ns 53:10 162:14
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2	118:20 119:3 120:11	ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13,	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19	118:20 119:3 120:11	ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15 60:1,17	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22 162:9,18,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18 191:1,10,	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent 65:2	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10 fulfilling 47:19</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15 60:1,17 61:9,12	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22 162:9,18, 21,22	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18 191:1,10, 15,21,25	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent 65:2 184:14,17	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10 fulfilling 47:19 full 63:5</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15 60:1,17 61:9,12 62:5	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22 162:9,18, 21,22 163:3,12,	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18 191:1,10, 15,21,25 192:6,11,	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent 65:2 184:14,17 ,18 185:9	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10 fulfilling 47:19 full 63:5 70:20</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15 60:1,17 61:9,12 62:5 63:20	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22 162:9,18, 21,22 163:3,12, 17,20,23	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18 191:1,10, 15,21,25 192:6,11, 15,18,23	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent 65:2 184:14,17 ,18 185:9 frequently	<pre>ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10 fulfilling 47:19 full 63:5</pre>
Frank 1:7 33:1,7,12 34:8,18 35:2,9 49:11 50:4,19 51:4 59:15 60:1,17 61:9,12 62:5	159:3,11, 18,21,24 160:8,11, 14,20,24 161:6,14, 22 162:9,18, 21,22 163:3,12, 17,20,23 164:12,18	187:14,18 188:2,6,1 2,17,21,2 4 189:3,13, 16,19 190:1,9,1 5,18 191:1,10, 15,21,25 192:6,11,	118:20 119:3 120:11 frequency 184:11 185:7 frequent 65:2 184:14,17 ,18 185:9	ns 53:10 162:14 fulfil 7:5 fulfill 106:10 fulfilling 47:19 full 63:5 70:20 82:4

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	JD 09-12-2019	Page 2/8	OI 314
157:16	gather	136:2,3	government	89:11
158:9	211:22	171:6	218:18	130:16
160:4		177:17	219:16,22	134:17
201:5	gathered	179:5	231:25	136:3
208:21	89:16	187 : 7		138:12
224:19	146:23	204:6	granted	139:17
240:19	gathering	233:3	28:8	148:14
245:11	105:10	238:2	great	214:17
	general		19:16	226:23
fully 8:23	26:7,13	given 14:2	103:6	249:22
82:6	29:2	38:23	231:5	
166:22	128:16	46:5		guidance
169:21		93:21	greater	170 : 23
226:5	162:6	149:18	11:12	171:19,23
244:21	179:15	150:15	128:13	172:19,20
function	generally	151:16	226:2	guideline
22:18	7:15,20,2	157:15,16	greatest	21:11,14,
25:12	4 10:23	174:19	231:24	20,21
168:1,4	14:8	175:2	Green	22 : 5
· ·	25:18	181:11	58:19	25:1,10
functions	50:22	187:4	127:4,8,1	
10:21	53:15	191:16	4,25	gun-shy
168:8	67:8 69:3	194:17	128:3,6,2	150:11
fund	96:11	197 : 25	4	guy 171:13
142:15	113:20	206:17	129:5,16,	240:11
funding	115:22,24	234:6	23 130:19	guys
140:23,24	116:6,8	gives	182:24	211:12
141:15	119:6	95:20 , 21	249:8	211,12
218:18	146:6	153:15		——
219:15,22	147:14	giving	grew 37:23	
220:10,13	149:23	94:7	211:2	half
240:8	167:18	94.7	group 8:21	225:17
	214:19	glad	21:2,4	hall 1:17
funds	215:22	238:23	53 : 17	11:5,6,10
141:4,8,1	247:10	gone 223:3	81:20	, 20 12:2
3,18 143:25	generated	227:2	89:23	17:3
144:5,8,2	41:6	goodness	117:19	112:21
2 145:16	123:8	90:24	121:12	hand
		90:24	198:5	113:10,15
146:11,19	gentleman 156:21	google	212:10	,24 200:9
147:3,11	130:21	103:1	grow 12:21	228 : 22
147.3,11	George 2:9	googler	_	240:4
150:6,25	213:8	102:25	growth	
151:17,20	gets 15:19		12:24	handling
,23		googling	151:24	118:23
154:21	getting	103:1	guess	hands
101.21	18:21	governance	54:18	168:17
	44:7,8	63 : 15	55 : 16	201:15
G	75:9,11	94:16	56:4 65:8	240:2
gained	83:9 109:18	governing	68:24	handwritte
104:23	109:18	7:9	85:11	n
	113:1			

	TE COLLINGWOO		rage 279	OI JI4
122:2,7,1	43:1 45:9	38:15	herding	holding
3,23	49:25	103:13	216:13	75:10
123:8	50:11	128:2	here's	holidays
happen	65 : 5	178:1	171:7	48:2
95:15	66:25	216:13		90:19
100:19	68:24	251:15,18	Heritage	207:6
117:8	71:5	hearing	29:19	
120:8	74:21	89 : 17	152:14	honestly
143:24	78 : 2	103:13	he's 37:6	32:10
154:13,16	88:7,14	213:25	53 : 25	39 : 8
237:25	91:11		72:3 87:6	187:6
238:7,8	94:13	hearings	90:7	Honour
	96:21	181:5	96:22,23	34:11
happened	110:20	heavily	105:1	118:2
10:9 36:8	112:7	231:8	126:16	155:14 , 22
90:23	125 : 7	he'd	171:12,13	202:2
102:19	153 : 23	163:21,23	181:22	213:3 , 9
105:19	174:2	165:4	187 : 13	240:24
117:17	222 : 8	170:17	hey 191:11	247 : 22
126:6	232 : 5		_	250 : 6
131:6	238 : 6	heightened	hide	HONOURABLE
134:11	head 8:20	226:6	182:24	33:1,7,12
153:22 154:3	22:7,9	held 1:16	high	34:8,18
166:1	24:11	5 : 17	221:13	35:2,9
231:20	25:3 , 24	20:24	highways	49:11
239:4	26:4 27:6	45:23	240:5	50:4,19
248:8,24	29:7 69:6	79:4		51:4
	110:22,23	236:24	hindsight	59 : 15
happy 23:9	111:3	help 50:23	44:23	60:1,17
62:2	119:2	53:18	81:16	61:9 , 12
248:16	165:10	98:24	95 : 24	62 : 5
hard 18:4	169:12	113:19	203:2,4	63 : 20
53:3	230:1	119:8	hire	64 : 8
112:20,21	241:17	137:9,16	168:21,22	68:8 , 23
, 22	242:9,22	139:13	hired	69:9
216:16	243:2	218:25	22:15	85:10,15,
harder	244:7	231:11	168:3	19
99:3	heads 25:4	237:19		97:2,9,13
	110:18	helped	historical	,19,24
hardworkin	111:11,13	26:1	ly 158:19	98:10
g 215:3	, 19	50:25	history	99:4,22
harness	112:9,10		218:6	115:14,18
162:12	241:21,25	helpful	hit 63:4	116:11,16
haven't	243:7,15,	141:1		118:6
82:14	18,19	190:2	hitting	137:4,8
139:15	hear	helping	54:1	138:11
	236:18	52 : 18	hockey	139:10
having		hence	178:6	140:2,5
22:12	heard	31:15	225:10	155:9,15
24:21	14:12	75:13	hold 18:19	207:23
30:19	18:24	10.10	11010 10.19	

INQUIN	THE COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	raye 200	01 314
208:2,7	74:15	Hume	117:4	136:14
213:6,10,	79:21	154 : 22	141:15	137:20
14 228:16	80:1,4,10		153 : 4	138:17
235:18,25	86:13,24	hundred	218:3	140:9,11
241:3	87:3,11	16:18		143:4
247:23	91:6,11	114:13	identifies	150:21
248:3,7,1	93:1,14	hurdle	140:21	152:1,5,1
4,22	104:4,6	174:2	141:3	0 155:3
251:14,17	113:8	The man hami a	identify	160:2
,21	115:11	Hurontario	218:13	164:23
	120:23	1:19	: d: - :	171:5
hopefully	124:11	hydro	identifyin	172:21
20:18	125:1,4	45:12	g 67:15	181:6
245:20	135:14,18	130:16	145:9	182:10
hospital	138:1		152:25	185:5
240:7	143:8		I'll 15:2	190:12
		<u>i.e</u> 175:2	17 : 12	190:12
hosting	145:6 157:15		70:17	197:25
239:24		ice 29:21	208:4	
Houghton	159:4	43:6 47:1	209:3	199:8,22 203:24
2:11	160:1,12,	52 : 23	217:22	
7:1,5,18	16,25	178:8,23	218:23,24	204:15
8:2,3,8,1	162:13,17	179:5,6,1	221:24	206:1,22
0,23 9:10	,23 163:5	6 196:25	248:22	208:9
10:19	164:14,19	229:21	I'm 11:15	209:5
11:9 12:4	169:6	I'd 6:2		210:12
13:11,20	170:10	19:19	17:2	213:24
14:3,6,7	174:5,21	88 : 25	18:2,3	214:14
15:2,6,11	176:13	110:7	28:12	215:15
20:21	181:11,22	151 : 12	31:14 44:13	216:18
22:20	182:8,9,1	187:8		219:10,20
29:9,10,2	3 184:20	198:11	47:25 58:21	220:2,23
2	185:19	235:16		221:9
30:4,5,14	209:18	238:13	59:3 62:2 66:24	222:22
,23	Houghton's			223:7
31:3,5,12	14:14,21	idea 30:19	67:18 68:24	225:3
, 17 32:20	15:9	34:4,21	72:22	226:23 228:13
33:9	51:19	36:12	73:6 82:3	
35:23	52 : 4,7	107:18	87:14 , 22	230:3
36:24,25	65 : 17	109:6	88:3	234:12
37:5,6,11	163:15	129:1	92:11	235:2,24
,15 38:17	hour	157:14	93:5 94:1	236:20
47:6,18	220:16	173:20 226:1	102:25	238:22
51:17	247:5		106:1	239:20
53:21		248:8 250:2	111:15	240:1,12
54:2,22	hours		118:2,3,4	
55:13	247:15	ideas	119:19	248:16,21
56:6,12	how's	50:13	122:21	,24
57:14,17	134:2	identified	123:17	250:1,21
58:2 59:1	huge 18:25	43:25	125:2,3,4	
61:24	145:9	106:11	133:11	immediatel
64:18,24				y 153:20

	. Te COLLINGWOC	00 12 2010	raye Zoi	OI JI4
194:22	inappropri	187:1	194:17	2
205:3	ate 54:21	202:13	198:6	influence
207:3	59 : 25	212:10	201:20	95:16
208:15	174:21	inclusive	206:8	96:23
immersed	195:16 , 22	102:5,6	230:23	239:2
244:21	200:10	·	243:25	
	incentive	incorporat	indicates	influencin
impede	181:14	e 132:9	181:22	g 239:4
66:20		incorporat		influentia
imperative	in-chief	ed 87:22	individual	1 12:22
139:9	182:23	110:19	12:23	87 : 3
164:11	201:20	243:20	21:16	inform
236:7	202:16	incorrect	22:19,23	37 : 15
implementa	include	243:10	25:7 31:13	133:24
tion	132:5	244:3	62:18	
135:23	186:20		93:21	informally
	195:21	increase	94:8,23	8:22
implicatio	210:18	43:10	94:0,23 95:21	informatio
ns 145:15	included	158:8	96:15	n 16:9
importance	24:19	increased	121:11	18:20
43:8	26:2 50:2	120:12	146:7	23:6
49:18	94:1	126:6	147:12	43:16,22
215:13,14	101:18	increasing	151:6	44:7,8
important	105:10	240:7	172:23	45 : 18
18:10	111:24		178:5,13	46:8,11
33:16	112:18	indeed	225:12	53:5 , 22
43:11	114:1	197:19	237 : 5	54:16
137:22	116:23	indefinite		55 : 25
152:24	117:13	13:24	individual	58:16
163:9,15	143:20	14:4	ly 94:13	63 : 11
164:23	144:21	independen	234:19	64:5 65:3
215:2	150:23	tly 9:6	individual	72:4,12
226:12	152:23	-	s 11:5	73 : 6
234:4,5,1	188:15	independen	21:2 43:1	74:5,24,2
7 236:11	193:13,16	t-minded	82:23	5 75:24
248:20	195:12,18	238:10	118:18	77:11
impression	, 23	indicate	119:8	79:8
26:7	199:24	181:21	123:15	82:18
91:19	202:23	186:17	129:3	83:9
128:15	205:5	indicated	162:25	89:6,9,13
250:20	includes	65:24	174:5	,14,15,21
251:19	245:17	74:23	235:11	98:21
	including	100:3	industry	103:2,8,1 5
improper	93:10	160:17	157:5	104:11,20
159:15	118:20	164:20	Inf 124:8	114:11,20
improved	131:19	165:7		114:9
46:25	150:5	167:14	infamiliar	118:18,21
inadverten	167:2,9	184:13	68:16	119:3,7
tly 234:6	172:1	188:18	inflated	120:5,11,
	175:16	192:24	250:5,9,1	12,19
				-2, -3

	i ie eellinewe	OB 09 12 2019	rage 202	OI JI4
124:8,13	49:20	135:12	104:15	18:22
125:12	139:1	215:13	interprete	24:6 29:1
126:8	174:22	intend	d	51 : 23
127:1	Inquiry	246:18	167:20,21	52:11,15,
133:19	1:3 2:3,4		·	19 53:1
135:25	5:20,24	intended	interprete	56 : 13
143:10,14	10:25	14:3	r 167:16	65 : 13
,19	14:12	intent	interrupti	67 : 22
145:1,3	18:13	224:19	ng 237:6	81:12
147:16,19	69:16	intention	_	87 : 7
185:13,15	156:2,3	216:5	intimately	88:21
,16	159:12		24:7	92:7 94:7
211:22	184:10	intentiona	52:11,19 55:17	96:2
212:1	202:15	11y 173:3	59:10	100:9,13
214:4,7,2	218:4	interactio	66:24	127:9
3 220:17	222:13	ns 59:1	70:12	128:6,9
231:1	238:2	124:20	87:7 96:2	129:6,16
236:21	249:7,10	251 : 13		133:13
245:22	inspector	interest	intimidate	146:10
246:7,8	222:21	20:18	d 238:15	149:20
249:23		20:10	introduces	157:3,8
informatio	install	96:6	42 : 5	158:6
n's 76:23	132:15	227:24	inventory	175:11
initial	installati	229:23	49:5	185:18
38:17	on 37:8	238:21	62:22	187:11 192:25
61:1	197:2			194:12
66:14	instance	interested	investigat	197:14
93:2	10:3,4	58:22	ed 150:24	221:14
133:6	67:9	205:24 216:18	154:23	222:16
initially	73:16		investigat	
45:9	115:4	interests	ing 38:13	involvemen
134:6	117:10	95 : 14 , 15	105:22	t 52:5
144:25	239:6	214:21	162:1	54:9,23
156:5	instances	216:21	investigat	57:4
159:5	9:23	226:18	ive 102:3	58:7 , 20
		239:22	161:15	67 : 23
initiated	instill	interferin		127:14
36:20	139:19	g 249:14	investing	128:10 130:15
initiative	instincts	interim	151 : 23	177:6
8:25 11:8	200:21	157:19	investment	182:24
initiative	instrument	159:10	151 : 25	249:8,19
s 226:20	al 98:14		invitation	250:2
	100:4	intermitte	193:13	
input		ntly		irrespecti
11:21	insulated	230:13	invited	ve
95:22	196:20	internally	48:24	216:15,22
146:18,21	197:3	63:2	51:15	Irwin
147:17	integrity	Internet	involved	193:1
150:6	96:14	102:15	8:11 11:2	isn't 6:19
inquiries	99:11		16:12	
I	I			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	00 09-12-2019	Page 283	O1 314
183:3	125:16	24:8,12,1	72:11,14,	117:10
246:8	231:22	6	17	118:2,12,
	239:6	25:6,15,1	73:9,16	13
issue 35:1	249:5	8	74:6,14,2	119:12,19
73:14		26:6,13,1	2	120:1,6,9
107:8		5,20,25	75:15,20	121:1,9,2
109:20	JANE 5:6	27:12,19	76:9,17	2 122:22
165:7	JANE 3:6	28:2,22	77:3,8	123:1,7,1
191:4	January	30:21	78:9	4,18,21
203:24	18:17,18	31:2,11,1		124:1,6,2
244:13	19:25	6,21	80:3,8,12	4
249:8,13	98:23	32:7,19,2		125:7,10,
issues	126:19	4	82:10	19
7:17 8:22	127:1	35:12,13,	83:12	126:1,10,
11:15	163:4,13	18	84:3,24	15,25
82:2	job 19:23	36:7,16,2	85:3,8,13	127:16,24
127:20	55:2,7,10	2	,17,21	128:5,14,
135:21	,17 99:18	37:14,19	86:1,2,23	22
149:24	119:2	38 : 5,16	87:10,24	129:2,13,
231:7	161:1,12	39:4,14,1	88:12,20	22
249:16	169:8	9,24	89:12,25	130:5,18,
it'd 68:21	215:15	41:18	91:2,9,13	24
	216:3	42:3,21	,18,24	131:11,16
item 8:24	222:17	43:18	92:8,14,1	132:22
9:19	228:25	44:2,5,17	9 93:20	133:22
41:8,12		45:5,20	94:5	134:2,10,
55:6	John 2:4	46:3,9,17		22
122:1	3:6	47:5,16,2	96:10,19,	135:8,14
items 8:24	5:3,8,9,1	2 48:4,14	25	136:16
15:19	2,16,19,2	49:9	100:1,2,1	137:3,7
16:14	3	51:7,8,13	1,23	140:8,9,1
28:15,20	6:2,7,12,	53:8,13	101:3,15,	7
41:8	17,20	54:5,18	20,23	141:17,21
42:11,19	8:1,15	55:12 , 15	103:10,16	142:1,18,
43:5	9:4,12,21	56:3,11,1	104:5,13,	23
50:18	10:2,13,1	6,21 57:7	21 105:6	143:3,17,
51:2	8 11:24	58:4,21	106:2,7,1	22
116:24	12:7,13,1 8	59:4,8,14	6 107:2	144:4,18
186:22		61:15,16,	108:12,19	145:2,13,
203:10	13:3,8,15	21	, 25	18,23
229:20	,20 14:1,12,2	62:11,12	109:7,23	146:9,14
249:22	14:1,12,2	63:7,19	110:2,8,1	147:23
iterations	15:1,7,23	64:10,11,	1	148:5,17,
200:24		16 65:14	111:8,18	21
	17:6,11,1 7	66:2,11,1	112:1,12,	149:4,8,1
I've 12:23	19:4,9,24	6	24	3,20
49:12	20:5,20	67:6,14,1	113:5,25	150:3,18,
82:5	20:5,20	8 68:3	114:22	22
91:23	22:2,22	69:11,12	115:3,8,1	151:10,15
93:4	23:16,20,	70:1,17	2	,22
103:25	23:16,20,	71:12,17	116:19,20	152:3,7,1
1	۷ ک			

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	JD 09-12-2019	Page 204	01 314
7	38:18,20	34:4		latitude
154:2,12,	39:2	37 : 23	L	234:7,18
15,20	49:25	38:1	lack	law 234:14
155:4,7	152 : 10	43:10	228:12	236:15
162:16	153 : 3	44:12	laid	
join 12:19	170:9	47:9	151:14	lawyers
	junior	53 : 17		248:18
joint	32:17	59:9	laminated	lay 231:1
28:18	58 : 12	60:13	114:14	_
Ju 97:2		67:7 71:1	landed	lays 28:13
judge	Justice	75:8 , 11	190:24	LDC 171:13
62:24	1:7 100:3	76:14,22,	language	lead 79:7
218:2	justificat	24 77 : 25	195 : 9	176 : 15
225:23	ion 89:17	84:13,15	244:5,6	204:23
226:4	139:25	98:14	•	231:21
236:12	justified	99:6	large	loodomakin
	87 : 5	100:4	215:9,24	leadership 8:21
JUDICIAL		102:20	231:3	8:21 16:14
1:3	justify	103:22	232:22	
July 39:25	49:23	105:13,15	largest	leading
41:19	88:5	,21	141:4	108:3
42:6	105:1	109:19,20	Larry	208:17
45:13	126:7,9	127:18,22	193:3,6	249:11
46:16		128:8,9		Leaf
48:23	K	145:6 171:4	last 57:19	127:4,8,1
49:22	Kate 2:3	175:10	59:17 89:22	5 , 25
60:22,23	keen	178:4,18	97:4	128:3 , 25
77:9	46:13 , 18	179:2	107:2	129:6,16,
102:20	178:7,19,	201:1	181:19,24	23 130:19
109:18	21	220:12	226:21	Leaf's
141:11	179:8,12	224:8	240:12	58:20
175:2,17 176:23	keeper	230:7		128:6
180:22	167:15	lenovel odgo	late	182:24
206:1,2,1	170:2	knowledge 15:4	73:4,7	249:8
7	1 70 - 1 2	22:25	74:23 83:19	learn
207:4,21	key 79:12 88:7	38:5	84:1	126:2
208:8,16,	180:23	128:23	112:6	
23 211:4	212:15		218:10	learned
	218:3	known	221:12	125:11
juncture	229:20	14:11	243:4	239:14
163:1 175:25		28:16		learning
179:16	killing	89:1	later	67 : 9
195:16	99:12	177:14	46:8,11	least
198:3	160:2	218:8	74:12	20 : 22
205:15	Kim 99:19	219:5	130:14	28 : 5
	156 : 11	KPMG 62:18	134:9	54:6,19,2
June 29:17	kinds	63:5,7	143:6 186:6	0 60:24
35:20,21	233:4	64:1	202:21	65 : 15
36:24	knew 33:16	161:5,7	202:21	139:6
37:1,5,20	VIIEM 22:10	232:12	207.0	169:17
	l l			I

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	rage 203	01 314
177:14	93:2	liaison	38:10	238:12
178:1	101:7	94:19	85 : 11	Lloyd's
193:19	120:23	liberties	92 : 20	177:6
222:9	124:12	226:2	94:17	
leave 97:3	125:1,5	220:2	130:15	loading
	138:24	life 65:9	134:9	6:9
leaving	142:4	lights	144:24	locate
150:14	150:23	166 : 5	150:11	219:4
led 18:15	151 : 6		161:23	
130:25	155:5	likely	165:11	locations
176:13	169:3	46:15	168:2,21	224:2
218:7,22	184:20	180:1	169:1	long
246:20	185:22	185:4	170:12,23	13:21,25
	192:2,9,2	204:17	171:19	14:2
legal	5	226:16	174:2	84:20
26:22	193:16,23	228:3	196:12	137:14
27:1,4,10	,24	like-	203:10	154:7
,13 28:1	202:23	minded	209:17	214:10
legislatio	203:16	215:15	210:4,12,	227:18,19
n 234:8			15 212:12	,22
236:8	Leonard's	limited	214:9	230:13
	101:24	14:15,20	217:14	231:23
legislatio	105:7	limits	218:24	233:17
ns 235:5	107:3	22:8	240:9	
leisure	202:11	line 55:9	243:12	longer
34:11	less 51:23	73:25	247:12,17	71:7 81:6
55:3	114:9	98:18		86:6
136:10	224:17	174:25	live 217:4	87:1,25
214:17	226:15	175:1,7,9	lives	88:15
218:7	227 : 2	186:4	136:24	115:20
239:25	247:15	225:24	Lloyd	116:4
lengthy	let's		29:10 , 17	160:18
56:24	108:15	lines	30:15	222:23
219:2	199:13	60:22	33:10	233:11
219.2		142:9	35:23	long-term
Leonard	letter	176:4,9	36:3 , 24	142:12
10:23	161:16	237:21	37:1	loop 196:4
11:20	162:10	list 3:3	38:17	211:9,13
12:5	level	4:1	93:3,9,14	212:12
51:17	16:18	41:3,6,8	94:22	
57:1	17:1	50:2	117:2	lose 19:22
69:15,21	54 : 22	153:8	170:9,17	lot 11:15
71:6,23	62:22		171:25	12:21
79:10	67 : 23	listening	174:3	15:19
84:9,14,2	217:3	23:8	177:8	16:7 17:4
5 85:4	226:6	listing	178:10,13	27:4 39:7
86:5,10		126:17,18	180:8	43:8
88:13	levels	lists	181:5	44:24
90:2,10,1	25:4	140:24	183:6,9	46:24
7	liaise		195:11,13	54:13
91:2,9,13	94:23	little	237:20	65:10 , 12
92:24		32:17	237.20	, ,
1				1

1112011(1	Te COLLINGWOO	00 12 2013	raye 200	OI JI4
73:25	16 , 19	149:24	228:16	78:20
74:1	25 : 25	191:9	235:18,25	112:17
75 : 12	26:4	192:1,9	241:3	113:14 , 17
83:10	32:1,4	193:3,6	247:23	, 21
99:10	110:16	210:10	248:3,7,1	114:1,2,5
104:10	168:3	243:24	4,22	,7,14,20,
119:4	169:10	mark	251:14 , 17	24
120:21	managing	163:10	, 21	115:5 , 9
122:11	21:4		Marrocco's	160:7
128:16,18	210:20	marked	100:3	219:23
136:13,15		161:17		220:1
,22,24	mandated	market	Marron 2:9	245:9,16
175:21,22	22:5	52:13	213:7,8	materials
,24	mandatory	marketing	marshal	120:21
184:23	16:20	115:5	227:10	
215:3	manner	110.0	marshaling	Mather 2:4
219:22	87 : 18	Marrocco	226:19	3:6
239:2,3	232:1	1:7		5:3,8,9,1
243:15		33:1,7,12	Marta 30:9	2,16,19,2
lots 103:6	manufactur	34:8,18	31:19	3
228:21	er 106:9	35:2,9	34:9,17	6:2,7,12,
	108:15	49:11	39:12	17,20
lunch	manufactur	50:4,19	47 : 20	8:1,15
118:5	ers	51:4	52:10,19,	9:4,12,21
242:25	105:12	59:15	20 53:23	10:2,13,1
<u> </u>		60:1,17	54:1	8 11:24
M	March 63:4	61:9,12	55:19,23	12:7,13,1
Ma 218:20	Margaret	62:5	111:1	8
main 157:4	35 : 24	63:20	120:24	13:3,8,15
	margin	64:8	131:24	,20 14:1,12,2
maintainin	250:13	68:8,23	193:13	14.1,12,2
g 23:1		69:9	205:20	15:1,7,23
major	Marjorie	85:10,15,	206:2,7	17:6,11,1
193:20	11:19	19	207:2,14	7
majority	90:24 92:24	97:2,9,13	209:21 210:15,21	19:4,9,24
10:4,7		,19,24 98:10	210:13,21	20:5,20
104:19	93:6 184:19	99:4,22	212:9,11	21:6
	202:11	115:14,18	·	22:2,22
manage	203:16	116:11,16	Marta's	23:16,20,
210:20		118:6	55:10	23
management	Marjory	137:4,8	96:4	24:8,12,1
6:24	51:17	138:11	211:19,21	6
13:16	57:1	139:10	Martin	25:6,15,1
17:20	69:21	140:2,5	31:24	8
53:16	71:23	155:9,15	mass 216:2	26:6,13,1
156:6,7	75:4	207:23	217:16	5,20,25
157:22	84:9,22	208:2,7		27:12,19
244:21	103:23,24	213:6,10,	match	28:2,22
manager	124:11	14 218:2	180:4	30:21
22:12,13,	125:5	225:24	material	31:2,11,1
	142:4			

	ic confinemen			<u> </u>
6,21	80:3,8,12	4	matter	41:25
32:7,19,2	, 17 81:24	125:7,10,	14:15	46:13,18
4	82:10	19	26:7 69:8	49:24
35:12,13,	83:12	126:1,10,	73:20	57 : 22
18	84:3,24	15 , 25	137:14	58:9
36:7,16,2	85:3,8,13	127:16,24	138:15	59:20,21
2	,17,21	128:5,14,	162:5	93:3,14
37:14,19	86:1,2,23	22	167:13	94:6 95:6
38:5,16	87:10 , 24	129:2,13,	matters	97 : 22
39:4,14,1	88:12 , 20	22	6:21	100:9,13,
9,24	89:12 , 25	130:5,18,	9:1,10	15,18
41:18	91:2,9,13	24	11:16	101:1
42:3,21	,18,24	131:11,16	65:21	147:14
43:18	92:8,14,1	132:22	104:7	174:3
44:2,5,17	9 93:20	133:22	163:18	177:5
45:5,20	94:5	134:2,10,	167:2	178:10,13
46:3,9,17	95 : 18	22	168:12	180:8
47:5,16,2	96:10,19,	135:8,14	169:18	181:13
2 48:4,14	25	136:16	171 : 6	182:1,5,8
49:9	100:1,2,1	137:3,7	177:6	,16
51:7,8,13	1,23	140:8,9,1	180:13	183:12
53:8,13	101:3,15,	7	183:25	195:10,12
54:5,18	20,23	141:17,21		198:6
55:12,15	103:10,16	142:1,18,	maximum	216:13
56:3,11,1	104:5,13,	23	142:12	237:20
6,21 57:7	21 105:6	143:3,17,	may 20:6	238:7,12
58:4,21	106:2,7,1	22	29 : 7	MBA 151:7
59:4,8,14	6 107:2	144:4,18	98:7 , 8	McDowell
61:15,16,	108:12,19	145:2,13,	190:10	2:13
21 62:11,12	, 25	18,23	201:4	
· ·	109:7,23	146:9,14 147:23	205:22	McGrann
63:7,19 64:10,11,	110:2,8,1 1		maybe 8:23	2:3
16 65:14	111:8,18	148:5,17, 21	11:19	McNalty
66:2,11,1	112:1,12,	149:4,8,1	15:2 34:5	22:23
6	24	3,20	111:15	26:1 32:3
67:6,14,1	113:5,25	150:3,18,	114:8,9	51:18
8 68:3	114:22	22	116:4	56:25
69:11,12	115:3,8,1	151:10,15	131:2	57:1,14,1
70:1,17	2	,22	186:18	7 58:12
71:12,17	116:19,20	152:3,7,1	198:23	70:7,19
72:11,14,	117:10	7	218:23	72:2,18
17	118:2,12,	154:2,12,	247:2,3	75:23
73:9,16	13	15,20	mayor	76:2
74:6,14,2	119:12,19	155:4,7	29:10	79:10,17
2	120:1,6,9	162:16	30:15	80:9 84:6
75:15 , 20	121:1,9,2	241:19	33:11	86:11
76:9 , 17	2 122:22	matrix	35:4 , 22	90:3,6
77:3,8	123:1,7,1		36:3,24	101:8
78:9	4,18,21	122:2,8,2 3 123:16	37:1	104:4,6
79:3 , 16	124:1,6,2	2 123:10	38:16	120:23
	, ,			123:11

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 09-12-2019	raye 200	01 314
168:23	156:2	194:7,23	36:11	mentioned
169:5,11,	189:12	195:6	38:23	8:1,15
14,17	211:8	196:22	45:24	17:6,11
170:1	222:9	197:10	49:3	28:4
181:23		198:8,22	56 : 25	34:22
184:19	meeting	236:25	64:5	44:7,8
185:1,22	11:12	237:4,7	68:19	51:15
192:25	38:21	241:25	90:3	66:3
193:13,22	39:1,5,13	242:9,23	93:21	72 : 24
194:7	,16	243:2	94:13,18	74 : 22
195:10	40:1,9	247:8,11	95:2,3,13	
199:23	41:19	250:24	,23 96:15	100:11
200:3	42:6,8		100:17	102:13,14
200:3	45:10	meetings	103:18	102:13,14
206:8	48:24,25	11:11	136:9	119:12
	51:15,23	111:3	162:11	147:23
McNalty's	57:5 59:5	210:7,12	163:14	
80:19,24	60:23	211:8,9,1	177:16	177 : 5 184:5
81:4,9	64 : 25	5	180:21	184:5
84:9	71:9 77:9	member		met
169:1	84:5,8,23	7:22 10:5	182:6	8:20,21
mean 19:5	86:11,13,	11:4	184:12	49:1 74:8
46:9 70:1	17	12:15	185:3	111:4
73:10	88:2,4,5	13:8,15	193:11 202:13	176:10
77:4	90:5	19:12	202:13	method
81:13	91:24	27 : 1	210:6	126:18
98:6	101:6	30:17	215:20	
130:6	102:20	31:13	237:4,7	mezzanine
135:19	114:23	37:7 50:5	245:24	186:21
141:7	116:24	56:4	243.24	M-hm
152:21	117:2,3	58:23	membrane	166:14
203:14,20	118:17	59 : 22	102:4	182:21
244:6	125:20	95:19,21	103:19	183:1
245:7	128:17,19	96:3,12,1	105:13,17	203:18
	131:22	3 97:14	107:23	mid 237:18
meaning	144:14	98:5,16	122 : 18	
187:7	146:5,17	100:10	196:20	midday
meant 24:2	147:1,8,9	138:23,24	197:3	142:4
45:20	153:4,14,	145:25	204:1,24	middle
52:9	23	147:14	memory	45 : 10
72:7,11	154:9,11,	193:1	39:13	242:15
121:3	19 175:20	194:6	188:19	250 : 15
208:10	188:13,14	200:22	191:3,4,1	mid-June
239:1	, 19	220:7	7	180:19
249:5	189:1,6,1	234:21	mention	
media	0,15,21	247:25	19:9	midnight
136:11	190:4,14,	249:13	28:25	70:8 74:2
	20	members	28:25 72:20	111:6
meet 11:6	191:3,14,	11:14	80:20	mildly
73:24	17	17:20	81:3,5	210:14
74:13	192:1,2,8	35:23	84:7	
102:11	,9,19	55.45	01.7	military

Page	289	of	314

INQUIKI	. Te COHLINGWO	JD 09-12-2019	Page 209	OI JI4
240:8	145:11	88:13	moved	168:8
million	moment	90:21	161:1	214:11
		91:4	180:9	217:18
140:22	70:18	188:14	224:1	220:8
141:5	85:22	192:2		
142:12	92:22	195:6	movement	mutual
181:1	142:24	196:22	98:4	205:22
210:7	170:7	197:10	177:24,25	myself
211:8	177:8	197.10	180:24	23:4
220:10,21	184:8		moving	27:3,6
225:14	188:13	202:12	21:6 24:7	58:18
229:23,25	197:20	203:14	46:13,18,	103:14,15
230:5	202:1	225:10	20 76:15	139:6
239:15,16	209:11	241:18	79:14	193:4
Mills 37:4	217:23	247:7		220:8
MIIIS 37.4	244:6	252 : 1	88:6	
mind 7:12		mortar	133:8	237:18
56:5	Monday	83:4	136:2	249:14,17
168:2	72 : 25	105:22	163:17	
215:12	money 34:5	122:19	179:4	N
247:25	139:23	204:2	188:12	nature
minimum	145:7		200:10	66:18
	226:7	mostly	216:14	96:14
142:11	228:12	138:24	235:12	103:19
minute	239:21	motion	muddy	168:12
89:23	240:21	42 : 7	168:2,21	174:10
minutes		50:24		182:25
42:6	month	144:5	multiple	190:4
101:5	45:10	152:20	119:13	192:4
155:10	54:17	153:13,14	multi-use	235:3
	109:17	·	29:3	
213:16,17	134:9	Mountain	181:2	necessaril
242:9	159:14	38:1	218:18	y 12:11
missed	207:6	mouth	219:5,17	50:17
248:19	months	97 : 10	220:10,21	58:9
missing	14:16		223:2	96:24
122:1	16:5	move 62:24	229:25	106:1
	45:19	118:3	240:3	113:18
misspoke	48:12	131:11		172:21
208:10	99:18	132:20	municipal	173:5
mistaken	116:10,13	137:24	7:17	208:21
222:22	159:6,9	148:15	119:3	231:6
	161:4	160:4	167:18,19	necessary
mistakes	177:20	177:10	231:4	227:10
18:6		179:3	234:14	227.10
19:11,17	232:7	186:2	municipali	necessity
model	233:6	192:21	ties 47:4	165:9
221:4	morning	220:20		211:8
	5:9,11	221:14	municipali	negotiate
modificati	20:8 62:6	225 : 19	ty 15:14	92:3
on 78:5	70:10	230:18	16:20	
modified	71:4 84:5	231:13,25	148:15	negotiatio
	86:5		158:20	ns 92:10
	I			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-12-2019	Page 290	of 314
neighbourh	153:12,14	s 157:4	161:16,24	officials
ood	,21,24	176:21	187:3	215:23
225:16	154:6	observatio	190:11	217:15
	195:12	n 236:25	196:7 , 14	228:11,25
neighbours 215:6	222:23,25	n 236:25 237:5	197 : 25	238:14
229:2,14	notices		occasions	oh 59:7
233:15	119:5	obtained	160:1	90:24
		124:14,15	196:4	239:11
Neither	notified	obvious	224:18,21	250:8
228:17	147:7	156:20	226:16	
Neubauer	noting	158:6	250:23	okay 24:16
2:17	135:15	197:9		44:2 64:8
night	notion	obviously	occupy	69:9 78:9
70:25	49:25	7:18	222:23	137:15
74:2 91:6	215:12	11:15	occurred	138:16
	210.12	16:6,23	92:10	139:7
nine 239:2		17:2	o'clock	140:2
nobody		19:19	118:7	149:8
49:20	object	32:22	225:9	178:16
71:2	89:10,23	33:18	252 : 1	181:18
non 186:21	98:19	36:6		186:3
non 100:21	200:12,19	39:12	October	188:8
none	201:13	41:24	126:20	195:19 199:11
245:23	203:2	43:1,8,12	131:17,22	207:1
nor 133:9	objection	45:10	, 24	223:25
	9:18 32:9	46:21,23	odd	228:15
normally	34:21	65:4	22:13,14	246:2
68:14 117:23	36:14	81:14	60:18	251:16
144:23	50:1	82 : 17	239:25	
144:23	173:11	86:20	248:4,6	older
note	197:13	96:21	offer	153:5
81:5,8	201:18	98:14	236:9	154:7
122:13	202:20	99:17	offered	omit
152:24	204:23	103:6	172:23	143:13
164:5	objections	111:5		omitted
215:2	31:22	119:9	offering	143:12
noted	173:23	120:15	92 : 4	
236:10	objective	122:11	office	ones
245:15	52:25	131:1,2,4	10:21	106:14
notes		136:19	11:10,11	123:12
249:6	objectivel	147:5	20:24	ongoing
	y 52:22	148:8,11	191:9	169:3
nothing	55 : 25	181:2	206:10	200:23
20:15	objects	191:6	251 : 4	Ontario
29:23	30:10	212:14	officer	1:20
138:2	34:19	219:21	136:4	
155:5	obligation	220:1		onward
159:15	161:2	247:5	official	200:25
notice		occasion	31:25	open 29:12
152:20	obligation	156:2	228:24	40:19
1				

	TO COMMINGWO			<u> </u>
75:15	242:25	63 : 9	outdoor	213:19,20
108:7,14,	opposed	110:7	29:21	252 : 7
19 114:15	32:19	157 : 4	40:4	package
116:6	78:18	161:11	41:13,15,	111:25
opening	95:23	organized	22 42:14	112:16,18
24:19	103:20	136:2	outlining	153:10
116:7	184:4		63 : 21	
		orient	132:6	packages
openings	opposite	36:23		70:4
23:5,6	34:1	57 : 8	outset	113:10,11
openness	option	original	14:13	, 17
99:11	41:10,15,	45:14	outside	pact 157:1
120:14	16 43:15	52:21	13:9,17	pad 40:3,4
operate	49:19	53:24	117:12	41:10
7:11,13	50:6	58 : 7	219:1,13	42:13
244:24,25	132:6,19	60:21	225:8	47 : 1
	133:1	78 : 4	overall	52:23
operated	177:18	108:5	146:7	178:8
7:21	options	243:14,24		179:5
operating	38:24	Originally	overly	196:25
25:21	40:18	63:1	95 : 8	
operationa	41:2,21		oversee	page 3:2
1 11:16	43:9,21,2	others	48:17	4:2
53:6 69:4	5	19:8	overseeing	110:12
	44:10,21	64:24	13:5	140:18
operations	49:16	127:7 132:3	25:21	147:7
136:18	50:15		62:14	241:16
opinion	51:1	156:21 179:24	145:25	242:13
103:5	52:20	185:21		pages
216:15,19	55:22	196:3	overseen	16:19
opinions	77:12,13,	198:5	146:10	114:13
215:16	20 134:6		169:2	140:24
218:6	140:23,25	otherwise	oversight	249:6
	153:8	155:11	25:11	paid
opportunit	217:2	159:1	169:14	126:18
ies 47:1	233:8	ought	176:19	203:10
52:24	order	59 : 20	206:20	236:16
151:25	124:24	ourselves	248:19	paperwork
opportunit	165:7	247:9	overwhelmi	233:18
y 12:25	176:16		ng 121:3	
15:25		outcome	-	par 59:14
28:17	organizati	40:1	P	64:16
38:14,24	on 21:16	52:23		paragraph
73:22	63:6,14	138:16	p.m	6:22 7:3
93:22	165:22	153:1	72:2 , 19	10:22
111:19	organizati	outcomes	73:3	15 : 23
153:16	onal	129:11	75 : 23	17 : 12
158:11,13	16:15,17	outcry	113:9	20:1 28:2
170:2	62:13,17,	16:24	118:9,10	29:6
236:18	20,25	10.24	155:18,19	32 : 25
			200:3	

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 292	OI 314
35:14	195:1	23:8	214:3,13,	27:17
36:23	197:19	66:20	25	33:5
37:3	199:10	67 : 1	215:11,19	35 : 16
39:20	202:7,24	147:15	216:8,12,	
40:6,21	205:18		25	51 : 11
42:4,5		participat	217:6,13,	
49:10	paragraphs	ed 23:10	21	61:19
51:9,17,2	10:18	participat	218:20,23	
1 53:14	21:8	ion 51:3	219:9,11,	
61:17,24	28:23,24	211:20,22	24	70:15
62:1	51:13		220:2,6,1	
64:12	101:10	particular	5,25	75 : 18
68:4	113:1	49:18	221:11,20	
69:13,14	121:15	50:20	222:17,20	
71:13,19	126:11	97:7	223:1,24	92:17
75:16	186:18	165:22	224:1,10,	
80:13,18	196:15	170:3	16	100:21
84:7 85:9	Park 16:10	194:18	225:1,23	108:23
86:9 90:1	29:1,19	239:6	226:10,14	
92:15,25	43:24	247 : 25	,25	119:24
93:9 97:1	44:22	particular	227:6,23	121:20
100:24	51:24	ly 94:20	228:9,15,	
101:24	52 : 12	179:8	19,20	126:13,23
102:2,7	77:14,19		229:8,18,	120:13,23
102.2,7	96:5	parties	229.0,10,	130:1
107:2	106:15	232:11	230:7,11,	
110:14	121:13	partnershi	16,21	137:1
112:25	133:14	p 127:5	231:10,18	
113:5	152 : 14	141:6	232:19,23	
115:13	209:22	151:17,21	232:13,24	
116:21	223:23	,24	234:3,11,	
118:14	224:2	party	231.3,11,	145:3,21
119:22	242:17	143:18	235:2,10,	149:11
121:10,17	10.7	233:7	15,20	156:15
124:2,6	<pre>parks 48:7 94:25</pre>		236:2,5,6	
126:21	110:15	pass	237:12,17	188:10
131:11,23	136:10	40:14,17	,24 238:5	195:3
132:2	218:8	passed	239:5,8,2	197 : 22
137:3	221:2,21,	166:18	0 240:23	201:25
141:22	22 224:5	past 31:12	247:22	202:4
142:2,6,1	242:15	227:1	248:16	205:9
9 143:3			249:3,4	206:4
145:19,24	Parliament	Pat 37:4	250:3,6,1	207:11
146:15	220:7	<pre>path 29:8</pre>	0,16	209:14
149:9,13	participan	paths 41:3	251:8,16,	213:1
150:4	t 213:24	_	20	234:1
152:4,8,9	nanticina	Paul 2:7		237:15
184:6,10	participan ts 248:18	3:9,12	pause 6:5	241:1,6,1
186:1,12,		121:11	10:11,16 17:15	2 242:6
18,19	participat	128:10,12	20:3	Pausing
187:16,19	e 12:17	213:22,23	26:18	72:6
			20.10	12.0

INQUIN	TE COLLINGWO	JD 09-12-2019	rage 293	01 314
132:11	182:2	e 95:19	pitches	pleased
pay 154:25	performanc	136:17	38:13	15:8
payable	e 15:9	137:10,17	219:6	217:24
126:17	perhaps	138:18,21	pivotal	plus
	34:21	151:1	211:4	227:21
paying	226:2	210:20	212:20	PM 90:6
109:12	238:3	persuasive	places	
151:4		89:19	34:20	point
peacemaker	<pre>period 13:4</pre>	97 : 6	plan 34:5	12:13,18 15:13,15
53:19	14:15	phase		16:7,13,2
Peever	15:20	161:8	planning	5 18:15
62:19	48:8	181:4	38:22	20:8
penultimat	60:11	phases	57 : 10	30:14
e 196:15	127:7	6 3:6	plans	35:3 36:5
207:21	185:10	phone	219:15	37:14,19
208:17	212:6	32 : 12	230:18	38:6
209:7	224:11,19	80:4	plate	44:18
people	229:12		156:23	57:3 , 19
34:7,20	periods	phrase	157 : 16	58:1,22,2
35:1	230:14	93:11	158:8,9	4 59:17
68:16		170:19	160:3	63:1
109:22	permanent 14:10,23	pick	platforms	64:22
114:15	15:4,10	114:12	229:1	66:18
135:9	· ·	picked	play 223:5	67:7,25
168:16	pers 57:20	50:13	226:19	69:19 70:6,19
184:20	person	pickle		70.0,19
185:19	17:3	30:2	played	72:8
193:20	57:21	170 : 19	39:12 100:14	76:6,10,1
207:14	58:2	pick-up		3,18
215:3,14	89:23	232:20	player	77:10,16,
225:8	98:2,7		180:23	24 78:1,8
229:11 233:19	109:22 137:18	picture	<pre>please 6:3</pre>	81:4 82:7
237:10	181:25	122:10,11 240:19	33:3	90:4
238:17	182:9		110:12	92:2,9
239:25	249:13	piece	140:18	94:16
240:2,4,6		23:11	143:4	95:9 97:7
,7,18	personal 82:16	24:7	161:18	99:16
241:20	95:15	62:25	170:11	104:15 105:1,3
percent	104:1	63:15 67:5 68:1	184:8 195:1	107:12
239:17	135:12	187:25	196:13	107:12
	183:11	203:3	197:20	109:16
perception 17:25	personally	204:4	199:14	112:3
164:7	135:8,12	247:16	202:7,9	119:17
	136:23	pillars	209:12	120:15
Perfect		218:3	210:5	122:23
113:6	persons		241:10	126:20
perfectly	193:21	pitched	242:4,13	131:17
57:23	perspectiv	180:4		132:15
1				1

	. IC COHHINGWO	00 09 12 2013		
134:24	172:23	210:1	95:11	79:9
135:16	pool 29:19	positive	179:20	111:24
136:8,12	32:5	36:13	190:3,6	197:13
140:21	35:25	130:9	192:20	243:22,25
141:17	40:4	158:5,14	225:10	247:25
145:3,14	41:13,15,	173:17	practices	preparing
146:12	22 42:14		47:4	25:20
149:25	43:3	positively	169:25	51:18,23
150:9,19	50:13	45:13		55:1 57:5
153:11	52 : 24	possible	PRC 214:17	103:23
162:16	65:7	214:22	222:6,7	233:10
163:6	82:22	216:2	precipitat	
181:4,24	108:7	217:18	ed 162:5	present
190:24	131:19,20	241:23	precipitou	23:6
194:11	132:1,7,8	possibly	s 156:11	68:22
208:14	,10	162:2		69:7
223:1	133:12	192:13	predicated	126:19
228:22	197:1,4	227:12	217 : 25	235:14,21 236:3
229:6	221:5,15		234:7	230:3
230:22	222:5	potential	pre-	
232:8	226:8	12:24	engineere	presentati
235:7	236:25	40:9	d	on 33:19
237:19	popular	52:13	78:15,18	68:11,13
238:16	38:3	83:21	108:16	69:1,4
239:12		102:1 141:15	preference	117:1,3,7
250:17	population	177:18	s 148:19	,9,12
251:6	230:2	212:9	S 148:19	presentati
pointing	portfolio		preliminar	ons
224:13	18:25	potentiall	y 50:8	113:19
points	34:16	y 43:13	63:16,21,	presented
17:5	portion	110:25	22	16:9 40:8
policy	39:24	170:25	premise	41:2,16
66:24	67:20	185:23	234:24	64:7
99:11	141:4	227:11	premium	81:19
114:12	212:6	power	179:17	131:22
235:5	position	100:16		147:25
246:25	5:17 12:9	151:18,19	preparatio	153:1
	19:21	157:4 , 6	n 39:7	190:7
polite	20:15	158 : 21	50:24	243:2
221:24	55:4	171:14	113:16	president
political	58:13	181:12	209:7	157:2
133:20	118:25	239:1	245:9	
177:24	128:13	powerful	prepare	pressure
217:11	134:9,11,	89:18	63:21	46:8,10
233:14	13,14,23,	PowerStrea	131:18	60:10,16
240:16	24 135:3	m 8:5	243:17	131:5
politician	156:10	141:5	prepared	180:12
s 228:4	161:13		64:6	181:7
	169:11	practice	67 : 20	182:5
politics	183:7	94:4	73:19	pressures
	I		l	I

	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 09-12-2019	rage 293	01 314
55:18	9:5,6	prior 9:21	167:19	18:21
presume	35:19	24:6,13	procedures	20:18
190:13	68:10	28:4 38:6	158:20	21:19,25
	248:13	41:19		26:1
presumed	price	59:1,4	proceed	27 : 23
17:23,24	29:11	88:21	6:20 7:24	52:11
164:6	32:11	103:17	23:14,25	54:9
presuming	92:4,10	105:19	28:10	62 : 21
87:14	186:7,24	107:12	43:13,23	67 : 10
presumptio	187:8,20	110:19	52:2	76:13
n 190:16	250:4	128:23	66:6,7	77:2
	nmicos	145:14	71:25	78:2,6
pretty	prices 30:12	154:20	77:18	83:6,22
7:23	30:12	192:7	78:5,17 92:2	96:15
27:22	32:9	prioritize	93:12	102:3,16
38:11	35:25	225:15	101:11,21	107:20,22
53:24	41:25		110:20	116:4
73:24	55:24	<pre>priority 34:13</pre>	117:19	125:15
74:1	65:17	34:13	118:19	129:2
89:18	174:15	Privacy	130:21	130:25
98:20	180:20	119:4	130.21	131:6
114:6	186:13,20	private	143:23	133:13
116:3	,21	226:3	165:20	135:25
120:25	187:3,4,5	231:25	196:18	137:14,21
127:19			197:2,13,	138:15
130:11 136:9	pricing	privy 75:13	15	139:7,8,2
137:22	36:18		227:20,21	146:1,8,1
145:5	45:1	probably	,22	6 148:10
149:23	64:20	46:15		161:5,8,1
175:9	65:22,25	54:3	proceeded	6 162:1
189:3	173:13 174:22	58 : 18	25:8	169:13
194:11	174:22	112:10	26:10 176:8	176:14,15
235:24	186:5	130:14	194:22	,16
237:12		145:7,11		177:11
244:11	primarily	151:8	proceeding	185:2
248:20	51 : 18	203:4	17:8 26:8	200:7,23
	124:22	238:25	28:5	217:25
<pre>prevalent 228:10</pre>	primary	problem	69:18	218:1,7,2
228:10	123:12	177:11	72:20	2
previous	principle	178:22,23	77:13	219:2,3,1
16:9	30:22	179:17	80:20	2,21
18:24	67:6	problems	83:6	223:4
19:20		138:20	86:15	225:3,25
98:15	print	232:5	88:15	226:1,11
99:19	84:21		111:21	227:22,25
100:5	printed	proce	proceeds	228:1,2
153:14	71:4	139:1	140:11	231:16
177:20	90:21	procedural	152 : 12	233:2,20
223:21	191:8	152:19	process	234:3
previously	204:16	153:13,19	15:22	236:9,14

	10 0011110110	OD 07 12 2013	- Tage 250	<u> </u>
238:14	165:21	115:20	n 138:19	199:23
239:12,15	169:13,25	116:2	proprietar	214:6
245:11	231:7	119:11	y 21:19	222:2
246:18,19	234:14	150 : 7	_	235:6
,22,24	procuremen	175:10,15	Protection	241:20
247:13	ts 26:7,9	207:20	119:3	242:22
249:12,15	·	projects	protocol	provider
processes	prod 48:16	61:3	139:21	105:4
227:3,8,1	produce	128:3	153:18	138:4
9 239:21	163:25	136:2		
			protracted	providers
Proctor	producer	promised	226:18	104:12
31:19	59:13	221:1	228:2	providing
34:9	product	promotiona	provide	50:23
35:24	67:11	1	10:25	53 : 5
37:2	68:13 , 21	113:14,21	31:4	73 : 20
47:23	117:21	114:7	48:19	87:15,16,
48:6	130:12		50:25	17 94:14
51:22	226:1	prompted	101:18	95 : 22
53:9,11,2	250:14	91:14	107:6	107:10
1 56:7	productive	promptly	112:15,16	120:14
131:24	20:13	160:4	113:19,20	132:19
132:3		proof	121:23	134:6
205:20	products	100:7	124:24	166:6,8
206:2,7	106:5		147:10	185 : 13
207:2,14	profession	properly	153:14	212:1
Proctor's	al 172:22	56:6	154:6	224:19
54:7,20	profession	239:18	186:15	225:24
procu	ally	proposal	216:23	247:12
24:20	136:23	22:1 66:9	227 : 3	Provincial
		121 : 5	230:25	219:16
procuremen	proffered	133:24	239:22	
t 24:20	198:2	178:19	243:12	provision
25:4,19,2	profile	181:1	provided	153:21
0,22	221:13	221:6	5 : 24	167:23
26:20,22	profit	225:14,17	15 : 16	public
27:13	250:13	proposals	16:17,20	8:6,13
28:6,11 66:8,12,2		21:11,14	21:25	16:24
1 67:5	program	22:4	64 : 2	17:3
72:1,21	8:25 11:7	25:1 , 10	89:6,9,13	22 : 21
80:21	149:5	proposed	, 16 93:14	23:5
81:6	programs	29:5 34:5	111:19	28:19
83:14	16:19	45:14	112:19	61:6 67:4
86:7	63:11	132:4,12	113:23	68:19
88:22	project	137:25	114:20,23	70:5
90:12	8:25 32:1	232:14	115:5	94:23,24
91:8	43:4		119:21	95:3
101:8,11,	48:16,19	proposing	123:22	112:7,11,
21 111:21	52:18	78:11	131:7	13,18
142:6	56:13	propositio	150:15,19	113:18
			155:2	114:10

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	JD 09-12-2019	Page 297	of 314
116:7	purch	15:6	le 134:17	75:22
133:24	168:4	40:2,15,1	questioned	quoted
138:6	purchase	8 41:4	203:4	235:24
139:20	28:17,18	42:12		
141:6,9,1	117:25	44:21	questionin	quotes
1,12	246:20	45:3	g 204:19	65 : 5
145:25		49:15	225:25	
146:2,5,1	purchased	purview	240:24	R
0,16,18,2	25 : 8	205:14	questions	radio
2	204:25		6:21	147:13
147:2,5,8	purchasing	push 229:4	23:21	raise
,9,17	21:9,22	pushed	41:7	55:12
148:2,7,9	22:4,12,1	74:18	67 : 19	215:4
,13,25	3,16,17,1	puts	70:18	238:18
149:18	9 , 25	136:22	72 : 22	249:13
150:6,15	24:19,25		109:9	
153:16	25:9 , 25	putting	119:20	raised
157:2,12	26:4,8,21	54:14	124:25	39:16
169:6	27:22	81:21	129:3	88:2
214:15	32:4	133:25	140:10	237:20
publically	66:24	198:20	155 : 8	238:18
114:2	110:17	209:23	156:5	249:7,16
	114:25	221:3	213:4,9,1	range
public-	167:3,16,	237:8	2,25	108:1
facing	25		217:22	rapport
10:21	168:1,3,4	Q	241:15	171:3
public's	, 16 , 22	quarter	246:17	
17:24	169:1,9,1	70:8 74:2	247:21	rare 73:14
148:19	0	189:11	248:20,23	rarely
149:2	purely	question	249:1	27 : 24
164:6	50:10	14:25	251:22	117:16
pull 6:3		54:18	quick	rascal
17:13	purpose	56:4	154:1,5	239:10
29:14	7:4 21:14	69:19	·	
35:13	88:4	76:16,17	quickly	rather
49:9	101:16	79:18	206:1	33:16
56:16	123:16	83:19	207:8	134:5
61:16,22	132:24	87 : 9	231:19	187 : 4
64:11	145:12	95 : 25	quite 8:14	238:16
68:3	161:24	96:9	65 : 2	248:1
71:12	168:9	100:3	76:14	rationale
85:8 86:8	189:15	137:5	154:1,5	137:19
89:25	purposely	138:14	159:19	190:23
92:14	30:25	157 : 22	200:9	191:20
118:13	purposes	163:4	207:19	204:12,22
197:20	90:12	168:7	227:8	reached
199:13	146:6	169:25	232:7,11	9 : 25
pulling	222:13	182:11	quotations	
68:9	246:6	251:9	229:9	reaching
120:2		questionab		162:11,13
120.2	pursue	drescrough	quote	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 09-12-2019	Page 298	of 314
,23,24	139:16	116:3	219:4	213:19
react	157 : 9	120:8	241:18,22	recognize
137:10,12	158:17	136:10	rece 23:13	215:1
,18	159:9	141:16		
	162:10,14	221:2,21,	receive	recognized
reaction	163:7	22 224:5	71:22	81:20
36:13	166:1	recall	84:4	recollect
83:15 125:11	168:7	10:3 28:5	128:18	10:1
129:23	170:14	36:2,13,1	132:6,8,1 6 135:9	36:5 , 10
133:24	181:11	4	150:5	37 : 18
	185:7	39:4,14,1	165:9	39:8
reading	188:19	7 41:6	189:8	41:24
81:4	203:3	44:9 46:7		48:1 56:8
97:5,17	204:5,18	51 : 22	received	64 : 7
ready	206:16	63:24	21:22	67:17
74:16	209:25	65 : 20	22:1	74:20
115:23	225:24	69:20,23	23:2,15,2	76:8 80:7
210:2	236:12	76 : 5	5 29:8	91:12,22
242:18	243:16	78:16	33:19	104:17
243:1	reason	80:23	36:4	110:5
real 60:3	15:9 35:5	81:3,7	70:18	111:12
61:5	69:6	85 : 2	71:3	144:13
81:11	73:15	86:16	78:23	145:17
163:21	89:10,22	87:14	83:12	194:6
165:4	139:19	88:16	86:5 91:6	218:17
	154:5	90:16	110:19,22 112:10,11	recollecti
reality	181:10	101:12,14	118:17,24	on 75:1,3
223:8	182:7	105:5	119:14,21	86:19,24
224:13	200:19	108:18	126:5	123:8,19
233:14	212:12	110:25	132:14,17	124:21
realize	251:8	112:5	147:22	242:21
47:11	reasonable	115:2,3,8	148:7	recommend
71:7	34:6	122:6,10 123:14	153 : 1	76:19
90:22	236:15	123:14	176:22	78:17
128:12	reasons	125:7,10	198:21	116:9
164:23	34:22	127:6,11		157 : 17
245:15	87:4,11	129:13,18	receiving 36:2 73:2	190:25
249:23	114:17	135:11	90:16	recommenda
really	170:24	143:13,15	90.18 97:14	tion
18:8	175 : 15	,17,21	101:12	33:24
29:25	rec 16:8	144:14,17	127:6	43:13,24
31:6 41:8	18:20	149:23		44:20
44:11	32:5	150:1	recently	52 : 21
46:13	33:19	151:14	229:24	53 : 24
47:14	46:20	154:12,14	236:23	56:2 71:7
52:15	49:7 50:8	160:7,9	recessing	76:4,14,2
95:10,11	80:5	162:4	62 : 8	1 , 25
103:8	87 : 15	169:9	118:9	77:15,18,
109:11	94:25	173:23	155:18	19 78 : 5
121:8	96:7	175:6,8		81 : 15
		l		

~				
89:7 92:1	50:6,9,11	225:6	reflection	225 : 25
107:20	,15,17	227:4	s 218:6	relates
115:7	77:12,25	229:16	refurbish	71:17
117:18,25	79:14,18	recruit	222:12	relating
126:9	83:5	14:11	refurbishe	101:10
130:10 132:12	86:14 117:15	redact	d 222:8	
133:2,4,7	150:4	250 : 11		relationsh
,11,17	205:15	madanalam	refurbishi	ip 53:16
134:5	212:3	<pre>redevelopm ent 34:15</pre>	ng	128:25 129:24
144:21			221:15,23	157:10
150:8,19	recommendi	redirected	refurbishm	224:4,9,1
154:8	ng 17:8	172:1	ent 221:4	1 251:5,7
166:6,16,	69:17	redrafting	regard	
25	77:2 84:1	97 : 5	191:4	release
167:2,4	reconstruc	ref 56:22	214:7	136:11
190:22	tion			relevant
193:22	152:14	refer 33:8	regarding 23:12	114:9
194:13,15	record	40:20	124:8	120 : 7
,19,24	23:6	reference	149:24	rely 231:7
195:9	records	7:9 21:3	162:7	- remainder
196:17	23:1	29:13	231:19	207:16
197:14		41:9 48:6		207:10
199:23	recourse	49:23	regardless	
201:11	135:23	62:16	17:22	remaining
204:24	recreation	86:3	45:17	145:16
205:3,4,5	16:12	131:17	48:12 102:25	remarks
,13 217:2,11	29:4	223:16	102.23	173:17
217.2,11	34:12	referenced	111:16	229:10
219:3,4	40:11	75 : 16	164:5	remember
233:8	43:10	123:5	244:2	18:16
	44:15	225:8	245:1	66:16
recommenda	48:7 52:6	referendum	regards	67 : 14
tions	55:8 96:4 106:11	149:1	249:8 , 14	73 : 17
40:15 43:17	110:16	referred	·	77 : 21
45:3	116:25	26:9 50:6	regret	84:19,24
52:3,5	152:13	215:13	81:23,24	87:10
55:20	154:22,25	referring	regular	101:15
76:7,10	218:8	17:8 80:1	159:22	102:16,22
132:4,5	222:13	89:14	181:6	109:4
134:20	242:15	122:6	238:12	122:13
216:16	recreation	149:17	regularly	123:1
232:14	al 38:24	184:18	8:20 11:5	129:10 188:25
recommenda	66:7	223:22	147:16	225:8
tion-type	177:11	reflect	reiteratio	239:24
194:23	218:14	131:4	ns 200:24	
	222:2			remembered
recommende	223:3,12	reflected	related	173:17
d	224:20	207:8	85:14 , 17	remind
49:16,19			103:16	

	ie collinowoc		rage 500	<u> </u>
30:25	47:11	133:10,17	148:2	129:9
reminder	51:19	134:3	169:5	172:18
5:13	54:14	135:2,4,7	reporting	180:20
31:3,4,7,	58:7	140:12,20	47:7	184:22
15	63:21	142:3,7,1	65 : 17	211:5
	66:5	0		requested
reminding	67:19 , 22	143:6,9,1	reports	148:13
144:10	69:15,17,	2,14,20	66:14	180:5
remiss	21,25	144:6,19	72:24	
191:13	70:5,10,1	145:4	73:11,13	210:3
	1,19,20	148:4	84:15	requesting
removed	71:23,24	149:14,16	110:3	83:8
142:6	72:4,10,1	,21	111:24	185:13
removing	8,19	150:4,5,2	112:17	187:13
88:22	73:3,7,19	3	201:5	requests
renovated	,21	151:4,13	204:16	54:15
	74:3,12,1	152 : 25	244:10	118:18,21
46:23	6,19,23	153:9	247:24	,23
renovation	79:11	168:4	report's	119:5,13,
34:15	80:19	175:3	191:12	14,16,20
renovation	81:2,4,9,	189:7		120:2,3,5
s 55:9	16,18	190:5,6	represent	,11,12,20
	83:13,19	191 : 8	216:11	121:2
repayment	84:1,4,10	198:2,7,2	representa	124:8
142:13	,16,21	0,21	tive	128:17
repeat	86:6,12,1	200:25	37:4,12,1	135:24
14:24	4,25	201:1	6 94:21	
76:16	87:22,25	206:18		require
rephrase	89:16	208:18	representa tives	27 : 25
217:14	90:7,8,22	209:8		required
226:25	92:24	210:2	49:2	223:13,15
	93:15,23	216:22	reprisal	226:6
replaceabl	96:23	217:1	98:13	requiremen
e 18:7	97:5,6,11	235:6	repurposin	t 152:20
replied	,23	241:15,20	g 222:18	153:19
202:22	101:19	,24	_	
replies	103:23	242:17,22	re-put	requiremen
79:17	108:20	243:1,9,1	153:9	ts 32:23
135:15	109:1,3,5	4,16,17,1	request	67 : 3
178:2	110:2,12,	8,23	29:9	153:12
	15,20	244:13,17	30:7,8,16	211:24
reply	111:5,14,	,23	35:3,25	requiring
198:4	17,20	245:19,22	38 : 17	142:12
replying	112:2,6,1	246:8	47:19	161:11
198:6	3 114:5	247:6,25	66:8 71:1	rosooneh
	117:7,8,1	reported	119:10	research
report	2,15	13:10	121:11,13	41:20 54:14
16:23	125:21	22:20	,17,23	76:23
17:7,8,10	131:18,21	44:19	123:2	78:14
44:24	, 25	91:10	126:16	82:15,16
45:7	132:4,6,9	146:23	127:1,7	87:8
		_ 10 • 20		0/10

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 301	OI 314
96:14	5:20 9:22	29:24	23:2,7,13	71:2
101:25	24:18	31:17	25:5,8,21	73:22
102:10,14	40:10	119:8	32:4	79:7 83:3
,15,17	42:10		47 : 18	86:20
103:9,24	57:4 63:8	responded	51 : 18	87 : 21
104:1,6	65 : 18	36:11	55 : 7	90:18,20
105:4	76 : 6	responding	62 : 14	93:22
108:3	78 : 14	96:22	99:10	104:3
123:13	101:20	121:2,17	118:23	110:7
124:22	116:24	responds	119:10	112:9
135:5	119:14	30:4	136:5	116:7
218:12	127:10		145:25	133:14
245:9	131:25	response	146:5,7	151:3,9
	134:14	31:16	168:1	161:11
researchin	142:7	100:2		189:12 , 17
g 103:19	144:5	101:22	result	,21
residents	147:2	121:23	10:20	241 : 17
147:18	154 : 21	210:5	160:21	243:5
222:3	165:10,18	responses	171:24	245:11
resolution	,23	138:25	results	247:14
23:9	166:19	responsibi	146:22	reviewed
24:21	167:1,2	lities	147:24	39:6 55:2
41:1	168:17	7:19	163:25	69:20
42:17	169:18	8:2,7	resuming	70:11
49:12,21	170:4	11:1	62 : 9	80:23
50:12,21	171:14,19	13:4,17	118:10	80:23
65:24	172:19	19:15	155 : 19	82:19
67:25	174:3,22	20:23	213:20	105:3
68:1	175:1,6,2	24:18		110:15,19
108:6	1 180:13	49:5 56:6	retained	126:9
166:18	193:8	119:2	150:24	198:16
180:22	194:24,25	121:7	151:3	202:14
	196:25	136:21	154:23	243:17,19
resolution	197:1	233:1	155 : 2	
S	199:9		retrospect	reviewing
40:10,12,	203:17	responsibi	244:16	43:9 68:2
14,17	211:19	lity	return	70:10
50:23	228:12	12:12	39:19	79:8
168:12	238:9	19:16		81:11
resolved	250:18	20:15	returning	184:6,10
42:17	respected	23:13	206:10	201:4
resorting	171:3	25:3	revert	204:19
116:12		34:13	78:4	revised
	respectful	52:7	review	71:24
resorts	230:24	216:20	16:15,17	72:18 , 19
115:15	respectful	217:8,17	17:1 43:2	80:19
resources	ly 250:7	235:7	62:13,17,	81:4
34:24	respective	236:13	20,23,25	84:10
226:19	169:12	240:19	63:6,9,12	199:22
227:10		responsibl	,14,22	202:8
respect	respond	e 22:8,9	69:24	revising
Teshecc			J J • Z 1	Tevising

	TC COHHINGWO	OD 07 12 2013		01 314
72:10	risk 19:21	226:12	222:16	39:3,6,17
revisit	River	234:7	239:17	41:17,23
217:23	38:11	rules 67:2	sales	42:16,25
	127:19	139:18	152:12	44:1,3,11
RF 66:8		226:17		,23 45:8
RFP 26:1,3	road 232:3	227:25	salt 232:2	46:1,6,12
45:2 71:8	roadblocks	231:1,13	sample	, 19
72:1	54:1	233:18	82:21	47:9,20,2
76:12	roads	234:4,22,	Sandra 2:9	5 48:9,21
77:2,4	240:5	25 235:5		49:21
78:10		236:8	Sara 3:5	50:10,22
83:24	roce 228:1	247:1,19	5:4,6,11,	
86:16	role 14:3	249:21	15,18,22	53:11,15
87:1	18:8	run 216:6	6:1,11,15	',
88:1,15	19:13	229:1	,19 7:15 8:10,19	55:14,16
90:9 92:2	39:12		9:9,15	56:8,15
107:9,15,	48:17,19	rush	10:1,9	57:6
20	52:18	60:3,14,1	11:3	58:3,6 59:3,7,9,
108:2,14	63:17	5	12:6,10,1	
115:20,23	79:7	rushed	6,20	60:13,20
116:13	100:14	53 : 23	13:7,12,1	
231:20	130:19	60:18,19	9,23	62:21
232:7,10,	146:3	73:12	14:5,19,2	
11,15,17 247:14	156:22	139:4	4 15:5,12	· '
	157:16,19	Ryan 2:14	16:4	66:10,13,
RFPed	162:2	3:10	17:10	22
108:11	163:7,13,	241:8,9,1	18:16	67:8,17,2
RFPs 83:9	15	4	19:6,14	4 68:15
115:16	roles 7:10	242:3,8,1	20:10,25	69:3,22
233:4	24:24	2,20	21:15	70:3,21
ribbon-	Ron 30:10	243:8	22:6,24	72:9,13,1
cutting	31:22,23,	244:4,9,1	23:18,22	6
237:1	24 173:11	2,19	24:4,10,1	73:5,11,2
	roof	245:5,12,	5	3 74:9,20
Rick 30:6	197:16	18	25:2,11,1	
33:9,10 35:22		246:2,5,1	7,23	76:8,11,2
93:3	room 214:8	1,14,16	26:11,14,	1
94:22	222:4	247:20	16,24	78:21
170:9,17	236:25		27:3,15,2	
171:25	237:2,3,6	S	0 28:12 30:17,24	80:2,6,11
177:5,8	rose 252:3	sacrifice	31:5,14,2	81:10
	rotary	226:1	0,24	· '
rightly	229:3	sale 5:21	32:10,21	83:17 84:13
181:9	rough 37:7	45 : 12	33:11,15	85:1,6
218:2 236:10	_	73:7	34:10,23	86:18
	round	130:16	35:7	87:2,13
riled	240:10,14	141:11	36:4,9,19	
109:18	route 87:5	149:15	37:13,18,	' '
rink 29:21	rule	175:16	22 38:9	90:18

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD	09-12-2019	Page 303 of 314
------------------------	------------	-----------------

111201111	. IC COMMINGWOOD		rage 505	
91:5,12,1	132:13	17	,14,20	228:7,13
6,21	133:5	168:14,19	195:8,14,	229:7,17,
92:6,11	134:1,3,1	,24	17,20	19
93:16,24	2	169:5,20,	196:1,5,8	230:6,9,1
94:12	135:1,11,	23	,10,23	5 , 20
95:24	20 136:19	170:5,20,	197:6,11,	231:9,17
96:17,20	137:20	25	17	232:16,22
97:8,12,1	138:22	171:2,11,	198:10,16	233:10,23
8,21	139:14	16,20	, 23	234:10,20
98:9,12	140:4	172:3,6,1	199:2,6,1	235:1,9,1
99:6,24	141:10,20	0,13,21	1,15,17,2	4,16,22
100:7,15,	142:17	173:8,14,	1	236:23
25	143:15,21	21,24	200:5,12,	237:23
101:13,17	144:2,12,	174:7,12,	16	238:4,22
,22	23	23	201:3,13,	239:7,19
102:18	145:5,17	175:4,8,1	17,22	240:22
103:12,22	146:4,12	8,22	203:1,8,1	
104:8,17,	147:4	176:1,6,1	8,23	19,24
25 105:21	148:3,8,2	1,18,24	204:4,13	243:11
106:4,12,	0,22	177:3,12,	205:1,7,1	244:8,11,
18 107:17	149:7,19,	19	6	18,20
108:17	22	178:4,11,	206:13,19	
109:6,11,	150:10,20	14,20,23	,24 207:5	
25	151:2,12,	179:4,10,	208:20	,13,15,23
110:5,10,	20	13,19,23	209:9	248:2,5,9
24	152:1,5,1	180:2,6,1	210:17,23	
111:10,22	6,22	0,14,18	211:6,10,	250:4,8,1
112:4,14	154:4,14,	181:16	14,21	1 251:1
113:13	17	182:10,14	212:7,14,	satisfied
114:4 115:1,6,1	155:1,6 156:8,13,	,17,21 183:1,4,8	17,20,24 214:2,12,	14:6,8
0,17,22	18,24	,21	24.2,12,	27 : 23
116:14	157:6,11,	184:2,15,	215:10,17	172:15
117:6,14	20,24	23	216:4,9,2	199:25
119:1,18	158:2,10,	185:11,20	4	200:2
120:4,7,1	15,23	,23	217:5,9,1	232:9
0 121:4	159:2,8,1	187:6,17,	9	satisfy
122:9,25	7,20,23	25	218:16,21	74:10
123:4,10,	160:6,10,	188:5,16,	219:7,10,	satisfying
17,20,24	13,19,23	20,23	20,25	211:4
124:19	161:3,7	189:2,5,1	220:5,12,	
125:2,9,1	162:4	4,18,23	23	saver 78:1
4,24	163:2,11,	190:8,12,	221:9,18	saw 45:25
126:4	16,19,22	17,21	222:15,19	62 : 16
127:11,18	164:2,16,	191:5,11,	,24	87 : 25
128:2,8,1	21	19,24	223:22,25	109:7
6	165:2,5,1	192:5,10,	224:7,15,	138:6
129:1,8,1	3,16,24	14,17,22	24 225:21	scan
7	166:3,14,	193:2,9,1	226:9,13,	114:14
130:3,7,2	20,23	2,17,24	23	
3 131:1	167:6,11,	194:3,5,9	227:5,13	scenario
1	1			

INQUIKI	TE COLLINGWOO	70 09 12 2019	rage 304	OI JI4
231:20	61:25	50:1,5	91:14	113:7
scenarios	75 : 20	61:6 75:5	93:6	123:2
76:4	76:1 93:8	180:23	98:23	170:16,24
- ·	110:11		132:2	180:19,21
77:25	112:25	seek 11:21		200:3
78:3	127:2	27:11	sending	202:11,22
79:18	140:23,25	146:18	124:17	·
83:21	142:23,24	249:10	172:18	sentence
151:4	196:12	seeking	209:19	17 : 21
scenes	199:21,24	27:1	sends	separate
75:13	241:16	29:10	38:17	224:18,21
schedule	242:13	40:18	57 : 17	separately
181:15		146:21	72 : 18	114:21
181:13	scrolling	147:17	101:7	114:21
scheduled	30:3		senior	Sept 4:3
48:2	40:23	seem		September
71:20	79:20	157:14	8:21 21:2	1:23
84:5 88:4	110:12	188:25	53:16	
101:6	121 : 15	seemed	95:19	series
189:10	141:1	79:1	sense 9:16	40:14
192:3	scrutiny	165:11	10:25	101:9
schedules	226:6	179:8	14:2 29:2	124:7
142:14		192:16	43:7	serious
206:20	searched	194:14	46:20	19 : 15
	103:2	205:19	52:16	
scheduling	second	seems	53:20	seriously
47:3	17:21	159:4	54:3,21	217:8
scoreboard	40:16	186:17	56:2 60:9	228:25
s 186:23	75 : 21		64:24	servant
	77:18	seen 12:23	65 : 1	228:24
scrambling	132:8	19:19	74:10	serve
210:2	186:17,19	36 : 25	82:10	43:22
screen	225:4	58:16	85:3	222:23
33:3	228:10	69:16 , 23	120:3	
40:24	Secondly	81:23	138:10	served 8:5
49:13	132:18	94:6	158:1	237:17
65:15	132:10	142:10	179:15	service
68:10	section	143:11	180:8,12	16 : 18
105:7	6:23 21:7	170:17	181:12	17 : 1
121:9	101:9	181:4	182:20	62 : 22
141:3	144:18,24	183:18	231:14	107:11
242:14,15	240:9	189:15	sent	215:25
scroll	241:17,19	sees 33:13	30:21,25	
10:13	243:16,21		·	service-
	244:7	selected	31:18	level
17:17 21:7	sector	150:9	36:3,8,17	49:5
	226:3	selections	37:15	services
29:14,24	231:25	41:11	69:15	16:19
40:22,24		42:10	72:7 93:2	34:11
42:7	secure	send 18:15	94:2	55:3 59:6
56:21	220:9	19:25	101:4	63:12
57:9	seeing	19:43	112:2	136:10
1	_			

1112011(1	ie collingwe	00 00 12 2013	rage 303	01 011
214:16,18	203:17	similar	186:2	165:15
218:7	shocked	42:8	soccer	240:8
session	19:20	73:6 , 10	38:13	someone
38:22	83:17	172:1	219:6	12:1
	88:1	202:23		32:17
sets 101:9	short 12:3	215 : 23	solar	34:2 69:7
116:22		240:2	128:3	154:6
setting	60:11	Similarly	sold	somewhat
144:21	220:25 229:10	10:22	106:1,2	221:25
settled	251:12	simpler	sole	221:25
46:15		145:8	23:12,14,	
	shortly		24	somewhere
seven	32:1	simplest	24:6,23	218:10
15:14	195:6	88:10	28:6,8,11	220:9
28:14 225:9	198:21	simplified	,15 91:8	225:16
223:9	206:16	145:11	94:23	sooner
several	showing		105:2,4	46:8,11
57 : 9	142:14	simply	138:4	155:11
118:17	shows	223:2	165:8	sorry 9:5
215:21	48:22	simultaneo	167:9	14:24
224:6	142:6	usly	190:20,22	23:19
233:6		21:23	203:21,23	30:7 40:7
Seymour	sic 31:13	sinecure	235:4	44:3 56:2
32:4	105:15,24	159:14	247:14	59:7,8
	170:14		solely	72:9
share 5:20	191:8	single	235 : 7	74:25
19:8 103:10	sick	40:2,3		76:16
120:18	210:11	41:10	sole-	92:15
130:16	sides	42:13	source	93:24
140:11	231:23	196:25	115:7	122:21
149:15		sir 213:13	200:14	143:4
152:12	sign	sit 95:4	sole-	148:21
236:21	167:21	232:4	sourcing	151:18,19
	significan	243:3	202:18	,21
shared	ce 11:18	site	203:16	182:10
54:10	significan	219 : 15	solution	199:6,24
76:24	t 8:22		87:16	206:2
89:9,13	11:22	sitting	102:5,6	208:1,9
129:9 170:18	48:3	96:3	107:7	217:15
214:5	54:12	246:19	159:10	218:11,23
214:5	114:15	situation	209:23	223:3
shares	116:3,15	115:19	225:15	246:3
73:8	120:25	221:13	230:5	250:8,9,1
sharing	174:11	223:8	1	5
138:1	181:13	234:6	solving	sort 9:16
she's 53:4	211:2	six 116:5	177:11 178:22	11:14
	219:18			23:8
207:15,16 210:1	229:11	size	somebody	24:21
	236:13	114:15	34:22,25	26:5
shock		slightly	69:1	27:24
	I	_ _		

30:16	speak	236:20	217:25	86:15
69:4,24	38:24	243:20	spending	88:8
73:14	58:10	248:21	139:22	92:4,5
95:12	81:22	249:17		102:14,21
97:3	98:25		239:21	103:21
146:5	99:5	specifical	spent	104:16
147:10	124:25	ly 36:5	12:21	106:17
179:5	169:10	39:8	27 : 21	107:14,15
211:23	185:4	41:23	43:8 74:1	108:15
217:24		46:6,14	81:10	113:9,11,
238:2	speakers	48:1 55:5	139:4	22 115:4
248:23	240:12	63 : 25	spite	117:1,2
	speaking	64 : 7	162:12	118:19
sorts 8:18	25:18	75 : 10	167:3	119:15
sought	62:13	78 : 15	107:3	127:10,15
27:13	64:25	85 : 1	spoke	128:25
	91:20	87 : 14	22:11	129:3,6
sounding	96:10,16	88:17	78:9 82:9	130:12,20
52:14	167:13	91:18,22	88:12	130:12,20
sounds	188:25	101:13	99:19	131:21
77:15,16	207:14	104:9	106:9	
122:22	214:19	112:5	108:6	140:11
source	215:23	114:20	120:17	141:19
23:24	235:3	122:9	157:1	143:23,25
24:6,23		124:19	158:4	182:1,9
28:6,9,11	speaks	127:11	170:11	184:12
91:8	167:24	129:17	192:19	185:2
105:2,4	special	144:12,16	205:18	186:5
141:15	146:5	146:16	spoken	196:19
165:8	specific	149:22	28:7	197:3,16
167:3,9	25:21	152 : 22	82:12	200:14
203:24		160:6	89:4	205:6
	28:15,21	167 : 22		237:11
211:17	41:6	191:6,16	182:4	245:23
247:14	59:22	197 : 15	Sprung	246:20
sources	64:21	201:4	9:23 17:9	Sprung/BLT
120:22	65:7 67:2	205:5	36:21	77:1
205:24	74:21	212:10	37:4,12,1	187 : 2
sourcing	86:19	224:5	7 48:24	sta 140:19
23:12,14,	105:5	229:20	49:4	
24 28:15	114:6,11		51:16	staff
190:20,22	122:21	specificat	57 : 22	13:9,13,1
203:22	129:10	ions	58:2 , 10	7
235:4	136:9	102:12	59:1,10,2	26:21,25
233.4	150:1	specifics	2 61:2	30:18
space	162:5	18:17	64:19,23,	33:17
60:25	167:23	specs	25	34:24
span 224:8	178:5	115:23	65:18 , 21	36:17
	188:19		66:19,20	40:2 41:4
spark	191:2,3,1	speculatio	68:10	42:12,22,
20:18	7 198:18	n 61:10	69:18	23 43:20
spe 129:14	218:7	speed	77:6 78:6	44:19
		- L 2		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JD 05 12 2015	rage 307	<u> </u>
45:7,24	135:20,22	247:24	starts	40:16
46:3	136:13,15	249:12	56 : 25	43:9,14,1
49:15	,17,22	staffing	170:8	7 44:22
50:16	137:18	53 : 6	state 6:25	45:3
57:11	139:6		7:3,7	52 : 1,12
58:7	140:12,19	staff's	118:22	53 : 25
59:23	142:3	17:25	132:23	55 : 20
64:5	143:6,11,	96:14	145:24	56 : 1
66:4,17	14,20	97:15		77:14 , 19
67:15,19,	144:6,19	133:2	stated	78:4 96:5
22	145:4,25	138:18,20	7:20 20:5	106:15
69:15,17,	148:4	144:21	86:19	133:14
21,25	149:14,16	stage	137:21	177:20
71:23,24	150:5,18,	49:20	statement	218:12,25
72:24	24 151:16	stakeholde	204:9	219:1,14
73:3,7,20	152:25	rs 122:5	210:15	223:11,19
74:1	153:9	147:18	226:24	,21,23
76:10,19,	154:23		234:9	225:18
20 77:10	166:5,6,1	stand 98:2	246:10	step
78:13	6 167:1	standard	statements	20:6,9,11
81:2	168:8	244:10	18 : 25	, 17
83:13,19	174:4,5 176:19	standing	states	stepped
84:1,10,1 4,16	180:1,17	98:8	102:7	15:6,11
86:6,12,1	181:11		182:13	20:21
4,25	184:12	STANDS 252:5		
87:25	188:15	232:3	stating	Stepping 64:21
90:21	189:7	start 43:4	87 : 4	04:21
92:24	192:7	71:5	steadily	steps
93:15,22	193:11,21	84:22	120:12	14:22
94:7,8,15	194:6	119:10	Stec	15:10
95:13,19,	196:16,18	140:12	127:17,18	33:23
22	197:2	233:3	128:6,11,	52:13
96:11,13,	205:15	started	15,21,24	139:16
16 97:11	206:20	62:22	129:5,16,	Steve
98:2,5,7,	207:15,20	99:8	24 130:19	124:7
16 101:25	208:18	102:21	249:9,14,	stop 40:23
103:11,18	209:7	135:3	17	248:25
108:20	211:4	136:3	250:18 , 23	
109:3	215:24	153:23	251:12 , 18	Stopping
111:20	216:15,22	155:11	steel	108:25
112:13,17	217:1,10	161:5	78:15,19	straightfo
114:5	219:14	170:8	83:4	rward
117:7,8,1	230:23	213:16	105:23	233:12
2,15	232:24	starting	108:16	strategic
120:24	233:8	6 : 22	122:19	38:22
125:21	234:6,21,	30:13		52 : 14
131:18,25	24 241:15	57 : 3	steering	55 : 8
132:9	243:23	70 00	16:10	
		70:23		e+***
133:17	244:13,17	70:23 98:25	29:1,4	strategy
				strategy 148:24

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	09-12-2019	Page 308	of 314
Stream	78:11,17,	69:8	suggestion	supporting
151:18,19	18,19	101:8	36:6	112:17
street	82 : 22	submission	135:15	113:17
1:19	83:4	s 23:3	137:11,13	114:4
154:22	94:17		138:14	supportive
240:5	105:17	submit	172:5,12,	56:1
	107:22,23	147:18	24	133:18,19
strengthen	,24	223:16	suggestion	138:3
151:21	108:7,11	submitted	s 160:12	164:3
stress	122:16,18	121:12,14		
136:22,24	124:14	219:16	suggests	supposed
stressful	127:20	241:24	183:3,6	13:22
15:25	131:21	subsequent	241:19	14:14
16:3,22,2	161:10	31:17	summarized	70:22
5 17:5	197 : 3	125:15	146:23	90:19
	204:1,2	133:23	147:25	136:21
stretched	237:11		summary	165:15
162:7	structures	subsequent	40:9	sure 31:14
strictly	9:23 17:9	ly 104:24	63:13	43:19
125:12	38 : 7	232:9	112:16	44:6
187:11	65 : 18	249:12	112.10	47 : 25
stringent	68 : 18	substantia	summer	73:6 88:3
73:13	69:18	1 125 : 17	45:11,19	111:15
73.13	78 : 7	207:20	48:12	123:17
strong	86:15	succinct	supplier	129:8
39:13	92 : 5	217:14	28:16	136:14
194:11	103:3	21/:14	102:11	137:20
237:22	113:23	sudden	106:9	139:20
stronger	118:20	83 : 25	114:24	141:2
73:13	127:10	247:13	suppliers	150:21
203:5	129:4,7	suddenly	66:1	152 : 5
strongest	130:20	60:10,18	122:16	164:23
100:16	140:11	augas a t	124:15	171:5
228:10	141:19	suggest 30:4	125:13	172:22
	142:15	31:17		188:3
strongly	143:23	32:8	supplies	189:25
76:14	144:1	159:15	125:12	191:15
87:4	174:13	171:18	support	198:1,25
struck	struggle	250:24	9:19	220:3
218:25	74:4		18:23	235:24 244:14
structure	struggling	suggested	19:3,5	244:14
37:25	65 : 3	173:10	82 : 6	
38:2,3,12		174:15	135:6	surely
,15 39:15	studios	190:19	151 : 17	189:17
41:25	222:5	233:19	220:10	surprise
59:13	stuff	250:19	227:10	50:24
60:8	232:6	suggesting	235:12	86 : 6
63:12	su 135:15	174:4	supported	177:1
76:15		230:4	201:10	182:18
77:1,5,6	subject	232:20	218:15	189:20
' '				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 309	OI 314
225:4	84:22	222:6	21:10,11	155 : 13 , 15
233:17,21	90:7	223:11,19	100:17	,21
	135:16	·	157 : 18	156:19
surprised	136:13	tasks 16:6	terminatio	165:6,25
130:3,4,1	150:11	tax 240:14		167:12
7 189:23	151:23	taxpayers	n 19:19	168:20
surroundin	157 : 18	139:22	98:15	171:1,21
g 8:24	201:2	224:20	100:5,14	172:9
130:11	207:19,20	226:7	156:11	173:10
survey	210:1	227:7	terminolog	174:24
146:20,23	225:9	228:6,12	y 50:11	177:4
147:9,24	talk 10:19	236:11,13	terms 7:8	181:8
·		239:21	21:3	183:23
surveys	12:1		41:20	184:4
147:1	28:24	team 8:20	44:20	185:25
suspect	91:2	16:14	76:20	188:6,8,1
225:1	146:16	96:4	92:10	7 190:1
238:11	190:4,6	136:10	103:18	191:25
	240:3,4,6	156:6,7	103.18	192:18
suspenders	talked	157 : 22	116:12	196:2,11,
166:12	68:18	181:14		13 197:18
swamped	77:9	182 : 6	119:13	199:16
160:2	190:11	244:21	171:15	201:23
swimming	205:22	245 : 25	174:20,25	213:4,6,9
226:8	talking	teams 47:3	215:14	,10,13
	9:19 21:2	60 : 6	223:16,17	217:21
switch	78:10	179:19	230:13	229:18
215:19	89:12		234:16 236:22	233:24
sworn 3:5	178:13	telephone	230:22	240:24
5:6,24	186:5	79:25		247:20
6:9	190:5	91:17	245:22	248:14
a	203:24	templates	tes 125:20	249:4
sympathize 225:11	240:7	26:2	testified	251:20,24
225:11	244:5	ten 41:3	241:23	252:2
system 6:9		50:17		
	talks	155:10	testimonia	thanks
T	39:25	251:25	ls 103:7	79:23
table 3:1	101:24		testimony	214:3
11:13	102:3	tenacious	251:9	themselves
142:13	105:8,12	237:25	testy	32:23
153:15	202:16	239:10	224:6	156:10
230:8	task 32:16	tenders	224:0	187 : 5
		24:19	thank	therapeuti
taking	tasked	26:3	35:10	c 131:19
12:12	8:18		40:24	
20:14	16:16	tennis	61:13	132:1,7,9
48:11	22:19	38:2	63:19	133:12
54:8,12,2	32:18	tenure	99:22	222:4
2 56:6	49:6	120:13	116:16	thereafter
61:7	218:12	171:10	140:3	32:2
63:15	220:18	term 12:3	141:1	205:3
79:6 81:8	221:3	CCIM IZ.J		

	IC CODDINGWO	OD	rage 310	<u> </u>
there'd	233:17	timeframe	251:24	147:7
50:7	234:13	45:16	252 : 1	151:18 , 19
184:13	238:18	47 : 7	top 105:7	156:7,10,
there's	they've	timeline	150:16	21 157:10
11:17	84:17	42:18		168:12
17:3	132:16	43:4	topic	202:18
28:14,17,		44:9,25	65:16	220:3,14
20.11,17,	thi 97:4	45:18,21,	153:5	222:3
32:9 41:3	third	25 46:4	total	224:4
67:2	102:2	47:13,23	140:22	225:7
74:18	186:18	48:5	186:23	232:5
82:23	187:19		totally	town's
95:2,3	193:1	timelines	226:24	136:18
103:6	232:11	42:22		142:8
104:3	233:7	43:21	touch	+
113:17	thirty	78:23	214:9	townspeopl e 164:1
114:11,13	225:13	79:1 88:5	231:18	e 164:1
117:6		timely	237:19	traditiona
132:13	thorough	87 : 18	239:11	1 105:14
137:5	69:24	tired	Touche	107:4
138:2	84:14	210:11	232:12	transactio
142:11	thoroughly	title 32:1	touched	n 127:9
157:7	83:3		97 : 4	236:14
166:24,25	thoughts	titles	233:14	249:25
167:18	55:19	214:15	toward	Transcript
170:16	106:25	TOC0189964	98:4	3:18
172:10	thousands	4:4 56:17		
185:12	27:21	181:18	towards	transparen
215:3 225:2		TOC0190573	140:11	cy 120:14
	throughout	4:6 206:1	242:14	transpired
226:2,5 243:20	120:13 200:7	TOC0202597	town	24:13
245:16	227:7		1:2,17	travelling
250:12		4:7 207:9	2:13 5:13	225:8
	Thursday	TOC0204156	7 : 2	
they'd	70:25	4:5 199:3	11:4,6,10	treasurer 10:23
147:11	72:24	TOC0549006	,20 12:2	11:20
240:9	189:9,11	4:9	13:9	144:25
they're	243:4	TOC549006	15:17	
28:16	Thursdays	242:4	16:8 17:3	167:14
32:14,22	70:5		19:13	168:18
68:9	ti 216:14	today	25:7,19 28:5,10	169:14
96:16,20,		62:13	38:6	170:2
21 106:4	tied 127:5	94:6	105:22	231:8
147:9	tight	210:10	106:9,11	235:4
214:20	45:17,21,	217:24 244:14	112:14,21	treasurerN
215:7,8,2	25 175:9	244:14	115:15	ovember
4 216:2	181:15		118:17	141:14
217:1 229:4,5	tightly	Tom 117:2	129:5	
231:6,12	178:6	tomorrow	144:20	treasurers
231.0,12				

INQUINI	Te confindation	JD 09-12-2019	rage 311	
214:17	53 : 22	ultimately	ing 7:13	104:4
Treasury	55:21	69 : 17	13:9,13	125:18
22:18	96:21,23	79:13	14:9	126:7
25:12,14	99:20	82:25	20:7 , 22	131:3
167:25	105:1	143:24	21:13	138:9
168:5	138:12,17	166:8	28:10	151:8
	184:21	180:20,21	47 : 17	201:8
169:3,18	210:18,19	218:19	54 : 7	245:11
tried	211:22	227:19	56:12	
53:18	214:21		58:1,5,22	undertakin
trouble	216:2	unable	65:5,19	g 63:2
68:24	226:4	227:9	68:20	147:7,8
204:12	229:25	uncertain	72 : 15	170:1
	231:25	165:11	74:7	undertook
truck		unclear	76 : 12	16:16
174:10	Tuesday		100:12	62 : 22
trucks	206:11	191:17	100:12	78:22
232:6,20	Tuesdays	understand	104:23	102:17
	111:4	8:3,17		103:8
Trudell		13:6,21	142:16	106:14
2:16	turn 51:9	24:8,17	144:3	168:12
213:11,12	56:5	42:15	145:6	177:21
true	119:21	43:19	148:6,12,	
6:13,15	184:7	56 : 23	18 154:16	
246:8,9	241:16	60:14,15	159:16	136:1
	turned	72:6	191:2	185:2
truly	220:14	76:18	226:24	unfair
111:7	turnkey	79:4	understood	231:24
131:3	186:23	100:6	15 : 17	unfamiliar
133:7,11		103:18	24:25	68:17
166:9	twenty	104:13	25 : 16	
193:2	224:18	106:16	44:6	unfiltered
238:20	225 : 6	117:20	48:16	214:22
245:15	226:21	129:23	60 : 6	unfolded
trust 9:17	227:1	132:15	105:19	63:3
139:20	twice	135:18	130:14	
245:8	248:24	139:11	158:21	unfortunat
trusted		141:7,18	165:8	ely
89:20	type 67:11	142:14	168:16	140:23
200:16,21	99:11	144:7	184:11	221:13
245:10	108:10	152:11	186:25	unique
245:10	114:7	161:25	193:7,21	15 : 25
try 176:8	122:19	183:24	undertake	21:17
214:22	128:16	187:12,15		87:17
217:16	161:10	226:4	26:5 30:18	103:6
229:4	typically	231:4,5		156:10
234:12	12:1	235:5	33:18	237:9
trying	146:3	236:7	63:5 87:8	
12:21	247:24	239:13,18	135:23	universal
18:4		244:15	141:12 148:12	237:3
33:17				unless
		understand	undertaken	7:24
				I

132:16	utilize	verbal	226:7	walk 98:18
201:17	11:9	23:25	228:1	walked
243:20		24:9	vice	237:5
unreasonab		166:6,8	100:25	wasn't
le 157:14	vacation	verbally	view 45:23	7:20 8:23
171:18	70:23	23 : 15	54:7,10,2	
unsolicite	71:20	verbiage	0 60:2	12:4,25
d	189:25	202:23	79:4	14:11
21:11,14	192:13		97:7,15	16:11,22
22:4	193:14	verify	130:20,25	20:12,13
25:1,10	204:15	103:14	131:2	21:3,20
· ·	206:20	110:7	154:3	22:6 24:6
unsophisti	207:15	versa	158:14	31:9,10
cated 234:13	208:4	101:1	246:21	37:24
234:13	209:3	versed	views	38:3
unsworn	vague	172:23	216:10	44:12,13
6:8	189:4,6	version		46:16
untowards	value	6:8	vision	47:10,14
233:5	32:13	70:7,19	15:18	48:11 , 13
249:16	43:15	71:23,24	voiced	49:2
upcoming	236:16	72:18,19	177:16	55:10 , 16
150:7	247:8	73:2 75:5	volume	59:10
	van 174:10	83:13	120:2,4	65:13
update		84:9,10	vote 9:16	67:3,4
57:1	variety	90 : 8	152:19	70:6,24
upgrade	175:15	92:23	201:15	74:16
227:3	various	101:19	235:11,12	76:13 81:12
upload	7:17	108:20	,15	83:18,20
114:16	16:5,19	111:16,20	249:12	89:7
upon 5:1	22:8 25:4	112:2,10		90:25
11:5	66:1 75:9	140:19	voted	94:24
45 : 15	94:19	142:3,5,7	152:12,18 ,19	95:8 96:3
62:8,9	104:12	143:6,7,9	·	107:25
118:9,10	118:18	,11 189:8	votes	109:11
155:18,19	120:22	199:25	143:23	111:5,14,
166:9	122:4 125:16	200:2	voting	16
190:24	148:24	202:8 243:24	145:14	117:4,17
213:19,20	158:20	251:18	154:21	119:7
252 : 7	168:8			125:17
upset	222:5	versions	W	126:3
210:1	240:6	66:4	wait 79:19	131:3
	241:20	69:24	waiting	133:13,16
urgency		71:2	waiting 75:9	,18
161:9	vehicles	243:24		134:18,19
useful	232:4	versus	waive	145:3
31:4	vent 128:3	136:14	152:18,19	146:7
utility	venting	145:8	153:12,18	153:9
214:16	210:10	164:9	,20,24	160:25 174:13
		217:11		1/4:13
	I		I	

INQUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	09-12-2019	Page 313 of 314	

INQUIRI	re COLLINGWOO	D 09-12-2019	Page 313	01 314
175:10	206:22	147:8,17	82:17	33:22
182:18	207:16,17	197 : 25	107:19	38:10
187:10,11	,21	198:6	133:13	40:24
189:20,23	208:4,17	204:17	168:3	49:7
204:5	209:4,7	210:1	191:14	52 : 12
205:4	212:15,20	215:1,2	243:17	55:18
212:2	242:13,20	236:12		67:10
235:21		244:4,24	wherever	71:10
236:2,3	weekday	244.4,24	120:22	78:1
243:1,3	225:10	West	wheth	95:13
243.1,3	weekend	178:15 , 18	122:15	108:1
245:2	57 : 24	209:21		133:13
	182:3	we've	whether	
249:19		36 : 25	12:1	175:15
waste	weekly	67 : 20	16:20	181:14
34:24	147:15	73:12	28:16,17	whom
wastewater	weeks	74:17	43:23	185:19
157:7	48:2,8,12	94:6	44:20	238:11
	115:24	135:16	45:1,2	whomever
watch	116:1,5	142:10	56 : 5	231:10
227:24	175:17	150:14	66:18	232:12
watched	207:2,3,1	171:7	69:20	232:12
229:23	9		74:17	whose
	208:15,21	183:18 205:12	98:1	81:21
water	211:3		103:20	William
157:6	247:10	224:17 226:15	104:15	2:13
214:16			105:25	
waters	weighing	231:15	106:10	Wilson
168:2,21	217:9	233:13	107:14	220:8
wave	welcome	251:15,18	108:13	Wingrove
ways 148:25	5:12	WG 57:4	120:24	100:5
	147:9	105:15	122:16,17	156:11
website	we'll 6:20	WGD 57:2	127:8	Wingrove's
102:24	62:5 66:5	106:8,13,	129:5,14,	100:14
103:7	86:2	17	15 133:8	100.14
104:11,19	155:12		138:19	winner
112:16,19		WGD's	143:19	19:18
124:16,23	177:8	57:4,10	151:24	wire 75:14
245:16	188:7	whatever	154:23	
websites	213:16,25	9:19	157 : 11	wish 6:18
125:13,23	222:13	144:10	167:9	82:9
·	236:18	166:25	174:3	172:13
we'd 15:14	Wendy	186:19	183:11,12	182:8
34:1	252:15	198:4	185:2	withdrawn
115:24	we're 7:17	229:3	198:1	162:13
187:9	9:19	239:16	217:10	
244:22	45:10,11		219:13	witness
weeds	83:8	whenever	242:21	5:3 18:25
238:3	92:21	11:5	245:13	213:4
	97:17	16:23	246:21	251:19
week 70:23	117:18	48:10	250:13	252 : 5
116:8	142:2	61:1		witnessed
	142;2		whole	

Page 314	ΟĪ	3 I 4
----------	----	-------

226:15	INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 09-12-2019	Page 314	of 314
230:17,19 36:6 writing yourself 18:19 18:19 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 66:17 12:9 12:11 12:1	226:15	12:20	93:9	191:22	
witnesses 45:15 57:1 12:9 184:4 113:23 59:10 66:17 188:7 127:22 23:15,25 202:13 236:18 214:11 23:15,25 202:13 wondering 215:20 90:6 you've 188:4 working 160:7 15:8 236:21 for:24 160:7 15:8 250:21 15:24 160:9,15 18:12 Woodworth 63:17 wrong 89:3 28:3,7 55:9 77:24 152:11 86:5 94:5 55:9 77:24 152:11 86:5 94:5 125:3 79:10 233:7 109:2 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 26:4 29:1 workload 7 221:12 221:12 36:16 22:11 236:4 230:12 221:12 99:16					
184:4 113:23 12:19 12:19 13:15 12:19 13:15 13:23 23:15,25 21:11 24:19 24:19 24:19 25:20 26:10 25:20 26:10 25:20 26:10 25:20 26:10			_	_	
188:7 13:23 127:22 23:15,25 202:13 23:15,25 202:13 21:11 23:15 24:9 54:6 202:13 21:11 23:21 23:15,25 202:13 21:11 23:21 23:15,25 202:13 21:11 23:21 23:15,25 20:13 21:11 23:21 23:15 24:9 54:6 20:7 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:21 25:31 27:24 16:9,15 24:15 24:16 26:31 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:15 23:21 23:15			57:1		
1881			written		
wondering 214:11 24:9 54:6 you've 118:4 215:20 90:6 90:7 15:17,23 250:21 15:24 16:2 49:4 16:5:9,15 18:12 20:7 Woodworth 63:17 252:15 72:3 wrong 89:3 28:3,7 28:3,7 worded 75:23 99:7,20 48:15 54:6 60:4 86:5 94:5 95:20 55:9 77:24 152:11 86:5 94:5 95:20 193:22 223:7 48:15 54:6 60:4 86:5 94:5 95:20 195:24 24:10			23:15 , 25		
wondering 118:4 working 16:2 96:23,24 16:0:7 15:8 16:2 49:4 16:2 16:5:9,15; 18:12 20:7 25:15 72:3 wrong 89:3 28:3,7 48:15 55:9 77:24 15:211 54:6 60:4 55:9 77:24 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 22:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 79:20 70:9:2 70:9	236:18		24:9 54:6	212:11	
118:4	wondering		90:6	you've	
250:21	118:4		96:23 , 24	5:17,23	
Moodworth 63:17 16:2 49:4 18:16:7; 15; 17 20:7	236:21	_	160:7	15:8	
Woodworth 63:17 Wrong 89:3 28:3,7 worded 75:23 99:7,20 48:15 55:9 77:24 152:11 54:6 60:4 125:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 238:25 193:22 223:7 109:2 wording 214:14 wrongly 156:25 142:11 233:18 wrote 93:3 174:25 194:23 233:18 124:10 181:12 work 14:6 251:4 161:23 182:4 26:4 29:1 workload Y 182:4 46:16 121:8 yearly 205:12 57:4,10 works yearly 236:10,19 63:16,22 8:6,14 yearly 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 224:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 2 15:8 you you 2 16:12 you 2 <	250:21		165:9,15,	18:12	
252:15 72:3 wrong 89:3 28:3,7 worded 75:23 99:7,20 48:15 55:9 77:24 152:11 86:5 94:5 125:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 238:25 193:22 223:7 109:2 wording 214:14 wrongly 156:25 164:20 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 194:23 233:18 124:10 181:12 194:23 233:18 124:10 182:4 26:4 29:1 workload Yard 232:5 182:4 26:4 29:1 workload Yard 232:5 236:12 46:16 121:8 Yard 232:5 236:12 57:4,10 works 50:18 236:10,19 63:16,22 8:6,14 23:15 236:10,19 69:16 94:24,25 223:14 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 246:17 127:19 214:16 93:5 236:22 158:8 you 155:11 26:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 20:12 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 242:13,16 20:12:2 76:1 242:13,16 242:13,16	Woodworth		18 166:7	20:7	
worded 75:23 99:7,20 48:15 55:9 77:24 152:11 54:6 60:4 125:3 79:10 164:10 86:5 94:5 238:25 193:22 223:7 109:2 wording 24:5 51:2 220:8 181:10 164:20 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 194:23 233:18 124:10 181:12 work 14:6 233:18 124:10 182:4 26:4 29:1 46:16 121:8 182:4 26:4 29:1 46:16 121:8 214:4,6 52:1 126:6 yearly 231:15 57:4,10 26:6 yearly 231:15 63:16,22 8:6,14 22:21 yeoman's 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 246:17 127:19 214:16 93:5 223:14 160:13 you'll 155:13 160:10 yai:1 155:11 20:17,10 230:1 219:4			wrong 89.3	28:3,7	
Solution			_	48:15	
125:3			·	54:6 60:4	
12:13				86:5 94:5	
wording 214:14 220:8 156:25 24:5 51:2 227:25 181:10 164:20 142:11 233:18 124:10 181:12 194:23 234:24 161:23 182:4 26:4 29:1 workload Yard 232:5 236:12 26:4 29:1 workload Yard 232:5 215:12,20 57:4,10 23:16,22 yearly 231:15 63:16,22 8:6,14 yearly 231:15 67:1 76:3 8:6,14 yeoman's 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 23:14 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 246:17 126:3,6 169:7 71:3 75:7 93:5 2ambonis 138:9 workshop 71:3 75:7 23:1 22:21 158:8 160:3 yoga 222:5 yoga 222:5 158:8 yoga 222:5 you'll 155:11 177:20 wrapped 215:14 221:20 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 write 221:20 216:16 161:16 young 17:4 219:13 243:18 99:14 223:14 37:6 yours 57:14 yours				95:20	
24:5 51:2 220:8 227:25 181:10 156:25 164:20 174:25 142:11 194:23 233:18 234:24 161:23 182:4 205:12 214:4,6 26:4 29:1 46:16 121:8 74:40 230:22	238:25			109:2	
24:5 51:2 220:8 227:25 227:25 23:18 181:10 164:20 174:25 181:12 194:23 233:18 124:10 161:23 182:4 265:14 265:14 266:4 29:1 46:16 121:8 126:6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	wording		= =	156:25	
142:11	24:5 51:2		181:10	164:20	
194:23	142:11		wrote 93:3	174:25	
work 14:6 25:4 161:23 182:4 26:4 29:1 46:16 21:8 205:12 46:16 121:8 yard 232:5 214:4,6 57:4,10 3:16,22 works yearly 231:15 63:16,22 works 50:18 236:10,19 96:16 94:24,25 223:14 246:17 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 71:3 75:7 246:17 126:3,6 169:7 71:3 75:7 7 7 23:5 23mbonis 186:22 138:9 workshop 38:23 yoga 222:5 you'll 155:11 155:11 155:11 155:11 155:11 155:11 155:11 26:14 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:12 20:13 20:12 20:13 20:13 20:13 20:14:18 20:14:18 20:14:18 20:14:18 20:14:18 20:14 20:14:18 20:14 20:14 20:14 20:15:12 20:15:12 20:15:12 20:15:12 20:15:12	194:23		124:10	181:12	
Workload 121:8 126:6 Y Yard 232:5 214:4,6 2215:12,20 230:22 231:15 236:10,19 720 246:17 720 230:1 234:14 169:12 177:20 Wrapped 201:7,10 230:1 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 221:20 216:16 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 37:6 Yours 183:17 Worked 57:14 183:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:18 33:17 33:18 33:17 33			161:23	182:4	
46:16		251:4		205:12	
121:8		workload		214:4,6	
57:4,10 63:16,22 works yearly 230:22 67:1 76:3 8:6,14 yeoman's 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 246:17 126:3,6 169:7 71:3 75:7 230:52 127:19 214:16 93:5 2ambonis 138:9 workshop ymca 224:6 yoga 222:5 158:8 yoga 222:5 you'll 169:12 234:14 155:11 177:20 wrapped 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 write 221:20 214:21 15:24 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 243:18 young 17:4 219:13 223:14 young 17:4 223:14 37:6 yours 37:14 yours 57:14 243:18 yours 57:14 243:14 183:17		121:8		215:12,20	
63:16,22 works 50:18 50:18 236:10,19 ,20 246:17 96:16 94:24,25 223:14 yet 49:20 71:3 75:7 71:3 75:7 214:16 93:5 71:3 75:7 127:19 214:16 93:5 71:3 75:7 71:		126:6	_	230:22	
67:1 76:3 8:6,14 22:21 yeoman's 236:10,19 99:16 94:24,25 223:14 yet 49:20 246:17 106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 71:3 75:7 Z 127:19 214:16 93:5 Zambonis 186:22 138:9 workshop yoga 222:5 yoga 222:5 158:8 yoga 222:5 you'll 155:11 169:12 234:14 215:11 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 221:20 214:21 15:24 241:18,22 215:25 76:1 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 243:18 young 17:4 223:14 37:6 yours 231:13 37:6 yours worked 57:14 183:17	'	works		231:15	
96:16 99:16 99:16 106:14 157:2,12 126:3,6 169:7 127:19 214:16 38:23 158:8 160:3 169:12 177:20 201:7,10 206:10 201:7,10 206:10 214:21 215:25 214:21 215:25 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 201:13 200:1,18 223:14 201:13 worked 22:21 yet 49:20 71:3 75:7 72 Zambonis 186:22 zu 96:12 you'll 155:11 216:14 221:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 243:18 29:14 27:20 242:13,16 243:18 29:14 27:16 27 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 248:17 248:18 223:14 you's 183:17	· ·		50:18	236:10,19	
99:16 106:14 126:3,6 127:19 138:9 158:8 160:3 169:12 177:20 201:7,10 206:10 214:21 223:14 223:14 223:14 246:17 246:17 246:17 249:20 71:3 75:7 93:5 22ambonis 186:22 22 22 22 22 20 96:12 23 21 24 21:10 25 20 24:11 25:21 26:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 246:17 25 22ambonis 186:22 22 22 22 20 96:12 24 22:13 24 21:18 22 21:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 243:18 29:14 20:1,18 23:14 24:13:13			yeoman's	,20	
106:14 157:2,12 yet 49:20 126:3,6 169:7 71:3 75:7 127:19 214:16 93:5 138:9 workshop ymCA 224:6 157:10 38:23 yoga 222:5 158:8 you'll 234:14 169:12 you'll 155:11 177:20 yrapped 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 yrite 221:20 214:21 15:24 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 242:13,16 219:13 243:18 99:14 223:14 37:6 yours yours 57:14 183:17			223:14	246:17	
126:3,6 169:7 71:3 75:7 127:19 214:16 93:5 138:9 workshop 38:23 yoga 222:5 158:8 you'll 155:11 169:12 wrapped 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 write 221:20 214:21 15:24 241:18,22 215:25 76:1 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 243:18 99:14 223:14 37:6 yours worked 57:14 183:17					
127:19			-	Z	
138:9 157:10 158:8 160:3 169:12 177:20 201:7,10 206:10 214:21 215:25 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 workshop 38:23 yoga 222:5 you'll 155:11 216:14 221:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 yours 186:22 zu 96:12 zu 96:12 you'll 155:11 240:14 25:21 20:1,18 23:14 37:6 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 yours 183:17				Zambonis	
Workshop 157:10 38:23 38:23 2u 96:12			93:3		
158:8 160:3 169:12 177:20 wrapped 201:7,10 206:10 214:21 215:25 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 worked yoga 222:5 you'll 155:11 216:14 221:20 219:4 221:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16		_	YMCA 224:6		
160:3 world you'll 169:12 155:11 177:20 wrapped 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 write 221:20 214:21 15:24 241:18,22 215:25 76:1 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 young 17:4 219:13 243:18 99:14 220:1,18 23:14 179:16 231:13 37:6 yours 57:14 183:17		38:23	νοσa 222:5	zu 90:12	
169:12 234:14 155:11 177:20 wrapped 216:14 201:7,10 230:1 219:4 206:10 write 221:20 214:21 15:24 241:18,22 215:25 76:1 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 young 17:4 219:13 243:18 99:14 220:1,18 23:14 37:6 yours 231:13 37:6 yours worked 183:17		world			
177:20 wrapped 216:14 216:14 221:20 221:20 241:18,22 241:18,22 242:13,16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 writes 37:6 37:6 37:6 37:14 213:17 worked 230:14 230:14 231:14 231:15 24:14		234:14	_		
201:7,10 230:1 219:4 221:20 214:21 215:25 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 223:14 231:13 230:1 219:4 221:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 242:13,16 242:13,16 242:13,16 243:18 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 yours 183:17		wrapped			
206:10 214:21 215:25 216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 write 221:20 241:18,22 242:13,16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 yours 183:17					
214:21	· ·				
215:25 76:1 242:13,16 216:16 161:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 writes 37:6 37:14 worked 57:14 183:17					
216:16 219:13 220:1,18 223:14 231:13 worked 76:1 161:16 242:13,10 young 17:4 99:14 179:16 young 17:4 179:16 183:17			· ·		
219:13			∠4∠:⊥3 , 16		
220:1,18 223:14			young 17:4		
223:14 writes 179:16 yours worked 183:17		243:18	99:14		
231:13 37:6 yours 57:14 183:17	· '	writes	179:16		
worked 57:14 183:17		37 : 6	vours		
worked Od. 14		57 : 14	_		
	worked	84:14			