

## TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

May 3rd, 2019



```
2
1
                  APPEARANCES
2
                          ) Inquiry Counsel
3 Kate McGrann
4 John Mather
                     ) Associate Inquiry
5
                          ) Counsel
6
7 Michael Watson (np) ) Alectra Utilities
8 Belina Bain
                          ) Corporation
9
10 (No Counsel)
                          ) For Paul Bonwick
11
12 George Marron ) For Sandra Cooper
13
14 (No Counsel) ) For Timothy Fryer
15
16 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
17
18 William McDowell (np) ) For Town of Collingwood
19 Ryan Breedon
                          )
20 Andrea Wheeler
                          )
21
22 Patrick Gajos (np) ) For Collus PowerStream
23
                          )Corporation
24
25 Norm Emblem
                          ) For KPMG
```

		3
1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	4
4		
5	IAN CHADWICK, Affirmed	
6	Cross-examination by Mr. Frederick Chenoweth	5
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Belinda Bain	46
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Tim Fryer	65
9	Cross-examination by Mr. Paul Bonwick	91
10	Re-direct examination by Ms. Kate McGrann	112
11		
12	JONATHAN MICHAEL ERLING, Sworn	
13	Examination-in-Chief by Mr. John Mather	122
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Certificate of Transcript	228
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1		List of Exhibits		
2	Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	
3	101	TOC0485476		
4	102	CJI0000002		
5	103	ALE0050215		
6	104	ALE0040924.001		
7	105	BLG0000134_0001		
8	106	KPM0000563		
9	107	KPM0000600		
10	108	KPM0000884		
11	109	KPM0000917		
12	110	KPM0000926		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
1				

5 --- Upon commencing at 10:01 a.m. 2 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr. Chadwick...? 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Thank you. THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I 6 think we were going to hear -- continue with Mr. Chadwick. 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Correct, Your 10 Honour. 11 MR. RYAN BREEDON: So, Your Honour, 12 while the witness is coming up, I think we broke that 13 Mr. McDowell had not yet finished his cross-14 examination. He's ill today, so I'm here in his 15 place, but we have no further questions for this 16 witness. 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank 18 you. 19 20 IAN CHADWICK, Previously Affirmed 21 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I believe I 23 would be the next examiner, Your Honour. 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go 25 ahead, Mr. Chenoweth.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes. Thank
- 2 you very much.

- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr.
- 6 Chadwick, good morning.
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Good morning.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 9 This was -- in 2010, it was your first term of -- of
- 10 Council?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, sir. My first
- 12 term began in 2003.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 14 So that you would have had occasion to come to know Ed
- 15 Houghton as a -- as a Councillor and as a staff person
- 16 in the Town, I take it, as a result of your becoming a
- 17 Councillor in 2003?
- 18 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Well, sir, I
- 19 actually got to know Mr. Houghton through my role in
- 20 the media, because I interviewed him a few times as
- 21 the head of the Utility or as the head of Public
- 22 Works. And as a reporter, and later, the editor of
- 23 the paper. you got to know all of the department
- 24 heads.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm sure.

- 1 And -- and that would have -- that media process of
- 2 yours, I think you indicated in your previous evidence
- 3 that you'd been twelve (12) years with the media and
- 4 eight (8) years with the Enterprise Bulletin?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And when
- 7 would the Enterprise Bulletin activity have started?
- 8 MR. IAN CHADWICK: 1991, I believe, or
- 9 late 1990.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 So that you had had interaction with Ed Houghton since
- 12 -- as a journalist since 1991?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Roughly from about
- 14 that time. I can't remember the exact date I first
- 15 met him.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 17 And as a Councillor, from the first time you entered
- 18 Council, which I think you indicated was 2003?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 21 And did you become aware, either as a journalist or as
- 22 a Councillor, that Mr. Houghton had been granted the
- 23 Order of Collingwood?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I believe so,
- 25 sir. I couldn't remember what -- I can't remember

- 1 offhand what date that was, but I recall -- he's
- 2 received numerous Provincial awards, as well as local
- 3 ones.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 5 And a number of those awards were for contributions to
- 6 the community of Collingwood, as I understand it?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. That's
- 8 what the Order of Collingwood is for.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Indeed. And
- 10 otherwise, some of them would have been in the
- 11 electrical distribution industry?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I
- 13 understand he's won several awards in that area as
- 14 well.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 16 By the time you got to the Council that you were on
- 17 commencing in late 2010, can you tell me what your
- 18 understanding was of the reputation of Mr. Houghton at
- 19 the commencement of that Council?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Well, Mr. Houghton
- 21 was very well respected throughout both the electrical
- 22 sector -- the electrical industry sector, and the --
- 23 the water utility sector as well, and I believe he's
- 24 also won awards in the water sector as well.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Good. Thank

- 1 you. Now, we had some questions yesterday with
- 2 respect to Mr. Houghton becoming the CAO in April of -
- 3 of 2012.
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 6 would have been a Councillor at that time?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I was.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I had a
- 9 sense from the evidence I heard yesterday that with
- 10 Mr. -- or with Ms. Wingrove having been terminated
- 11 promptly from her position, that obtaining a CAO was -
- 12 was an urgent and time-sensitive problem for the
- 13 Council of -- of Collingwood?
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, it would
- 15 have been.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 17 And as I understand it, Mr. Houghton eventually agreed
- 18 to step in as CAO?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 20 Normally, the process of hiring the CAO -- and I've
- 21 gone through this a couple of times as a Councillor --
- 22 not only -- the process takes three (3) to six (6)
- 23 months to find somebody, and to get them to go through
- 24 the interviews, and to get them to -- to move to
- 25 Collingwood. So in the interim, often times, somebody

- 1 is brought in to fill in during that process.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 3 And I take it Mr. Houghton filled in?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. He did.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And were you
- 6 aware that Mr. Houghton initially said that he would
- 7 not take on the job, and was, in fact, reticent to
- 8 take on the job?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I did not know he
- 10 was unwilling to take on the job until I read some of
- 11 the -- the documents and heard some of the testimony,
- 12 but I know that during the time, when it was proposed,
- 13 I actually met with Mr. Houghton and discussed with
- 14 him the position, and I knew that he had some
- 15 reservations about it at the time, and Council's
- 16 relationship, and I discussed my reservations with
- 17 them as well.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very well.
- 19 And when he took on the role, he took it on for a
- 20 period of three (3) months?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. It was
- 22 originally for a shorter -- a short-term duration.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes. And
- 24 would you have become aware that he wasn't paid for
- 25 this role?

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, I was.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 3 And the salary that the previous CAO received, I had a
- 4 sense from the evidence I've heard, was in excess of
- 5 two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000)?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that's
- 7 correct, yes.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 9 And Mr. Houghton received no additional salary for
- 10 taking on this responsibility?
- 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not that I'm aware
- 12 of, no.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 14 I had a sense, and -- and you can tell me whether I'm
- 15 right or wrong on this, that Mr. Houghton took on this
- 16 task out of a commitment to Collingwood, and wishing
- 17 to serve the best interests of Collingwood when they
- 18 were in a little bit of a tight spot
- 19 Would that be fair?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I'd
- 21 agree. I always believed that Mr. Houghton had the
- 22 best interests of Collingwood at heart.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So that --
- 24 that view that he had the best interests of
- 25 Collingwood at heart goes beyond his, I would say,

- 1 selfless act in taking on the role of CAO in April of
- 2 2011, and you're -- you you're saying that in a
- 3 broader sense, that your observations from the time
- 4 you observed him as a journalist and the time you --
- 5 that you would observe him as a staff when you were a
- 6 Councillor, was that he appeared on an ongoing basis
- 7 to have the best interests of Collingwood at heart?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I would
- 9 agree with that.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 11 Thank you.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: But if I might make
- 13 a correction, it was April 2012. I believe you said
- 14 2011.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Good. Thank
- 16 you. It was April 2012, you're correct.
- Now you may or may not have a memory of
- 18 this, but I'm taking you to the Council meeting of the
- 19 27th of June that you would have attended, in which
- 20 you would have become aware at that time that the sale
- 21 of Collus was becoming -- was being considered by
- 22 Council. This was the first of a number of Council
- 23 meetings, the first of I think six (6) Council
- 24 meetings that dealt with the Collus issue.
- Do you remember that meeting?

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I remember it
- 2 somewhat, yes.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 I may be stretching your memory, but -- and I -- I
- 5 fortunately don't think I have to take you to a
- 6 document because it's not set out in a document, but
- 7 there was some discussions about next steps and one
- 8 (1) of the next steps was the formation of the STT
- 9 team.
- 10 Do you remember that the formation of
- 11 the STT team was discussed at that June 27th meeting?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And it may
- 14 be of some assistance if you could tell the Inquiry,
- 15 and maybe you don't recall, if you could tell the
- 16 Inquiry whether you recall that Rick Lloyd was
- 17 directed to be on the STT team at that June 27th
- 18 meeting.
- 19 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I recall that --
- 20 that Mr. Lloyd, as well as former Mayor Cooper were
- 21 both directed to be part of that team. I believe the
- 22 team was being put together by the Collus Board with
- 23 some of its members as well, but they were the only
- 24 two (2) members of Council that I can recall that
- 25 were directed.

1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.

- 2 So in fact the mayor and the deputy mayor were put on
- 3 the STT team?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I take
- 6 it that Council was content at that meeting with the
- 7 nature of the STT team?
- 8 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I don't
- 9 recall anybody disagreeing with it or raising any
- 10 concerns about it.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 And I asked Mr. Lloyd about this yesterday, but I take
- 13 it that the Council was in the practice from time to
- 14 time of forming subcommittees that would take on
- 15 particular tasks?
- 16 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. That's
- 17 historically been the case in Collingwood.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 19 did you review the formation of the STT team as one
- 20 (1) of those historic subcommittees that was tasked
- 21 with moving a particular matter forward?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 23 Traditionally those committees, and I actually served
- 24 on the Affordable Housing Task Force several years
- 25 ago, which was created by Council, don't have any

- 1 voting or any authority to make any -- any decisions,
- 2 but just simply to gather information presented to
- 3 Council for further decisions, is my understanding of
- 4 it.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 6 very well.
- 7 So you saw nothing unusual about the
- 8 formation of the STT team at this time?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, sir.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 Take you for a moment -- you indicated that in your
- 12 last evidence that you don't recall seeing the options
- 13 reviewed at any time, the options that were being
- 14 considered by the STT team.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, sir, I don't
- 16 recall that the S -- that the team ever presented the
- 17 options to Council before the discussion of the -- the
- 18 RFP.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 May I take you for a moment to the June 27th minutes,
- 21 which is BLG00002590001?
- 22
- 23 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 24
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: If we could

16 1 go down to the slides, and I hope I have the number

3

4 (BRIEF PAUSE)

2 for the slides. Continue down.

5

- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It may be
- 7 that I don't have the number for the slides and it's
- 8 the slides that I wish to refer to.
- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I believe that the
- 10 number for the slide is CPS4397.

11

- 12 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 14 Could we pull that up, please? Thank you.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

17

- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And if we
- 19 could go down to Slide Number 17.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I note that
- 24 you were at this meeting obviously, sir.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.

1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 2 And do you recall if there was a slide presentation at
- 3 that meeting?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 6 And it's been the evidence of a number of the parties
- 7 that -- that the slides -- and in fact this document
- 8 is marked as an exhibit -- and it would appear that
- 9 the -- that the slides do show a discussion with
- 10 respect to the various options that were being
- 11 recommended at that time.
- 12 And I've taken you to Slide Number 17.
- 13 If your memory now refreshed and do you now remember
- 14 that the status quo option was presented in this slide
- 15 to Council in this in camera meeting?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. So
- 18 at the very least the status quo option was discussed
- 19 with -- with Council at that time?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 22 And if we could look at Slide 19.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I take
- 2 it at that time -- this may assist your memory, that
- 3 clearly at the meeting of June 27th that you attended,
- 4 this slide was the source of the discussion of a
- 5 partial sale option.
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 8 I take it -- does that refresh your memory, that that
- 9 option was reviewed with Council and you were there on
- 10 the 27th of June?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 13 I -- I take it the reality is that -- that the
- 14 strategic partnership that was eventually concluded as
- 15 the process is a sale of some of the shares of Collus,
- 16 i.e., a sale of 50 percent of the shares of Collus,
- 17 correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, and I do
- 19 recall that there were discussions about the selling
- 20 more than 50 percent. There was a great reluctance to
- 21 lose control over local rates and service.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes.
- 23 MR. IAN CHADWICK: And that there was
- 24 no willingness to sell more than 50 percent.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 And I suppose -- I guess my point really is that the
- 2 partial -- that the eventual result, i.e., the sale of
- 3 50 percent of Collus shares, is in fact one (1) of the
- 4 partial sale options.
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 7 So the partial sale options included a sale of a
- 8 minority interest, it included a sale of more than 50
- 9 percent, and it would have included the sale of 50
- 10 percent, in the partial sale option.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. And
- 13 it's clear that there was a sense that, I think you
- 14 just told me this, that the Council was not interested
- 15 in selling more than 50 percent and losing some
- 16 control.
- 17 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 19 And as I understand it, and maybe you can assist me
- 20 with this, was there a general view at Council that
- 21 Council was not simply looking for an investor and
- 22 wanted in fact to strengthen their Collus power
- 23 distribution operation as a result of the outcome of
- 24 this process?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. As well

- 1 as I can remember from that particular date that long
- 2 ago, there were discussions about efficiencies of
- 3 scale working with another company. There were
- 4 discussions about certain services, there were
- 5 discussions about working cooperatively in the
- 6 corporate culture.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 8 Would it be fair to say that Council's purpose was
- 9 more than to simply obtain an investor and cash; they
- 10 had things like scale and strategic strength that they
- 11 were looking for in a partner?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I do not
- 13 recall if cash was particularly an issue in those
- 14 discussions.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Is it fair
- 16 to say that the -- the nature of the party that Collus
- 17 would team with was a greater concern than the cash?
- 18 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, much
- 19 greater.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 21 Just to go to another topic for a moment, I'm
- 22 interested in the minutes of the various in camera
- 23 meetings that were had at Council.
- 24 I had a sense that the in camera
- 25 minutes were approved at a later time, as were all

- 1 minutes of Council.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 3 Sometimes the in camera minutes would be bundled
- 4 together so it's -- you'd approve several at a time,
- 5 which could be a month or perhaps two (2) months or
- 6 even longer after the particular meeting.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. So
- 8 that they were -- they weren't sort of like Council
- 9 meetings where -- or Council minutes where they were
- 10 approved at the next Council meeting, they might get
- 11 bundled together and not approved for some substantial
- 12 period of time?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, as I
- 14 recall they were only -- they were also approved in
- 15 camera, not in public.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That makes
- 17 sense.
- 18 And I take it that in camera minutes
- 19 that were approved, and you may or may not recall
- 20 this, I expect some evidence to come forward that
- 21 suggests that the in camera minutes were included in a
- 22 package at in camera meetings, and that you got your
- 23 in camera meeting package at the in camera meeting.
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, that's my
- 25 recollection.

```
1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
```

- 2 So your opportunity to review those minutes would not
- 3 be like Council minutes that you got three (3) or four
- 4 (4) days before, they would have been presented to you
- 5 at the very time and at the very meeting that you're
- 6 required to approve them?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. They may
- 8 have been put in the Council mailboxes, perhaps, the
- 9 day of the -- of the meeting, for people to pick up.
- 10 But I -- I recall them being
- 11 distributed at -- at the in camera meetings as well.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 13 So your memory is they were disturbed at the in camera
- 14 meetings and/or put in the mailbox on the day of the
- 15 in camera meeting?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: In any of
- 18 that it's -- it's clear that your opportunity to
- 19 review in camera minutes was really significantly less
- 20 than your opportunity to review regular Council
- 21 minutes?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 24 And you indicate that they were sometimes reviewed a
- 25 substantial period of time later.

```
1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
```

- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 3 I expect to -- to hear evidence through the course of
- 4 this hearing that suggests that the June 27th in
- 5 camera minutes were not approved until three (3)
- 6 months later, being September 26th, 2011. Would that
- 7 surprise you?
- 8 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, sir.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 And it wouldn't surprise you, I take it, because
- 11 you've just told me that it's often the case that in
- 12 camera minutes are not reviewed until a substantial
- 13 time later.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So that with
- 16 respect to in camera minutes, your opportunity to
- 17 review them was different and significantly less than
- 18 your opportunity to review regular Council minutes.
- 19 MR. TAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And it was
- 21 often at a time distant from the actual in camera
- 22 meeting, which they allegedly evidenced, correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 25 I'm going to resist the urge to take

- 1 you through the various meetings of -- of Council that
- 2 you had the opportunity to attend. But as I
- 3 understand the evidence to date, it would appear that
- 4 there was six (6) meetings of Council at which the
- 5 Collus potential sale was discussed, is that your
- 6 memory?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I can remember
- 8 distinctly four (4) of them.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr. --
- 11 Mr. Chenoweth, as -- as far as I'm hearing so far, I
- 12 don't think anyone has taken issue with the accuracy
- 13 of the minutes, so if the minutes reflect six (6)
- 14 rather than four (4), unless somebody suggests those
- 15 minutes are in error, then I'm assuming the minutes
- 16 are correct.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The minutes
- 18 would appear to be correct, Your Honour, and there is,
- 19 in fact, six (6) Council meetings that took place.
- 20
- 21 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you were
- 23 an attendee at all of the Council meetings that dealt
- 24 with this Collus matter, with the exception of the
- 25 December 5th meeting, correct?

```
1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
```

- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 3 So that -- and you would have been aware of the -- of
- 4 the efforts of others who were involved in this and
- 5 not just the six (6) meetings of Council that took
- 6 place?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I'm a little unsure
- 8 of which you mean -- did you mean the strategic
- 9 planning team?
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, that's
- 11 -- that's -- that's certainly one (1) of them. You
- 12 would've been aware of the efforts of the strategic
- 13 task team with respect to this matter.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I was aware that
- 15 they were working on it. They did not provide
- 16 information directly to Council about it and we were
- 17 not kept up to date, but I was aware that they were
- 18 working on it, yes.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 20 thank you.
- 21 And you would've been aware that --
- 22 that the process had the benefit of -- of the wisdom
- 23 of Dean Muncaster and Mr. McFadden.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 And what was your general view, and we've heard some
- 2 evidence with respect to this already, but what was
- 3 your general view of the business acumen of -- of Dean
- 4 Muncaster?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: As I recall Dean
- 6 Muncaster was highly respected throughout Ontario in
- 7 the business community.
- I believe it was Canadian Tire that was
- 9 his business, he was well known as a -- as a business
- 10 person. I didn't know him personally, except for his
- 11 role as -- in Collus. But he got many accolades and -
- 12 and with his passing many -- many of Ontario's
- 13 business people came out and made those accolades.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So you would
- 15 take it as a plus, I take it, that Dean Muncaster was
- 16 involved in this process?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Oh, very much, sir.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you were
- 19 comforted by the fact that he was involved?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And did you
- 22 know something of the background of David McFadden at
- 23 the time that this process was underway?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: The only thing I
- 25 can recall was that I believe he was involved in

- 1 creating one (1) of the provincial documents, perhaps
- 2 a long-term energy plan. It's been a few years since
- 3 I've been involved in that, but I believe he was
- 4 involved with the provincial energy policies.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 6 And did you understand, and -- and you may not, but
- 7 did you understand that he was a -- a -- a highly
- 8 regarded and respected lawyer in the electrical
- 9 distribution industry?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I've heard that, I
- 11 can't say exactly when I heard that, but I'd heard he
- 12 had a high level of respect.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 14 Chenoweth, as I think you know and others know, I
- 15 practiced law with Mr. McFadden for a considerable
- 16 period of time.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes, Your
- 18 Honour.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: His
- 20 expertise in the energy area is well known to me.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 22 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: In
- 23 fact, I think I've known him -- the only person I've
- 24 probably known longer than him of all the people here
- 25 is probably Mr. Marron. But in any event.

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- In any event, I -- I take it that you
- 4 would've had an understanding that with Dean McFadden,
- 5 or David McFadden involved and with Dean Muncaster
- 6 involved that you -- the process had the benefit of
- 7 two (2) solid individuals and trusted individuals?
- 8 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: In addition
- 10 to those two (2)individuals we've spoken of the
- 11 involvement of the STT team, we've spoken of the --
- 12 the meetings, the six (6) Council meetings that --
- 13 that Council was involved in this matter, you would've
- 14 been aware that KPMG was also involved in this matter
- 15 as well.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And -- and
- 18 was giving advice to -- to the STT team and to the
- 19 Collus Board.
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you
- 22 would've been aware that there was a number of lawyers
- 23 involved?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And in fact

- 1 at one (1) of the Council meetings, Ron Clark attended
- 2 and provided details with respect to the -- to the
- 3 eventual documents that were going to be executed,
- 4 i.e., the share purchase agreement and the unanimous
- 5 shareholders agreement?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 8 Are you able to -- to give me your thoughts that you
- 9 would have had as a Council member during the years
- 10 2010, 2011, and 2012, or let's just make it '11 and
- 11 '12, 2011 and 2012?
- 12 Could you give me your thoughts as to
- 13 your regard for the process that was undertaken here
- 14 given what we've described?
- 15 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe the
- 16 process was the correct one. And I believe the
- 17 process was the appropriate one. We had been warned
- 18 that the Provincial Governments, all three (3) parties
- 19 in the upcoming election, were looking at reducing the
- 20 number of LDCs and that it was better to look at our
- 21 options while it was still a seller's market as
- 22 opposed to a buyer's market and before anything might
- 23 be legislated to -- to force amalgamations of -- of
- 24 LDCs.
- I believe the process was appropriate

- 1 because it involved a large number of people with a
- 2 great amount of expertise to bring forward the options
- 3 for a decision for Council.
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 5 So you were comfortable with the process as a
- 6 councillor?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And can you
- 9 -- do you have a sense as to the result that was
- 10 achieved by that process? Do you have any thoughts as
- 11 to whether the partnership that was eventually
- 12 developed with PowerStream was a good result?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, I believe
- 14 it was a good decision for the Town and for Collus.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You mention
- 16 in your time line some reviews with respect to -- to
- 17 the results that were achieved by making PowerStream a
- 18 partner.
- 19 In particular, I'd like you to -- I'd
- 20 like to refer you to a third-party review of the
- 21 Collus PowerStream strategic partnership that appears
- 22 to have been created by an organization called Council
- 23 Asset. And it appears to have been created eighteen
- 24 (18) months after the partnership began.
- 25 And, in particular, if you could bring

```
31
   up document number TOC048547.
 2
 3
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
                   MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
 5
                                               And I'd
   like, first of all, to turn to page 4 of that report.
   And this is the executive summary with respect to
   that. And the first title is, "Background."
 9
                   The purpose of this report -- at the
10
   very top in the first bullet:
11
                      "The purpose of this report is to
                      have Council asset review in
12
13
                      practical terms the benefits and
14
                      successes that Collus Power has been
15
                      able to experience since a strategic
16
                      partnership with PowerStream in July
                      2012."
17
18
                   And going next to -- to the lower part
19
   of that page under, "Benefits and successes of the
    strategic partnership," item 1, there appears to be a
20
21
   section that talks about complementary visions,
22
   mission, and values. Take a second to review that, if
23
   you would, please.
24
2.5
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
```

```
1
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                              Was it your
   sense as a councillor in your observation of Collus
   and PowerStream as partners -- for the eighteen (18)
3
   months after July of 2012, was it your observation
   that they in fact did have cont -- complimentary
   vision, mission, and values?
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK:
                                       Yes, sir.
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
   Number 2 item is that platform to leverage scale.
   Take a moment to review that, if you would, please.
10
11
12
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
14
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                              The section
15
   talks about the benefits of the platform that was
   created by the Collus and PowerStream partnership.
17
   Would you agree with the assessment that this platform
18
   improved the opportunities for scale and leverage over
19
   and above what Collus would otherwise have had?
20
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, I would.
21
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
                                              So you would
22
   agree with point 2 in the executive summary?
23
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK:
                                       Yes, I do.
24
                  MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
  Point 3 was:
2.5
```

- 1 "Complementary geographic coverage
- and potential future diversity."
- Read that, if you would, please.

4

5 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Do you agree
- 8 with the assertion at item number 3, that the
- 9 complementary geographical coverage was a useful
- 10 element of the partnership with PowerStream?
- 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I have to -- I
- 12 would assume it would be. I am not aware of any
- 13 details of them pursuing it, but I would assume that
- 14 that was one (1) of the -- the decisions, one (1) of
- 15 the factors in the decision to partner.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. So
- 17 this would have been an element that would have been
- 18 part of the decision and apparently was part of the
- 19 positive results that were occasioned by this
- 20 partnership?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 23 I don't -- if you'd just take a moment to review the
- 24 remainders of the items. I don't think I need to take
- 25 you in detail through each one (1) of them. But if

- 1 you would look at item 4 to 9 which are on page 5 and
- 2 page 6 of this document. If you'd take an opportunity
- 3 to read those.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It may
- 5 take -- it may take Mr. Chadwick a minute to read it,
- 6 so --
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I
- 8 understand.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- so
- 10 why don't we -- you read it. We'll stand down for
- 11 five (5) minutes. Let us know when you've completed
- 12 reading it.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Thank you.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16
- 17 --- Upon recessing at 10:38 a.m.
- 18 --- Upon resuming at 10:41 a.m.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 22 Mr. Chadwick, I take it you've had an opportunity to
- 23 review the executive summary as set out in the Council
- 24 document?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I have.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 2 And we -- I think you were reviewing items 5 to 9 --
- 3 or 4 to 9 actually in that document.
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And that --
- 6 would you agree -- as a councillor observing Collus
- 7 and PowerStream partnership in that 18 months, would
- 8 you agree with the assessment set out in items 4 to 9
- 9 of that executive summary?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. And I
- 11 did have an opportunity, if I -- if I may, to speak to
- 12 Collus PowerStream staff during that period about the
- 13 results of the partnership and got very similarly
- 14 positive reports back from them.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So the
- 16 Collus staff was -- was pleased with the opportunities
- 17 that the partnership presented?
- 18 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Those I spoke to,
- 19 yes.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I wonder if
- 21 that third-party review, Your Honour, might be marked
- 22 as an exhibit in this proceeding.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you
- 25 very much.

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Now, we
- 3 spoke at some length about the process, and you
- 4 indicated that -- that you thought the process that
- 5 was gone through with respect to the eventual
- 6 strategic partnership was the right process --
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- with the
- 9 right result.
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 And did you have occasion to review or to follow the
- 13 process that Council went through with respect to the
- 14 sale of 100 percent of the shares to EPCOR?
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just a
- 16 minute. Before we get -- I don't want to get in --
- 17 that's beyond my terms of reference.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, Your
- 19 Honour, it would be my submission that it would be
- 20 difficult for this Commission to assess the
- 21 appropriateness of the process that was gone through
- 22 in the sale of the Collus shares without understanding
- 23 other processes that took place in the town,
- 24 particularly extremely similar processes, being the
- 25 sale of a hundred percent of the Collus PowerStream

- 1 shares that took place to EPCOR some years later.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 3 understand what you're saying, but I don't tend to get
- 4 into the process that dealt with the sale of a
- 5 hundred percent of the company. I'm prepared to
- 6 receive evidence that a hundred percent sale took
- 7 place, what they sold it for.
- But it's not my -- it's not my mandate
- 9 to review that process, and if I get into that
- 10 process, it will greatly expand this Inquiry, and --
- 11 and I don't intend to do that.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. Just
- 13 to press you somewhat with respect to that matter,
- 14 Your Honour, it would seem to me to be a very useful
- 15 tool to consider contrasting the process that was used
- 16 in that matter with the process that was used in
- 17 the -- in the sale of the Collus shares on this
- 18 occasion, as one surely has to consider all of the
- 19 circumstances in order to get some -- some sense of
- 20 the surrounding circumstances to make a proper
- 21 judgment as to the appropriateness of the process of
- 22 the sale of 50 percent of these shares.
- 23 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 24 value your observations considerably but no.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good,

```
1 Your Honour.
```

2

- 3 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 4 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I understand
- 5 that -- that you prepared a timeline with respect to
- 6 these matters.
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 9 And when was that timeline prepared?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It was prepared
- 11 last year for the Inquiry. Originally, it was put
- 12 together, I believe, about a year before that for a
- 13 blog post.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 15 And could you pull up that timeline if you would,
- 16 please. It's -- it's document number CJI10832.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yeah, yeah.
- 21 I'm told by Inquiry counsel that this is the final
- 22 version of this document that's now pulled up, and the
- 23 document number with respect to that is CJI --
- 24 one (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5) -- it
- 25 looks like zeros, maybe it's six (6) zeros

- 1 and two (2) -- six (6) zeros two (2): CJI0000002.
- Is this the final timeline that you
- 3 prepared with respect to this matter?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe it is,
- 5 sir. Yes.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 7 And when was that final timeline prepared?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: There were a couple
- 9 of corrections made to the document sources this
- 10 spring, and I can't give you the exact date. But they
- 11 were just -- because some of the material from the
- 12 enterprise bulletin was no longer available online.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I take
- 14 it that you prepared it for the purposes of assisting
- 15 the Commission with respect to the times that various
- 16 events occurred in the sale of 50 percent of the
- 17 Collus shares?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 And I understand that in addition, the timeline
- 21 contains some of your comments that are consistent
- 22 with the comments you've made here in the witness box
- 23 today with respect to the quality of the process?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: May I ask

- 1 that this document be marked as the next exhibit?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 3 I'm -- Mr. Chadwick's participation or participatory
- 4 rights were -- were restricted to preparing and filing
- 5 the timeline. I'm prepared to receive it as an
- 6 exhibit, but you should understand that I view it more
- 7 as a submission than an item of documentary evidence.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 9 I don't think that's an unfair assessment, and I think
- 10 that's correct. However, that being the case, I think
- 11 this gentleman's opinions, particularly given his
- 12 proximity to the events that occurred, are -- are
- 13 valuable and should be before this Commission.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 15 don't disagree with that, and, as I say, I'm prepared
- 16 to receive it as an exhibit. But just -- just so that
- 17 people understand, I see it more in the nature of a
- 18 submission.
- 19 And certainly much of the evidence
- 20 Mr. Chadwick has given has been his assessment of what
- 21 took place, and I'm quite -- there's no reluctance to
- 22 receive that. But just so that we're clear about what
- 23 this document is in my mind, at least, at this point.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,
- 25 Your Honour. Your comments are useful. Thank you.

- 2 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. McDowell
- 4 in his cross-examination of you, sir, took you to
- 5 Justice Cunningham's decision with respect to some
- 6 matters that arose in the Mississauga Inquiry.
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Had you ever
- 9 had occasion -- prior to Mr. McDowell referring you to
- 10 those matters in your cross-examination, had you ever
- 11 at earlier times reviewed or had occasion to be
- 12 referred to that particular decision?
- 13 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I recall seeing
- 14 news media reports about the decision. I don't recall
- 15 seeing the decision in its entirety at any point. And
- 16 those, I believe, were all post -- after the time I
- 17 was on Council, so it would be after the 2014
- 18 election. That's my recollection.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. Sc
- 20 you don't -- you don't believe that you would have
- 21 been familiar with the documents or the contents of
- 22 that document during the course of your time on
- 23 Council between 2010 and 2014.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, sir.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.

- 1 And I was interested. You were -- I think I heard
- 2 from others -- educated generally at the beginning of
- 3 every Council session with respect to your
- 4 responsibilities as a councillor.
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: To a certain
- 6 degree. The amount of education would depend partly
- 7 on the Council, partly on the available staff, and the
- 8 schedules of all those people involved.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 10 And I think the Ontario Association of Municipal
- 11 Councils was one (1) of the parties that provided you
- 12 with education with respect to your responsibilities?
- 13 MR. IAN CHADWICK: For me, I took the
- 14 course in -- in basic civics, if you would like to
- 15 call it, back in 2003. I don't recall them being
- 16 involved in any of the training after that.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: With respect
- 18 to the training that you received, did it also involve
- 19 training with respect to conflicts?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Briefly, yes. They
- 21 would all -- there would be a short -- short time -- a
- 22 very short time in some cases -- given to that
- 23 training.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 25 So you regarded the training with respect to conflicts

- 1 as limited.
- 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 I'm interested in understanding the orientation of
- 5 that training.
- 6 Was the Municipal Conflict of Interest
- 7 Act a matter that would have been discussed during the
- 8 conflicts training?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 And you tell me, would be fair to say that the focus
- 12 of the conflicts training that you received as a
- 13 councillor -- the focus was on the Municipal Conflict
- 14 of Interest Act?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Good. Your
- 17 Honour, I think those are all questions I have of this
- 18 witness.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 23 Just before we have the next questioner, when they --
- 24 when you were given some instruction about conflicts,
- 25 apart from the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act,

4.4

- 1 were you referred to anything else?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not that I recall,
- 3 Sir.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And
- 5 the people instructing you, did you have any sense of
- 6 what their background was or who they were?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that we
- 8 were given some of that by one (1) of the Town's legal
- 9 firm, and I say for sure. I suspect it might've been
- 10 Mr. Longo, but I -- I honestly don't recall if that
- 11 was him or not.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 13 right.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: And I believe
- 15 otherwise, it would have been either the clerk or the
- 16 CAO. And at the the AMO training, I believe it was
- 17 just one (1) of their instructors. I don't believe
- 18 they -- I can't recall that they had any legal
- 19 background.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 21 you.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So just a
- 23 question that follows from that, Your Honour.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 25 Certainly.

- 2 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I think
- 4 this really just confirms the evidence. So it's your,
- 5 at this time, vague memory that Mr. Longo may have
- 6 been one (1) of those that instructed people with
- 7 respect to their responsibilities and with respect to
- 8 conflicts.
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir. I
- 10 believe he may have been.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes. Thank
- 12 you very much. Those are my questions.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Who's
- 14 cross-examining next?
- 15 MR. GEORGE MARRON: I'll indicate I
- 16 have no questions. Thank you.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 18 you, Mr. Marron.
- 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Going next, Your
- 20 Honour?
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- 22 I -- well, I think you're all supposed to decide on
- 23 your order. So if absent --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: All in agreement?
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --

- 1 absent an agreement --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Excellent.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- I
- 4 would impose myself on the process. But this doesn't
- 5 seem necessary.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: This is
- 7 the -- this appears to be the regular course of the
- 8 agreement, and I think it's satisfactory to all
- 9 counsel, as I understand it, and to all participants.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 11 you.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you,
- 14 Mr. Chenoweth.
- 15
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Good morning,
- 18 Mr. Chadwick. My name is Belinda Bain. I'm one (1)
- 19 of the lawyers for Alectra Utility Corporation,
- 20 previously PowerStream. I have only a few questions
- 21 for you this morning.
- You told us earlier in your evidence
- 23 that you provided media monitoring services to
- 24 Compenso Communications --
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: -- in 2011 and
- 2 2012; is that right.
- 3 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And those services
- 5 included scanning online resources --
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 7 MS. BELINDA BAIN: -- for stories and
- 8 articles dealing with energy and electricity issues,
- 9 correct?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So that essentially
- 12 involved you scanning the Internet for relevant
- 13 articles and stories, and you would then email them to
- 14 Mr. Bonwick on a weekly basis; is that right?
- 15 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 16 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And in doing that,
- 17 you would simply attach the relevant stories and
- 18 articles to a brief covering email to Mr. Bonwick,
- 19 correct?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Over the course --
- 22 MR. IAN CHADWICK: If I may --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Please, expand.
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: -- generally what I
- 25 would include would be the headline and the first

- 1 paragraph or two (2) with the link to the website where
- 2 it came from.
- 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Fair. And over the
- 4 course of that retainer, you never dealt with anyone
- 5 at PowerStream directly, did you?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I did not.
- 7 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You didn't email
- 8 anyone at PowerStream, did you?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I did not.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You didn't speak
- 11 to, for example, Brian Bentz, the CEO of PowerStream?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I didn't.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: You never spoke to
- 14 Dennis Nolan of PowerStream?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I did not.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Not John Glicksman?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No.
- 18 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And not Eric Fagen?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you didn't
- 21 speak to anyone at PowerStream.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No one at all.
- 23 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you certainly
- 24 didn't contract directly with PowerStream, did you?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I -- no, I did

Transcript Date May 3, 2019 49 not. 2 MS. BELINDA BAIN: We've heard today that you were present at the January 23, 2012 Council 3 meeting where the Council members voted in favour of the proposed share purchase by PowerStream, correct? 5 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct. MS. BELINDA BAIN: Could we pull up ALE1644? 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So, Mr. Chadwick, 13 do you recognize this as a copy of the staff report 14 which was delivered at the January 23rd, 2012 Council 15 meeting?

- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, it appears to
- 17 be.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Can we scroll down,
- 19 please, to the second page?

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So there are a
- 24 number of bullet points here. The first paragraph of
- 25 bullet points starts off:

50 "After examining several options, a 1 2 strategic partnership option was chosen for several reasons." 3 We've gone through these reasons. I 5 don't purport to have you go through all of them 6 again. The second paragraph down -- if we could scroll down a bit -- is headed: 9 "The share purchase agreement and 10 shareholders agreement address the 11 requirements of the strategic 12 partner relationship, including..." 13 And then a number of bullet points. 14 I'm interested in the second one (1) 15 down: 16 "Provision of strategic and 17 specialized resources to Collingwood 18 Utility Services through service 19 agreements." 20 Now, we've heard about this in a 21 general sense but not much yet in the way of specifics. At the time of the transaction, 22 23 PowerStream was obviously a much larger LDC than --24 than Collus Power, wasn't it? 2.5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, it was.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And it had a number
- 2 of resources that it could bring to the table and to
- 3 the people of Collingwood, correct?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And did you
- 6 understand that one (1) of the resources that
- 7 PowerStream brought to Collingwood included a 24/7
- 8 monitoring centre?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that would
- 11 greatly improve outage response times for the people
- 12 of Collingwood, correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Correct.
- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And PowerStream
- 15 also brought access to engineering and construction
- 16 expertise, right?
- 17 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I understand that,
- 18 yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And a much
- 20 better call centre that would greatly improve customer
- 21 service, correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I understand that,
- 23 too. Yes.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Looking at the
- 25 fourth pair -- bullet down here:

- 1 "Continued and enhanced support for
- 2 the interests of the communities we
- 3 serve and our employees."
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Is it your
- 7 understanding, Mr. Chadwick, that PowerStream was
- 8 supportive of no involuntary layoffs from Collus Power
- 9 if the partnership were to go forward?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that's
- 11 correct, yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And did you
- 13 understand that PowerStream would provide training and
- 14 education opportunities for Collus Power employees?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do
- 16 understand that.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you.
- 18 The next bullet down reads "Continued
- 19 and substantial presence in the communities we serve."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Now, the strategic
- 23 partnership meant that Collus Power stayed put in its
- 24 old building on Stewart Road, right here in
- 25 Collingwood, correct?

```
53
 1
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct,
 2
   yes.
 3
                  MS. BELINDA BAIN: And it would be
   useful for members of Collingwood to have the easy
   access to its utility right here in town, correct?
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
 6
 7
                  MS. BELINDA BAIN: Finally, the last
   bullet reads:
 9
                      "Continued focus on maintaining and
10
                      enhancing the competitive
11
                     distribution rate and cost structure
12
                     of Collingwood Utility Services
13
                     Corp."
14
                  Do you see that?
15
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do.
16
                  MS. BELINDA BAIN: And I take it that
17
   you understood that a strategic partnership with
18
   PowerStream would keep rates down for the city --
19
  citizens of Collingwood?
20
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
21
                  MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that's a good
22
   thing for the Town?
23
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: Very much so.
24
                  MS. BELINDA BAIN: And the people of
25 the Town?
```

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Can we please go to
- 3 ALE50215.
- 4 This is a May 2010 article from the
- 5 Canadian Business Journal, dealing with the
- 6 Collingwood Utilities Services. You cited this
- 7 article in the timeline that you prepared for this
- 8 inquiry, correct?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Your Honour, could
- 11 we have this marked as the next exhibit?
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Towards the bottom
- 14 of the first page, if we could scroll down, under the
- 15 bolded heading "Community owned means keeping the
- 16 public informed", the article states:
- "Collus is a community-owned utility
- 18 which affords Collingwood and its
- 19 regional residence several benefits.
- The first is Collus's ability to
- 21 control their own prices, which
- means they are some of the lowest in
- Ontario. In doing so, Collus is
- 24 committed to the local community,
- including the advancement of

- 1 community goals, the local
- environment, and citizens ability to
- have a voice in utility decisions."
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And would you agree
- 7 that in 2010 Collus was committing to -- committed to
- 8 ensuring that Collingwood residents had the ability to
- 9 control their own prices?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 11 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And also to have a
- 12 voice in utility decisions?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you.
- Can we bring back up, please, CJI2, and
- 16 I'm not going to count the zero.
- 17 And if -- when we get there if you can
- 18 scroll to page 17, please. Down a little bit, and a
- 19 bit more.
- So on page 17 of the timeline, Mr.
- 21 Chadwick, you discuss the November 22nd, 2011
- 22 community meeting.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And in particular,
- 25 you note Collus, Council and the SPTT, which I take to

- 1 be the strategic task team we've been talking about,
- 2 held an open public information session at the Leisure
- 3 Time Centre, explaining the process, explaining what
- 4 had been done to date and why, and asking for public
- 5 comment and questions.
- 6 The presentation included the contents
- 7 and wording of the RFP sent to the potential partners,
- 8 the list of choices, the reasons a partnership worked
- 9 better, and the weighting of the decision process.
- 10 The SPTT members were introduced to the public and a
- 11 slide presentation covered the options. The current
- 12 politic -- political climate around LDCs and the
- 13 reasons for the choice of the strategic partnership.
- 14 If you could just scroll down a little
- 15 bit.
- 16 Roughly two hundred (200) people
- 17 attended, and you note other members have been
- 18 reported, four (4) people from the audience asked
- 19 questions, no one at that meeting publicly opposed the
- 20 sale of 50 percent of the Utility, and there were no
- 21 letters to the editor or editorials in the local media
- 22 afterwards opposing it.
- 23 It's your recollection Mr. -- you were
- 24 at the November 22nd meeting?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I was.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And -- and you
- 2 remember that meeting?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And to the best of
- 5 your recollection there were are approximately 200
- 6 people present at that meeting?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I'm guessing
- 8 at the number. I recall that there were quite a few
- 9 people in the audience, and we usually do a quick scan
- 10 trying to count the number by seats by the number of
- 11 rows. That's my recollection, going back several
- 12 years.
- 13 As I -- as I noted, other numbers have
- 14 been reported, there may have been less. I doubt
- 15 there were more though.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, but -- but
- 17 certainly in your recollection it was -- it was a full
- 18 room?
- 19 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It was -- there
- 20 were quite a few people there.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you. Could
- 22 we go now, please to ALE40924.0001. It's the 2013
- 23 annual report of Collus Power that I'm looking for.
- 24 There it is.
- Mr. Chadwick, do you recognize this as

- 1 a copy that the 2013 Collus PowerStream Annual Report?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you cite this
- 4 in the timeline that you prepared for the inquiry?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you reviewed it
- 7 for purposes of -- of preparing that timeline?
- 8 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I believe it
- 9 was also provided to Council at the time.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you. Your
- 11 Honour, can we mark this as the next exhibit?
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.
- 13
- 14 CONTINUED BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you.
- 16 So this report sets out the financial
- 17 results of the first year of -- the first full year of
- 18 the strategic partnership, correct?
- 19 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct.
- 20 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Collus PowerStream
- 21 being the outcome of the strategic partnership,
- 22 correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And the strategic
- 25 partnership achieved significant success in its first

```
1 year?
```

- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe so, yes.
- 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Can we
- 4 scroll down to page 2, please. Well, slides 2, it
- 5 might be. Keep going. That's it, thank you. So
- 6 we'll have to scroll down in a little bit, but so --
- 7 this slide is entitled 2013 At A Glance and it sets
- 8 out achievements of the strategic partnership in its
- 9 first year as -- as Collus Power.
- 10 Is that your understanding, sir?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And if we
- 13 could scroll just to the bottom of this slide, I'm
- 14 interested in the second bullet from the bottom there,
- 15 Collus PowerStream customer survey results in a
- 16 utility pulse report card of a -- and I understand,
- 17 sir, that that means that there were very favourable
- 18 reviews in terms of customer service brought by the
- 19 strategic partnership Collus PowerStream, correct?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I understand that
- 21 too, yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you.
- 23 Could we scroll onto the next slide,
- 24 which is a continuation of the achievements from 2013
- 25 towards the bottom. The second from the bottom deals

- 1 with a third party review.
- 2 I understand that to be the console
- 3 report that Mr. Chenoweth took you through previously?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I believe
- 5 that's correct.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, and we don't
- 7 need to go through that again.
- 8 The next point down, first ever regular
- 9 annual cash dividend of \$367,000 paid to shareholders,
- 10 do you see that?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 12 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And is it your
- 13 understanding that there was a cash dividend paid in
- 14 and 2013 of \$367,000?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that's the
- 17 first time that the Collingwood utility had paid a
- 18 dividend, correct?
- 19 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that's
- 20 correct, yes.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And certainly the
- 22 Town was one (1) of the shareholders of Collus
- 23 PowerStream?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 25 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so the town

61 benefitted from the payment of that dividend? 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It did. 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you. If we could scroll down, please, to slide 6. 5 6 So this is a message in the annual report from co-chair David McFadden. And of course, 7 we've spoken a lot about Mr. McFadden, he was on the Board of Collus Power and then became the co-chair of the new entity, Collus PowerStream, correct? 10 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct, 12 yes. 13 MS. BELINDA BAIN: If we could scroll 14 down a little bit more, please. 15 So in his message in the 2013 Annual Report Mr. McFadden notes: 16 "In addition to PowerStream's 17 18 contribution at the Board level, our 19 company has benefitted greatly from 20 services provided by PowerStream in such areas as conservation and 21 22 demand management, training, 23 regulatory compliance, and the

capability."

provision of a 24/7 control room

24

2.5

- 1 Do you see that?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do.
- 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that's
- 4 essentially Mr. McFadden acknowledging the benefits
- 5 which PowerStream brought to the table and brought to
- 6 Collingwood, correct?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Thank you. Can we
- 8 go now please to BLG134 0001?
- 9 This is an article called Debunking the
- 10 Collus Myths. I believe that you're the author of
- 11 this article?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I am.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Your Honour, can we
- 14 mark this, please, as the next exhibit?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 16 if -- this is Mr. Chadwick's article and he's
- 17 testifying, can he not just testify as to these
- 18 things?
- 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Well, it is itself
- 20 a stand-alone document, Your Honour, I can explore
- 21 with him the timing and -- and genesis of it if --
- 22 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'll
- 23 receive it. But I -- I view it, really, as Mr.
- 24 Chadwick's view. That's the way I'll approach the
- 25 document.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you, Your
- 2 Honour.

- 4 CONTINUED BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Mr. Chadwick, could
- 6 you tell us when you wrote this article?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: 2015 o 2016. I'm
- 8 sorry, I don't have the date in front of me.
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And -- and why did
- 10 you write it?
- 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: There was a lot of
- 12 -- of misinformation going around in Town on social
- 13 media, etc. about the previous sale that Council had
- 14 gone through and about the current sale going on.
- 15 There had been -- there were reports
- 16 that I considered to be incorrect about how they --
- 17 they covered that -- that sale process.
- 18 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Understood. Did
- 19 you publish this article?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It was published in
- 21 a blog.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, thank you.
- 23 Can we please scroll down to the final paragraph?
- 24 And -- and sorry, just to follow up on
- 25 that, I understand that you have a personal blog,

```
64
   ianchadwick.com?
 2
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
 3
                   MS. BELINDA BAIN: And is that the
   blog that this article was published on?
 5
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK:
                                     Yes, yes it was.
 6
                   MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you.
                   Was it published anywhere else?
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not that I know of.
 9
                   MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, thank you.
10
   Can we scroll down a little further, please.
11
                   So in the final paragraph of this
12
   article you write:
13
                      "It was a good deal, well-crafted,
14
                      legal, open, transparent, good for
15
                      the Town, overseen by the top
16
                      consultants, lawyers and experts in
17
                      the industry. It brought us a
18
                      terrific community partner who has
19
                      lived up to every commitment and
20
                      promise made during the process.
21
                      paid for new recreational
22
                      facilities, and much of the Hume
23
                      Street revitalization. Council
24
                      should be celebrating the
2.5
                      partnership."
```

- 1 You wrote that then. Do you still
- 2 agree with that statement today?
- 3 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Very much so.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you think that
- 5 the Town got a good deal through it's partnership with
- 6 PowerStream?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I do, yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you think that
- 9 PowerStream brought valuable expertise in the LDC
- 10 industry to Collingwood?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 12 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And PowerStream
- 13 was, in your words, a terrific community partner, is
- 14 that right?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you, those
- 17 are all my questions.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 19 I'll -- I'll take the morning break. Ten (10)
- 20 minutes.
- 21
- 22 --- Upon recessing at 11:14 a.m.
- 23 --- Upon resuming at 11:24 a.m.
- 24
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:

```
Fryer...?
 2
   CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TIM FRYER:
 3
                   MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you, Justice
 5
   Marrocco.
              Hello, Mr. Chadwick.
 6
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK:
                                     Mr. Fryer.
                   MR. TIM FRYER: To begin with, I would
   -- I'd like to examine an item that appears to differ
   from some of your evidence in the time line. So I was
   going to look at paragraph number 176.
10
11
                   I think you'll be familiar with this.
   This is the details of the May 30th, 2011, Council
13
   meeting. And a presentation was made that included
14
   the 2010 Collus audited financial statements and the
15
   2011 to '13 business plan forecast of status quo
   operations.
16
17
                   This transcript of the meetings shows I
18
   outlined that these reports provided the required
```

- annual analysis that is cited in the Collus 19
- 20 shareholder's direction. Would you agree with that?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Excuse me one (1)
- 22 second. If I can -- just give me a second to read it.
- 23 MR. TIM FRYER: Run through it.
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that
- 25 material was provided to Council, yes.

1 MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you. Then if we

- 2 could just scroll down to 180. And this is just to
- 3 take a quick look at it. It -- it's some detail. It
- 4 goes into two (2) pages. And it's actually Mr.
- 5 Houghton's thoughts on -- on memories of the -- of the
- 6 meeting.
- 7 So it's not meant for questioning, it
- 8 was just to -- to review it just so you've seen it.
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: And then we'll go to
- 11 number 209.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 13 has -- did -- did you want Mr. Chadwick to read that
- 14 paragraph now or just -- are you familiar with the
- 15 paragraph, Mr. Chadwick?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I am. Thank
- 17 you.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 19 ahead, Mr. Fryer.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you very much.
- 21 And that's -- I -- I just wanted to make sure he had
- 22 seen it.
- 23
- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. TIM FRYER:
- 25 MR. TIM FRYER: So 209.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: And this, again, is
- 4 the -- we ma -- heard many times about the June 27th
- 5 meeting. So this is the -- KMP presented their
- 6 valuation work to date and put forth an analysis of
- 7 three (3) options over and above the status quo
- 8 summary received a month before, the majority and
- 9 minorities share sale and full sale were discussed.
- 10 The outcome of that singular discussion
- 11 was a decision to narrowly focus on one (1) option,
- 12 one (1) described as a strategic partnership for up to
- 13 50 percent of Collus shares.
- Would you agree with that?
- 15 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that was
- 16 correct, yes.
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you. So my
- 18 question is, why, when considering a decision about
- 19 the unexpected sale of the most valuable municipal
- 20 asset, would Council decide not to investigate if
- 21 there was other types of interest in the electricity
- 22 marketplace?
- 23 Since it apparently was still a
- 24 seller's market, there may have been a 25 to 30
- 25 million offer like the EPCOR transaction. That would

- 1 have been the proactive and prudent thing to do,
- 2 especially to provide assurance to your taxpayers that
- 3 all options had been considered.
- 4 I'd like your thoughts on that.
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I can only speak
- 6 for myself, Mr. Fryer, but I was certainly reluctant
- 7 to -- first of all, to sell a hundred percent of any
- 8 public utility. I still would be were I in Council
- 9 today.
- 10 There was discussion around the table
- 11 about not losing control of services and rates which
- 12 generally was felt would happen if we sold more than
- 13 50 percent. That's my recollection of it.
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Thank you. Had
- 15 your campaign platform that term outline this concept?
- 16 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No. I -- the
- 17 campaign took place in 2010. This is somewhat close
- 18 to a year later that this had been brought up, so
- 19 nobody could have foreseen it because it wasn't even
- 20 brought to Council as a possibility until May of 2011.
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you. Did it
- 22 relate at all to your platform perhaps there was a
- 23 goal of reducing municipal debt levels?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not specifically,
- 25 no. Reducing municipal debt levels, I believe, is on

- 1 the radar of every candidate, and I believe it's
- 2 pretty much a standard plank in everybody's platform.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Thank you. In
- 4 conjunction with this review then, another aspect that
- 5 I wanted to explore regarding your evidence is related
- 6 to the information provided to Council during final
- 7 stages of approving the share sale transaction with
- 8 PowerStream.
- 9 So I wanted to bring up
- 10 ALE0005133.0002, and we'd look at slide 18.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: While
- 12 they're doing that, Mr. Fryer, I just have a question
- 13 arising out of what you asked about. The -- was there
- 14 a provision in the final documents that one (1)
- 15 shareholder could buy out the other?
- 16 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, sir, I believe
- 17 there what was called the shotgun clause, that if one
- 18 (1) -- once I wanted to sell, the other one (1) had
- 19 the opportunity to buy that share.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Could
- 21 -- could one (1) side compel the sale?
- 22 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I don't -- I -- I
- 23 don't recall that there was any discussion about
- 24 compelling a selling.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All

- 1 right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Fryer.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: Did -- did you read my
- 3 notes ahead of time?
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 5 Mr. Fryer, I don't.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 8 Although I'm sure they would have been worth --
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, it's a -- it's a
- 10 little bit ahead, but --
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 12 sure they would have been worth the time, but -- but,
- 13 no, I didn't.
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Actually, if you just
- 15 put the -- the three (3) bullets on the screen, you'll
- 16 see -- I jokingly referred to the first bullet that
- 17 I'm going to come to, but you're ahead of me.
- 18
- 19 CONTINUED BY MR. TIM FRYER:
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: So I -- I wanted to
- 21 talk about the third bullet. And, again, this is from
- 22 the December 5th in camera meeting --
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: -- 2011. So I wanted
- 25 to first consider with you the third bullet point

- 1 content.
- 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: If I may. I was
- 3 not at that meeting. That was the meeting at which I
- 4 declared a conflict of interest, so I cannot talk
- 5 about any of the bullet points on this with any
- 6 accuracy because I didn't see them.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I wasn't part -- I
- 9 didn't partake in that decision.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah, I -- I assumed,
- 11 since you participated in the January 23rd vote for
- 12 sale of -- of -- that you would have covered this
- 13 background because, at that point in time, you didn't
- 14 have...
- But I think I can still ask you the
- 16 questions. I think, with your familiarity and -- and
- 17 particular circumstances, some of it'll be applicable;
- 18 if -- if not, then we'll just skip through it.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Okay.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: So the first part that
- 21 I had asked about -- and I actually asked Mr. Lloyd
- 22 about this yesterday, so we know the answer, so I'll
- 23 just cover it with you.
- 24 It does say in the middle there that
- 25 the Town could set aside a portion of the proceeds for

- 1 future growth of Collus. And he explained that none
- 2 was -- were set aside.
- 3 So I'm thinking you can answer my
- 4 question of why no proceeds were put aside if it was
- 5 one (1) of the suggestions that could happen due to
- 6 the 60:40 fully leveraging.
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Sorry, I was
- 8 unaware of that suggestion. And as I recall the
- 9 process, we put the money aside, and then asked for
- 10 public input on how we should spend it. And we got
- 11 considerable amount of public input. And I'm sure
- 12 that will come -- come up in the next part of the --
- 13 the inquiry.
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So because you
- 15 didn't review this before, again, if -- if you -- if
- 16 you feel like you can't answer it, then you can just
- 17 tell me, but I was going to refer to the fact that it
- 18 said as another option that PowerStream will be there.
- 19 And I had said this yesterday to Mr.
- 20 Lloyd, that if PowerStream did put equity in, that
- 21 would change your 50:50 relationship. And -- and I
- 22 was asking the question, would it?
- 23 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Sorry, I was not --
- 24 as I said, I wasn't part of that and I -- I was not
- 25 part of those discussions.

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I think then
- 2 what we'll do is -- the main reason I was reviewing
- 3 these contents of the detail is because it appears
- 4 that there wasn't a business plan contemplated during
- 5 the process that showed how the growth strategy was
- 6 ever going to be achieved.
- 7 Can you recall if there was a Council-
- 8 approved business plan outlining expectations on
- 9 regional growth at some point in time?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I recall that the
- 11 business plan was presented by Collus in May of 2011.
- 12 I don't recall specifically if it talked about
- 13 regional expansion. But I do know that regional
- 14 expansion had been discussed periodically over the
- 15 years, but I couldn't say exactly when.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I had
- 17 mentioned in my information about the May 30th meeting
- 18 that that was just on status quo, that business plan
- 19 was just status quo. And that's where the differs are
- 20 for your -- your evidence in your timeline.
- 21 So -- just to follow, here, so. So --
- 22 so in leaving the review topic, to the best of your
- 23 knowledge, as the counel -- Council initiative of
- 24 becoming an equal partner with the larger entity
- 25 PowerStream finalized on January 23rd -- 23rd, 2012,

- 1 these critically important aspects put forward in this
- 2 bullet hadn't been further explored?
- 3 So you've already responded, "Correct,"
- 4 to that?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I wanted to
- 7 -- I will come back to that slide, but I wanted to
- 8 just quickly look at paragraph number 527.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. TIM FRYER: So this is the one (1)
- 13 -- and -- and it -- it appears to indicate you had
- 14 plans for growth, but that didn't include, at least
- 15 when the decision was made, a funding strategy.
- 16 Is that correct?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I'm sorry, you --
- 18 could you cli -- could you say that again? I --
- 19 MR. TIM FRYER: Well, this -- this
- 20 indicates that you were contemplating -- we could
- 21 bring up the email, but I know you're familiar with
- 22 email. It's -- it's up to you. You were
- 23 contemplating about growth strategy. And all I'm
- 24 saying -- but there wasn't a funding strategy that
- 25 you're aware of?

```
1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Sorry, what -- what
```

- 2 -- funding strategy -- sorry, Tim, I don't quite
- 3 understand. Which funding strategy? For the growth
- 4 of Collus, do you mean?
- 5 MR. TIM FRYER: As a regional concept.
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I was unaware
- 7 of any at the time.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay, thank you. So
- 9 if we could just go back to the other slide.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. TIM FRYER: And I was going to
- 14 look at the first bullet that Justice Marrocco was
- 15 asking about earlier. So this is -- is what you
- 16 mentioned to the -- to the judge about the shotgun
- 17 clause.
- 18 And I wasn't going to refer to what's
- 19 there in the -- in the bullet, but I think it is
- 20 important to put out there that it does speak to the
- 21 views on liquid -- liquidity is exactly that of ours,
- 22 and specifically, David McFadden's.
- 23 So if we could bring up ALE0003296,
- 24 which is the Unanimous Shareholder Agreement, and I
- 25 was going to bring up page 23.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. TIM FRYER: And show article 9,
- 4 which is the buy/sell provisions. I wasn't going to
- 5 have you read through this. I -- I -- my question is
- 6 more of a general nature. But if we go to -- go to
- 7 part B, I wanted to consider it in part B that it
- 8 refers to twenty (20) days.
- 9 And I'll just say that as I said, not
- 10 going into depth, here -- that'll be for future
- 11 witnesses -- but looking at clause 9.2, I wanted to
- 12 consider the twenty (20) day reference.
- 13 That is the time period either party
- 14 would need to adhere to. So I was going to ask what
- 15 your thoughts are on this timeline for a Council to
- 16 complete a buy or sell transaction.
- 17 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I'm afraid first of
- 18 all, I did not see the -- the agreement at the time,
- 19 so I was unaware of all the conditions in it. It was
- 20 described in general terms only to us.
- 21 Twenty (20) days, I think Councils are
- 22 fairly mature and able to make a -- a decision. I
- 23 think -- I'm assuming that ahead of time that would be
- 24 brought forward as something that will be coming.
- 25 For example, the sale this year,

- 1 everybody knew about it -- sorry, not this year, a --
- 2 a previous term. The -- it was in the media. It was
- 3 -- it was talked about in Town. Everybody knew that
- 4 there was a sale coming, and the final twenty (20)
- 5 days are not probably the only information time that
- 6 they had for it.
- But it didn't come up, so I can't
- 8 really speak upon what happened, because it didn't
- 9 come up in the past. I can only give you supposition.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I wouldn't have had
- 12 a problem with twenty (20) days, assuming we had all
- 13 the experts, and the people giving us the right
- 14 advice, legal and otherwise, financial, and that sort
- 15 of thing.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: So you feel it could
- 17 be turned around in twenty (20) days, if necessary?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: It's only
- 19 quesswork. I -- I don't know what information would
- 20 be provided. Assuming it was all provided in time,
- 21 yes.
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Fair enough.
- 23 Upon reviewing this provision, and the other terms of
- 24 the Unanimous Shareholder Agreement, there were many
- 25 implications, and it brought uncertainty to the

- 1 forefront for last Council, anyway, who then decided
- 2 steps had to minimize that uncertainty.
- 3 The steps taken had to be handled in a
- 4 sensitive manner because of clause 9. So again, just
- 5 looking at 9.1 and the -- and the in the various terms
- 6 that are there, it's quite clear that it's fairly
- 7 extensive, and that it'd be very confidential and very
- 8 sensitive. So all I'm saying is that if you were
- 9 going to act on this, it would have to be done in a
- 10 sensitive manner. Would you agree?
- 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: 'Sensitive' does
- 12 not mean 'secretive'.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: And I believe that
- 15 it was done as a secretive and somewhat deceptive
- 16 process the last time.
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: The result, though, is
- 18 that we all know an extremely successful Transaction
- 19 working within the restrictions imposed by this clause
- 20 was completed with EPCOR?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's not my
- 22 definition of successful. Someone who --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: -- a hundred
- 25 percent of a public asset is, to my mind, never

- 1 successful.
- 2 MR. TIM FRYER: So we have a
- 3 difference of opinion.
- 4 So moving back to the first slide, if I
- 5 could, again, please.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

8

- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: And you'll look at the
- 10 second bullet point.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: So this note's about
- 15 the consideration of the services company, Collus
- 16 Solutions, being dissolved. As was noted earlier,
- 17 this back in December 2011, so you may not recall
- 18 this, but Council -- this is when Council was being
- 19 brought up to date on this consideration.
- As well, for at least six (6) months
- 21 prior, there were ongoing internal discussions with
- 22 Collus audit committee about this, which obviously had
- 23 major impact in regards to completing the renewal
- 24 process of the affiliated companies' shared service
- 25 agreements.

- 1 Would you agree with that?
- 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I was not privy to
- 3 any of those discussions. They were all done in
- 4 Collus. And as I've said before, I did not see this
- 5 slide, and I was not part of that discussion.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Some of the
- 7 evidence in this matter is contained in the document,
- 8 but we won't be getting into that now. The
- 9 examination of that in further evidence, such as which
- 10 staff had responsibility relating to it, will be
- 11 reviewed with later witnesses.
- 12 I wanted to review this particular area
- 13 with you, though, because of the evidence you had
- 14 presented, to see if you recall that there was the
- 15 possibility of impacts from the Unanimous Shareholders
- 16 Agreement, specifically section 9, and the final
- 17 decisions regarding the service company, which will be
- 18 done in order to -- for the most part, to comply to
- 19 new accounting principles on the future provision of
- 20 shared services.
- 21 Did you feel there was going to be an
- 22 impact because of those two (2) things?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: On shared services?
- MR. TIM FRYER: Yes.
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I'm -- I'm sorry, I

- 1 -- I don't know. I -- as I said, I wasn't part of the
- 2 discussion. I wasn't part of the decision.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. And as a final
- 4 comment about shared services, it has also been
- 5 suggested during this proceeding that the decision to
- 6 have the share sale of the holding company take place,
- 7 that this caused difficulties with maintaining shared
- 8 services.
- 9 It appears, though, that most of the
- 10 difficulties had to do with the ever-growing
- 11 discontent between Collus and the Town governing
- 12 bodies, and their associated senior staff.
- Would you agree?
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: In my experience on
- 15 Council, there was no disagreement between Collus and
- 16 the Town over shared services. They seemed to be
- 17 working very well, and it was only when we put in a
- 18 new -- an interim CAO that suddenly a problem seemed
- 19 to occur.
- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: And then through the
- 21 next Council as well?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: And I wasn't part
- 23 of that Council, so I cannot speak to their
- 24 discussions.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So, Your
- 2 Honour, I'm -- I'm a little lost, as I frequently am,
- 3 with respect to this matter. Is he talking about CAO
- 4 Mr. Houghton, or is he talking about a later CAO,
- 5 being Mr. Brown? I'm uncertain.
- 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well -
- 7 well, be -- Mr. Fryer, before you ask another
- 8 question, which CAO were you talking about?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: The CAO -- the
- 10 interim CAO John Brown.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Now,
- 12 Mr. Chenoweth --
- MR. TIM FRYER: And sorry, Mr.
- 14 Chenoweth --
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That's
- 16 helpful.
- MR. TIM FRYER: -- sorry, that -- I --
- 18 I didn't catch that myself, that they're -- we're
- 19 talking about two (2) CAO situations.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 21 direct your questions to the witness. We're not
- 22 having a conversation this way, but we've now
- 23 clarified which CAO we're talking about.
- 24
- 25 CONTINUED BY MR. TIM FRYER:

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: Yeah. So although you
- 2 weren't on the new Council, I believe that the
- 3 decision of the Collus Board in early 2015 to deny
- 4 Council's request for additional municipal Council
- 5 representation was another major factor in the growing
- 6 discontent between the two (2) bodies.
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I was not at that
- 8 meeting, and I was not part of the Collus Board or
- 9 part of Council. So I can't really speak to the
- 10 details of that decision.
- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I'm going to
- 12 move over to another area. And if I could bring up
- 13 CPS0005635?
- 14
- 15 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 16
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Justice Marrocco, I'll
- 18 just mention, these are 2015 emails, but it's
- 19 applicable to the 2011 valuation. That's why I'm
- 20 bringing them up.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Fine.
- 22
- 23 CONTINUED BY MR. TIM FRYER:
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: And the first one, if
- 25 I could just show at the bottom, it's an email from

- 1 Jonathan Erling to Ed. I wasn't looking for a
- 2 question about the content. It was just to show
- 3 you -- and show you the -- the responding one (1)
- 4 that's three (3) days later, which is a follow-up one.

5

- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 7 What -- well, just a second. Have you had a chance to
- 8 read it?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I have, yes. Thank
- 10 you, Your Honour.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 12 right. Yeah. Okay.

13

- 14 CONTINUED BY MR. TIM FRYER:
- 15 MR. TIM FRYER: And as I said, I
- 16 wasn't going to ask you a question specifically about
- 17 this one. As I said, the email to the follow-up note
- 18 to Mr. Houghton -- and it's just for background -- but
- 19 I noted the three-day differential and that the time
- 20 factor on this is to 10:25, I believe. I -- I don't
- 21 see the time on it. So -- anyway, so if we could go
- 22 on to CPS0005646.

23

24 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: And the body of the
- 2 email is kind of in the middle there, right there.
- 3 And I believe, Mr. Chadwick, you'd be familiar with
- 4 this one (1) because it is in your timeline. It was
- 5 used in your timeline?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It's in the time --
- 7 timeline, yes.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: So I just want to make
- 9 sure because there's three (3) different versions of
- 10 this email thread, and I'm still trying to sort that
- 11 out. So you've read through that.
- 12 I didn't bring up the actual timeline
- 13 to look at this because I found the use of emphasis in
- 14 it to be extremely poor taste. As you attested to in
- 15 your testimony the other day, you can relate to the
- 16 concern of a considerable amount of abuse from a local
- 17 blog.
- 18 Reviewing this particular email ahead
- 19 of the next one, which I would like to ask you
- 20 about -- so if you'll note the time on this one (1) as
- 21 being 2:18. And if just scroll down, there's no
- 22 thread to it. So if -- if we could look at
- 23 CPS0005638?
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: And we'll just go to
- 2 the bottom part of the email. Just up a little bit.
- 3 Yeah, perfect.
- So you'll see this as -- it shows it --
- 5 it's sent earlier that day by Pam Hogg at 10:07 a.m.,
- 6 and I'll give you a little time read through it, but
- 7 it shows it's a request for the valuation report?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm. Yes.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: So if we just scroll
- 10 up to Mr. Rockx's response and just a little higher.
- 11 You'll see this response was at
- 12 12:10 p.m., and I'll let you read through it.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes
- 14 MR. TIM FRYER: So this appears to be
- 15 Mr. Rockx's original response to the question asked,
- 16 and the email thread shows the -- the question that
- 17 was asked. Were you aware of this email thread when
- 18 you decided to utilize the 2:18 p.m. updated version,
- 19 which we just saw in the previous document in your
- 20 timeline?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I don't recall.
- 22 I --
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: -- the emails that
- 25 I got were all material that was submitted to the

- 1 judicial Inquiry. I don't recall -- there were quite
- 2 a lot of them.
- 3 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I expected
- 4 you may have investigated if there -- if there was
- 5 different versions. So you're saying that there
- 6 weren't different versions that you are aware of?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I don't recall
- 8 seeing different versions.
- 9 MR. TIM FRYER: Yes. Thank you. So
- 10 you wouldn't have known about this discrepancy in
- 11 wording and the area that you used emphasis on in your
- 12 timeline. So I'll sort through that later with the
- 13 KPMG representatives then.
- 14 If we could look at the timeline,
- 15 CJI0000002, and I'm very close to winding down, Your
- 16 Honour. And it's page 42.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. TIM FRYER: So just a little bit
- 21 further. Right there is perfect. So you'll see the
- 22 reference to September 30th, 2013?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So this is
- 25 dated September 30th, 2013. So just for

- 1 clarification, my retirement was actually
- 2 September 30th, 2012, and I don't believe my imposed
- 3 six (6) week leave cost almost \$78,000 to cover my
- 4 workload. So perhaps this note is regarding the cost
- 5 incurred over the year after my retirement?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I'm sorry,
- 7 Mr. Fryer. I don't know all the detail of it. I just
- 8 took it from the -- as it's noted at the bottom in the
- 9 footnote: "Collus PowerStream Energy Probe responses
- 10 as to what the costs were."
- MR. TIM FRYER: Okay.
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That was a response
- 13 that was made to Energy Probe. So I -- I don't have
- 14 any other details about it, and I'm sorry if I've
- 15 mistaken your retirement date.
- 16 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 Were you aware that I had an agreement with Collus for
- 18 that 12-month period to be available to provide
- 19 services when required?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, Sir. I'm not
- 21 aware of any personal relationships or agreements.
- 22 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. In spite of my
- 23 checking periodically, they decided not to take
- 24 advantage of the no-cost agreement, completely outside
- 25 of my control. So as a shareholder at the time, is

- 1 that something you think should have been done?
- 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I can't answer
- 3 that, Tim. I'm sorry. It's -- you're asking me what
- 4 would -- what I would do now about information that --
- 5 that was going around six (6) years ago? I'm sorry.
- 6 I don't know.
- 7 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. So I -- not my
- 8 final question 'cause I do have one (1) because of
- 9 some cross-examination. But --
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: May I add -- may I
- 11 add, by the way, that personnel relations, personnel
- 12 agreements -- and it just basically personnel matters
- 13 within Collus and then later within Collus PowerStream
- 14 were not brought to Council at any time. They were
- 15 dealt with by the Collus Board because the Collus
- 16 Board -- the Collus PowerStream Board, I should say,
- 17 would be the -- the deciding body.
- 18 MR. TIM FRYER: So they were
- 19 confidential.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 21 MR. TIM FRYER: As would be somebody
- 22 on medical leave.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I assume so, yes.
- 24 MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you. So during
- 25 your 16-month -- or the 16-month sale transaction

- 1 process and relating to it in the years following,
- 2 have you ever asked me a question to get my opinion or
- 3 input?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I don't recall I
- 5 have. No.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you. So earlier
- 7 you spoke about the first time Collingwood was paid a
- 8 dividend.
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 10 MR. TIM FRYER: I'd like to just
- 11 clarify through you that previous to 2010/'14 Council,
- 12 the earnings generated were kept inside Collus
- 13 operations as further investment rather than remove
- 14 cash for other municipal purposes.
- 15 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe that's
- 16 correct. Yes.
- 17 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 These are all my questions, Sir.
- 19
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Good morning,
- 22 Mr. Chadwick.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Good morning.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Just as a result of
- 25 some of the cross-examination, I thought I would

- 1 address a couple of issues that have come up prior to
- 2 getting into the other issues that I would like to
- 3 raise with you.
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Okay.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Firstly, there's
- 6 been some discussion related to what was described as
- 7 a shotgun clause and more accurately described through
- 8 the court documents as a buy-sell provision.
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would it seem
- 11 reasonable to you in any agreement -- any partnership
- 12 agreement -- that there should be a buy-sell provision
- 13 based on unknown extenuating circumstances that may
- 14 arise?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, it seem --
- 16 seems perfectly logic, especially when you're
- 17 involving a public asset.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would it seem
- 19 reasonable to you that there are a lot of extenuating
- 20 circumstances that could affect LDCs moving forward?
- 21 More specifically, provincial legislation that may
- 22 create an environment where, in fact, one (1) party or
- 23 the other may choose not to participate moving
- 24 forward, and as a result would want to exercise a buy-
- 25 sell provision, would that seem reasonable to you?

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It seems
- 2 reasonable, yes.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Could you imagine
- 4 any scenario where you're entering into agreement and
- 5 there is no option for an exit strategy?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Personally no. If
- 7 I was buying or selling a house, I would assume that
- 8 there would be some sort of next strategy. If I'm
- 9 buying an airline ticket, there's -- usually you have
- 10 insurance to make sure if something happens. So I
- 11 would assume that you'd have some sort of an exit
- 12 strategy in a buy-sell agreement.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. There's
- 14 been some discussion about developing or lack of
- 15 developing a regional growth strategy as it relates to
- 16 putting aside funds in order to accommodate that
- 17 strategy. You're familiar with that?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I am.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you comment
- 20 on how you might go about developing a plan to
- 21 accommodate future growth strategy, when in fact, you
- 22 don't know what the conditions of that potential
- 23 partnership buy-in might look like?
- 24 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I'm sorry. I
- 25 don't -- I don't even think that's possible. I think

- 1 you have to have a lot more information about the --
- 2 the working partnership. You have to have more
- 3 information about the potential for -- for expansion.
- 4 All of those areas are already serviced by LDCs. You
- 5 know, who's looking to sell; who's looking to change
- 6 their structure. I don't think you can do it ahead of
- 7 time. I think you'd have to do it after you've gained
- 8 some experience.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it reasonable
- 10 to suggest that each and every opportunity for
- 11 expansion would be unique in that particular
- 12 relationship with another LDC?
- 13 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No. It -- my
- 14 understanding, having spent a bit more time at it
- 15 than -- subsequently than I -- than I did prior to it.
- 16 I understand that each one (1) is -- is dealt with
- 17 individually, and it depends upon a lot of
- 18 criterion -- criteria set out by the Ontario Energy
- 19 Board, by Energy Probe, and, of course, by each
- 20 municipality, each LDC.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Your -- would you
- 22 be familiar with the exercise that was commenced on
- 23 Wasaqa Beach during the last term of Council. It was
- 24 respecting the potential sale of their LDC.
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It was somewhat

- 1 familiar -- talking to some people who attended to it
- 2 and talking to -- or reading the media stories online,
- 3 yes.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it fair to say
- 5 it was somewhat contentious?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Contentious would
- 7 be a very good for it, yes.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Potentially one (1)
- 9 of the most hot-button issues they had to deal with --
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- during their
- 12 last term of Council. But I -- I draw that to your
- 13 attention simply because, hypothetically, if Collus
- 14 PowerStream was to engage with Wasaga Beach in terms
- 15 of the potential sale of their LDC, it could take on
- 16 any number of different scenarios, whether it was
- 17 buying the entire LDC, whether the Wasaga Beach LDC
- 18 was wanting to become a full partner in Collus
- 19 PowerStream, with -- it could take on any number of
- 20 different scenarios in terms of what that might look
- 21 like.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: That is correct.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so I would
- 24 submit -- and if you're agreeing with me -- that it
- 25 would be incredibly difficult to plan on what that

- 1 might look like until you're actually sitting at the
- 2 table and discussing how that transaction might
- 3 unfold.
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I agree, yes.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. During
- 6 your 20-year career -- and I was -- I apologize if I'm
- 7 narrowing it or broadening it, you identified that you
- 8 were a journalist, an editor; that you worked for a
- 9 regional newspaper, as well as a considerable amount
- 10 of time for the National News Agency --
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- to use that word
- 13 lightly -- CBC.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. I was the
- 15 reporting editor for The Enterprise-Bulletin. I --
- 16 local correspondent for CBC Radio, and I also --
- 17 excuse me -- did the -- an interview on Rogers cable
- 18 TV for their politically-speaking show.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would it be fair to
- 20 say that you took your responsibilities as a
- 21 journalist very seriously, especially relating to
- 22 maintaining a very high ethical standard as it relates
- 23 to information you're reporting, information gathering
- 24 at the end of the day and simply your responsibilities
- 25 as a journalist?

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I like to believe
- 2 so, yes.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it reasonable to
- 4 assume, based on the fact that you were elected on
- 5 three (3) occasions --
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Three (3).
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: On three (3)
- 8 occasions. That you took that same level of
- 9 responsibility in your job as a municipal councillor?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I also like to
- 11 believe that.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Could I
- 13 call on Document CJ111120, please? There's a couple
- 14 of zeros in there. Sorry, my apologies, CJ --
- 15 CJI0011121.

- 17 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 18
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Do you recall the
- 20 document that's up on the screen?
- 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not specifically,
- 22 but I recognize the format.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: If I may ask, could
- 24 we scroll down?
- 2.5

```
98
 1
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 2
 3
                  MR. PAUL BONWICK: Not for the
   purposes of reading but just to give a -- a flavour
 5
   towards what it actually is as a document.
 7
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
 9
10
                  MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. That's
11 -- that's good. Could you go to CJI0011128?
12
13
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
14
15
                  MR. PAUL BONWICK: Please scroll down.
16
17
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
18
19
                  MR. PAUL BONWICK: Again, thank you.
   I think you kind of got to -- unless somebody wants to
   read in detail, I think we've got a general
21
22 understanding of -- do you recognize --
23
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
24
                  MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- that format in
25 that document?
```

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. Those are the
- 2 -- the news wire scans that I provided to you.
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did you provide
- 4 those to me on a weekly basis?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I did.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of
- 7 the distribution list that I had in terms of me
- 8 communicating that out to arguably seventy (70)
- 9 different parties and potentially more on a weekly
- 10 basis?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I'm not.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of my
- 13 involvement -- our engagement with Upper Lakes Marine
- 14 and Industrial?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Sorry, could you
- 16 say that again?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you familiar --
- 18 are you aware of the fact that I was engaged with
- 19 Upper Lakes Marine and Industrial, my company?
- 20 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I don't know
- 21 the name, I'm sorry.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of
- 23 the fact that I was engaged with Allied Marine and
- 24 Industrial?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I'm not sure

- 1 about that name either.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Were you aware --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 4 Bonwick, what was that name, Allied?
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Allied Marine and
- 6 Industrial.

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of
- 10 the fact that I was engaged and provided services to
- 11 Port Arthur Dry Docks, or Shipyards?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I don't recall
- 13 that, I'm sorry.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Dry Docks -- Port
- 15 Arthur dry docks, or shipyards actually is the...
- 16 Are you familiar with the term "The
- 17 Ring of Fire"?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Oh yes, very much
- 19 50.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Are you aware of
- 21 the fact that it's arguably one (1) of the largest
- 22 mining opportunities identified by the Canadian and
- 23 provincial government in terms of future opportunity?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I am.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did you at any time

- 1 feel that you were in the employ of Upper Lakes Marine
- 2 and Industrial, Allied Marine and Industrial, Port
- 3 Arthur Shipyards?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I wasn't -- I
- 5 was not.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Or any of the other
- 7 sixty (60) or so companies that I would email on a
- 8 regular weekly basis?
- 9 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I was not.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Could I bring up
- 11 CJI0011120?
- 12
- 13 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 14
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Again, I think
- 16 you've got it all there, but if it's --
- Do you recognize the document on the
- 18 screen?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is that amount the
- 21 amount as per agreement, \$700 for the month?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct,
- 23 yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So based on my
- 25 calculation, you were paid \$166.67 a week?

- 1 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct,
- 2 yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And you provided my
- 4 company, for the purposes of me providing any service
- 5 to a multitude of companies, that service for \$166.67
- 6 a week. Was that --
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's correct,
- 8 yes.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. There's
- 10 been some cross-examination related to the services
- 11 that you were providing to my company, and I'd like to
- 12 move to the services you provided specific to our work
- 13 with First Nation communities within the Atlantic
- 14 region.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it your opinion
- 19 that First Nation communities generally speaking, not
- 20 all of course, but First Nation communities generally
- 21 speaking, require assistance or education related to
- 22 communications or developing successful communications
- 23 strategy in a manner that delivers a message to
- 24 political levels, as well as the general public?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, certainly some

- 1 do.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: In your opinion,
- 3 would it be reasonable to state that many First
- 4 Nations have a high degree of mistrust in relationship
- 5 to government and media?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Only judging by
- 7 what I've read in the media or online, I would suggest
- 8 that is very likely.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Do you recall the
- 10 services we provided, I think to a large extent you,
- 11 thank you very much, provided to members of the
- 12 Atlantic Assembly some time ago?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. I created and
- 14 presented a workshop on media relations that went over
- 15 a two-day period in Moncton, New Brunswick.
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Based on what
- 17 you've read and your personal experiences within the
- 18 First Nation community and the lack of trust they have
- 19 in government and media, I'd like you to comment on
- 20 your personal experience in terms of what Atlantic
- 21 leaders felt in terms of the relationship they had
- 22 with me, both elected officials and staff?
- 23 MR. IAN CHADWICK: In my experience
- 24 and when I saw, especially in those -- the
- 25 weekend in Moncton, it was very positive and very

- 1 respectful.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You'll also be
- 3 aware of the fact, and there's been some comment about
- 4 Gemba Environmental Services, you'll also be aware of
- 5 the fact of course that Gemba Environmental Services
- 6 provided training to the Atlantic Assembly of First
- 7 Nations.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm, yes.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And you'll also, I
- 10 assume, remember that that training that we provided,
- 11 and again not me, more Mr. Fisher provided, was
- 12 specific to how they assess and manage their fuel
- 13 storage systems.
- 14 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I personally don't
- 15 recall that but I'll accept that.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So in both
- 17 instances, the intention that I brought to the table
- 18 was rather than provide them consulting services to do
- 19 the assessments or manage their media training, the
- 20 intention was simply to train them so that they could
- 21 do it on their own. I think of the story where you
- 22 give a man a fish and you feed him for a day and you
- 23 teach a man to fish and you feed him for life.
- Is it reasonable to say that that was a
- 25 more appropriate level of engagement within the First

- 1 Nation communities?
- 2 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe what was
- 3 presented at that time was -- was a method to give
- 4 them the tools to do it on their own, yes.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I only touch on it
- 6 because it's come up in terms of the services you've
- 7 provided, but I -- it leads me to the more important
- 8 issue.
- 9 When you were involved in the decision-
- 10 making regarding the potential for a 50 percent sale,
- 11 the support of a 50 percent share sale, and
- 12 subsequently the approval or vote on the 50 percent
- 13 sale to PowerStream, did your work in New Brunswick in
- 14 any way impact the choice you made to vote on a
- 15 particular matter, more specifically the sale of 50
- 16 percent of the shares to PowerStream?
- 17 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, it did not. It
- 18 happened many months before anything even came to
- 19 Council.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did the service you
- 21 were providing to my company in terms of collecting
- 22 news clippings from across the country specific to the
- 23 mining and energy sector, in any way affect your
- 24 decision to sell 50 percent of the shares of Collus?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, it did not.

- 1 And just to clarify, I did not partake in the
- 2 decision. I par -- I only partook in the decision to
- 3 send out the RFP, to go through a process and send out
- 4 the RFP. I did not participate in the decision to
- 5 choose either PowerStream or the strategic
- 6 partnership.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: My apologies. I
- 8 think it would also be useful for the Inquiry and His
- 9 Honour to understand the sharing of emails and the
- 10 access to emails, and I ask this to you because in my
- 11 mind you're sort of a resident expert as a -- as a
- 12 journalist.
- 13 Is it safe to assume that any municipal
- 14 emails are accessible through Freedom of Information
- 15 request?
- 16 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Well, generally,
- 17 yes, the -- there may be some redaction for personnel
- 18 or personal information. Anything -- I've always
- 19 believed, and it's my understanding, that anything
- 20 sent to all of Council or to all of staff or, you
- 21 know, to the department heads and copied to all of
- 22 Council is a public document.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So to take that a
- 24 step further, whether it's Deputy Mayor Lloyd,
- 25 Councillor Lloyd, Councillor Chadwick, Councillor

- 1 West, if they're sending emails to staff or to other
- 2 councillors, whatever the case might be, unless it's
- 3 redacted for personnel or legal reasons, and it would
- 4 have to be identified as such, anybody from the public
- 5 can get access to those documents. Is that fair?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That's fair. And
- 7 my time on Council, especially the last term, there
- 8 were people in the community filing Freedom of
- 9 Information Act requests quite frequently to gain
- 10 those -- those emails.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Are you
- 12 familiar with a non-disclosure agreement?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I am.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And what is your
- 15 understanding of a non-disclosure agreement?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I think you'd have
- 17 to be a little bit more specific about that because
- 18 there are non-disclosure agreements for all sorts of
- 19 things.
- 20 But, generally, it's so that the two
- 21 (2) parties do not share information with the public
- 22 until such a time as a public announcement is made, if
- 23 that's --
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: A fair
- 25 interpretation. Would it make sense that in fact you

1 cannot only share information with the general public,

- 2 but in fact you can't share it with other parties,
- 3 competitors?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That would be
- 5 correct, yes.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so would it
- 7 make sense that if I -- when I engage -- which is
- 8 standard business practice, engage with any client and
- 9 sign non-disclosure agreements, that I would not be in
- 10 a position to share that information with you or
- 11 anybody else as it relates to the services I provide?
- 12 MR. IAN CHADWICK: That would be
- 13 correct, yes.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. I think
- 15 a question that should have been asked of you some
- 16 time ago, but I'll put it to you right now. At any
- 17 time throughout your nine (9) or ten (10) or eleven
- 18 (11) years on municipal Council did you ever feel that
- 19 I acted inappropriately towards you in terms of
- 20 requesting confidential information, asking you to
- 21 vote in a particular direction, leveraging you in any
- 22 way at all related to Town business?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, you did not.
- 24 And, frankly, I do not recall us having any specific
- 25 conversation about any specific issue or any direction

- 1 about Council.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: It would be
- 3 interesting, I think, for His Honour to note, as well
- 4 as those in attendance and viewing at home, how much
- 5 engagement have we had since you -- since we no longer
- 6 were engaged in a professional capacity?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I'd say none,
- 8 but I think we actually met at Loblaws once somewhere
- 9 between the tomatoes and the mushrooms.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Small town.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: But -- but, no, we
- 12 have not had any other engagement. We haven't had any
- 13 social relationships. We haven't met or talked.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think
- 15 specifically to the matters before the judicial
- 16 inquiry right now, have we had any discussions? Have
- 17 I asked you to say anything or do anything or --
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, you have not.
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. I want
- 20 to go to -- again, as a qualidi -- qualified resident
- 21 expert in social media which is far beyond me, we're
- 22 talking about Freedom of Information and the various
- 23 access.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: M-hm.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You spoke about the

- 1 year following the close of the sale. And if I
- 2 understood you properly, you thought that the
- 3 partnership that had been struck was moving forward
- 4 and extremely successful?
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. That's my
- 6 belief, yes.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it in your
- 8 opinion that social media has the ability to drive a
- 9 narrative or construct a false narrative within
- 10 society and whether it's here in Collingwood, the
- 11 Province of Ontario, Government of Canada, or anything
- 12 internationally, for that matter?
- 13 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I -- I think that's
- 14 probably one (1) of the major issues right now in
- 15 politics worldwide. And right now, there's a question
- 16 whether or not social media's actually destroying
- 17 democracy.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Have you -- in your
- 19 experience, had you witnessed any environment -- and,
- 20 again, you -- you follow this pretty closely. Have
- 21 you ever experienced an environment where the social
- 22 media, the blogging, was so caustic, so negative in
- 23 terms of driving a specific narrative than that which
- 24 happened in Collingwood?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Not on a local

- 1 basis. I think locally, that it -- it certainly was
- 2 unprecedented. But I would suggest that, if you look
- 3 at them worldwide, you pretty much find that's the --
- 4 the tone of the dialogue these days.

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'd like to close
- 9 out with a question that I've put to the other
- 10 witnesses. Based on what I've heard from you, and I'd
- 11 like you to confirm this, did you feel that the
- 12 process leading up to and the eventual sale of the 50
- 13 percent shares in Collus was a robust, transparent,
- 14 and publically engaging process?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, very much so.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it your opinion
- 17 -- and I'll reflect back on the integrity you bring to
- 18 Council, your integrity as a journalist for twenty
- 19 (20) some years.
- Is it your opinion that the best
- 21 possible decision was made for the ratepayers and the
- 22 residents of Collingwood?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. That
- 25 concludes my comments.

Transcript Date May 3, 2019 112 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: 1 2 direct...? 3 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KATE MCGRANN: 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Mr. Chadwick, My Friend Ms. Bain in cross-examination asked you some questions about whether you had had any direct communication with individuals at PowerStream. 9 And I believe that your evidence was, 10 no? 11 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Look at paragraph 262 of the Foundation Document, please. 13 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Paragraph 262 18 describes a PowerStream copy of invoice 731 from Compenso that included handwritten notes -handwritten notes, and then transcribed here, and I'd 21 like to walk through them with you. If we could 22 scroll down so we could look at them all, please. 23 In the right-hand column we see it

"Ian Chadwick does contract work for

24 says:

2.5

```
113
                      him, journalist by profession, sits
 1
 2
                      on Collingwood Town's Council, views
 3
                      himself as a sounding board on
                      Council. He sold his UPS store."
 5
                   On the left-hand side you see notes
 6
   that say:
 7
                      "I suggest we're not comfortable
                      with it. Use this service until the
                      RFP October 5th. Continue to employ
10
                      him creates a conflict."
11
                   Was this information ever brought to
   your attention?
13
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, it was not.
14
                   MS. KATE MCGRANN: Did you continue to
15
   provide services to Compenso after October 5th of
16
   2011?
17
                  MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I did.
18
19
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
20
21
                  MS. KATE MCGRANN: In your cross-
   examine by Mr. Chenoweth, he asked you some questions
22
   about whether you had spoken to Mr. Houghton before he
24
   agreed to take on the role of acting CAO of the Town?
2.5
                   MR. IAN CHADWICK:
                                       Yes.
```

- 1 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And I believe that
- 2 you said that you had discussions with Mr. Houghton
- 3 about his reservations and your own?
- 4 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What were your
- 6 reservations?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: My reservations
- 8 were several areas. One (1) is just simply optics.
- 9 It -- having somebody come from -- internally to come
- 10 and do it as opposed to bringing somebody in from the
- 11 outside, which had been the traditional practice,
- 12 would be subject to community talk as it had been when
- 13 we promoted Gord Norris, previously clerk to CAO, a
- 14 similar kind of talk.
- 15 Also, it was about whether or not he
- 16 could do the job in the municipal setting because,
- 17 although he had been involved in -- as a CEO of -- of
- 18 Collus, whether or not he could transfer those skills
- 19 over to the CAO position and whether or not he'd have
- 20 the support from staff.
- 21 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And you mentioned
- 22 concerns about the optics of appointing Mr. Houghton
- 23 leading to community talk. What was your concern
- 24 about the community talk?
- 25 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Well, when -- when

- 1 Council -- and I was on Council at the time. When
- 2 Council replaced CAO Jay Currier with Gord Norris, who
- 3 had been the clerk at the time, in to CAO, there was
- 4 fairly traditional typical small-town talk about
- 5 promoting from within and that -- that you're --
- 6 you're -- it's helping somebody, new staff, as opposed
- 7 to bringing in the best person, et cetera.
- 8 There's a lot of -- it's a small town.
- 9 There's a lot of coffee shop talk about these things.
- 10 Nowadays, of course, it's on social media. It's
- 11 probably more than coffee talk -- coffee table talk in
- 12 those days.
- 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: What are the
- 14 implications of those kinds of discussions? Why do
- 15 they concern you?
- 16 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Well, how it
- 17 appears to the community is always important, and
- 18 trying to get the pulse of the community, trying to
- 19 find out how they feel about things. And if they're
- 20 not comfortable, it then sheds questions about the
- 21 credibility or the confidence of the person involved,
- 22 so they have to play their best game in order to be
- 23 able to win the community's confidence.
- 24 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Turn to document
- 25 TOC485476.

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: This is a copy of
- 4 the Council report that my friend, Ms. Bain, brought
- 5 you to. And I assume that you reference this document
- 6 in your time line?
- 7 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 8 MS. KATE MCGRANN: In what context did
- 9 you receive a copy of this document?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe the
- 11 document was -- was put online. I'm sorry, I can't
- 12 remember the exact source of it. I do know that when
- 13 it was being done, that I had talked about -- about it
- 14 with some of the staff at the utility. I knew it was
- 15 ongoing.
- 16 And when they got the results, they
- 17 were rather proud and pleased to be able to tell me
- 18 some of the results. I don't remember the exact date
- 19 that I received a copy of it though.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: And you don't
- 21 remember who you received it from?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, not offhand,
- 23 sorry.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Look at slide 2 of
- 25 this document, please.

117 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. KATE MCGRANN: I'd like to look at the first bullet point which says: 5 "The purpose of this report is to have Consol Asset review, in 6 practical terms, the benefits and successes that Collus PowerStream has been able to experience since 10 its strategic partnership with 11 PowerStream in July 2012." 12 Do you see that? 13 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, I do. 14 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you see that 15 Council has explained what the purpose of the report is? 16 17 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes. 18 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Would you agree 19 with me that the purpose of this report is not to 20 assess whether the partnership was successful? 21 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I think that's a 22 question of semantics, yes. 23 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is says that the 24 purpose --2.5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: And it's open to

- 1 interpretation, I would think, but...
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Well, let's just
- 3 look at the language of it. It says, "The purpose is
- 4 to review the benefits and successes." Do you see
- 5 that?
- 6 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 7 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Do you have any
- 8 additional information about the purpose of this
- 9 report?
- 10 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No. I got it. I
- 11 saw what -- what you see here.
- 12 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Okay, let's --
- 13 we're currently on slide 4, on the bottom of the page.
- 14 Can we look at slide 2, please? On the paragraph
- 15 headed, "Third Party Reliance" It says:
- "This report has been prepared in
- 17 accordance with the terms agreed to
- 18 with CPC and is not to be used for
- 19 any other purpose. Other than our
- 20 responsibility to CPC, neither
- 21 Consol Asset nor any member or
- 22 employee of Consol Asset undertakes
- responsibility arising in any way
- from reliance placed by a third
- 25 party on this report."

119 1 It goes on to say: 2 "Any reliance placed is that party's 3 sole responsibility. We understand that this report may be released into the public domain. 5 6 parties who access this report are not a party to the engagement list with CPC and, accordingly, may not 9 place reliance on this report." 10 It goes, and I'm not going to read the 11 rest of it to you. The part that I'm interested in is 12 in the first sentence where it says: 13 "This report has been prepared in 14 accordance with the terms agreed to 15 with CPC and is not to be used for 16 any other purpose." 17 Did you have the opportunity to review 18 the terms referred to in that sentence? 19 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I didn't pay any specific attention to it, no. 20 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Have you received a 21 copy of the terms referred to in that sentence? 22 23 MR. IAN CHADWICK: No, I'd never seen 24 them. 2.5 MS. KATE MCGRANN: The last question I

1 have for you is about your time line. So if we could

- 2 --
- 3 MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: If we could look at
- 5 CJI2, please.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Can we look at the
- 10 bottom of page 2 of this document? All the way to the
- 11 bottom, please.
- 12 Mr. Chadwick, this is the most recent
- 13 copy that I believe that the inquiry has of your time
- 14 line. It's dated September 27th, 2018.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: In your evidence,
- 17 you referenced providing additional information in the
- 18 spring. I would like to make sure that we have the
- 19 copy that you are referring to.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Is this the copy
- 22 that you were referring to?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: I believe another 0
- 24 copy was sent this spring. And I will have to go back
- 25 and check my email records because there was a request

- 1 for me to update some of the information this spring,
- 2 which I did, and I sent it in, but I don't know if it
- 3 was received or not, I'm sorry. I will check.
- 4 MS. KATE MCGRANN: And --
- 5 MR. IAN CHADWICK: It's only a change
- 6 of, I believe, two (2), perhaps three (3), references
- 7 to Enterprise bulletin stories.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: My understanding is
- 9 that we received a number of documents that you've
- 10 identified as source documents?
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Yes, that's
- 12 correct.
- 13 MS. KATE MCGRANN: Those have been
- 14 disclosed. I don't believe we received an updated
- 15 copy, so we can take this conversation offline. But
- 16 if you could take a look and get back to us, that
- 17 would be appreciated.
- 18 MR. IAN CHADWICK: I will do it this
- 19 afternoon.
- MS. KATE MCGRANN: Those are my
- 21 questions. Thank you.
- 22 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Tha --
- 23 thank you. The next witness -- thank you, Mr.
- 24 Chadwick.
- MR. IAN CHADWICK: Thank you.

122 1 (WITNESS STANDS DOWN) 2 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: The next witness is...? 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: The next witness is 6 Jonathan Erling. 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is he here? MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes, he is. 9 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All 11 right. Well, we'll stand down for a minute. And then we'll get started. We'll -- we'll sit until -- until 1:00. And then we'll break for lunch, just so you 13 14 know. 15 --- Upon recessing at 12:26 p.m. 17 --- Upon resuming at 12:30 p.m. 18 19 JONATHAN MICHAEL ERLING, SWORN 20 21 EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Good afternoon, Mr. 23 Erling. 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Good afternoon. 2.5 MR. JOHN MATHER: It's my

- 1 understanding that you are a managing director at
- 2 KPMG, is that correct?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: My current title
- 4 is actually Executive Director.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay, and in 2011
- 6 was your title Managing Director?
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It -- it was.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you explained to
- 9 the inquiry what your role was as a managing director
- 10 at KPMG in 2011?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So I -- I've --
- 12 within KPMG I am in the advisory practice, so I
- 13 provide consulting services.
- 14 And most of those services are focused
- 15 on the energy sector and regulated utilities.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you just give us
- 17 maybe an overview of the sort of advisory services you
- 18 would provide to clients in that sector?
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So certainly we
- 20 have helped many utilities in Ontario with
- 21 restructuring processes in connection with the reform
- 22 of the electricity sector that began in 1998.
- 23 I also, from time to time, do cost
- 24 allocation work for utilities or look at business
- 25 options and what would be the benefits of

- 1 restructuring their operations or, for example, to
- 2 look for cost saving opportunities.
- I would also do financial projections
- 4 for a variety of projects, generation type projects or
- 5 combined heat and power that -- that type of thing.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: And have you
- 7 consulted on the sales -- on the sale of any LDCs or
- 8 other utilities?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, I have.
- 10 You know, John Herhalt and I in the
- 11 early period, around the year 2000 advised a number of
- 12 utilities on issues associated with restructuring,
- 13 including decisions on whether to sell an interest in
- 14 a utility or to retain ownership.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And as part of that
- 16 work, have you been involved in any RFPs for LDCs or
- 17 other utilities?
- 18 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I have. I think
- 19 a -- a number of other utilities that I don't call the
- 20 specific utilities, but yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes. And prior to
- 22 the valuation and options analysis work that was done
- 23 for Collus in 2011, what work had you done in relation
- 24 to the Collus companies?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So, around the

- 1 year 2000 there was a process run by Collus at that
- 2 time to investigate decisions on the future of the
- 3 utility and it was done in collaboration with -- with
- 4 a task force that included representatives from the
- 5 Town.
- 6 And it was a relatively extensive
- 7 process in terms of educating the members of the
- 8 participating panel on developments in the industry
- 9 and, you know, what some of the options were and --
- 10 and then making a decision at that time to -- to
- 11 retain Collus.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: And are you talking
- 13 about the process whereby it -- municipalities were
- 14 required to make their -- make LDCs into OBCA
- 15 corporations?
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, that's
- 17 correct. At the time, utilities needed to transform
- 18 themselves from utility commissions into a share
- 19 capital corporation. And in addition to, you know,
- 20 the shareholder, the City as shareholders or the Town
- 21 as shareholders, as the case may be, you know, not
- 22 only did they have to make a decision as to whether
- 23 they would retain ownership of the utility or look to
- 24 sell it or potentially amalgamate with other
- 25 utilities, you know, they also had to make decisions

- 1 about financial structure and dividend policies and
- 2 whether or not they would be interested in entering,
- 3 for example, competitive lines of business and setting
- 4 up related corporations to enter into those types of
- 5 competitive lines of business.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So then it's my
- 7 understanding that you and Mr. Herhalt consulted with
- 8 Collingwood on that process for the Collus companies?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: When you say
- 10 "Collingwood", the Town of Collingwood?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: My recollection
- 13 was that there was at least one (1) or two (2) -- two
- 14 (2) members of Council on the task force that was set
- 15 up. I think the Mayor was a member and maybe a town
- 16 Council member but I -- I don't recall the specific
- 17 list of individuals.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So between that work
- 19 and the options and value and -- the valuation and
- 20 options analysis work in 2011, did you do any other
- 21 consulting or work for the Collus companies?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I did not.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And then how did you
- 24 become involved in the preparation of the value and
- 25 options analysis in 2011?

1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So John Herhalt

- 2 called me up and indicated that he had received a call
- 3 from Ed Houghton, who was interested in, I think,
- 4 doing a refresh of our work in the year 2000 period
- 5 or, you know, looking again at -- at potential future
- 6 strategies for Collus.
- 7 You know, there had been a significant
- 8 amount of time had elapsed since the first study or
- 9 process and, you know, the industry had evolved
- 10 somewhat. And so I think Ed thought that -- or at
- 11 least as relayed to me by John, Ed thought that, you
- 12 know, it was probably time for the Town to -- to look
- 13 again at -- at the decisions it had been making.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what was your
- 15 role to be in this review?
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So my role
- 17 really would be to provide a perspective, I mean
- 18 within the KPMG group I probably focused more than
- 19 others on the energy sector and developments in the
- 20 utility sector.
- 21 So my role was to really review the
- 22 environment from a market business perspective,
- 23 whereas, for example, John Rockx provides specialized
- 24 valuations expertise.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: And Mr. Rockx is

- 1 also with KPMG?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And when you were
- 4 brought into this project, who did you understand the
- 5 client to be?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: The direct
- 7 client was going to be the utility and that they would
- 8 sign the engagement letter and, you know, we would
- 9 invoice them for the fees. But my understanding that
- 10 we were going to be working for -- the work was being
- 11 done on behalf both of Collus and its shareholder, the
- 12 Town of Collingwood.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay, so you said
- 14 that Coll -- you understood the utilities, so I assume
- 15 that was Collus Power?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was their direct
- 18 client, but the -- so did you understand the Town of
- 19 Collingwood to be a client?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And you mentioned an
- 22 engagement letter, is it fair to say that is where the
- 23 scope of KPMG's work is set out?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, it is.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you recall

- 1 who drafted the engagement letter?
- 2 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I did.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 4 paragraph 148 of the Foundation Document.
- 5 So this paragraph discusses an internal
- 6 KPMG email thread in which you to and Mr. Rockx are
- 7 discussing a draft engagement letter at this point in
- 8 time. And if you see down at the bottom of the date
- 9 of this email chain is February 14th, 2011.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And it appears that
- 12 you have sent Mr. Rockx a draft engagement letter and
- 13 you've asked him to provide some revisions to a
- 14 particular part of it.
- 15 And you say -- and your specific
- 16 request was to reword the section on indicative
- 17 valuation, observing that Mr. Rockx probably has a
- 18 better sense of what we can get away with. Do you see
- 19 that?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I do.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall this
- 22 email thread?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Vaquely.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall what
- 25 you meant when you said that Mr. Rockx probably had a

- 1 better sense of what we can get away with?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. I -- and -
- 3 and really the context for this was that -- and it's
- 4 indicated, for example, in the lines that are crossed
- 5 out at the bottom of the paragraph which I will read:
- "Because there have been a few
- 7 recent transactions in the sector,
- 8 these estimates of value will be
- 9 subject to significant uncertainty."
- 10 And I think that points to a very
- 11 important context for this review, is that, you know,
- 12 there had been a lull in transactions in the Ontario
- 13 LDC sector in the years prior to us undertaking this
- 14 review, which was in 2011. I don't think there had
- 15 been any significant transactions in the year or two
- 16 (2) prior.
- 17 And, you know, much as one can do
- 18 calculations of the value of the Utility based on a
- 19 discounted cash flow approach, which is -- which is
- 20 analyzing the future cash flows of the Utility and
- 21 discounting them back to today and saying what should
- 22 they be worth to an owner at a certain discount rate,
- 23 there always is in the calculations, uncertainty as to
- 24 what the appropriate discount rate to use is and what
- 25 assumptions about terminal value to use at the end of

- 1 the cash flow period, and particularly the, you know,
- 2 the ratio of the terminal value of the Utility to the
- 3 rate base of the Utility.
- 4 And that particular number is very
- 5 dependent on buyer interest at the moment in time, and
- 6 we had seen in the past, some periods in which buyers
- 7 appear to pay quite high premiums to purchase
- 8 utilities, and we weren't sure that those premiums
- 9 would still be available in the marketplace.
- 10 So what we wanted to flag in this
- 11 discussion, in my original language, was to say, you
- 12 know, much as we can do some very precise calculations
- 13 about, you know, the future cash flows, we're not
- 14 actually sure how that will translate to a purchase
- 15 price if somebody is going to buy the Utility in the
- 16 absence of knowing buyer behaviour in -- in making
- 17 offers, and because of the absence of recent
- 18 transactions, in our mind it wasn't -- you know, we --
- 19 we couldn't really be very precise.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I just want to
- 21 break some of that down.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sure.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: So -- and -- and
- 24 starting by backing up. So looking at the first
- 25 sentence in this paragraph:

- "We will prepare a" --
- 2 -- and then it's changed but
- 3 essentially:
- 4 "We will prepare an analysis of the
- 5 potential sale of the value of
- 6 Collus."
- 7 So as a starting point, one (1) of the
- 8 things KPMG was going to do was provide an analysis of
- 9 Collus' potential sale value.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then from what I
- 12 understand you are saying is, you had identified that,
- 13 because there had been few recent transactions in the
- 14 LDC space, that was going to lead to significant
- 15 uncertainty for the reasons you've just explained.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I take it then
- 18 you were looking to -- for Mr. Rockx to assist you in
- 19 how to communicate that to Collus?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Certainly. Happy
- 22 to be amongst the people who are speaking too loud.
- 23 And again just -- I think confirming
- 24 what you said here. So what we're looking at is --
- 25 the words that are struck out are the original words

- 1 you drafted?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think so.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 4 reason to believe they're not your words?
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And the words
- 7 that are underlined, do you understand those to be the
- 8 words that Mr. Rockx has inserted?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I assume
- 10 so because if it was a -- you know, done as a review
- 11 function -- sorry, as in a revision function within
- 12 Word, you know, it usually underlines the changes that
- 13 people are making, and I'm not sure -- is this from an
- 14 email he -- or is it from a Word document? I quess
- 15 it's from an email.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why don't we first
- 17 open KPM563, which is the documents cited, the email
- 18 cited here.
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if you look at
- 23 this email chain, Mr. Erling, you see that the
- 24 original email in the chain is from you to Mr. Rockx
- 25 on February 14th, 2011, and it looks at the language

- 1 we said before.
- 2 Can I leave it to you to reword the
- 3 section on indicative valuation? You probably have a
- 4 better sense of what we can get away with. Do you see
- 5 that?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then we have Mr.
- 8 Rockx sending you an email back, saying:
- 9 "Here are a few suggested changes."
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I do. So it
- 12 looks like, based on this, that -- that those changes
- 13 underlined were added by him,
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay, excellent. So
- 15 if we could go back to the Foundation Document,
- 16 paragraph 148?

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So going back to --
- 21 so going back to the changes Mr. Rockx had made, he
- 22 takes out your language regarding there had been a few
- 23 recent transactions in the sector, and about that
- 24 meaning estimates of value would be subject to
- 25 significant uncertainty. And he writes --

135 "The valuation" --1 2 He writes -- adds first a clause, 3 "As well a review of management's multi-year projections of for Collus." 5 6 And then he says: "The valuation analysis can be used to assess the financial merits of 9 the various ownership options, i.e., 10 sell, retain, merger, for the 11 Utility." 12 And we'll get to it but this is the 13 language that ends up in the final retainer letter. 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Okay. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did what Mr. Rockx do here address what you were looking for when you 17 said you might have a better sense of what we can get 18 away with? 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I don't remember what my exact intent at the time was. For his changes -- I would say his change -- I don't have 21 22 -- I don't disagree with his changes. 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you mean by 24 you don't disagree with his changes? 2.5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think they are

- 1 reasonable additions. I think he's pointing out that
- 2 we would do -- you know, we would look in some -- you
- 3 know, we would look at their multi-year pipe --
- 4 financial projections, because those are important
- 5 inputs to our financial analysis when John Rockx does
- 6 his discounted cash flow analysis, and -- and I think
- 7 he's also pointing out that, you know, the rationale
- 8 for the exercise is to provide a value or an
- 9 indicative value that can be used so that somebody in
- 10 making a decision on to sell or retain or merge can
- 11 say, okay, if they sell it, you know, what's a
- 12 potential indication of a price that might be received
- 13 in the marketplace.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And again I just
- 15 want to understand your view. Do you think this was
- 16 sending or -- or expressing the same information that
- 17 you had been trying to express in the words that are
- 18 struck out?
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think it
- 20 actually -- I think if you read it, it's actually
- 21 expressing somewhat different thoughts. So it appears
- 22 that, you know, we're kind of reducing the focus on
- 23 the uncertainty.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do -- do -- did you
- 25 ever explain to anyone at Collus or the Town that

- 1 there had been few -- few recent transactions in the
- 2 sector and that that meant estimates of value would be
- 3 subject to significant uncertainty?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I didn't have
- 5 any direct discussions with the Town. The idea that
- 6 there had been few transactions is in our engagement
- 7 letter, as I understand it.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- and we'll
- 9 come to that and -- and we can see it. So in the
- 10 engagement letter you believe the fact that there's
- 11 been few transactions is referenced.
- 12 Do you recall having any other
- 13 conversations with anyone at Collus about the fact --
- 14 or sorry, not the fact, but about your view that any
- 15 estimate of value would be subject to significant
- 16 uncertainty?
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall
- 18 if I had a conversation with Ed on that specific item.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: And you said Ed.
- 20 Anyone else at Collus?
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Ed or Tim. And
- 22 I don't think I ever had telephone conversations with
- 23 anyone other than Ed or Tim, and certainly not with
- 24 the Town.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And another

- 1 change that is made in this portion of the retainer
- 2 letter says "We will prepare," and you had originally
- 3 said "a high level analysis," and Mr. Rockx has
- 4 changed this to an analis -- "an analysis."
- 5 So if you're looking at the first
- 6 sentence on paragraph 1 -- that's quoted in paragraph
- 7 148.
- First question, what did you mean when
- 9 you included the qualifier, "high level" to the word
- 10 "analysis"?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It was just
- 12 flagging in my mind the uncertainty.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So it relates to the
- 14 language you had below?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: In your view, how
- 17 does it affect the meaning of this section of the
- 18 retainer letter to remove the words -- the qualifier
- 19 "high level"?
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sorry, could --
- 21 could you repeat the question?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So the word "high
- 23 level" -- Mr. Rockx suggests removing the "high" --
- 24 the word "high level," and I just wanted to understand
- 25 how you believe that affects the meaning of what's

- 1 being expressed here?
- 2 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It would reduce
- 3 the -- the qualification of what we're doing, the
- 4 implied qualification, the word -- to take out the
- 5 word "high-level."

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

8

- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the question I
- 10 asked you was whether or not you ever explained to
- 11 anyone at Collus that a -- the estimates of value
- 12 would be subject to significant uncertainty, and you
- 13 said you -- you didn't believe so.
- 14 Do you know if anyone else at KPMG
- 15 expressed that to anyone at Collus?
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't know.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 18 KPM600.

19

20 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: and if we could just
- 23 scroll through the document, just so Mr. Erling can
- 24 see the full document to the signature page at the
- 25 end.

140 We can go a little quicker. I don't --I'm not going to ask him to read it right now. I just want him to get a sense of the document. 3 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Keep going. I just want to give a full view of the document. 9 10 (BRIEF PAUSE) 11 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: So Mr. Erling, I 13 just want to confirm, is this the engagement letter 14 for the valuation and options analysis? 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, it is. 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could scroll back up to the top, and then go down to where 17 18 it sets out scope of work. 19 So in this section of the letter, it says, "During our work," the "our" being KPMG, "We 20 21 will undertake the following tasks." 22 And the first task contemplates that 23 KPMG: 24 "Will meet with senior management at 2.5 Collus to review the current

141 business and financial plans of the 1 2 Utility. During this meeting, we 3 will explore the current financial and operating status of the Utility 5 and identify any issues that may 6 impact on the Utility's value to the Town, either in a sale transaction or a standalone entity." 9 I'm going to ask you more about that --10 that element of your scope of work in a moment. 11 If we go down to the second bullet point, we see that this -- this is the -- the bullet 13 point that we saw you and Mr. Erling (sic) drafting. 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Mr. Rockx. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, Mr. Rockx. You're Mr. Erling. Thank you. We saw you drafting, and we can see that it maintained the language that 17 18 Mr. Rockx had suggested? 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. So T think I said that it -- it does not specifically reference that there had been few recent transactions. I think 21 22 there still is quite a bit of language that flags that this is not going to be a precise valuation --23 24 specifically the sentence: "This will not be a formal

2.5

- 1 valuation, but rather an indicative
- view of the potential value of the
- 3 Utility and a sale transaction."
- I think the words in that phrase
- 5 reasonably flag to a reader that this isn't going to
- 6 be precise, but it can be useful for business
- 7 decision-making purposes.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. What's the
- 9 difference between a formal valuation and -- and an
- 10 dic -- and -- and an indicative view of potential
- 11 value?
- 12 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I will preface
- 13 my answer with -- to that question to say I am not a
- 14 valuator, and I don't think that there is a formal
- 15 definition of what a formal valuation is versus an
- 16 indicative view. You know, I don't think that that is
- 17 accepted language in the valuation community that
- 18 speaks to a particular type of valuation.
- 19 In our valuation report, there's a
- 20 mention of three (3) levels of a valuation report, a
- 21 comprehensive estimate, and a calculation, and those
- 22 are actual formal terms used by the Institute of
- 23 Evaluators. John Rockx would be in a better position
- 24 to differentiate between the three (3) levels in terms
- 25 of how you might characterize them.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Understood. And I'm
- 2 -- what I want to understand is, as the drafter of the
- 3 letter, and as a member of the KPMG team, what you
- 4 understood the difference -- the difference to be
- 5 between a formal valuation and this indicative view of
- 6 potential value that you were proposing to provide to
- 7 Collus.
- 8 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think the view
- 9 was that it would tend to be more of the nature of an
- 10 estimate -- sorry, the nature of a calculation as
- 11 defined by the Institute, rather than a comprehensive
- 12 or an estimate, and also that we would probably do the
- 13 less detailed analysis of the projections that had
- 14 been prepared by management.
- I think in the valuation process
- 16 typically, it -- it's not only about doing
- 17 calculations of discounted cash flow, which is a
- 18 mechanical exercise in some sense, although it does
- 19 involve making some judgements as to appropriate
- 20 discount rates, but it also involves some due
- 21 diligence into the projections that are being used as
- 22 inputs, and the degree of due diligence will vary
- 23 depending on the nature of the -- the valuation
- 24 report.
- 25 So to say not a formal valuation, but

- 1 an indicative view, suggests on the spectrum, it's a
- 2 less detailed.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And because it's
- 4 less detailed, would it be fair to say that it's
- 5 subject to more uncertainty?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It would be fair
- 7 to say that. I -- I think ultimately, much as one
- 8 could refine the valuation by refining the cash flow
- 9 projections and maybe -- maybe become -- in our view,
- 10 more reasonable or more precise.
- 11 Ultimately, probably the real source of
- 12 uncertainty in this context was what was somebody
- 13 willing to pay for a Utility or a given set of
- 14 projected cash flows, and that was a market test, or
- 15 it was up to buyers. And ultimately, you really only
- 16 find that out through a RFP process.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so I understand
- 18 what you're saying there is, you don't know what it's
- 19 going to sell for until you find out what people are
- 20 willing -- actually willing to pay for it?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Exactly.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: But I -- I just want
- 23 to confirm that it is -- that in terms of -- so this
- 24 is a -- an estimate. You could -- there would be more
- 25 certainty in the estimate of a formal valuation than

- 1 an indicative view of the potential value?
- 2 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. I don't
- 3 think there would be a lot more certainty because you
- 4 can never really address, however much work you do,
- 5 the uncertainty as to what a buyer might pay, and the
- 6 decisions they will make. And that's -- you have to
- 7 kind of get into their mind. And in -- in the absence
- 8 of recent transactions, it's -- it's hard to have a
- 9 clear view of that.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so you already
- 11 mentioned that Mr. Rockx was a certified business
- 12 valuator. And it's my understanding that he was
- 13 primarily responsible for this component of the work.
- 14 Is that fair?
- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct. I -- I
- 16 leave that stuff to him.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And then if
- 18 we go down to the next bullet point under scope of
- 19 work, scroll down, please. The next bullet point is:
- 20 "We will also prepare a summary of
- 21 the advantages and disadvantages of
- 22 various ownership options from the
- perspective of the Town, of the
- 24 Utility ratepayers, and local
- 25 ratepayers. This summary will take

146 into account both financial and 1 2 qualitative issues. It will address 3 the implications for a local distribution Utility, of broader 5 developments in the electricity 6 sector, including the desire for a smart grid and incentives for renewable power generation." 9 Do you see that? 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I do. 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I just want to 12 confirm, it's my understanding that it was this 13 component that you were primarily responsible for? MR. JONATHAN ERLING: 14 Yes. 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then the fourth point contemplates that KPMG is going to prepare a PowerPoint style report. And then the final point 17 18 that the KPMG will provide a presentation of that 19 report to relevant stakeholders? MR. JONATHAN ERLING: That's correct. 20 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so is this -- as 22 you understood it in February 2011, the entirety of 23 the scope of work that KPMG had been retained to do? 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. MR. JOHN MATHER: So -- and we can 2.5

- 1 actually scroll up to the -- up in the letter again.
- 2 So I said I would come back to the first bullet point,
- 3 if we can scroll down a bit about meetings with senior
- 4 management at Collus to review the current business
- 5 and financial plans of the Utility.
- 6 Do you recall how many meetings you had
- 7 with senior management at Collus?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: We had one (1)
- 9 actual meeting on site, at least I did, and it was a
- 10 few weeks after the start of the engagement. So we
- 11 started the engagement. Rather than having a meeting,
- 12 we had a phone call and then exchanged some, you know,
- 13 files. They sent us some files, and we started to
- 14 review the files.
- But we did that remotely, and then we
- 16 had one (1) meeting, you know, because of the travel
- 17 distance. I think we tended more to rely on the phone
- 18 calls, rather than in person meetings.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we
- 20 could go to paragraph -- actually, Your Honour, I'm
- 21 noting the time, and I'm about to move to --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 23 we'll -- we'll take the lunch break; 2:15.

24

25 --- Upon recessing at 1:01 p.m.

1 --- Upon resuming at 2:15 p.m.

2

- 3 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can turn up
- 5 paragraph 150 of the Foundation Document.

6

7 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. Erling, if
- 10 you look at paragraphs 150 and 151, we see they
- 11 contemplate a kick-off meeting for March 11th, 2011.
- 12 It appears that Mr. Houghton was contemplating having
- 13 Mr. Muncaster and Mr. Fryer attend the meeting but in
- 14 paragraph 151 it looks like it was just -- it might
- 15 have been just Mr. Houghton and Mr. Fryer on the call.
- To start, do you recall participating
- 17 in a kick-off meeting on March 11, 2011?
- 18 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I certainly
- 19 recall participating in a kick-off call. I don't
- 20 recall whether or not it occurred on March 11th,
- 21 although I could check my time sheet to see.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you participate
- 23 in any other kick-off calls?
- 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't
- 25 remember.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you remember
- 2 who was present for the kick-off call?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't
- 4 remember. Certainly, Ed would have been on the call.
- 5 I don't recall if -- if -- if Tim was on the call but
- 6 it wouldn't surprise me if he was.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if Mr.
- 8 Muncaster was on the call?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't
- 10 remember.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: During the kick-off
- 12 call, do you recall what either Mr. Houghton or Mr.
- 13 Fryer said to you about what Collus was hoping to
- 14 achieve with the valuation and options analysis.
- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't remember
- 16 them specifically talking about what they were trying
- 17 to achieve other than, you know, what I had initially
- 18 indicated earlier today, you know, they felt it was
- 19 time to do a review of the Town's ownership position
- 20 in the Utility and, you know, the valuation was to be
- 21 done as part of that exercise.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What else do you
- 23 recall about the kick-off call?
- 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall
- 25 very much about the kickoff call. I know that I have

- 1 provided some notes that I think were probably from
- 2 the kickoff call, and I transcribed them at the
- 3 request of Council. But I don't really recollect much
- 4 of the conversation, although some of the points in
- 5 it, I do recollect.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And I
- 7 just -- I want to make sure I understand what you're
- 8 talking about. If we could scroll down to
- 9 paragraph 157.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Just wait for it to
- 12 come up on the screen.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Okay.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: It's -- this
- 15 paragraph references some notes that you made, and it
- 16 dates them just generally as the spring of 2011, then
- 17 we see the transcription in the document. Are these
- 18 the notes you were referring to?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So we'll come
- 21 back to those in a moment.
- 22 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And I -- I
- 23 should clarify, I was never quite -- I have the notes.
- 24 I wasn't sure whether they were part of the call, or
- 25 they were the subsequent meeting that we had with

- 1 Dean Muncaster and Ed on May the 12th. The notes
- 2 could have been from the meeting.
- 3 It's certainly the entry that says
- 4 "Tim opposed to being sold" suggest that Tim wasn't
- 5 part of that conversation. So that could be the
- 6 May 12th meeting, or it could be the March phone
- 7 conversation. But that would also then apply that --
- 8 that Tim was not part of the March phone conversation,
- 9 but I don't know.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So fair to say,
- 11 these are notes you took of the conversation you had
- 12 with Mr. Houghton?
- 13 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And they may have
- 15 been from the March 11th kickoff call, or they may
- 16 have been from the May 12th meeting you had in person?
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever speak
- 19 with Mr. Houghton separate and apart from the kickoff
- 20 call in the May 12th meeting?
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It's possible.
- 22 I don't want to say I didn't. I don't recall having
- 23 another call with Ed after that first call and then
- 24 the subsequent meeting, but I don't -- I can't for
- 25 sure say one (1) way or the other.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 2 I wonder if my friend could assist me. I'm wondering
- 3 whether there was other people in the call. It may be
- 4 significant to other people in the call; there's other
- 5 people in the meeting. Mr. Houghton and Mr. Muncaster
- 6 were there.
- 7 It might be useful to learn more about
- 8 whether the notes reflect what Ed said or what others
- 9 said or what the situation is, and this witness could
- 10 tell us.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I'm pretty sure
- 12 it --
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 14 Somebody -- just a minute.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sorry.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You
- 17 can ask those, you know, questions when your turn
- 18 comes.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I could.
- 20 Sure.
- 21
- 22 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Mr. Erling, I take
- 24 it that if -- when you look at these notes, do you
- 25 remember when you took them if it was based on a

- 1 conversation with only Mr. Houghton present or if
- 2 there were others present?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't -- I
- 4 can't -- I can't remember whether it's just a
- 5 conversation with Ed or whether others were present.
- 6 I mean, if it was a phone conversation, I don't
- 7 remember.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So -- sorry,
- 9 I don't mean to cut you off.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No, that's fine.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And we'll come back
- 12 to these in a moment. But for now, if we could go to
- 13 paragraph 152?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Okay.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is
- 19 referencing an email between yourself and Mr. Rockx.
- 20 The date is March 23rd, 2011, so between the kickoff
- 21 call and what I understand to be an in-person meeting
- 22 on May 12th.
- 23 And you write Mr. Rockx -- you forward
- 24 Mr. Rockx some benchmarking reports and -- and then
- 25 you write Collus does -- doesn't rank well.

1 Do you remember sending Mr. Rockx an

- 2 email containing benchmarking reports?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Vaguely.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: What is a
- 5 benchmarking report?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: So at the
- 7 time -- and I think that the -- the Ontario Energy
- 8 Board would do an analysis of all of the utilities in
- 9 Ontario, and it's essentially an analysis of their
- 10 operating costs compared to various predictor
- 11 variables, such as the number of customers they serve,
- 12 the service area, territory size, the number of lined
- 13 kilometres of overhead wire that they have, and maybe
- 14 their energy consumption, and their demand peaks.
- 15 And -- and it would use those predictor
- 16 variables to estimate, you know, expected costs for
- 17 utility, and then it would compare actual costs to the
- 18 expected costs. And it would then, based on this
- 19 analysis, put utilities into a number of categories
- 20 and use those categories to differentiate among
- 21 utilities in the setting of productivity targets going
- 22 forward.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I -- I take it
- 24 then when you say Collus doesn't rank well, what you
- 25 meant is in terms of productivity as compared to other

- 1 LDCs?
- 2 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And Mr. Rockx
- 4 responded, Not surprised. Do you know what he meant
- 5 by that?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I do not.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could turn
- 8 up KPM884.
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Actually, maybe
- 10 just as a supplementary to that single word answer, I
- 11 think it's worth -- worthwhile context noting that,
- 12 you know, there's a fair amount of disagreement about
- 13 the accuracy and value of the benchmarking reports.
- 14 And you know, there's various
- 15 statistical experts get hired and debate models for
- 16 evaluating utility performance. And, you know, for
- 17 any given utility, it's hard to know whether, you
- 18 know, a perceived higher cost or whether higher costs
- 19 are actually the result of, you know, poor performance
- 20 or other factors that haven't been taken into account
- 21 in the modelling work.
- So, you know, I don't know if I regard
- 23 the benchmarking studies as a hundred percent
- 24 reliable.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Understood. Okay.

1 So if we could go to KPM884.

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then if we can
- 6 scroll down to the original email -- sorry -- scroll
- 7 up.
- 8 So the first email in this chain is an
- 9 email from you to Mr. Fryer and Mr. Houghton, dated
- 10 April 25th, 2011. And you're requesting some -- you
- 11 have some questions for them, and you're seeking some
- 12 clarification.
- 13 And then if we scroll up, we see that
- 14 Mr. Fryer provides you with responses on May 9th,
- 15 2011, and -- sorry if we scroll down again -- his
- 16 responses appear to be in red.
- 17 I'm going to ask you about some of the
- 18 questions and responses --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sure.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- in a moment. But
- 21 I want to scroll up in the email chain, and we see
- 22 that you forward Mr. Fryer's responses to Mr. Rockx
- 23 and Mr. Herhalt. And you say:
- 24 "I don't think Tim Fryer is on board
- with the study. Perhaps I'll

- 1 suggest a call with Ed and Tim
- 2 together."
- 3 Do you remember sending this email?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Vaguely, but --
- 5 vaguely. Certainly when I see it, it looks familiar.
- 6 I'll say that.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall what
- 8 you meant when you said, "I don't think Tim fryer is
- 9 on board with the study"?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't remember
- 11 exactly. I know -- I think if you look at the email
- 12 below. I think, you know, there's some evidence that,
- 13 you know, Tim is questioning why are we asking for
- 14 certain things and -- and indicating that he's -- you
- 15 know, it's -- you know, it would take some effort to
- 16 respond some of the questions, and that there are
- 17 other activities that are going on at the Utility that
- 18 are taking his time and that, you know, he was having
- 19 some difficulty juggling the various demands on his
- 20 time.
- 21 That may have fed into an impression
- 22 that, you know, he didn't think this was a -- as
- 23 worthwhile an exercise or as necessary as some of the
- 24 other things that he had on his plate, you know, in
- 25 the way that managers often are faced with multiple

- 1 priorities.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Fair enough. And
- 3 we'll look at some of those answers in a moment, like
- 4 I said.
- 5 Other than the responses you -- the
- 6 answers to the email questions you had below, was
- 7 there anything else that led you to form that
- 8 impression?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Not that I
- 10 remember.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you ever speak
- 12 with Mr. Fryer directly about whether or not he was in
- 13 favour of the valuation on -- on board with completing
- 14 the valuation?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: The email
- 17 contemplates -- you used -- doesn't contemplate. You
- 18 suggest a call with Mr. Houghton and Mr. Fryer.
- 19 Do you know if that call ever happened?
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall
- 21 if a call happened. I think, you know, subsequent to
- 22 this email there -- and it was probably subsequent to
- 23 this email, there was, you know, I think a
- 24 conversation between Ed and John Herhalt directly and,
- 25 you know, Ed I think kind of suggested that it would

- 1 be good to get together in person to discuss the scope
- 2 of our work and the underlying assumptions.
- 3 You know, we had started the process
- 4 with a telephone call and telephone calls are never as
- 5 effective as an in-person meeting, and, you know,
- 6 have -- having spent some time going through materials
- 7 that had been forwarded us -- to us by management, you
- 8 know, it was probably an appropriate time to have a --
- 9 an in-person meeting.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I just want to
- 11 clarify, did you think Ed's suggestion was in part
- 12 motivated by some of the concerns you had seen with
- 13 Mr. Fryer?
- 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I have no idea.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. I just wanted
- 16 to understand why you tied -- I asked you if Ed and --
- 17 if you ever had a call with Ed or Tim and then you
- 18 said you -- I believe you said you weren't sure, but
- 19 you -- you then talked then talked about a meeting,
- 20 that -- that Ed suggested a meeting in person.
- 21 I just want to understand how those two
- 22 (2) things related in your mind.
- 23 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I didn't --
- 24 I don't know that I made an assessment of how they
- 25 tied together. It wouldn't surprise me if, you know,

- 1 Tim -- I mean, it wouldn't surprise me if, you know,
- 2 Tim and I had a discussion about, you know, why are we
- 3 doing this valuation given that it's resulting in a
- 4 lot of, you know, additional work.
- 5 And we were probably asking more
- 6 questions than was necessary, given the context, but,
- 7 you know, the tendency at the beginning of an
- 8 engagement is often to ask a lot of questions because
- 9 you don't know what you don't know and you -- you want
- 10 to find out as much as you can, and then you kind of
- 11 narrow down the scope to focus on what you think is
- 12 important in that circumstance.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go
- 14 back to paragraph 157.

15

16 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: These are the notes
- 19 we were looking at earlier.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I understand
- 22 that you don't recall what time you made these notes
- 23 and who was present, but we do see that you -- we do
- 24 see that Mr. Fryer appears to be discussed in these
- 25 notes.

- 1 And I was wondering, is it possible
- 2 that you did have a conversation with Mr. Houghton
- 3 after you sent that email to Mr. Rockx and Mr. Herhalt
- 4 about Mr. Fryer?
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Is it possible?
- 6 It's unlikely. I mean, I don't think that my response
- 7 -- it's possible, but I don't recall. I mean, I don't
- 8 think my -- my -- the result of, you know, Tim
- 9 indicating that some materials were going to be
- 10 difficulty or time -- time -- timely -- taking time to
- 11 provide was -- induced me to call Ed. I -- I don't
- 12 think -- I don't think there's a relationship.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So you have no
- 14 recollection of that?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So I do -- so
- 17 we can move on from these notes. I want to ask you a
- 18 few questions about some of the things in them.
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the first point
- 21 in your notes is, "Tim opposed to being sold."
- Do you remember what that note was
- 23 about?
- 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I'm pretty sure
- 25 that it would -- Ed had come out and said Tim is

- 1 opposed to being sold. You know, that's why I wrote
- 2 it down. It's not something I would have surmised or
- 3 concluded. These are primarily kind of me
- 4 transcribing what I think I was being told by Ed
- 5 during a conversation.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Right. And --
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And -- and, you
- 8 know, like, a lot of the context at that time was, Ed
- 9 and -- and Tim, as I understood it, were both kind of
- 10 nearing the end of their careers. You know, they were
- 11 going to be going to be eligible for retirement soon.
- 12 That's my recollection.
- 13 And -- and as -- as is the case with
- 14 many utilities, because Tim and Ed were the brains of
- 15 the organization and kind of had -- you know, the
- 16 management decision-makers -- and there's a reference,
- 17 for example, to Darius. I know that he was another
- 18 personnel, you know, of long-standing who was
- 19 effective in the engineering role, and, you know, he
- 20 had retired, and so I -- I -- you know, know the
- 21 concern that Ed had was, you know, the Utility is
- 22 facing a succession issue and, therefore, it's
- 23 probably an appropriate time to think about a new
- 24 direction.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And as you're

- 1 reading your notes and -- and -- are you recalling Ed
- 2 telling you this or are you surmising based off
- 3 reading the notes?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I kind of can
- 5 remember Ed talking about these points.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And do you
- 7 remember -- I'm going -- I'm just trying to help place
- 8 the origin of the notes, whether this was on a phone
- 9 call or a meeting in person.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I'm not
- 11 sure. My -- my suspicion is that they are a telephone
- 12 call, partly because they're fairly detailed notes and
- 13 I tend to take more detailed notes on telephone calls
- 14 than in-person meetings because you don't have to look
- 15 somebody in the eye every three (3) minutes to kind of
- 16 maintain rapport; you can just kind of beaver away.
- 17 So usually I take -- make more detailed
- 18 notes on telephone conversations. That leads me to
- 19 conclude this is probably from a telephone
- 20 conversation, but I'm not 100 percent sure.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall what
- 22 led to the note, "We are painted into a corner"?
- 23 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think it -- I
- 24 think it was a combination of the succession issues
- 25 that I referred to and -- and maybe, you know, there

- 1 is also an element of the industry is getting more
- 2 difficult, you know, that the Ontario Energy Board is
- 3 being more demanding in terms of information that it
- 4 requires, and this is putting a greater workload on
- 5 management. You know, you have new billing rules, so
- 6 you have to adjust your billing and collection system.
- 7 And I think is the sort of evolution of demands from
- 8 the regulators, is kind of causing management at the
- 9 time to say, you know, what was sustainable in the
- 10 post-2000 period may no longer be sustainable in the
- 11 future as, you know, the demands within the industry
- 12 ratchet up.
- And, you know, it's sometimes easier
- 14 for large utilities to address these types of demands
- 15 because it -- you know, they have more personnel. You
- 16 know, they have greater depth in their management team
- 17 and -- and, you know, a billing change probably takes
- 18 the same amount of effort for Collingwood as it does
- 19 for a much larger utility, but they have a much
- 20 smaller pool of people to -- to draw from in -- in
- 21 dedicating resources to make that change.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And then one (1)
- 23 more question about these notes.
- 24 "Tim Fryer he is scrambling."
- Do you remember what that was about?

1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think that was

- 2 to do with -- there are demands on Tim as the CFO. You
- 3 know, Tim was the detail guy in the organization and,
- 4 you know, probably did a lot of the work in terms of
- 5 putting together rate applications and worrying about
- 6 the financial statements, and I think that, you know,
- 7 in particular -- you know, it would be Tim's area
- 8 where there would be many new requirements coming down
- 9 the road from the Ontario Energy Board and that would
- 10 put extra effort or work burden on -- on Tim.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: It sounds to me from
- 12 your answer that you're perhaps surmising about what
- 13 this is about.
- 14 Do you remember Ed saying those things
- 15 specifically to you?
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: In terms of the
- 17 interpretation of Tim, He is scrambling?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah. Yeah.
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I'm pretty sure
- 20 that Ed kind of talked about those types of things
- 21 when talking about the workload on Tim.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we
- 23 could go back to KPM884.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then if we could

- 2 scroll down to the -- the main email. Yes, that one
- 3 (1). So this was Mr. Erling's email we were looking
- 4 at earlier that -- where you sent Mr. Houghton and Mr.
- 5 Fryer some questions and sought some clarification,
- 6 and the responses from Mr. Fryer are in red.
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: And just --
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Okay.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- before I forget,
- 11 if we could mark this document as an exhibit?
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes.

- 14 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if you look sort
- 16 of mid -- near the bottom of the -- the first page
- 17 there's a sentence that starts, "Overall, we still."
- 18 Do you see that? It's right down --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Oh, yes. Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, I do see
- 22 that, yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: All right. So you
- 24 had written:
- 25 "Overall, we still don't have a

_	
	167
1	clear picture of the financial
2	tracks transactions that occur
3	among Collus, the Collingwood Public
4	Utility Board, and the Town and any
5	of the operating profits that are
6	associated with these transactions."
7	And then you say:
8	"For example, the summary sheet
9	outlining cash and in kind services
10	to the Town does doesn't tie with
11	the numbers shown in the financial
12	statements. How do we reconcile?"
13	What are you talking about in this part
14	of the email?
15	MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, we just
16	we we didn't see a link between numbers in the
17	financial statement and some other numbers that we got
18	in the summary sheet outlining cash and in kind
19	reserves.
20	I don't recall this the what the
21	specific issue was. But, you know, often times, when
22	you look into the financial position of a utility, you
23	you know, you look at a number on a financial
24	statement and you say, okay, how does that compare or
25	tie to other evidence that we have and that it you

1 know, we couldn't see the link. That -- that was all

- 2 that was indicating.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, in this case,
- 4 what are you trying to find the link of? Like, what -
- 5 what's the subject matter that you're -- you're
- 6 dealing with?
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Transactions
- 8 between the utility and -- and the Town and how that
- 9 would impact the -- the utility's financial position.
- 10 So, you know, our -- our understanding was that the
- 11 utility provided some services to the Town and,
- 12 therefore, you know, it's incurring costs, you know,
- 13 on behalf of the Town.
- 14 You know, we would expect there might
- 15 be some revenue shown coming back or, any way, maybe
- 16 an offset to their expenses to reflect the fact that -
- 17 that some expenses are being picked up by the Town
- 18 rather than by the utility's ratepayer.
- 19 So it really just went to the question,
- 20 if you took the utility outside of the Town through a
- 21 transaction, what impact would it have on the cost
- 22 profile of the utility and, therefore, potentially,
- 23 its market value.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: I just want to make
- 25 sure I understand this. I think what you're referring

- 1 to is something that is -- is -- has been called the
- 2 shared services. Is that -- does that ring a bell to
- 3 you?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Ye -- yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so the notion
- 6 is, is that the utility was providing certain services
- 7 to the Town and you were trying to determine whether
- 8 the Town provided either payment for those service --
- 9 services or something else in kind?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. So I think
- 11 maybe an important distinction though is that when we
- 12 talk about the utility, there was, of course, the LDC,
- 13 the local distribution company, that was the wires
- 14 company. And then there was the separate shared
- 15 services entity. Now, I don't recall what the
- 16  $\,$  specific -- exact names of the two (2) entities are,
- 17 but there were a number of corporations, of course.
- 18 So there was the LDC, or the wires
- 19 company. And then there was the shared services
- 20 entity. And there may have been a holding company, I
- 21 think, on top of both of them, or maybe the shared
- 22 services entity was the holding company. I -- I don't
- 23 remember.
- So, in part, it was transactions
- 25 between whatever entity was providing services to the

- 1 -- and -- and which may have been Collus, the shared
- 2 services entity, and transactions between the shared
- 3 services entity and Collus, the LDC.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I'm -- I'm just
- 5 trying to boil -- boil this down the best -- as best I
- 6 can.
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: The Collus entities
- 9 are sharing -- you know, are -- are sharing services
- 10 amongst themselves?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: They are also
- 13 sharing services with the Town?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: The effect of those
- 16 shared services will -- or the quantum will affect the
- 17 value of Collus Power. Is that fair?
- 18 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Potentially,
- 19 yes. I mean, and, of course, the -- the impact on the
- 20 value depends on who's purchasing it and what changes
- 21 res -- result to that -- those relationships.
- 22 And I think, ultimately, we concluded
- 23 that it was -- it was hard to -- I think we concluded
- 24 as a result of some of these back and forth questions
- 25 and the subsequent meeting with Ed, that maybe it was

- 1 simpler to just assume that things -- that there would
- 2 be no net impact on the financial position of the
- 3 Corporation of -- of a change or that we wouldn't take
- 4 that impact into account because it was hard, at that
- 5 point in time, to go through the exercise of
- 6 calculating an impact without knowing the structure of
- 7 an ultimate transaction and who the buyer was and what
- 8 they were interested in, you know, which arrangements
- 9 they were interested in -- in continuing.
- I mean, it's certainly not out of the
- 11 question that somebody could purchase the utility and
- 12 still provide services to the Town. It -- it might be
- 13 unusual for that to happen, but it's not out of the
- 14 question.
- 15 So I think we concluded after some back
- 16 and forth discussion that we wouldn't try to
- 17 disentangle that and we would make the valuation
- 18 contingent upon, you know, no impact and that each
- 19 buyer would have to -- you know, each potential buyer
- 20 would have to make their own assessment of that issue.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Again, so I just
- 22 want to make sure I understand here. So it was
- 23 identified that the nature of the shared services
- 24 would have an impact on a potential future transaction
- 25 but, at this point in time, that wasn't analyzed

- 1 because there wasn't enough known about what that
- 2 transaction would be in order to determine the impact?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that... So we
- 5 see that Tim's response to your request about -- or
- 6 inquiry about how you re -- reconcile these shared
- 7 services is:
- 8 "There are only a couple of the
- 9 amounts on the summary sheet that
- 10 tie directly to the FS.
- I didn't think this was going to be
- such an in-depth analysis. My
- 13 understanding from Ed was this was
- 14 just going to be a general overview.
- 15 It would take a great deal of my
- time to go through this in detail."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah, I do.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I take it from
- 20 Tim's answer here and your answer to my earlier
- 21 question, the information you sought here you never
- 22 received?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can scroll
- 25 down some further -- a bit further, sorry. So if we

- 1 look -- and I appreciate it's maybe difficult to find
- 2 yourself in this email, but there's a sentence that
- 3 starts, "By implication, I think we need to get an
- 4 assessment."
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Where is that?
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it's be -- if you
- 7 see there's an answer in red that says, "Two hundred
- 8 thousand (200,000) for" --
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Okay. Yeah, I
- 10 got it.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah. And then
- 12 beneath that. Okay. So it says:
- "By implication, I think we need to
- 14 get an assessment of the additional
- 15 costs in water and/or at the Town if
- the LDC was sold separately -- sorry
- 17 -- sold and operated independently.
- 18 However, we will have to rely on you
- 19 for making this assessment given our
- lack of direct knowledge or your
- 21 operations. Can you put some
- thought into this?"
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why did you want to
- 25 know more? Why did you want this information?

```
1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I -- it
```

- 2 goes to the -- the point. If -- if the Town of
- 3 Collingwood sold Collus and a new purchaser came in
- 4 and purchased the whole thing, and -- and then we're -
- 5 we're not interested in providing services to the
- 6 water utility, and that potential would have cost
- 7 implications for the water utility, and it would cause
- 8 -- could potentially cau -- cause its costs to
- 9 increase. So that would be kind of a diseconomy
- 10 (phonetic) from a transaction.
- 11 So I think my thinking at the time was
- 12 oh, you know, I got concerned about that and I said,
- 13 you know, if we -- if we want to do an analysis of a
- 14 potential transaction, we have to think through all
- 15 these issues and I think I -- you know, I kinda later
- 16 concluded, you know, in conversation with others, that
- 17 it wasn't possible to do that analysis part because we
- 18 didn't know what the new circumstances might be, and
- 19 to my earlier point, we didn't know whether somebody
- 20 might be willing to continue the arrangement in which
- 21 there were shared services.
- 22 And you know, it was hard to get the
- 23 information to figure out how much cost would
- 24 increase.
- You would have to almost go through an

- 1 exercise of -- of getting into the operations of the
- 2 utility and deciding who does what and, you know, how
- 3 much workload do they, you know, how much of their
- 4 time is occupied with this element of their workload
- 5 and, you know, or that task. And that was just more
- 6 of a detailed operational analysis than was really
- 7 envisioned as part of this scope, which is why we
- 8 backed away from trying to do that.
- 9 I mean, we've done analyses of
- 10 potential merger or transactions in other
- 11 circumstances, and you have to go through on a
- 12 department by department basis and have discussions
- 13 with line personnel and -- and you know, go through
- 14 the details of making an assessment of -- of what a
- 15 transaction could potentially mean on the structure of
- 16 the organization and how it operates.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So again, I -- I
- 18 take it this is an instance again where you see
- 19 there's going to be -- there may be a potential impact
- 20 to the water in a transaction where the utility is
- 21 sold, but at this point in time you -- it was
- 22 determined that you cannot do that assessment without
- 23 more information about what the transaction would look
- 24 like?
- 25 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. And -- and

- 1 certainly, you know, I think -- you know, I -- I would
- 2 think that one (1) of the elements that might impact a
- 3 decision-maker in this circumstance would be if you
- 4 continue an ownership share, as the City, you know,
- 5 you continue to own part of the utility, you may be in
- 6 a better position to have these types of shared
- 7 service arrangements continued because you can -- you
- 8 continue to have an equity interest in the
- 9 corporation, and hence, input into its decision-
- 10 making.
- 11 So, I mean, that's my kind of read of
- 12 the situation. I'm not su -- you know, I don't know
- 13 what motivated people's decisions, but it -- it would
- 14 seem logical to me that, you know, this was one (1) of
- 15 the factors that would suggest that -- that a partial
- 16 sale might be one (1) thing you would look at.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. But again,
- 18 you hadn't completed that -- you never completed the
- 19 analysis you were -- you were looking at here.
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No, we -- you
- 21 know, we decided that we'd make an assumption that
- 22 there wasn't going to be a cost impact from a
- 23 transaction on these arrangements.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And so you see after
- 25 you make the -- raise this question, Mr. Fryer

- 1 responds as noted above:
- "I do not have planned any time to
- go over this in depth. We are just
- 4 about to go live on the MDMR
- 5 production system and I will need --
- 6 need to prioritize my time on this."
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So I take it you
- 9 didn't receive the information that you had requested
- 10 here?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't think
- 12 that I did.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So in both instances
- 14 we've looked at where you've identified a potential
- 15 impact on shared services, either with the Town or
- 16 with water or other entities, had you been provided
- 17 the information you requested would you have been
- 18 able, at least, to begin the analysis of the potential
- 19 impact of either a whole or partial sale of -- of the
- 20 utility?
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I think we
- 22 would still have had to do quite a bit of work, I
- 23 think we would've -- you know we might've gotten some
- 24 information, financial information on the deemed value
- 25 of transactions.

- 1 You know, my quess is that we would've
- 2 had to, you know, had interviews with people that, you
- 3 know, this is not a large utility. You know, there's
- 4 probably lots of activities, you know, there's --
- 5 people fulfil many roles, you know, many people -- a
- 6 given person will -- will fulfil many hats or wear
- 7 many hats in the organization.
- 8 That's just the nature of small
- 9 organizations, people do lots of different things
- 10 because there's not many people about and -- and
- 11 disentangling people's workloads probably would've
- 12 taken a bit of time and their workloads probably were
- 13 not that well documented that we could have just
- 14 gotten some information and presto come up with a
- 15 pretty good analysis of the -- the cost impact,
- 16 particularly again given that the cost impact depends
- 17 on what changes you make to the organization in
- 18 consequence, and you know, that's speculative.
- 19 So I don't think -- you know, I think
- 20 probably it was a reasonable decision to kind of
- 21 exclude that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So when you're
- 23 having these conversations about whether or not to
- 24 include this analysis or whether it's possible to get
- 25 enough information to complete the analysis who -- who

- 1 are you having these conversations with?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Myself, perhaps,
- 3 I'm just think -- you know, and to -- you know,
- 4 potentially John Rockx, potentially John Herhalt, I
- 5 don't remember any specific conversations along those
- 6 lines. But kind of my own reflection, internal
- 7 discussions amongst the team.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you share these
- 9 considerations with Mr. Houghton or Mr. Fryer?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 12 shared these considerations with anyone at the Town?
- 13 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I didn't have
- 14 any direct discussions with persons at the Town.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay.
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: We may have had
- 17 these kinds of discussions, for example, at the
- 18 meeting on May 12th, which was when Ed and Dean
- 19 Muncaster were there and I probably opened it by
- 20 saying, you know, we were thinking of doing -- trying
- 21 to do this and do an analysis of -- of, you know, the
- 22 impact of changing the shared service arrangements
- 23 and, you know, the conclusion of the discussion was
- 24 let's just assume that for the purposes of what we
- 25 were trying to do, which was to get an indicative

- 1 value of the utility, these sorts of factors would be
- 2 an adjustment because they would be an adjustment to
- 3 operating costs, but I don't know that they would
- 4 change fundamentally the -- the approximate value of
- 5 the utility.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it sounds like
- 7 you're recalling you had this discussion at a meeting
- 8 with Mr. Muncaster and Mr. Houghton. Is -- do I have
- 9 that correct?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't know
- 11 that I recall that, but I'm -- I -- I can't imagine it
- 12 wasn't part of the discussion, just because of when
- 13 that meeting occurred and it was all -- after all of
- 14 this back and forth on this issue.
- So I'd be surprised if it did not
- 16 happen, we didn't have some discussion of that at the
- 17 meeting.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall at
- 19 that meeting, and again specific recollection, whether
- 20 Mr. Muncaster or Mr. Houghton said anything to you
- 21 about the shared services and what role they should
- 22 play in your work?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't
- 24 remember.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. Do you recall

- 1 if it was you or someone from KPMG who had suggested
- 2 we don't need to or we won't be able to complete this
- 3 analysis at this stage, or if it was someone from
- 4 Collus who said you don't need to worry about this
- 5 analysis at this stage, or something else?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I just -- I
- 7 don't remember. I mean it was a -- I actually do not
- 8 remember the discussion that occurred very much at the
- 9 May 12th meeting.
- 10 It -- it was many years ago. I
- 11 remember being impressed meeting Dean Muncaster and I
- 12 -- I don't recall very much about the meeting.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could
- 14 scroll down this e-mail, I just have -- keep going
- 15 down to the bottom.
- 16 So at the end of this e-mail, and just
- 17 to put us in time, this is before the meeting you were
- 18 discussing in May, you said to Mr. Houghton and Mr.
- 19 Fryer:
- "Perhaps we could schedule a call
- 21 later in the week to touch base on
- these items. Alternatively, would
- it make sense to schedule a meeting
- 24 in person?"
- 25 And you responded, as noted, I expect

- 1 the next -- sorry, you didn't respond. Mr. Fryer
- 2 responded:
- 3 "As noted, I expect the next few
- 4 weeks to be excessively hectic, so
- 5 I'm hoping I have provided some
- 6 information that you can apply."
- 7 Do you remember reading that response
- 8 from Mr. Fryer?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Vaguely.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And --
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think -- I
- 12 think that -- probably that response was to say -- I
- 13 think I interpreted that response to mean that there
- 14 wasn't going to be a lot more information available in
- 15 the -- you know, in the way that, you know, maybe
- 16 there wasn't the documentation of workloads or
- 17 transfer prices that you -- you might hope for or, you
- 18 know, in an ideal world have.
- 19 And you know, it -- it -- you know, I
- 20 think his response here suggests that he wasn't eager
- 21 to have either a meeting or a call.
- 22 And so that's what I would have
- 23 interpreted his response to mean. That -- that kind
- 24 of we had the information that we were going to get
- 25 easily, that's how I -- I interpreted that response.

1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did that response

- 2 prompt in any way the comment you make when you
- 3 forward this email chain to Mr. Herhalt and Mr. Rockx,
- 4 and say you're not sure if Mr. Fryer's onboard?
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I'm sure it did.
- 6 I'm sure it was a factor in that characterization.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Have you -- at this
- 8 point in time, had you undertaken similar valuations
- 9 and options analysis for other LDCs?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I don't
- 11 typically do the valuations. John Herhalt -- sorry,
- 12 John Rockx does the valuations. We certainly had done
- 13 options analysis for utilities. I think the
- 14 distinguishing feature of Collus is that it included a
- 15 water utility.
- 16 At the time of the restructuring of the
- 17 interior electricity sector in 2000, I think there
- 18 were only three (3) or four (4) utilities out of three
- 19 hundred (300) in Ontario that also included a water
- 20 utility, Sault Ste. Marie, Peterborough, Windsor
- 21 Utilities, and Collingwood. So it was a unique
- 22 structure. It was one (1) of the few remaining joint
- 23 water and electricity utilities, and so there weren't
- 24 many instances of this -- this type of shared service
- 25 arrangement.

- 2 familiar in depth with Peterborough. I know that
- 3 EnWin had also set up a -- a similar -- EnWin, which
- 4 is Windsor Utilities Commission -- had set up a
- 5 similar structure in the sense that they had a -- set
- 6 up an entity that would provide services -- management
- 7 type services to both the water and, LDC and that
- 8 required them to have more companies in their
- 9 corporate structure to facilitate that type of shared
- 10 service arrangement.
- 11 So your -- to your question is, had we
- 12 kind of considered this issue of joint services -- I
- 13 think that was your question -- no, I hadn't really
- 14 thought about that in terms of an options analysis.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And actually, that -
- 16 that wasn't my question, although that information
- 17 was -- was helpful. My question was: had you
- 18 undertake -- had you been involved with similar
- 19 options analysis and valuations for other LDCs?
- I understand from your answer something
- 21 that made Collus unique was that water was still
- 22 within the -- within the corporate structure. Is that
- 23 right?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than that, had

- 1 you con -- other than that unique element, had you
- 2 undertaken similar options analysis evaluations for
- 3 other LDCs?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And had you been
- 6 involved in other valuations of other LDCs?
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And was the
- 9 information that you were seeking in this email the
- 10 sort of information you would expect to receive based
- 11 on your prior experience?

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't know
- 16 that I'm close enough to the valuations work to have
- 17 an opinion on that. I would refer that question to
- 18 John Rockx.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay.
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I mean, I -- I
- 21 think the other thing you have to take into account
- 22 is, you know, the scale of we -- we -- we're -- we
- 23 work with diff -- utilities of very different scales,
- 24 you -- you know, that some utilities are much bigger.
- 25 They tend to have more formalized arrangements. Some

- 1 utilities are smaller.
- 2 And -- and Collus would be, you know,
- 3 not the smallest, but it's -- it's not one (1) of the
- 4 bigger utilities in -- in the Province, you know,
- 5 versus EnWin Utilities, which is a much larger
- 6 utility, or Windsor -- Windsor Utilities Commission.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Now if we could go
- 8 to KPM --
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just -
- 10 just before you do that, I -- I'm just trying to
- 11 understand shared services shared amongst what
- 12 entities?
- 13 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Typically, a
- 14 water utility and an electric utility. That would --
- 15 so, for example, Windsor Utilities Commission set up a
- 16 shared services entity. I think it's called EnWin.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I
- 18 --
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And --
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- I'm
- 21 not interested in --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: -- okay.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 24 what it's called. I -- I was just trying to -- these
- 25 -- these are services then shared between the water

- 1 utility and the electrical --
- 2 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- the
- 4 -- the water and the electrical utility?
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. Sir, you
- 6 might envision, like --
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So
- 8 would these services be provided by people?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So
- 11 would you ask the people what percentage of the time
- 12 do you spend on water, and what percentage of the time
- 13 do you spend on electrical?
- 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: That would be
- 15 certainly one (1) thing you would do. Now there's
- 16 maybe certain things that they do that would be kind
- 17 of common activities. You know, if you think about
- 18 you may have a shared services entity provides billing
- 19 services for both the water utility and the LDC. And
- 20 at some point in time that shared services entity may
- 21 need to upgrade its billing system, and that upgraded
- 22 billing system will serve both utilities.
- 23 So when they're working on upgrading
- 24 the billing system, that's really a common cost. It's
- 25 -- it's not specifically for the water utility, it's

- 1 not specifically for electric utility, it's to upgrade
- 2 a service that will then be used to support both.
- 3 So in -- in that case the allocation of
- 4 costs between the two (2) lines of business may involve
- 5 some judgement, and it may be, in some respects,
- 6 arbitrary.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So you
- 8 portion those costs between the two?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: You would have
- 10 to go through an apportionment process, correct.
- 11 But --
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But is
- 13 that really much of a process or do -- or do you just
- 14 make a decision about how you're going to apportion
- 15 them? How much of a process would it really be?
- 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I understand. I
- 17 -- I don't think -- I don't think it's a conceptually
- 18 different -- difficult thing to do. And -- and
- 19 certainly at the granular level, when you go through
- 20 and analyze activity by -- activity or a person by
- 21 person, it's not difficult. It just, you know, it
- 22 takes a bit of effort.
- Now, the other thing I would point out,
- 24 I just want to make clear here, is that when you have
- 25 a structure that's providing shared services and

- 1 you're, you know, you have to allocate costs, that's
- 2 just a cost allocation exercise, you have a certain
- 3 pool of costs, who do you apportion them to, who do
- 4 you charge them to?
- I mean, what we're talking about here
- 6 is slightly different, it's saying, okay, if you no
- 7 longer had a shared services entity and you had to
- 8 create separate stand-alone entities, how would you go
- 9 about it and what would you do, and would they each
- 10 buy, you know, you would give the old one (1) billing
- 11 system to one (1) entity and you knew -- you know, the
- 12 other entity would go out and buy a new one.
- If it -- if -- if one (1) of the
- 14 entities gets absorbed by a large utility as part of a
- 15 purchase and sale transaction, the buyer may bring
- 16 capabilities and just extend the scope of the
- 17 activities already be -- being provided at its own
- 18 utility.
- 19 And the sense that if you are purchased
- 20 by another utility and they have a billing system,
- 21 well they can just add additional customers. Well,
- 22 that's oversimplifying, but they can migrate the
- 23 customer base of the purchased utility into their
- 24 system and maybe there's not a lot of incremental
- 25 costs.

1 So it's -- partly it's an exercise and

- 2 how do you fairly apportion costs, but it's also an
- 3 exercise of how would you restructure the operations
- 4 and -- if you were going to change the structure and
- 5 maybe what would happen -- I think one (1) of the
- 6 things that we started to think about in terms of the
- 7 water utility was if you no longer could have Collus
- 8 as -- as shared service entity, maybe the logical
- 9 thing to do would be therefore to, you know,
- 10 consolidate some services between the Town and the
- 11 water utility.
- 12 You know, the Town would provide some
- 13 services, the water utility, rather than Collus
- 14 providing services to the water utility.
- So as you -- we started to get into it
- 16 and think about the -- there are a lot of different
- 17 scenarios we could look at and it might depend on the
- 18 nature of the transaction and the nature of the
- 19 purchaser.
- 20 That -- that's all I was trying to --
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 22 you.
- 23
- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could go to

- 1 KMP917. And if we could scroll down. And scroll
- 2 down. Okay, that's what I want.
- 3 So we see this is a -- starts as an e-
- 4 mail chain between Mr. Herhalt and Mr. Erling. Sorry,
- 5 Mr. Houghton. Thank you very much. And if we could
- 6 scroll up.
- 7 And so we see that they are discussing
- 8 the ongoing work that is -- that KPMG is doing for the
- 9 valuation, the options analysis, and Mr. Herhalt,
- 10 scroll up, says, you know:
- "I will give you a call tomorrow
- 12 after your time. I don't think
- things have gone off the rails.
- 14 Some of Jonathan's queries are
- 15 related to the part of the
- 16 assignment that was to explore other
- 17 potential options and the
- 18 quantitative and qualitative pros
- 19 and cons."
- 20 So it looks like they're discussing
- 21 some of the questions you may have had.
- 22 And then we'll come back to that, but
- 23 if we scroll up further, we see that you then email
- 24 Mr. Rockx about the email chain between Mr. Herhalt
- 25 and Mr. Houghton.

- 1 So I take it that -- did Mr. Herhalt
- 2 blind copy you on the email?
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sorry. When you
- 4 say "blind copy" -- well, I guess he forwarded -- it
- 5 looks like he forwarded his response to Ed to me.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Right. So -- so in
- 7 any event, Mr. Herhalt had sent you the email chain
- 8 between him and Mr. Houghton.
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. So I
- 10 probably became aware that Ed had had a conversation
- 11 with John as a result of seeing that email. So Ed had
- 12 probably gotten a little impatient 'cause, you know,
- 13 sometimes when I get into an engagement, I maybe try
- 14 to get into too much detail, and, you know, it's the
- 15 nature of engagements. You ask more questions at the
- 16 beginning than less because you don't know what you
- 17 don't know. And so you try to find out as much as you
- 18 can, and then think about what the appropriate scope
- 19 should be in the circumstances. I --
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And -- sorry. I
- 21 didn't mean to cut you off.
- 22 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No. I -- so Ed
- 23 probably got a little frustrated and maybe Tim did,
- 24 too, because we were asking a lot of questions, and,
- 25 you know, that's -- they have other things to worry

- 1 about than satisfying us in terms of answering our
- 2 questions, and I understand that.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Fair enough. And my
- 4 question to start was -- I just wanted understand --
- 5 it looks like you received a copy of Mr. Herhalt and
- 6 Mr. Houghton's email chain. Is that --
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we
- 9 scroll down, we see that Mr. Herhalt writes to
- 10 Mr. Houghton:
- "My suggestion is that we first
- 12 focus on getting the valuation done
- and clear up any information and
- 14 questions on that. For the other
- options and pros and cons piece,
- 16 let's talk about the high-level
- approach to that and some of the
- parameters so we don't go into too
- 19 much detail."
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah. And I
- 21 think I would characterize the "high-level" is we
- 22 weren't going to try to quantify the potential value
- 23 of -- or the potential costs of losing the joint
- 24 provision of services on a shared service basis,
- 25 partly to reflect the fact, as I noted earlier, when

- 1 you started to think about it, it was hard to really
- 2 do an analysis without knowing the nature of the
- 3 buyer; what decisions were going to be made on how you
- 4 might restructure things; and doing a much more
- 5 detailed operational analysis.
- 6 So I think in the context, it was
- 7 probably reasonable to just say we're not going to
- 8 consider it as part of this initial e valuation if
- 9 you -- you know, and if you want to go further, you
- 10 can make your own assessment and the buyer can make
- 11 their assessment of the potential implications of the
- 12 shared service arrangements, depending on what they
- 13 were thinking about in terms of structuring a
- 14 transaction.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: Right. And you --
- 16 you walked us through that.
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than that
- 19 piece of analysis that it -- it was determined would
- 20 not be complete, is there anything else that was not
- 21 done in order to make the options analysis a
- 22 high-level analysis, as opposed to what you might've
- 23 been contemplating?
- 24 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I don't
- 25 remember any other specific inclusion -- exclusions,

- 1 but that doesn't -- I -- I can't say for sure there
- 2 weren't other things that we didn't do. I just don't
- 3 remember any others things that we didn't do.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And similarly,
- 5 Mr. Herhalt says that, let's talk about the high-level
- 6 approach to that and some of the parameters so we
- 7 don't go into too much detail. I take it from your
- 8 answer, some of the detail might relate to the shared
- 9 services analysis which we spoke about.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, absolutely.
- 11 That was probably the key issue.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Was there anything
- 13 else that would fall under the "too much detail"
- 14 bucket?
- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Not that I
- 16 remember. I mean, I -- I remember that the -- the
- 17 shared service arrangements and the -- you know, the
- 18 inter-company transactions were my major question at
- 19 the time.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Right. So if we
- 21 could scroll up. So we see you emailing Mr. Rockx
- 22 about the email chain below, and you say to Mr. Rockx:
- "Can't we just give them 1.3 times
- 24 book value multiple and be done with
- 25 it?"

- 1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: What did you mean by
- 3 that?
- 4 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, in
- 5 doing -- so what I meant by that was that -- so what
- 6 John Rockx typically does when he does an evaluation
- 7 is he will do a -- he'll do a -- a number of different
- 8 methodologies. I think he's laid them out in his
- 9 report.
- 10 But typically he'll do what's called a
- 11 discounted cash flow analysis, and so he'll -- he'll,
- 12 you know, do five (5) or ten (10) years projection of
- 13 dividends and earnings of the utility. And at the end
- 14 of the period -- and then -- so you have a forecast of
- 15 cash flows for a period of time, and you can discount
- 16 those cash flows at a discount rate. And it will come
- 17 up with a present value, and that will tell you the
- 18 value of those cash flows, and it's an -- you know, a
- 19 major contributor to the value of the enterprise.
- 20 At the end of the period of the --
- 21 projection period of ten (10) years, you know, you
- 22 have a -- what -- what I called earlier a terminal
- 23 value which is the ending value of the utility. And
- 24 you're not going to continue your projections of cash
- 25 flows into the future, you know, in perpetuity because

- 1 you don't have the basis of making very long-term
- 2 projections.
- 3 So you have to, at the end of the
- 4 ten (10) year period, come up with an idea of what is
- 5 the utility worth then? And you'll do, typically,
- 6 some kind of estimate based on a -- a multiple
- 7 approach. You'll look at, you know, a multiple of
- 8 value compared to rate base, which is the invested
- 9 capital in the utility, or you'll look at a multiple
- 10 to value of the cash flows in that terminal year. And
- 11 so you use a multiple approach to come up with the
- 12 terminal value, and then -- then you can complete your
- 13 DCF.
- 14 You've got the cash flows over the
- 15 first ten (10) years, and then you have the terminal
- 16 value at the end, which is kind of what -- you know,
- 17 you could think about the terminal value as if, okay,
- 18 you kept the utility for ten (10) years, and then you
- 19 sold it. Well, the terminal value represents what you
- 20 would sell it for.
- 21 So, you know, both of those will
- 22 contribute to today's value in -- you know, in finance
- 23 terms from the utility. Sometimes when you want to do
- 24 something more streamlined or more quickly, you
- 25 would -- rather than do the projection of cash flows

- 1 into the future, you would just say, what's the value
- 2 of the utility today as -- as a ratio of the invested
- 3 capital today?
- 4 So you don't bother doing the cash flow
- 5 analysis over the next ten (10) years and poking and
- 6 prodding at the utility management's cash projections.
- 7 You just look at the invested capital today and then
- 8 apply a multiple, which I am suggesting here at 1.3.
- 9 And it's kind of a -- you know, when
- 10 you're looking at options and you don't have a lot of
- 11 time, it's a -- a rule of thumb to come up with a --
- 12 I'll use the words quick and dirty, but that's not the
- 13 right connotations. It's a -- you know, a rough
- 14 initial estimate of -- of value.
- 15 And you can do that in the utility --
- 16 and -- and people often look at utility transactions
- 17 to say what's the ratio of book value -- sorry -- of
- 18 ratio of enterprise value on the sale transaction to
- 19 the -- to the book value of assets? And, you know,
- 20 it's -- you know, people look at that multiple all the
- 21 time because it's an easy and quick metric.
- 22 And the reason that it's useful in the
- 23 utility's sphere is that the rates that you earn based
- 24 on the utility regulation process are based on your
- 25 invested capital. You know, rates are set to allow

- 1 you the opportunity to earn a certain percentage
- 2 return on -- on your invested capital. So the value
- 3 of a utility is traditionally very proportional to,
- 4 you know, the starting invested -- the starting
- 5 quantum of invested capital.
- The reason that you would want to maybe
- 7 look at a cash flow projection and make it a lot more
- 8 complicated to do the evaluation is that, you know,
- 9 there are other things than just starting invested
- 10 capital that influenced the -- the value to a buyer.
- 11 It's how quickly the utility is growing, and therefore
- 12 how quickly profits are growing.
- 13 You know, you might do an assessment
- 14 of, can you take operating costs out of the utility as
- 15 a buyer, and that will also increase your profits
- 16 because there is some lag in the rate-setting process.
- 17 And, in general, operating costs are passed through,
- 18 but in the short term, they are not. And, therefore,
- 19 in the short-term, as a buyer, if you can make
- 20 significant cost reductions in the operations of the
- 21 utility, that can increase the value of that utility
- 22 to you.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: It sounds from all
- 24 of that that you -- that your suggestion to Mr. Rockx
- 25 -- I think you said a quick and easy -- you know,

- 1 here's a quick -- is this a quick and easy way we
- 2 could determine the value --
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, exactly.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: -- as a --
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I mean, if -- if
- 6 you want to know -- you know, if you're making a
- 7 decision as to sell or -- or retain and you want to
- 8 have a number in mind as to what can you think you can
- 9 sell it for, to do a multiple of rate bases is not a
- 10 bad way of doing it, and it's sometimes the approach
- 11 we've used in -- in very high level analyses where
- 12 somebody just wants to know, you know, am I going to
- 13 get \$10 million of the utility or am I going to get 15
- 14 million, you know.
- 15 I don't need to know whether it's 11.35
- 16 versus 11.89. I just want to know is it 10 million or
- 17 is it 15 million, an order of magnitude type estimate
- 18 as to how much cash would potentially be achieved by
- 19 selling the utility.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And do you know if
- 21 Mr. Rockx took that approach or took a different
- 22 approach?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, he
- 24 certainly didn't do anything as simplistic as just
- 25 take a one point three (1.3) book value multiple. You

- 1 know, I'm not the valuations guy, so I always, you
- 2 know, kid John Rockx about, you know, the nature of
- 3 valuations and say, you know, you can just take a
- 4 multiple of ra -- rate base, and you've got your
- 5 value, you don't need to do all this fancy analysis
- 6 about discount rates. But John Rockx properly ignores
- 7 me and does a proper valuation.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we
- 9 could turn up paragraph 159 of the Foundation
- 10 Document.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, we'll just
- 13 wait for it to come up on the screen, as well. So
- 14 this paragraph contemplates a meeting with Mr.
- 15 Houghton on May 12th, 2011, which I think you've
- 16 already spoken to us about.
- I believe you said you recalled Mr.
- 18 Muncaster was there. Do you remember if anyone else
- 19 was in attendance at the meeting?
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I am pretty sure
- 21 it was only Ed and -- and Dean Muncaster. I thought
- 22 Tim might have been there. But I looked back at some
- 23 emails, and, you know, there was an email from Tim
- 24 saying, I'm going to be out of town, I can't be there.
- I think I suggested, well, should we

- 1 postpone the meeting. I suggested that to somebody.
- 2 And then, no, the thought was we would proceed just in
- 3 terms of -- because timing was an issue.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And now, you've
- 5 already said that you don't have a strong recollection
- 6 of that meeting, but what do you recall about it?
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I don't
- 8 really recall very much. I just recall seeing --
- 9 meeting Dean Muncaster in the flesh. I mean, he was a
- 10 famous business personality.
- 11 I recall kind of -- what I do recall is
- 12 that, you know, Ed was mollied, I think, that we were
- 13 going to proceed and not get caught up into some
- 14 unnecessary detail. I think we kind of went away with
- 15 the agreement that, you know, we would take a certain
- 16 approach to the valuation, one (1) of which was to not
- 17 look at the specific dollar impact of a potential loss
- 18 of synergy.
- 19 And then -- and then I wouldn't try to
- 20 quantify a dollar value of that for the Town in the
- 21 options analysis.
- 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: You said that you --
- 23 you had the impression that Ed was mollified by the
- 24 decision not to do the shared services analysis.
- 25 Do you remember what for -- what led

203 you to form that impression? 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I just --I remember the tone of the meeting was positive at the end, so that's -- that's kind of what I remember. I don't know what adduced me to -- to conclude that. 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Was it anything that 10 Ed said at the meeting? 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Oh, I -- I don't 12 remember. 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: Moving now to 14 paragraph 1 -- sorry, pull up KPM926. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is an email 18 19 that was sent. It appears to be in advance of the meeting because you're reporting on, it looks like, a conversation you had with Mr. Houghton about what the 21 22 focus of the discussion of the meeting would be. 23 And you say, once --24 "The focus of our discussion to --2.5 today on what need -- on what we

204 needed to complete the val -- what 1 2 we needed to complete the valuation, 3 first priority. And then, once we have what we need 5 for that, we'll explore with them 6 the depth he is looking for in the alternative options and other analysis of both qual --9 quantitative and qualitative. My 10 sense is he wants that piece at a 11 pretty high level." Looking at this email, do you recall 12 13 what Mr. Houghton said about the depth he was looking for in the alternative options and other analysis at 14 15 the meeting? 16 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No. 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can go to 18 paragraph 159 of the Foundation Document. So this is 19 the paragraph we were previously looking at. And if you look midway through the paragraph, it says: 20 21 "The following day, Mr. Erling 22 advised Mr. Herhalt that he thought 23 the meeting went okay." 24 Do you remember what you meant by that? 2.5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, okay. I

- 1 usually tend to be a little understated. I don't say
- 2 the meeting went great. I'm not that sort of person.
- 3 I think it -- I think that probably meant I thought it
- 4 went well, the -- when I said it went okay. I didn't
- 5 want to kind of over commit to how well the meeting
- 6 went, so I probably just said, oh, it went okay.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And then you say:
- 8 "We agreed to stay away from the
- 9 detailed operational impacts of
- 10 losing synergies between the water
- and electricity operations (and with
- the Town), so our job has actually
- 13 become easier."
- I take it that is what we've been
- 15 talking about in terms of --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- not doing the
- 18 shared services --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- analysis?
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Not getting into
- 22 this very detailed nitty-gritty analysis of what the
- 23 cost impacts might be and specifically who was shared
- 24 and what their workloads were relative between the two
- 25 (2), water and LDC lines of business.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So we then
- 2 see at the end of this email -- it says:
- "In a separate email conversation,
- John Rockx indicated that KPMG was
- 5 required to complete a draft
- 6 valuation by the following week."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall
- 10 receiving a direction along those lines?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No. Direction
- 12 from whom?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: From anyone at
- 14 Collus.
- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember what
- 17 the time line for the project was?
- 18 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: At that time or
- 19 --
- MR. JOHN MATHER: At that --
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: -- in the
- 22 original scope?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We'll start with at
- 24 that time.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall.

- 1 I mean, I think -- I certainly got the impression they
- 2 wanted things reasonably quickly. I don't recall what
- 3 the scope was, what scope we committed to in the
- 4 letter, although I -- there is a copy of the letter at
- 5 hand, I could look at it, but I don't know what we
- 6 said.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Understood. So I'm
- 8 now going to ask you some questions about the options
- 9 analysis you prepared. Your Honour, I'm happy to go
- 10 into it and keep going or -- or would you like to take
- 11 a break?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Why
- 13 don't we just keep going? We'll stop about a quarter
- 14 to 4:00 instead.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sounds good. Okay,
- 16 sounds good.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Is
- 18 that...?
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 22 paragraph 165.
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 2.5

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph
- 2 just references that on May 24th, 2011, KPMG delivered
- 3 a slide presentation to Collus entitled,
- 4 "Collingwood utility services review of options." You
- 5 can see the email reference there shows that you sent
- 6 the email and the attachment to Mr. Houghton, Mr.
- 7 Fryer, Mr. Rockx, and Mr. Herhalt. Do you see that?
- 8 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And so if we
- 10 could pull up the attachment KPM1032.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is the
- 15 attachment to that email. Is this the presentation
- 16 that you prepared for Collus? We can --
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I -- I
- 18 assume.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. We can scroll
- 20 through it if you need to see it.
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I will
- 22 trust you.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: I -- I would just
- 24 like to confirm that I'm showing you the presentation
- 25 you prepared. So if you could just take a quick look

1 at the screen and -- and confirm it, that would be

- 2 great.
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes, I think it
- 4 is.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And is this
- 6 presentation the summary of advantages and
- 7 disadvantages of various ownership options that was
- 8 contemplated by the retainer?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And then
- 11 other than speaking with Mr. Houghton, Mr. Fryer, and
- 12 Mr. Muncaster at Collus, did you speak with anyone
- 13 else at Collus or the Town before delivering this
- 14 presentation?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can go
- 17 through the slides, you see there's a background
- 18 slide. Go to the next slide; a report structure
- 19 slide; an industry environment slide. And then if we
- 20 could stop here on the transfer tax slide.
- 21 So I understand that this slide is
- 22 talking about the potential for certain tra --
- 23 transfer taxes that may or may not be payable in the
- 24 event of a transaction. Is that fair?
- 25 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.

```
210
                                     And if we look in
 1
                   MR. JOHN MATHER:
   the second paragraph, note -- you say:
 3
                      "From time to time, the provincial
                      government has introduced time
 5
                      limited exemptions or 'holidays'
                      from a certain transfer tax for
 6
                      sales of municipally owned utilities
                      to -- to entities owned by
 9
                      municipalities or by the province,
10
                      e.g., Hydro One. No such exemption
11
                      is currently in force, although we
12
                      expect that exemption may again be
13
                      introduced after the next provincial
                      election."
14
15
                   Do you see that?
16
                   MR. JONATHAN ERLING:
                                          T do.
17
                   MR. JOHN MATHER: And I understand
18
    that you subsequently corrected this portion of the
   slide deck. Is that correct?
19
20
                   MR. JONATHAN ERLING:
                                          Yes.
                                                That --
   the last sentence of that paragraph was incorrect.
21
                                                       I
   had overlooked that there had been a change in
22
23
    regulation that continued the exemption from the
24
   transfer tax requirement for publicly owned utilities,
25 so transactions with municipally owned LDCs and with
```

- 1 Hydro One were certainly still possible without
- 2 triggering a transfer tax.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the exemption --
- 4 and there was an exemption in place.
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: There was an
- 6 exemption.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And if we
- 8 scroll down, we see the -- you say:
- 9 "The presence of the transfer tax
- 10 means that if a sale transaction is
- 11 completed, it would make sense until
- 12 a new exemption is introduced to
- 13 complete the transaction."
- 14 I take it, based on what you said --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 16 Contemplated.

- 18 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, contemplated.
- 20 I take it then what you said that the exemption was in
- 21 place, this portion of the slide deck, was -- was not
- 22 actually applicable.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Portions of the
- 24 slide -- portions of this slide deck were not
- 25 applicable, so some of the language still is

- 1 applicable.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: But --
- 3 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: We didn't change
- 4 all of it. We changed some of it.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: But the suggestion
- 6 to wait to do a transaction until a --
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct. That
- 8 was no longer a limitation. Certainly it was not a
- 9 limitation in doing a sale transaction with a private
- 10 -- sorry, a public sector entity or a municipally
- 11 owned LDC, which were, you know, the relevant buyers
- 12 at the time.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: And my recollection
- 14 is you made the changes to this -- this portion of the
- 15 analysis in July.
- Does that sound correct?
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: That sounds
- 18 correct.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we
- 20 could scroll down. We see more slides about the smart
- 21 grid, financial pressures, regulatory environment,
- 22 implications of the industry environment, and then we
- 23 get to a slide that says "Restructuring Options."
- 24 So in this slide you identify two (2)
- 25 major options. The first is the status quo option.

- 1 The second is the sale option, and then within the
- 2 sale option you also identify other -- two (2) other
- 3 forms of sales. One (1) would be a complete sale and
- 4 then the other would be either the sale of a majority
- 5 or a minority interest.
- 6 Have -- have I got that correct?
- 7 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: How did you
- 9 determine what options you would analyze?
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think it was
- 11 probably -- I don't remember specifically. You know,
- 12 we probably got -- we probably had some discussion
- 13 with Ed in the meeting about what options were
- 14 relevant, but that's a -- because it was many years
- 15 ago, I can't say that for sure.
- 16 You know, typically we might say, you
- 17 know, other options would be for Collingwood to go on
- 18 and acquisition spree and buy other utilities. I
- 19 don't think -- or it might look at merging with
- 20 another nearby utility.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So when --
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And I think
- 23 those were in the circumstances not relevant.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: So when it says
- 25 below:

Transcript Date May 3, 2019 214 "We assume that the Town is not 1 2 interested in expanding its 3 ownership interest by purchasing other utilities or entering into 5 merger discussions with other utilities." 6 ...that's what you're referring to? MR. JONATHAN ERLING: 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if 10 either Mr. Houghton, Mr. Muncaster, or Mr. Fryer told 11 you what options they wanted analyzed or not analyzed? 12 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I don't recall. I mean, I'm sure we had discussions with them about 13 what were relevant options to consider, and I think 14 15 reasonably these were the relevant options to 16 consider. 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than the 18 options that are identified on this slide, were you 19 asked to consider any other options? 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No. 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you consider any other options? 22

- 23 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can keep
- 25 going through the slide deck, we see there is a

- 1 utility valuation slide -- which we'll stop here. And
- 2 at the top on the slide it says:
- 3 "In theory regul -- regulated
- 4 utilities should sell at values
- 5 close to their book value since they
- 6 are regulated based on their actual
- 7 costs and by applying a regulated
- 8 return on their investment capital.
- 9 In practice, utilities often trade
- 10 at a premium above book value."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes. And it's
- 13 similar to some discussions we had earlier today, just
- 14 a few minutes ago on, you know, valuation issues for
- 15 regulated utilities.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And so you're -- it
- 17 looks here that -- from what I understand this is,
- 18 that when a utilities -- when a regulated utility is
- 19 for sale, in theory it should just be at a -- at a
- 20 book value because its -- their costs are regulated,
- 21 but often they trade at a premium above that.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yeah. I think
- 23 in practice, it's probably fair to say it is rare that
- 24 a utility doesn't trade at a significant premium to
- 25 its book value. So that's really more the norm than

- 1 something that would be unusual, but the value is
- 2 based on the -- on the book value or it's a -- it's a
- 3 function of book value.
- I think -- you know, I have discussions
- 5 with valuations people about why that is the case.
- 6 You know, in part it's -- you know, I think regulators
- 7 tend to err on overestimating required returns for
- 8 investment because the consequences of -- the
- 9 consequences of underestimating required returns would
- 10 be significant and undesirable, and so, you know,
- 11 investors probably are willing to live with somewhat
- 12 lower returns than utilities -- regulators allow
- 13 utilities to earn and, therefore, that tends to bump
- 14 up the price that they're willing to pay to earn those
- 15 -- to -- to purchase those regulated returns.
- And the other issue is, yeah, the
- 17 potential to reduce costs more quickly than the
- 18 regulator may project and the ability to, you know,
- 19 achieve other benefits from purchases of the utility.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So because an LDC is
- 21 a regulated utility, is there any -- does that mean
- 22 there's any -- is any limit placed on what a buyer of
- 23 that utility could offer to pay for the utility?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, in
- 25 practice, yes. I mean, in theory, anybody could offer

- 1 to pay whatever they want if they're irrational but,
- 2 you know, there's a limitation on what they would be
- 3 willing to pay because the returns are regulated and -
- 4 and therefore under the control of another entity,
- 5 i.e., the utility regulator. So there's -- you know,
- 6 it's not like buying Apple or Google, you know, that
- 7 they come up with new product line that -- that's, you
- 8 know, going to be marketed and make -- make huge
- 9 profits. You're buying a regulated business and that
- 10 inevitably puts a cap on -- on the potential returns.
- 11 Regulators do not take into account the fact that you
- 12 purchase the utility at a premium above book value.
- I mean, you know, if you purchase a
- 14 premium for a 50 percent -- if you purchase a utility
- 15 for a 50 percent premium over book value, you don't
- 16 then get to earn a return on that 50 percent premium
- 17 that you paid. Regulators only recognize actual
- 18 historic cost of the assets in place.
- 19 So, absolutely there's a limitation on
- 20 what -- what a utility will sell for.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: But -- so it sounds
- 22 like you are describing a -- a kind of a business --
- 23 or value limitation. The OEB doesn't limit what
- 24 someone can buy a utility for, does it?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Generally, no.

- 1 You know, they do have to approve merger transactions
- 2 or purchase transactions. I don't -- I don't think --
- 3 I don't recall specifically what they look at.
- I don't think they're there to protect
- 5 the interests of utility buyers. They're there to
- 6 protect the interests of consumers.
- 7 So if a purchaser of a utility wants to
- 8 pay too much, I don't think they're too concerned
- 9 about that in general. They would only be concerned
- 10 if -- by purchasing at a very inflated price, the
- 11 utility buyer thereby signals that it's going to do
- 12 something that the regulator thinks is undesirable.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we can keep
- 14 scrolling through the slides -- so we see operating
- 15 synergies, operating dis-synergies, and rate
- 16 harmonization. And we see affiliate relationships,
- 17 and I'm not going to ask you to go into this 'cause I
- 18 think we've talked a lot about it, but this is
- 19 relating to the effect on shared services.
- 20 Is that fair?
- 21 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: It is.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay.
- 23 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And -- and we
- 24 have talked a lot about the shares service issue.
- 25 So --

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I just have a --
- 2 a few brief questions on the slide then. You say in
- 3 this slide:
- 4 "Any such impacts would ultimately
- 5 need to be examined as part of the
- financial analysis from the Town's
- 7 perspective of any proposed
- 8 transaction."
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: After preparing this
- 11 presentation, were you ever asked to analyze the
- 12 impacts of the shared services? Or put it another
- 13 way, were you ever asked to do this analysis at any
- 14 point in time for Collus?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Are you aware of
- 17 anyone at KPMG being asked to do this analysis for
- 18 Collus?
- 19 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I am not
- 20 aware.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we keep going
- 22 through the slides and then -- so we see issues
- 23 related to ownership options one (1); the issue
- 24 related to ownership options two (2); three (3); keeps
- 25 going. We'll keep flipping through just so we can see

- 1 them.
- Okay. So we'll stop here. And so we
- 3 see on -- this is slide 17, the evaluation of the
- 4 status quo option. And if we go down to slide 18, the
- 5 evaluation of the sale option. Do you see that?
- 6 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Yes.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So when you are
- 8 assessing the advantages and disadvantages of these
- 9 two (2) options, whose perspective did you have in
- 10 mind?
- 11 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: The
- 12 shareholder -- the -- the Town. It'd be the Town as
- 13 the shareholder of the utility; it's the owner of the
- 14 utility. So it's the Town's decision as to the future
- 15 ownership of the utility, and you have to take the
- 16 perspective of the Town. It holds the equity interest
- 17 in the utility. It's -- it's their business to
- 18 dispose of, if they want to dispose of it, or to
- 19 retain it, if they wish to retain it.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if you are
- 21 analyzing this from the perspective of the Town. , why
- 22 didn't you speak with anyone at the Town about the
- 23 potential advantages or disadvantages of the two (2)
- 24 options?
- 25 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, I assume

- 1 that the presentation was prepared for a committee or
- 2 group that would include the Town because the Town
- 3 would have to be part of any discussion on the
- 4 disposition of shares in the utility. It's not --
- 5 it's not the role of utility management to make those
- 6 decisions. I mean, the utility management, you know,
- 7 could certainly have a view and make recommendations
- 8 to the Town.
- 9 The Board of Directors, you know, is
- 10 there to represent the interests of the shareholder,
- 11 and, you know, the Board often makes decisions on
- 12 behalf of the Town, as its representative or its --
- 13 you know, as the governance body that has been
- 14 entrusted to make decisions about the future of the
- 15 utility.
- 16 But when it comes to big decisions
- 17 about sell or retain, I think the general governance
- 18 principle would be that the Town needs to become
- 19 involved directly in those discussions.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you consider at
- 21 any point up to preparing this presentation whether or
- 22 not you should speak with anyone at the Town about
- 23 what -- what they make -- they may be considering
- 24 about options or what they may be looking for in the
- 25 future of Collus?

```
1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I think I
```

- 2 reasonably assumed that -- that any issues that the
- 3 Town might have, Ed would make me aware of them. I --
- 4 I didn't view it at the time that we needed to have
- 5 direct discussions with the Town. I think we wrote
- 6 the presentation in a way that was clearly written for
- 7 the Town's consumption and that spoke to the Town's
- 8 perspective in making this decision.
- 9 And so -- you know, our understanding
- 10 that we were putting together this presentation so
- 11 that the Town would have available an analysis by an
- 12 independent, hopefully objective third-party of the
- 13 considerations that it should evaluate in making
- 14 ownership decisions that, you know, the advantage of
- 15 coming to KPMG is that, you know, I think -- you know,
- 16 we are a third party. And if we put this together,
- 17 you know, we have to make -- meet certain tests of
- 18 objectivity and -- and balance in the presentation of
- 19 the options.
- 20 And I -- I you know, we certainly tried
- 21 to do that. And therefore, you know, that's --
- 22 that -- that was the process.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: It sounds to me you
- 24 were relying on Mr. Houghton to provide you the Town's
- 25 perspective; is that fair?

```
1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Absolutely.
```

- 2 And -- and I -- you know, certainly, my experience
- 3 with Ed through the earlier process in -- in the year
- 4 2000 was that -- I think of many utility managers that
- 5 I have met with over the years. Ed was very good at
- 6 interacting with the Town and getting input from the
- 7 Town because what I remember about the earlier process
- 8 was that the Town was clearly in on the deliberations
- 9 about the future of the utility.
- 10 And, you know, as part of that process,
- 11 you know, Ed wanted to have external advisors, and he
- 12 wanted to make sure that the Town representatives were
- 13 brought up to speed by external advisors on all the
- 14 relevant issues that you needed to think about in
- 15 making a decision as the Town. And that takes a bit
- 16 of education because it's a unique industry, and there
- 17 were certain legal restrictions on what they could do
- 18 and certain considerations that are outside of, I
- 19 think, the normal realm of what municipalities
- 20 normally have to concern themselves with.
- 21 You know, it's -- it's a specific
- 22 industry; it has specific rules; it has specific
- 23 norms. And so you have to educate people on those
- 24 considerations if you're going to bring them in and
- 25 having them take a meaningful part in the

- 1 decision-making process. You can't just bring them in
- 2 and not make sure that they're comfortable with the
- 3 relevant issues that they need to deliberate on.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: So -- and I think
- 5 you said earlier, you expected that this presentation
- 6 would be shared with people in the town. Did you ever
- 7 present this presentation or discuss your analysis
- 8 with anyone at the Town?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: We saw that you sent
- 11 this presentation to Mr. Houghton and Mr. Fryer at
- 12 Collus. Do you know who they shared the presentation
- 13 with?
- 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I do not. I --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Before
- 16 you -- oh, sorry.
- 17 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Sorry.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Go
- 19 ahead and finish your answer.
- 20 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I do
- 21 recall -- I mean, I think we had got some comments
- 22 back on the initial draft, and I -- I do recall an
- 23 email, I think, from Tim that suggested it had been --
- 24 you know, that he had gotten some comments from other
- 25 people specifically, perhaps, on the transfer tax

- 1 issue. So I had gotten the impression it had been
- 2 shared, for sure.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And we will go to
- 4 that at a -- at a certain point.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 6 wanted to ask you about the transfer tax. On
- 7 slide 20, evaluation of sale option, do you have that
- 8 slide in front of you?
- 9 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I -- I think --
- 10 yes, I do.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It
- 12 says the disadvantage is transfer tax payable. Should
- 13 I -- should I understand what you said earlier that
- 14 I -- that that's not correct?
- 15 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: That is not
- 16 correct. So in the subsequent revision of this
- 17 presentation, that disadvantage moves to the bottom of
- 18 the list, and it's reworded -- I think it's reworded
- 19 to say if you sold to a private sector buyer.
- 20 So it's -- it's still there, but it's
- 21 much less relevant because it -- it only applies if
- 22 it's sold to a private sector buyer. So that was a
- 23 correction between the draft and the final.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So the
- 25 transfer tax is only payable if you sell to a private

- 1 sector buyer.
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Correct.
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 4 you.
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: And now there's
- 6 specific regulations as to who it applies to. Like, I
- 7 have had discussions with people, is it applicable to
- 8 a sale to EPCOR -- which is not a private sector
- 9 entity, but is not based in Ontario. And I have
- 10 gotten different views as to whether --
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Not
- 12 what I was asking. If -- I understand what you're
- 13 saying there. If you're -- we're dealing with a sale
- 14 to PowerStream. Is PowerStream a private sector
- 15 buyer?
- MR. JONATHAN ERLING: No.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Your Honour, I'm
- 18 happy to continue or --
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 20 no. It's -- we have to -- as I explained yesterday, I
- 21 think we have to be out of here by 4:00 because of
- 22 certain work that the Town is going to be doing in the
- 23 building. So it's a quarter to 4:00. We didn't take
- 24 a break. So I think we'll stop now. So we're
- 25 stopping.

- 1 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: We're stopping.
- 2 So that means --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: You're
- 4 coming back.
- 5 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: I'm coming back.
- 6 Well, I --
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 8 Counsel will work it out with you to try and make it
- 9 as convenient as possible.
- 10 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Well, of course,
- 11 I'm delighted to be coming back.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 13 we're delighted to have you back.
- 14 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Thank you.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh,
- 16 one (1) thing I should -- you shouldn't -- you
- 17 probably know this, but you shouldn't discuss your
- 18 evidence with anyone during the time that we're down
- 19 because it could lead to some sort of misunderstanding
- 20 about whether someone was influencing your evidence or
- 21 not.
- 22 MR. JONATHAN ERLING: Can I discuss it
- 23 with my lawyer?
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 25 Discuss it with your lawyer but -- well, your lawyer

```
228
 1 understands the ethical bounds that he's or she's
 2 governed by.
 3
                      (WITNESS RETIRES)
 5
 6 --- Upon adjourning at 3:47 p.m.
 7
 8 Certified Correct,
9
10
11
12
13 Wendy Woodworth, Ms.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page	229	of	284

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 229	of 284
\$	138:6	<b>11</b> 29:10	<b>152</b> 153:13	84:6
<b>\$10</b> 200:13	147:8,16	108:18	<b>157</b> 150:9	2)
\$166.67	151 <b>:</b> 25	148:17	160:14	individua
101:25	164:22	11.35	<b>159</b> 201:9	<b>ls</b> 28:10
102:5	166:3	200:15	204:18	2)lines
\$200,000	176:2,14, 16 183:22	11.89		188:4
11:5	186:3	200:16	<b>165</b> 207:22	
	187 <b>:</b> 15	<b>11:14</b> 65:22	16-month	2) months 21:5
\$367,000 60:9,14	189:10,11		90:25	
	,13 190:5	<b>11:24</b> 65:23	<b>17</b> 16:19	2)solid
<b>\$700</b> 101:21	202:16	<b>110</b> 4:12	17:12	28:7
\$78,000	203:14	<b>112</b> 3:10	55:18,20	2) with 48:1
89:3	213:3	11th	220:3	<b>2:15</b> 147 <b>:</b> 23
	219:23 227:16	148:11,20	<b>176</b> 66:10	148:1
0		151:15	<b>18</b> 30:24	<b>2:18</b> 86 <b>:</b> 21
<b>0</b> 120:23	<b>1.3</b> 195:23	<b>12</b> 7:3	32:3 35:7	87:18
	198:8 200:25	29:11	70:10	20
1		<b>12:10</b> 87:12	220:4	77:8,12,2
<b>1</b> 13:8	<b>1:00</b> 122:13		<b>180</b> 67:2	1
14:20	<b>1:01</b> 147 <b>:</b> 25	12:26	<b>19</b> 17:22	78:4,12,1
19:3 25:11	<b>10</b> 65:19	122:16		7 111:19
27:1 29:1	108:17	12:30	<b>1990</b> 7:9	225 <b>:</b> 7
31:20	196:12,21	122:17	<b>1991</b> 7:8,12	<b>200</b> 56:16
33:14,25	197:4,15,	<b>122</b> 3:13	<b>1998</b> 123:22	57 <b>:</b> 5
38:24	18 198:5	12-month		200,000
42:11	200:16	89:18	2	173:8
44:8,17	<b>10:01</b> 5:1	12th	<b>2</b> 13:24	<b>2000</b> 124:11
45:6	<b>10:07</b> 87:5	151:1,6,1	32:9,22	125:1
46:18 50:14	<b>10:25</b> 85:20	6,20	38:24	127:4
51:6		153 <b>:</b> 22	39:1 59:4	183:17
60:22	<b>10:38</b> 34:17	179:18	67:4 81:22	223:4
66:21	<b>10:41</b> 34:18	181:9	83:19	2003
68:11 <b>,</b> 12	<b>100</b> 36:14	201:15	107:21	6:12,17
70:14,18,	163:20	<b>13</b> 66:15	116:24	7:18
21 73:5	<b>101</b> 4:3	<b>148</b> 129:4	118:14	42:15
75:12	<b>102</b> 4:4	134:16	120:10	<b>2010</b> 6:9
85:3 86:4,20		138:7	121:6	8:17
90:8	<b>103</b> 4:5	<b>14th</b> 129:9	126:13,14	29:10
92:22	<b>104</b> 4:6	133:25	130:16 159:22	41:23
94:16	<b>105</b> 4:7	15	169:16	54:4 55:7 66:14
95 <b>:</b> 8	<b>106</b> 4:8	200:13,17	205:25	69:17
100:21		150	212:24	
110:14	<b>107</b> 4:9	148:5,10	213:2	<b>2010/'14</b> 91:11
114:8	<b>108</b> 4:10		219:24	
126:13 132:7	<b>109</b> 4:11	151 148:10,14	220:9,23	2011
134.7		140.10,14	2)bodies	12:2,14
1				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 230	OI 284
23:6	20-year	<b>300</b> 183:19		<b>able</b> 29:8
29:10,11	96:6	<b>30th</b> 66:12	6	31 <b>:</b> 15
47:1	<b>228</b> 3:20	74:17	<b>6</b> 9:22	77 <b>:</b> 22
55 <b>:</b> 21		88:22,25	12:23	115:23
66:12,15	<b>22nd</b> 55:21	89:2	24:4,13,1	116:17
69:20	56:24		9 25:5	117:9
71:24	<b>23</b> 49:3	<b>3rd</b> 1:23	28:12	177:18
74:11	76:25		34:2	181:2
80:17	<b>23rd</b> 49:14	4	38:25	absence
84:19	72:11	<b>4</b> 3:3 22:4	39:1 61:5	131:16,17
113:16	74:25	24:8,14	80:20	145:7
123:5,10	153:20	31:6 34:1	89:3 90:5	
124:23		35:3 <b>,</b> 8	<b>60</b> 101:7	absent
126:20,25	<b>24/7</b> 51:7	38:24	<b>60:40</b> 73:6	45:23
129:9	61:24	56 <b>:</b> 18		46:1
130:14	<b>24th</b> 208:2	118:13	<b>65</b> 3:8	absolutely
133:25	<b>25</b> 68:24	183:18		195:10
146:22		<b>4:00</b> 207:14	7	217:19
148:11,17	<b>25th</b> 156:10	226:21,23	<b>70</b> 99:8	223:1
150:16	262	<b>42</b> 88:16	<b>731</b> 112:18	absorbed
153:20	112:13,17		/31 112.10	189:14
156:10,15 201:15	<b>26th</b> 23:6	<b>46</b> 3:7		<b>abuse</b> 86:16
201:13			8	
	<b>27th</b> 12:19	5	<b>8</b> 7:4	accept
<b>2012</b> 9:3	13:11,17	<b>5</b> 3:6		104:15
12:13,16	15:20	34:1,11	9	accepted
29:10,11	18:3,10	35 <b>:</b> 2	<b>9</b> 34:1	142:17
31:17	23:4 68:4	38:24	35:2,3,8	access
32:4 47:2	120:14	196:12	77:3 79:4	51:15
49:3,14 74:25		50	81:16	53:5
89:2	3	18:16,20,	108:17	106:10
117:11	<b>3</b> 9:22	24	<b>9.1</b> 79:5	107:5
	10:20	19:3,8,9,	<b>9.2</b> 77:11	109:23
<b>2013</b> 57:22	22:3 23:5	15 37 <b>:</b> 22		119:6
58:1	29:18	39 <b>:</b> 16	<b>91</b> 3:9	accessible
59:7,24	32:25	56:20	<b>97</b> 1:19	106:14
60:14	33:8	68:13	<b>9th</b> 156:14	
61:15	38:24 68:7	69:13	<b>7011</b> 100.11	accolades
88:22,25	71:15	105:10,11	A	26:11,13
2014	85:4 86:9	,12,15,24		accommodate
41:17,23	97:5,6,7	111:12	<b>a.m</b> 5:1	93:16,21
<b>2015</b> 63:7	121:6	217:14,15	34:17,18 65:22,23	accordance
84:3,18	142:20,24	,16	65:22 <b>,</b> 23 87:5	118:17
<b>2016</b> 63:7	163:15	<b>50:50</b> 73:21		119:14
	183:18	<b>527</b> 75:8	ability	
<b>2018</b> 120:14	219:24		54:20	accordingly
<b>2019</b> 1:23	<b>3:47</b> 228:6	<b>5th</b> 24:25	55:2,8	119:8
209		71:22	110:8	account
67:11,25	<b>30</b> 68:24	113:9,15	216:18	146:1
0,.11,20				155:20
i				

INQUINI	TE COULTINGWOO	JD 03-03-2019	rage 231	O1 204
171:4	86:12	address	138:25	101:21
185:21	142:22	50 <b>:</b> 10	affiliate	107:12,15
217:11	147:9	92:1	218:16	202:15
accounting	154:17	135:16		agreements
81:19	215:6	145:4	affiliated	50:19
	217:17	146:2	80:24	80:25
accuracy	actually	164:14	Affirmed	89 <b>:</b> 21
24:12	6:19	<b>adds</b> 135:2	3:5 5:20	90:12
72:6	10:13		Affordable	107:18
155:13	14:23	adduced	14:24	108:9
accurately	35:3 67:4	203:8		
92:7	71:14	adhere	affords	<b>ahead</b> 5:25
achieve	72:21	77:14	54:18	67:19 71:1,3,10
149:14,17	89:1 96:1	adjourning	afraid	,17 77:23
216:19	98:6	228:6	77:17	86:18
	100:15		afternoon	94:6
achieved	109:8	adjust	121:19	224:19
30:10,17 58:25	110:16	164:6	122:22,24	
74:6	123:4	adjustment	•	airline
200:18	131:14	180:2	afterwards	93:9
	136:20	advance	56 <b>:</b> 22	ALE0003296
achievement	144:20	203:19	Agency	76:23
<b>s</b> 59:8,24	147:1,20	advancement	96:10	ALE0005133.
acknowledgi	155:9,19	54:25	<b>ago</b> 14:25	0002
<b>ng</b> 62:4	181:7		20:2 90:5	70:10
acquisition	184:15	advantage	103:12	ALE0040924.
213:18	205:12 211:22	89:24	108:16	
		222:14	181:10	<b>001</b> 4:6
across	acumen 26:3	advantages	213:15	ALE0050215
105:22	add	145:21	215:14	4:5
act 12:1	90:10,11	209:6	agreed 9:17	ALE1644
43:7,14,2	189:21	220:8,23	113:24	49:8
5 79:9	added	advice	118:17	ALE40924.00
107:9	134:13	28:18	119:14	<b>01</b> 57:22
acted		78:14	205:8	
108:19	addition	advised	agreeing	ALE50215
acting	28:9 39:20	124:11	95:24	54 <b>:</b> 3
113:24	39:20 61:17	204:22		Alectra 2:7
	125:19		agreement 29:4,5	46:19
activities		<b>advisors</b> 223:11,13	45:24	allegedly
157:17 178:4	additional	·	46:1,8	23:22
187:17	11:9 84:4	advisory	50:9,10	Allied
189:17	118:8	123:12,17	76:24	99:23
	120:17	affect	77:18	100:4,5
activity	160:4 173:14	92 <b>:</b> 20	78:24	101:2
7:7	189:21	105:23	81:16	
188:20		138:17	89:17,24	allocate
actual	additions	170:16	92:11,12	189:1
23:21	136:1	affects	93:4,12	allocation
		-		

INQUINI	re collingwoo.	D 03 03 2019	Page 232	01 204
123:24	96:9	17 222:11	48:4,8,21	2:1
188:3	101:20,21	224:7	136:25	
189:2	127:8	1	137:13,20	appeared
allow	155:12	<b>analyze</b> 188 <b>:</b> 20	, 23	12:6
	164:18		139:11,14	appears
198:25		213:9	<b>,</b> 15	30:21,23
216:12	amounts	219:11	179 <b>:</b> 12	31:20
already	172:9	analyzed	201:18	46:7
26:2 75:3	analis	171:25	206:13	49:16
94:4	138:4	214:11	209:12	66:8 74:3
145:10	analyses	analyzing	219:17	75 <b>:</b> 13
189:17	175:9	130:20	220:22	82:9
201:16	200:11	220:21	221:22	87:14
202:5			224:8	115:17
alternative	analysis	and/or	227:18	129:11
204:7,14	66:19	22:14	anything	136:21
·	68:6	173:15	29 <b>:</b> 22	148:12
Alternative	124:22	Andrea 2:20	44:1	160:24
<b>ly</b> 181:22	126:20,25	announcemen	105:18	203:19
<b>am</b> 33:12	132:4,8	t 107:22	106:18,19	<b>Apple</b> 217:6
62:12	135:7		109:17	
67:16	136:5,6	annual	110:11	applicable
83:2	138:3,4,1	57 <b>:</b> 23	158:7	72:17
93:18	0 140:14 143:13	58:1 60:9	180:20	84:19
100:24		61:6,15	194:20	211:22,25
107:13	149:14	66:19	195:12	212 <b>:</b> 1 226:7
123:12	154:8,9,1 9 172:12	answer	200:24	220:7
142:13	9 172:12 174:13,17	72:22	203:9	application
198:8	175:6	73:3,16		<b>s</b> 165:5
200:12,13	176:19	90:2	anyway 79:1	applies
201:20	177:18	142:13	85:21	225:21
219:19	178:15,24	155:10	anywhere	226 <b>:</b> 6
amalgamate	,25	165 <b>:</b> 12	64 <b>:</b> 7	
125:24	179:21	172:20	<b>apart</b> 43:25	<b>apply</b> 151:7
	181:3,5	173:7	151:19	182:6
amalgamatio	183:9,13	184:20		198:8
<b>ns</b> 29:23	184:14,19	195:8	apologies	applying
<b>AMO</b> 44:16	185:2	224:19	97:14	215:7
among	191:9	answering	106:7	appointing
154:20	194:2,5,1	193:1	apologize	114:22
167:3	9,21,22		96:6	
	195:9	answers	apparently	apportion
amongst	196:11	158:3,6	33:18	188:14
132:22	198:5	anybody	68:23	189:3
170:10	201:5	14:9		190:2
179:7	202:21,24	107:4	appear 17:8	apportionme
186:11	204:8,14	108:11	24:3,18	<b>nt</b> 188:10
amount 30:2	205:20,22	216:25	131:7	appreciate
42:6	207:9	anyone	156:16	173:1
73:11	212:15	24:12	APPEARANCES	
86:16	219:6,13,	- 1 • 1 -		appreciated
1	·			

	1			
121:17	84:12	104:6	associated	attendance
approach	88:11	assertion	82:12	109:4
62:24	154:12	33:8	124:12	201:19
130:19	165:7		167:6	attended
193:17	<b>areas</b> 61:21	assess	Association	12 <b>:</b> 19
195:6	94:4	36:20	42:10	18:3 29:1
197:7,11	114:8	104:12		56:17
200:10,21		117:20	assume	95:1
,22	arguably	135:8	33:12,13	
202:16	99:8	assessing	90:23	attendee
	100:21	220:8	93:7,11	24:23
appropriate	<b>arise</b> 92:14	assessment	97:4	attention
29:17,25	arising	32:17	104:10	95:13
104:25	70:13	35:8	106:13	113:12
130:24	118:23	40:9,20	116:5	119:20
143:19		159:24	128:14	attested
159:8	arose 41:6	171:20	133:9	86:14
162:23	arrangement	173:4,14,	171:1	
192:18	174:20	19	179:24	audience
appropriate	183:25	175:14,22	208:18	56:18
ness	184:10	194:10,11	214:1	57 <b>:</b> 9
36:21		199:13	220:25	<b>audit</b> 80:22
37:21	arrangement		assumed	111.1
approval	<b>s</b> 171:8	assessments	72 <b>:</b> 10	audited
105:12	176:7,23	104:19	222:2	66:14
	179:22	<b>asset</b> 30:23	assuming	author
approve	185:25	31:12	24:15	62:10
21:4 22:6	194:12	68 <b>:</b> 20	77:23	authority
218:1	195:17	79:25	78:12,20	15:1
approved	Arthur	92:17	·	
20:25	100:11,15	117:6	assumption	available
21:10,11,	101:3	118:21,22	176:21	39:12
14,19	article	assets	assumptions	42:7
23:5 74:8	54:4,7,16	198:19	130:25	89:18
approving	62:9,11,1	217:18	159:2	131:9
70:7	6 63:6,19		assurance	182:14
	64:4,12	assignment	69:2	222:11
approximate	77:3	191:16		awards
180:4	articles	assist 18:2	Atlantic	8:2,5,13,
approximate		19:19	102:13	24
<b>ly</b> 57:5	47:8,13,1 8	132:18	103:12,20	<b>aware</b> 7:21
_	٥	152:2	104:6	10:6,24
<b>April</b> 9:2	<b>aside</b> 72:25	assistance	attach	11:11
12:1,13,1	73:2,4,9	13:14	47:17	12 <b>:</b> 20
6 156:10	93:16	102:21	attachment	25:3,12,1
arbitrary	aspect 70:4		208:6,10,	4,17,21
188:6	_	assisting	15	28:14,22
area 8:13	aspects	39:14		33:12
27:20	75:1	Associate	attend 24:2	75 <b>:</b> 25
81:12	Assembly	1:7 2:4	148:13	87 <b>:</b> 17
	103:12			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 234	of 284
88:6	,21,24	basically	12:13	48:3,7,10
89:17,21	54:2,10,1	90:12	13:21	,13,16,18
99:6,12,1	3		16:9	,20,23
8,22	55:6,11,1	basis 12:6	26:8,25	49:2,7,12
100:2,9,2	4,24	47:14	27:3	,18,23
0 104:3,4	57:1,4,16	99:4,10	29:15,16,	
192:10	,21	101:8	25 30:13	,14,19,24
219:16,20	58:3,6,10	111:1	38:12	52:6,12,1
222:3	,14,15,20	175 <b>:</b> 12	39:4	7,22
	,24	193:24	41:16,20	53:3,7,16
away 129:18	59:3,12,2	197:1	44:7,14,1	
130:1	2	<b>Beach</b> 94:23	6,17	54:2,10,1
134:4		95:14,17	· ·	34:2,10,1
135:18	60:6,12,1		45:10	
163:16	6,21,25	beaver	52:10	55:6,11,1
175:8	61:3,13	163:16	58:8 59:2	4,24
202:14	62:3,13,1	became 61:9	60:4,19	57:1,4,16
205:8	9	192:10	62:10	,21
	63:1,4,5,		66:24	58:3,6,10
В	9,18,22	become 7:21	68 <b>:</b> 15	,14,15,20
	64:3,6,9	10:24	69 <b>:</b> 25	,24
backed	65:4,8,12	12:20	70:1,16	59:3,12,2
175:8	,16 112:6	95:18	79:14	2
background	116:4	126:24	84:2	60:6,12,1
26:22	balance	144:9	85:20	6,21,25
31:8	222:18	205:13	86:3 89:2	61:3,13
44:6,19		221:18	91:15	62:3,13,1
72:13	<b>base</b> 131:3	becoming	97:1,11	9
85:18	181:21	6:16 9:2	105:2	63:1,4,5,
209:17	189:23	12:21	112:9	9,18,22
1	197:8	74:24	114:1	64:3,6,9
backing	201:4		116:10	65:4,8,12
131:24	<b>based</b> 92:13	begin 66:7	120:13,23	<b>,</b> 16
<b>bad</b> 200:10	97 <b>:</b> 4	177:18	121:6,14	<b>bell</b> 169:2
<b>Bain</b> 2:8	101:24	beginning	133:4	
3:7	103:16	42:2	137:10	benchmarkin
45:19,24	111:10	160:7	138:25	<b>g</b> 153:24
46:2,13,1	130:18	192:16	139:13	154:2,5
6,17,18	134:12		159:18	155:13 <b>,</b> 23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	152:25	behalf	201:17	beneath
47:1,4,7,	154:18	128:11		173:12
11,16,21,	163:2	168:13	believed	1/3:12
23	185:10	221:12	11:21	benefit
48:3,7,10	197:6	behaviour	106:19	25:22
,13,16,18		131 <b>:</b> 16	Belina 2:8	28:6
,20,23	198:23,24		Belinda 3:7	benefits
49:2,7,12	211:14	belief		31:13,19
,18,23	215:6	110:6	45:19,24	32:15
51:1,5,10	216:2	believe	46:2,13,1	54 <b>:</b> 19
,14,19,24	226:9	5:22	6,17,18	62:4
52:6,12,1	<b>bases</b> 200:9	7:8,24	47:1,4,7,	117:7
7,22	<b>basic</b> 42:14	8:23 11:6	11,16,21,	
53:3,7,16	24516 42.14		23	118:4
1				

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 05-03-2019	Page 235	of 284
123:25	147:3	91:20,21,	87:2 89:8	116:1
216:19	172:25	24	118:13	117:1
	177 <b>:</b> 22	92:5,10,1	120:10,11	120:7
benefitted	178:12	8	129:8	133:20
61:1,19	188:22	93:3,13,1	130:5	134:18
Bentz 48:11	223:15	9 94:9,21	166:16	139:7,20
best		95:4,8,11	181:15	140:5,10
11:17,22,	BLG0000134_	,23	225:17	148:7
24 12:7	<b>0001</b> 4:7	96:5,12,1		153 <b>:</b> 16
57:4	BLG00002590	9	bounds	156:3
74:22	<b>001</b> 15:21	97:3,7,12	228:1	160:16
111:20	BLG134 0001	,19,23	<b>box</b> 39:22	165:25
115:7,22	62:8	98:4,10,1	brains	185 <b>:</b> 13
170:5	02:0	5,19,24	162:14	203:3,16
	blind	99:3,6,12		207:24
better	192:2,4	,17,22	<b>break</b> 65:19	208:12
29:20	<b>blog</b> 38:13	100:2,4,5	122:13	219:2
51:20	63:21,25	,8,9,14,2	131:21	
56:9	64:4	0,25	147:23	Briefly
129:18	86:17	101:6,10,	207:11	42:20
130:1		15,20,24	226:24	bring
134:4	blogging	102:3,9,1	Breedon	30:2,25
135:17	110:22	8	2:19 5:11	51:2
142:23	<b>board</b> 13:22	103:2,9,1		55 <b>:</b> 15
176:6	28:19	6	<b>Brian</b> 48:11	70:9
beyond	61:9,18	104:2,9,1	<b>brief</b> 15:23	75 <b>:</b> 21
11:25	84:3,8	6	16:4,16,2	76:23 <b>,</b> 25
36:17	90:15,16	105:5,20	1 17:24	84:12
109:21	94:19	106:7,23	31:3,25	86:12
bigger	113:3	107:11,14	32:12	101:10
	154:8	, 24	33 <b>:</b> 5	111:17
185:24 186:4	156:24	108:6,14	38:18	189:15
	157:9	109:2,10,	47:18	223:24
billing	158:13	14,19,25	49:10,21	224:1
164:5,6,1	164:2	110:7,18	68:1	bringing
7	165:9	111:8,16,	75:10	84:20
187:18,21	167:4	24	76:11	114:10
,22,24	221:9,11	<b>1 1</b> 10 5 - 24	77:1	115:7
189:10,20	bodies	book 195:24	80:7,12	
<b>bit</b> 11:18	82:12	198:17,19	84:15	broadening
50:8		200:25	85:24	96:7
55:18,19	<b>body</b> 86:1	215:5,10,	86:25	broader
56:15	90:17	20,25	88:18	12:3
59 <b>:</b> 6	221:13	216:2,3	97:17	146:4
61:14	<b>boil</b> 170:5	217:12,15	98:1,8,13	<b>broke</b> 5:12
71:10	bolded	bother	,17	
87 <b>:</b> 2	54:15	198:4	101:13	brought
88:20		bottom	102:16	10:1
94:14	Bonwick	54:13	111:6	51:7,15
107:17	2:10 3:9	59:13,14,	112:15	59:18
141:22	47:14,18	25 84 <b>:</b> 25	113:19	62 <b>:</b> 5

1112011(1	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 03 03 2013	raye 230	01 201
64:17	21:3,11	218:5	CAO	144:8,14
65:9	burden	buyer's	9:2,11,18	167:9,18
69:18,20	165:10	29:22	,20 11:3	196:11 <b>,</b> 15
77:24			12:1	,16,18,24
78:25	business	buy-in	44:16	197:10,14
80:19	26:3,7,9,	93:23	82 <b>:</b> 18	<b>,</b> 25
90:14	13 54:5	buying	83:3,4,8,	198:4,6
104:17	66:15	93:7,9	9,10,19,2	199:7
113:11	74:4,8,11	95 <b>:</b> 17	3 113:24	200:18
116:4	,18	217:6,9	114:13,19	<b></b>
128:4	108:8,22		115:2,3	<b>catch</b> 83:18
223:13	123:24	buy-sell	·	categories
Decem	126:3,5	92:8,12	<b>cap</b> 217:10	154:19 <b>,</b> 20
Brown	127:22	93:12	capabilitie	<b>cau</b> 174:8
83:5,10	141:1		<b>s</b> 189:16	
Brunswick	142:6	С	capability	caught
103:15	145:11	<b>cable</b> 96:17	61:25	202:13
105:13	147:4	calculating		<b>cause</b> 90:8
bucket	188:4	171:6	capacity	174:7,8
195:14	202:10		109:6	192:12
	205:25	calculation	capital	218:17
building	217:9,22	101:25	125:19	caused 82:7
52:24	220:17	142:21	197:9	caused oz:/
226:23	buy	143:10	198:3,7,2	causing
bullet	70:15,19	calculation	5	164:8
31:10	77:16	s	199:2,5,1	caustic
49:24,25	92:24	130:18,23	0 215:8	110:22
50:13	131:15	131:12		
51:25	189:10,12	143:17	<b>card</b> 59:16	CBC
52:18	213:18		career 96:6	96:13,16
53:8	217:24	camera	careers	celebrating
59:14		17:15	162:10	64:24
71:16,21,	buy/sell	20:22,24		centre
25 72:5	77:4	21:3,15,1	case 14:17	51:8,20
75 <b>:</b> 2	buyer	8,21,22,2	23:11	56:3
76:14 <b>,</b> 19	131:5,16	3	40:10	
80:10	145:5	22:11,13,	107:2	<b>CEO</b> 48:11
117:4	171:7,19	15,19	125:21	114:17
141:11,12	189:15	23:5,12,1	162:13	certain
145:18,19	194:3,10	6 <b>,</b> 21	168:3	20:4 42:5
147:2	199:10,15	71:22	188:3	130:22
bulletin	<b>,</b> 19	campaign	216:5	157 <b>:</b> 14
7:4,7	216:22	69:15,17	<b>cases</b> 42:22	169:6
39:12	218:11	Canada	cash	187 <b>:</b> 16
121:7	225:19,22	110:11	20:9,13,1	189:2
	226:1,15		7 60:9,13	199:1
bullets	buyers	Canadian	91:14	202:15
71:15	131:6	26:8 54:5	130:19,20	209:22
<b>bump</b> 216:13	144:15	100:22	131:1,13	210:6
bundled	212:11	candidate	136:6	222:17
20110160		70:1	143:17	223:17,18
			± 10 • ± /	

INQUIR	re re	COLLINGWOOD	05-03-2019	Page	237	of	284	

	i ie collingwood		rage 257	01 201
225:4	7:5,8,13,	0	76:1,6	25
226:22	19,24	43:2,9,15	77:17	111:15,23
certainly	8:7,12,20	44:2,7,14	78:11 <b>,</b> 18	112:5,11,
25:11	9:4,7,14,	45:9	79:11,14,	25
40:19	19	46:18,25	21,24	113:13,17
44:25	10:4,9,21	47:3,6,10	81:2,23,2	<b>,</b> 25
48:23	11:1,6,11	,15,20,22	5	114:4,7,2
57:17	,20	<b>,</b> 24	82:14,22	5 115:16
60:21	12:8,12	48:6,9,12	83:9 84:7	116:7,10,
69:6	13:1,12,1	,15,17,19	85 <b>:</b> 9	22
102:25	9	<b>,</b> 22 <b>,</b> 25	86:3,6	117:13,17
111:1	14:4,8,16	49:6,12,1	87:8,13,2	,21,25
123:19	,22	6 50:25	1,24	118:6,10
132:21	15:9,15	51:4,9,13	88:7,23	119:19,23
137:23	16:25	<b>,</b> 17 <b>,</b> 22	89:6,12,2	120:3,12,
148:18	17:4,16,2	52:5,7,10	0	15,20,23
	0	<b>,</b> 15 <b>,</b> 21	90:2,10,2	121:5,11,
149:4 151:3	18:6,11,1	53:1,6,15	0,23	18,24,25
151:3	8,23	,20,23	91:4,9,15	Chadwick's
171:10	19:5,11,1	54:1,9	,22,23	40:3
176:10	7,25	55:5,10,1	92:4,9,15	62:16,24
183:12	20:12,18	3,21,23	93:1,6,18	
187:15	21:2,13,2	56:25	,24	<b>chain</b> 129:9
188:19	4	57:3,7,19	94:13,25	133:23,24
200:24	22:7,16,2	<b>,</b> 25	95:6,10,2	156:8,21
200:24	2	58:2,5,8,	2	183:3
211:1	23:1,8,14	19,23	96:4,11,1	191:4,24
212:8	,19,23	59:2,11,2	4	192:7
212:0	24:7	0	97:1,6,10	193:6
222:20	25:1,7,14	60:4,11,1	,21 98:23	195:22
223:20	,24	5,19,24	99:1,5,11	Chambers
223.2	26:5,17,2	61:2,11	,15,20,25	1:18
certainty	0,24	62:2,7,12	100:12,18	
144:25	27:10	63:5,7,11	,24	chance 85:7
145:3	28:8,16,2	<b>,</b> 20	101:4,9,1	change
Certificate	0,24	64:2,5,8	9 <b>,</b> 22	73:21
3:20	29:6,15	65:3,7,11	102:1,7,2	94:5
	30:7,13	<b>,</b> 15	5	121:5
certified	32:7,20,2	66:5,6,21	103:6,13,	135:21
145:11	3	<b>,</b> 24	23	138:1
228:8	33:11,21	67:9,13,1	104:8,14	164:17,21
cetera	34:5,13,2	5 <b>,</b> 16	105:2,17,	171:3
115:7	2,25	68:15	25	180:4
<b>CFO</b> 165:2	35:4,10,1	69:5,16,2	106:16,25	190:4
	8 36:7,10	4	107:6,13,	210:22
Chadwick	38:7,10	70:16,22	16	212:3
3:5	39:4,8,18	71:23	108:4,12,	changed
5:4,5,8,9	,24 40:20	72:2,8,19	23	132:2
,20	41:7,13,2	73:7,23	109:7,11,	138:4
6:6,7,11,	4	74:10	18,24	212:4
18	42:5,13,2	75:5 <b>,</b> 17	110:5,13,	

1110011(1	. IC CODDINGNO	OD 03 03 2013	1 age 250	01 204
changes	<b>,</b> 21	4,15	СЈІ0011120	108:8
133:12	18:1,7,12	113:22	101:11	128:5,7,1
134:9,12,	,22,25	152:1 <b>,</b> 19	CJI0011121	8,19
21	19:6,12,1	Chief 1:7	97:15	clients
135:21,22	8			123:18
, 24	20:7,15,2	<b>choice</b> 56:13	CJI0011128	climate
170:20	0 21:7,16	105:14	98:11	56:12
178:17	22:1,12,1		CJI10832	
212:14	7,23	choices	38:16	clippings
changing	23:2,9,15	56:8	<b>CJI2</b> 55:15	105:22
179:22	,20,24 24:9,11,1	choose	120:5	<b>close</b> 69:17
characteriz	7,21,22	92:23	clarificati	88:15
ation	25:2,10,1	106:5	on 89:1	110:1
183:6	9,25	chosen 50:3	156:12	111:8
characteriz	26:14,18,		166:5	185:16
	21	circumstanc		215:5
<b>e</b> 142:25	27:5,14,1	<b>e</b> 160:12	clarified	closely
193:21	7,21	176:3	83:23	110:20
charge	28:1,2,9,	circumstanc	clarify	co-chair
189:4	17,21,25	es	91:11	61:7 <b>,</b> 9
check	29:7	37:19 <b>,</b> 20	106:1	•
120:25	30:4,8,15	72:17	150:23	coffee
121:3	31:5	92:13,20	159:11	115:9,11
148:21	32:1,8,14	174:18	<b>Clark</b> 29:1	Coll 128:14
checking	,21,24	175:11	clause	collaborati
89:23	33:7,16,2	192:19	70:17	on 125:3
	2	213:23	76:17	
Chenoweth 2:16 3:6	34:7,14,2	<b>cite</b> 58:3	77 <b>:</b> 11	collecting
5:22,25	0,21	cited 54:6	79:4,19	105:21
6:1,4,5,8	35:1,5,15	66:19	92:7	collection
,13,25	,20,24 36:1,2,8,	133:17,18	135:2	164:6
7:6,10,16	11,18	citizens	<b>clear</b> 19:13	Collingwood
,20	37:12,25	53:19	22:18	1:2,17,20
8:4,9,15,	38:3,4,8,	55:2	40:22	2:18 7:23
25	14,20		79:6	8:6,8
9:5,8,16	39:6,13,1	city 53:18	145:9	9:13 <b>,</b> 25
10:2,5,18	9,25	125:20	167:1	11:16,17,
, 23	40:8,24	176:4	188:24	22 <b>,</b> 25
11:2,8,13	41:2,3,8,	civics	193:13	12:7
, 23	19,25	42:14	clearly	14:17
12:10,15	42:9,17,2	<b>CJ</b> 97:14	18:3	50:17
13:3,13	4	СЈ111120	222:6	51:3,7,12
14:1,5,11	43:3,10,1	97:13	223:8	52:25 53:4 12 1
,18	6,21		<b>clerk</b> 44:15	53:4,12,1 9 54:6,18
15:5,10,1	44:22	<b>CJI</b> 38:23	114:13	55:8
9,25	45:2,3,11	CJI0000002	114:13	60:17
16:6,12,1 3,18,23	46:6,12,1	4:4 39:1		62 <b>:</b> 6
17:1,5,17	4 60:3	88 <b>:</b> 15	<b>cli</b> 75:18	65 <b>:</b> 10
± / • ± <b>/</b> ⊃ <b>/</b> ± /	83:1,12,1		client	
i .	ı			

_	111201111	. IC CODDINGWOV		rage 257	01 201
	91:7	90:13,15,	30:5	55 <b>:</b> 7	126:8,21
	110:10,24	16 91:12	113:7	207:3	184:8
	111:22	95:13 <b>,</b> 18	115:20	committee	company
	113:2	105:24	224:2	80:22	20:3 37:5
	126:8,10	111:13	comforted	221:1	61:19
	128:12,19	114:18	26 <b>:</b> 19		80:15
	164:18	117:8		committees	81:17
	167:3	124:23,24	coming 5:12	14:23	82:6
	174:3	125:1,11	77 <b>:</b> 24	committing	99:19
	183:21	126:8,21	78 <b>:</b> 4	55:7	102:4,11
	208:4	127:6	165:8		105:21
	213:17	128:11,15	168:15	common	
	Collus 2:22	132:6,9,1	222 <b>:</b> 15	187:17,24	,19,20,22
	12:21,24	9 135:5	227:4,5,1	communicate	
	13:22	136:25	1	132:19	compare
	18:15,16	137:13,20	commenced	communicati	154:17
	19:3,22	139:11,15	94:22	ng 99:8	167:24
	20:16	140:25		_	compared
	24:5,24	143:7	commencemen	communicati	154:10,25
	26:11	147:4,7	<b>t</b> 8:19	on 112:8	197:8
	28:19	149:13	commencing	communicati	
	30:14,21	153:25	5:1 8:17	ons 46:24	<b>compel</b> 70:21
	31:14	154:24	comment	102:22	70:21
	32:2,16,1	167:3	56:5 82:4		compelling
	9	170:1,3,8	93:19	communities	70:24
	35:6,12,1	,17 174:3	103:19	52:2,19	Compenso
	6	181:4	104:3	102:13,19	46:24
	36:22,25	183:14	183:2	,20 105:1	112:19
	37:17	184:21		community	113:15
	39:17	186:2	comments	8:6 26:7	
	50:24	190:7,13	39:21,22	54:15,24	competitive
	52:8,14,2	206:14	40:25	55:1,22	53:10
	3	208:3,16	111:25	64:18	126:3,5
	54:17,23	209:12,13	224:21,24	65:13	competitors
	55 <b>:</b> 7 <b>,</b> 25	219:14,18	Commission	103:18	108:3
	57:23	221:25	36 <b>:</b> 20	107:8	complementa
	58:1,20	224:12	39 <b>:</b> 15	114:12,23	<b>ry</b> 31:21
	59:9,15,1	Collus's	40:13	,24	33:1,9
	9 60:22	54:20	184:4	115:17,18	
	61:9,10	column	186:6,15	142:17	complete
	62:10	112:23	commissions	community-	77:16
	66:14,19		125:18	owned	178:25
	68:13	combination	commit	54:17	181:2 194:20
	73:1	163:24		community's	
	74:11	combined	205:5	115:23	
	76:4	124:5	commitment		204:1,2 206:5
	80:15,22	comes	11:16	companies	211:13
	81:4	152:18	64 <b>:</b> 19	80:24	211:13
	82:11,15	221:16	committed	101:7	
	84:3,8		54 <b>:</b> 24	102:5	completed
	89:9,17	comfortable		124:24	34:11
- 1				•	

79:20	concluded	193:15	60:2	194:23
176:18	18:14	consequence	consolidate	content
211:11	162:3	178 <b>:</b> 18	190:10	14:6 72:1
completely	170:22,23			85 <b>:</b> 2
89:24	171:15	consequence	construct 110:9	contentious
completing	174:16	<b>s</b> 216:8,9	110:9	95:5,6
completing 80:23	concludes	conservatio	constructio	95:5,6
158:13	111:25	<b>n</b> 61:21	<b>n</b> 51:15	contents
	conclusion	consider	consultants	3:1 41:21
compliance	179:23	37:15,18	64:16	56:6 74:3
61:23		71:25		context
complicated	conditions	77:7,12	consulted	116:8
199:8	77:19	194:8	124:7	130:3,11
complimenta	93:22	214:14,16	126:7	144:12
ry 32:5	confidence	,19,21	consulting	155:11
<b>Ly</b> 32.3	115:21,23	221:20	104:18	160:6
comply	confidentia	considerabl	123:13	162:8
81:18	1 79:7		126:21	194:6
component	90:19	<b>e</b> 27:15	consumers	contingent
145:13	108:20	73:11 86:16	218:6	171:18
146:13		96:9	consumption	
comprehensi	confirm		154:14	continuatio
ve 142:21	111:11	considerabl	222:7	<b>n</b> 59:24
143:11	140:13	<b>y</b> 37:24		continue
	144:23	considerati	<b>cont</b> 32:5	5:7 16:2
con 185:1	146:12	on	contained	113:9,14
concept	208:24 209:1	80:15,19	81:7	174:20
69:15		considerati	containing	176:4,5,8
76:5	confirming	ons	154:2	196:24
conceptuall	132:23	179:9,12		226:18
y 188:17	confirms	222:13	contains	continued
_	45:4	223:18,24	39:21	16:12
concern	conflict		contemplate	24:21
20:17	43:6,13,2	considered	148:11	28:1
86:16	5 72:4	12:21	158:17	34:20
114:23	113:10	15:14	contemplate	36:1 38:3
115:15		63:16	<b>d</b> 74:4	41:2 45:2
162:21 223:20	conflicts	69:3	209:8	52:1,18
223:20	42:19,25	184:12	211:16,19	53:9
concerned	43:8,12,2	considering		58:14
174:12	4 45:8	68:18	<pre>contemplate s 140:22</pre>	63 <b>:</b> 4
218:8,9	conjunction	221:23	146:16	67:24
concerns	70:4	consistent	158:17	71:19
14:10	connection	39:21	201:14	83:25
114:22	123:21	Consol		84:23
159:12	connotation	117:6	contemplati	85:14
conclude		118:21,22	ng	100:8 148:3
163:19	<b>s</b> 198:13		75:20,23	148:3 152:22
203:8	cons 191:19	console	148:12	166:14
				100.14

	T LE COLLINGWOC	05-05-2019	rage 241	01 204
176:7	206:3	24:16,18,	12 <b>:</b> 13	10
190:24		25 29:16	225:23	22:3,8,20
207:20	conversatio	40:10		22.10
210:23	ns	47:9,10,1	corrections	24:1,4,19
211:18	137:13,22	5,19,20	39:9	,23
	163:18	49:5,6	corresponde	
continuing	178:23	51:3,4,12	<b>nt</b> 96:16	28:12,13
171:9	179:1,5	,13,21	<b>cost</b> 53:11	29:1,9
contract	Cooper 2:12	52:11,25	89:3,4	30:3,22
48:24	13:20	53:1,5,6	123:23	31:12
112:25	cooperative	54:8	124:2	34:23
contrasting	ly 20:5	58:18,19,	155:18	36:13
37:15	_	22 59:19	168:21	41:17,23
	copied	60:5,18,2	174:6,23	42:3,7
contribute	106:21	0	176:22	49:3,4,14
197:22	<b>copy</b> 49:13	61:10,11	178:15,16	55 <b>:</b> 25
contributio	58:1	62 <b>:</b> 6	187:24	58:9
<b>n</b> 61:18	112:18	68:16	189:2	63 <b>:</b> 13
contributio	116:3,9,1	75:3,16	199:20	64:23
ns 8:5	9 119:22	91:16	205:23	66:12 <b>,</b> 25
	120:13,19	95 <b>:</b> 22	217:18	68 <b>:</b> 20
contributor	,21,24	101:22		69:8 <b>,</b> 20
196:19	121:15	102:1,7	costs 89:10	70:6
control	192:2,4	108:5,13	154:10,16	74:7,23
18:21	193:5	121:12	,17,18	77 <b>:</b> 15
19:16	207:4	123:2	155:18 168:12	79:1
54:21	corner	125:17	173:15	80:18
55:9	163:22	128:2,16	173:13	82:15,21,
61:24		132:10,16	180:3	23
69:11	<b>Corp</b> 53:13	145:15	188:4,8	84:2,4,9
89:25	corporate	146:20	189:1,3,2	90:14
217:4	20:6	151:13,17	5 190:2	91:11
convenient	184:9,22	155:2	193:23	94:23
227:9	corporation	172:3,23	199:14,17	95 <b>:</b> 12
	2:8,23	177:7	215:7,20	105:19
conversatio	46:19	180:9	216:17	106:20,22
n 83:22	125:19	184:24		107:7
108:25	171:3	188:10	Council	108:18
121:15	176:9	209:25	1:18 6:10	109:1
137:18		210:19	7:18	111:18
150:4	corporation	212:7,16,	8:16 <b>,</b> 19	113:2,4
151:5,7,8	<b>s</b> 125:15	18	9:13 12:18,22,	115:1,2 116:4
,11	126:4	213:6,7 219:9	23 13:24	117:15
153:1,5,6 158:24	169:17	225:14,16	14:6,13,2	
161:2	correct 5:9	226:2	5 15:3,17	150:3
162:5	11:7	228:8	17:15,19	
163:20	12:16		18:9	councillor
174:16	18:17	corrected	19:14,20,	6:15,17
192:10	19:17	210:18	21 20:23	7:17,22
203:21	23:14,19,	correction	21:1,8,9,	9:6,21
200.21	22,23		,	12:6 30:6

111201111	. 1e COLLINGWO		Page 242	01 201
32:2 35:6	covered	3:6,7,8,9	80:19	202:9
42:4	56:11	6 <b>:</b> 4	89:15	debate
43:13	63 <b>:</b> 17	41:4,10	116:18	155:15
97:9	72:12	46:16	129:8	133.13
106:25		66:3 90:9	153 <b>:</b> 20	debt
councillors	covering 47:18	91:20,25	<b>dated</b> 88:25	69:23 <b>,</b> 25
107:2	4/:18	102:10	120:14	Debunking
	CPC	112:6	156:9	62:9
Councils	118:18,20	cross-	130.9	
42:11	119:8,15	examining	dates	December
77:21	CPS0005635	45:14	150 <b>:</b> 16	24:25
Council's	84:13	45:14	<b>David</b> 26:22	71:22
10:15		culture	28:5 61:7	80:17
20:8 84:4	CPS0005638	20:6	76 <b>:</b> 22	deceptive
counel	86:23	Cunningham'	<b>day</b> 22:9,14	79:15
	CPS0005646	<b>s</b> 41:5	-	decide
74:23	85 <b>:</b> 22		77:12	45:22
counsel	CPS4397	current	86:15	68:20
2:3,5,10,	16:10	56:11	87:5	
14 38:21		63:14	96:24 104:22	decided
46:9	create	123:3		79:1
227:8	92:22	140:25	204:21	87:18
<b>count</b> 55:16	189:8	141:3	<b>days</b> 22:4	89:23
57 <b>:</b> 10	created	147:4	77:8,21	176:21
	14:25	currently	78:5,12,1	deciding
country	30:22 <b>,</b> 23	118:13	7 85:4	90:17
105:22	32:16	210:11	111:4	175:2
couple 9:21	103:13	Currier	115:12	decision
39:8 92:1	creates	115:2	<b>DCF</b> 197:13	30:3,14
97:13	113:10		<b>deal</b> 64:13	33:15,18
172:8		customer	65:5 95:9	41:5,12,1
course 23:3	creating	51:20	172:15	4,15 56:9
41:22	27:1	59:15,18 189:23		68:11,18
42:14	credibility	109.23	dealing	72:9
46:7	115:21	customers	47:8 54:5	75 <b>:</b> 15
47:21	criteria	154:11	168:6	77 <b>:</b> 22
48:4 61:7	94:18	189:21	226:13	82 <b>:</b> 2,5
94:19		<b>cut</b> 153:9	<b>deals</b> 59:25	84:3,10
102:20	criterion 94:18	192:21	<b>dealt</b> 12:24	105:9,24
104:5	94:10		24:23	106:2,4
115:10	critically		37:4 48:4	111:21
169:12,17	75 <b>:</b> 1	 Darius	90:15	125:10,22
170:19	cross 5:13	162:17	94:16	136:10
227:10	113:21			176:9
<b>court</b> 92:8		date 7:14	<b>Dean</b> 25:23	178:20
<b>cover</b> 72:23	crossed 130:4	8:1 20:1	26:3,5,15	188:14
89:3	130:4	24:3	28:4,5	200:7
09:3	cross-	25:17	151:1 179:18	202:24
coverage	examinati	39:10	181:11	220:14
33:1,9	on	56:4 63:8	201:21	222:8
		68:6	ZU1.Z1	

			Tage 243	
223:15	<b>ns</b> 223:8	<b>deputy</b> 14:2	determined	164:2
decision-	delighted	106:24	175 <b>:</b> 22	173:1
maker	227:11,13	described	194:19	188:18,21
176:3	delivered	29:14	developed	difficultie
decision-	49:14	68:12	30:12	<b>s</b> 82:7,10
makers	208:2	77 <b>:</b> 20	developing	difficulty
162:16	delivering	92:6,7	93:14,15,	157:19
decision-	209:13	describes	20 102:22	161:10
making		112:18	development	diligence
142:7	delivers	describing	s 125:8	143:21,22
224:1	102:23	217:22	127:19	direct
decisions	demand	Description	146:5	83:21
15:1,3	61:22	4:2	dialogue	112:2,7
33:14	154:14	desire	111:4	128:6,17
55:3,12	demanding	146:6	<b>dic</b> 142:10	137:5
81:17	164:3			173:20
124:13	demands	destroying	<b>diff</b> 185:23	179:14
125:2,25	157:19	110:16	differ 66:8	222:5
127:13	164:7,11,	detail	difference	directed
145:6	14 165:2	33:25	80:3	13:17,21,
176:13	democracy	67:3 74:3	142:9	25
194:3	110:17	89:7	143:4	direction
221:6,11, 14,16	Dennis	98:21 165:3	different	66:20
222:14	48:14	172:16	23:17	108:21,25
	<b>deny</b> 84:3	192:14	86:9	162:24
deck 210:19	-	193:19	88:5,6,8	206:10,11
211:21,24 214:25	department	195:7,8,1	95:16,20	directly
	6:23	3 202:14	99:9	25:16
declared	106:21 175:12	detailed	136:21	48:5,24
72:4		143:13	178:9	158:12,24
dedicating	depend 42:6	144:2,4	185:23	172:10
164:21	190:17	163:12,13	188:18 189:6	221:19
deemed	dependent	<b>,</b> 17 175:6	190:16	director
177:24	131:5	194:5	196:7	123:1,4,6
defined	depending	205:9,22	200:21	, 9
143:11	143:23	details	226:10	Directors
definition	194 <b>:</b> 12	29:2	differentia	221:9
79:22	depends	33:13	<b>1</b> 85:19	dirty
142:15	94:17	66:12		198:12
degree 42:6	170:20	84:10	<pre>differentia te 142:24</pre>	disadvantag
103:4	178 <b>:</b> 16	89:14 175:14	154:20	e
143:22	<b>depth</b> 77:10			225:12,17
	164:16	determine	differs	disadvantag
deliberate 224:3	177:3	169:7	74:19	es 145:21
	184:2	172:2	difficult	209:7
deliberatio	204:6,13	200:2 213:9	36:20	220:8,23
		2±0•J	95:25	,

	IC COLLINGWOO		Tage 244	
disagree	181 <b>:</b> 18	220:18	31:1	domain
40:15	191:7,20	disposition	34:2,24	119:5
135:22,24	discussion	221:4	35:3	<b>done</b> 56:4
disagreeing	15:17		38:16,22,	79:9,15
14:9	17:9 18:4	dissolved	23 39:9	81:3,18
	68:10	80:16	40:1,23	90:1
disagreemen	69:10	dis-	41:22	116:13
<b>t</b> 82:15	70:23	synergies	62:20,25	124:22,23
155:12	81:5 82:2	218:15	81:7	125:3
disclosed	92:6	distance	87:19	128:11
121:14	93:14	147:17	97:13,20	133:10
discontent	131 <b>:</b> 11		98:6 <b>,</b> 25	149:21
82:11	160:2	distant	101:17	175 <b>:</b> 9
84:6	171:16	23:21	106:22	183:12
	179:23	distinction	112:13	193:12
discount	180:7,12,	169:11	115:24	194:21
130:22,24	16 181:8	distinctly	116:5,9,1	195:24
143:20	203:22,24	24:8	1,25	
196:15,16	213:12		120:10	<b>doubt</b> 57:14
201:6	221:3	distinguish	129:4	draft
discounted	discussions	ing	133:14	129:7,12
130:19	13:7	183:14	134:15	206:5
136:6	18:19	distributed	139:23,24	224:22
143:17	20:2,4,5,	22:11	140:3,8	225:23
196:11	14 73:25		148:5	drafted
discounting	80:21	distributio	150:17 166:11	129:1
130:21	81:3	<b>n</b> 8:11 19:23	201:10	133:1
	82 <b>:</b> 24	19:23 27:9	201:10	drafter
discrepancy	109:16	53:11		143:2
88:10	114:2	99:7	documentary	
discuss	115:14	146:4	40:7	drafting
55 <b>:</b> 21	137 <b>:</b> 5	169:13	documentati	141:13,16
159:1	175 <b>:</b> 12		<b>on</b> 182:16	<b>draw</b> 95:12
224:7	179:7,14,	disturbed	documented	164:20
227:17,22	17	22:13	178:13	<b>drive</b> 110:8
<b>,</b> 25	214:5,13	diversity		
discussed	215:13	33:2	documents	driving
10:13,16	216:4	dividend	10:11	110:23
13:11	221 <b>:</b> 19	60:9,13,1	27:1 29:3	dry
17:18	222 <b>:</b> 5	8 61:1	41:21	100:11,14
24:5 43:7	226 <b>:</b> 7	91:8	70:14	, 15
68 <b>:</b> 9	diseconomy	126:1	92:8	<b>due</b> 73:5
74:14	174:9		107:5	143:20,22
160:24		dividends	121:9,10	·
discusses	disentangle 171:17	196:13	133:17	duration
129:5		docks	dollar	10:22
	disentangli	100:11,14	202:17,20	during
discussing	<b>ng</b> 178:11	<b>,</b> 15	dollars	10:1,12
96:2	dispose	document	11:5	29:9
129:7	<u>.</u>	13:6 17:7		35:12

111001111	. re collingwoc	70 00 00 2017	rage 243	01 201
41:22	easily	125:7	210:14	8
43:7	182:25	education	electric	87:2,16,1
64:20	F2.4	42:6,12	186:14	7 101:7
70:6 74:4	<b>easy</b> 53:4	52:14	188:1	120:25
82:5	198:21		100:1	129:6,9,2
90:24	199:25	102:21	electrical	2
94:23	200:1	223:16	8:11,21,2	133:14,15
95:11	<b>Ed</b> 6:14	<b>Edwin</b> 2:16	2 27:8	,17,23,24
96:5	7:11 85:1	effect	187:1,4,1	134:8
140:20	127:3,10,	170:15	3	153:19
141:2	11	218:19	electricity	
149:11	137:18,19	210:19	_	156:6,8,9
162:5	,21,23	effective	47:8	,21
227:18	149:4	159:5	68:21	157:3,11
227.10	151:1,23	162:19	123:22	158:6,16,
	152:8	efficiencie	146:5	22,23
E	153:5	<b>s</b> 20:2	183:17,23	· ·
e.g 210:10	157:1		205:11	161:3 166:2,3
eager	158:24,25	effort	element	· ·
182:20	159:16,17	157:15	33:10,17	167:14 173:2
	,20	164:18	141:10	
earlier	161:11,25	165:10	164:1	183:3
41:11	162:4,8,1	188:22	175:4	185:9
46:22	4,21	efforts	185:1	191:23,24
76:15	163:1,5	25:4,12	elements	192:2,7,1
80:16	165:14,20			1 193:6
87:5 91:6	170:25	eight 7:4	176:2	195:22
149:18	170:23	eighteen	eleven	201:23
160:19	179:18	30:23	108:17	203:18
166:4	192:5,10,	32:3	eligible	204:12
172:20			162:11	206:2,3
174:19	11,22 201:21	<b>either</b> 7:21		208:5,6,1
193:25		44:15	<b>else</b> 44:1	5 224:23
196:22	202:12,23	77:13	64:7	e-mail
215:13	203:10	100:1	108:11	181:14,16
223:3,7	213:13	106:5	137:20	emailing
224:5	222:3	141:7	139:14	195:21
225:13	223:3,5,1	149:12	149:22	193:21
<b>early</b> 84:3	1	169:8	158 <b>:</b> 7	emails
124:11	editor 6:22	177:15,19	169:9	84:18
	56:21	182:21	181:5	87:24
earn 198:23	96:8 <b>,</b> 15	213:4	194:20	106:9,10,
199:1	editorials	214:10	195 <b>:</b> 13	14
216:13,14	56:21	elapsed	201:18	107:1,10
217:16		127:8	209:13	201:23
earnings	Ed's 159:11	elected	email	<b>Emblem</b> 2:25
91:12	educate	97:4	47:13,18	
196:13	223:23	103:22	48:7	emphasis
easier	educated		75:21,22	86:13
164:13	42:2	election	84:25	88:11
205:13		29:19	85 <b>:</b> 17	employ
200.10	educating	41:18	86:2,10,1	101:1
			, , , , , , ,	

	INQUIRI	Te COLLINGWOO	D 03-03-2019	rage 240	O1 204
1	13:9	53:10	189:7,11,	128:2,6,1	19,24
emp	loyee	ensuring	12 190:8	6,20,24	162:7
_	18:22	55:8	212:10	129:2,10,	163:4,10,
			217:4	20,23	23
	loyees	<b>enter</b> 126:4	226:9	130:2	165:1,16,
٥.	2:3,14	entered	entrusted	131:22	19
ene	rgy	7:17	221:14	132:10,16	
	7:2,4,20	entering	<b>entry</b> 151:3	,20	9,21
	7:8	93:4		133:2,5,9	
	9:9,13	126:2	environment	,23	168:7
	4:18,19	214:4	55 <b>:</b> 2	134:6,11	169:4,10
	05:23		92:22	135:14,19	
	23:15	enterprise	110:19,21	,25	14,18
	27 <b>:</b> 19	7:4,7	127:22	136:19	172:3,18,
	54:7,14	39:12	209:19	137:4,17,	23
	64:2	121:7	212:21,22	21	173:5,9,2
1	65 <b>:</b> 9	196:19	Environment	138:11,15	
eng	rage	198:18	al	,20	175:25
9.	5 <b>:</b> 14	Enterprise-	104:4,5	139:2,16,	176:20
1	08:7,8	Bulletin	·	23	177:7,11,
ong	raged	96:15	envision	140:12,15	
_	9:18,23	entire	187:6	141:13,14	
	00:10	95:17	envisioned	,16,19 142:12	13,16 180:10,23
	09:6		175 <b>:</b> 7	142:12	181:6
		entirety	<b>EnWin</b> 184:3	144:6,21	182:9,11
_	agement	41:15	186:5,16	145:2,15	183:5,10
	9:13	146:22	<b>EPCOR</b> 36:14	146:10,14	·
	04:25	entited	37:1	,20,24	185:4,7,1
	09:5,12	208:3	68:25	147:8	5,20
	19:7	entities	79:20	148:9,18,	•
	28:8,22	169:16	226:8	24	,22
	29:1,7,1	170:8		149:3,9,1	
2		177:16	<b>equal</b> 74:24	5,24	,14
	37:6,10 40:13	186:12	equity	150:10,13	
	40:13 47:10,11	189:8,14	73:20	,19,22	191:4
	60:8	210:8	176:8	151:13,17	192:3,9,2
	92:13	entitled	220:16	,21	2
		59 <b>:</b> 7	Eric 48:18	152:11 <b>,</b> 15	193:7 <b>,</b> 20
_	agements	208:3		<b>,</b> 23	194:17,24
1	92:15		Erling 3:12	153:3,10,	195:10,15
eng	aging	entity	85:1	14	196:1,4
1	11:14	61:10	122:6,19,	154:3,6	200:3,5,2
eng	ineering	74:24	23,24	155:2,6,9	3
_	1:15	141:8	123:3,7,1	156:19	201:11,20
	62:19	169:15,20	1,19	157:4,10	202:7
		,22,25 170:2,3	124:9,18, 25 125:16	158:9,15,	203:5,11
	anced	184:6		20	204:16,21
5	2:1	184:6	126:9,12, 22	159:14,23	
enh	ancing	187:18,20	127:1,16	160:20	205:16,19
		10/.10,20	12/ <b>.</b> 1 <b>,</b> 10	161:5,15,	,21
1		1			

	I IC COHHINGWO	00 00 2019	rage 247	01 201
206:8,11,	143:10,12	everybody	-in-Chief	32:22
15,18,21,	144:24,25	78:1,3	3 <b>:</b> 13	34:23
25	154:16	everybody's	examine	35:9
208:8,17,	197:6	70:2	66:8	123:4
21	198:14		113:22	exemption
209:3,9,1	200:17	evidence		210:10,12
5 <b>,</b> 25	estimates	7:2 9:9	examined	,23
210:16,20	130:8	11:4	219:5	211:3,4,6
211:5,23	134:24	15:12	examiner	,12,20
212:3,7,1	137:2	17:6	5 <b>:</b> 23	
7	139:11	21:20	examining	exemptions
213:7,10,		23:3 24:3	50:1	210:5
22	<b>et</b> 115:7	26:2 37:6		exercise
214:8,12,	ethical	40:7,19	example	92:24
20,23	96:22	45:4	48:11	94:22
215:12,22	228:1	46:22	77 <b>:</b> 25	136:8
216:24	evaluate	66:9 70:5	124:1	143:18
217:25	222:13	74:20	126:3	149:21
218:21,23		81:7,9,13	127:23	157 <b>:</b> 23
219:9,15,	evaluating	112:9	130:4	171 <b>:</b> 5
19	155:16	120:16	162:17	175 <b>:</b> 1
220:6,11,	evaluation	157:12 167:25	167:8	189:2
25 222:1	196:6		179:17	190:1,3
223:1	199:8	227:18,20	186:15	exhibit 4:2
224:9,14,	220:3,5	evidenced	excellent	17 <b>:</b> 8
17,20	225:7	23:22	46:2	35 <b>:</b> 22
225:9,15	evaluations	evolution	134:14	40:1,6,16
226:2,5,1	185:2	164:7	except	54:11
227:1,5,1		evolved	26 <b>:</b> 10	58:11
0,14,22	Evaluators	127 <b>:</b> 9		62 <b>:</b> 14
	142:23		exception	166:11
Erling's	<b>event</b> 27:25	exact 7:14	24:24	Exhibits
166:3	28:3	39:10	excess 11:4	3:3 4:1
err 216:7	192:7	116:12,18	excessively	
<b>error</b> 24:15	209:24	135:20	182:4	exit
	events	169:16		93:5,11
especially	39:16	exactly	exchanged	expand
69:2	40:12	27:11	147:12	37:10
92:16	eventual	74:15	exclude	47:23
96:21	19:2 29:3	76:21	178:21	expanding
103:24	36:5	144:21	exclusions	214:2
107:7	111:12	157 <b>:</b> 11	194:25	
essentially		200:3		expansion
47:11	eventually	examination	<b>excuse</b> 66:21	74:13,14
62:4	9:17	3:10 5:14	96:17	94:3,11
132:3	18:14	81:9		expect
154:9	30:11	112:4	executed	21:20
estimate	ever-	122:21	29:3	23:3
137:15	growing	Examination	executive	168:14
142:21	82:10	DAGMING CION	31:7	181:25
	1			

~				
182:3	explaining	162:22	215:23	101:1
185:10	56:3	<b>fact</b> 10:7	218:20	108:18
210:12	explore	14:2 17:7	222:25	111:11
expectation	62:20	19:3,22	fairly	115:19
<b>s</b> 74:8	70:5	24:19	77:22	<b>fees</b> 128:9
	141:3	26:19	79 <b>:</b> 6	
expected	191:16	27:23	115:4	<b>felt</b> 69:12
88:3	204:5	28:25	163:12	103:21
154:16,18		32:5	190:2	149:18
224:5	explored	73:17		figure
expenses	75:2	92:22	<b>fall</b> 195:13	174:23
168:16,17	express	93:21	<b>false</b> 110:9	files
experience	136:17	97 <b>:</b> 4	familiar	147:13,14
31:15		99:18,23	41:21	•
82:14	<b>expressed</b> 139:1,15	100:10,21	66:11	filing 40:4
94:8	139:1,13	104:3,5	67:14	107:8
103:20,23	expressing	107:25	75:21	<b>fill</b> 10:1
110:19	136:16,21	108:2	86:3	
110:19	extend	137:10,13	93:17	filled 10:3
185:11	189:16	,14	94:22	<b>final</b> 38:21
223:2		168:16	95:1	39:2,7
223.2	extensive	193 <b>:</b> 25	99:17	63:23
experienced	79:7	217:11	100:16	64:11
110:21	125:6		107:12	70:6,14
experiences	extent	factor 84:5	157:5	78:4
103:17	103:10	85:20	184:1,2	81:16
	extenuating	183:6		82:3 90:8
expert	92:13,19	factors	familiarity	135:13
106:11	·	33:15	72:16	146:17
109:21	external	155:20	famous	225:23
expertise	223:11,13	176:15	202:10	finalized
27:20	extra	180:1	<b>6</b> 001 F	74:25
30:2	165:10	Fagen 48:18	<b>fancy</b> 201:5	
51:16		_	favour 49:4	Finally
65:9	extremely	<b>fair</b> 11:19	158:13	53 <b>:</b> 7
127:24	36:24	20:8,15	favourable	finance
experts	79:18	43:11	59:17	197:22
64:16	86:14	48:3		financial
78:13	110:4	78 <b>:</b> 22	feature	58:16
155:15	<b>eye</b> 163:15	95:4	183:14	66:14
		96:19	February	78:14
explain	F	107:5,6,2	129:9	124:3
136:25	faced	4 128:22	133:25	124.3
explained	157:25	144:4,6	146:22	135:8
73:1		145:14	<b>fed</b> 157:21	136:4,5
117:15	facilitate	151:10		141:1,3
123:8	184:9	155 <b>:</b> 12	feed	141:1,3
132:15	facilities	158:2	104:22,23	147:5
139:10	64:22	170:17	<b>feel</b> 73:16	147:5
226:20	facing	193:3	78:16	
	Tacing	209:24	81:21	167:1,11,
			V 1 • 2 1	

		OD 03 03 2013		O1 204
17,22,23	166:16	160:11	<b>forms</b> 213:3	58 <b>:</b> 12
168:9	193:11	193:12	<b>forth</b> 68:6	62:15 <b>,</b> 22
171:2	197:15	203:22,24	170:24	65:18 <b>,</b> 25
177:24	204:3	focused	171:16	67:12 <b>,</b> 18
212:21	212:25	123:14	180:14	70:11,20,
219:6	Firstly	127:18		25
<b>fine</b> 84:21	92:5		fortunately	71:4,7,11
153:10		follow-up	13:5	83:6,11,2
	fish	85:4,17	forward	0 84:21
finish	104:22,23	footnote	14:21	85:6 <b>,</b> 11
224:19	Fisher	89:9	21:20	100:3
finished	104:11	<b>force</b> 14:24	30:2 52:9	112:1
5:13	<b>five</b> 34:11	29:23	75 <b>:</b> 1	121 <b>:</b> 22
Fire 100:17	38:24	125:4	77:24	122:3,7,1
	196:12	126:14	92:20,24	0 147:22
firm 44:9		210:11	110:3	152:13,16
first	<b>flag</b> 131:10		153 <b>:</b> 23	166:12
6:9,11	142:5	forecast	154 <b>:</b> 22	186:9,17,
7:14 <b>,</b> 17	flagging	66:15	156:22	20,23
12:22 <b>,</b> 23	138:12	196:14	183:3	187:3,7,1
31:6,8,10	flags	forefront	forwarded	0
47:25	141:22	79:1	159:7	188:7,12
49:24		foreseen	192:4,5	190:21
54:14,20	flavour	69:19	·	207:12,17
58:17 <b>,</b> 25	98:5		Foundation	211:15
59:9	<b>flesh</b> 202:9	forget	112:13	224:15,18
60:8 <b>,</b> 17	flipping	166:10	129:4 134:15	225:5,11, 24
69 <b>:</b> 7	219:25	<b>form</b> 158:7	134:15	
71:16,25		203:1	201:9	226:3,11, 19
72:20	<b>flow</b> 130:19	formal	201.9	227:3,7,1
76:14	131:1	141:25		2,15,24
77:17	136:6	142:9,14,	fourth	
80:4	143:17	15,22	51 <b>:</b> 25	frankly
84:24	144:8	143:5,25	146:15	108:24
91:7	196:11	144:25	<b>Frank</b> 1:7	Frederick
102:13,19	198:4	formalized	5:3,6,17,	2:16 3:6
<b>,</b> 20	199:7	185:25	24 24:10	5 <b>:</b> 22
103:3,18	flows		27:13,19,	6:1,4,5,8
104:6,25	130:20	format	22 34:4,9	<b>,</b> 13 <b>,</b> 25
117:4 119:12	131:13	97 <b>:</b> 22	35 <b>:</b> 23	7:6,10,16
127:8	144:14	98:24	36:15	<b>,</b> 20
131:24	196:15,16	formation	37:2 <b>,</b> 23	8:4,9,15,
133:16	,18,25	13:8,10	40:2,14	25
135:10	197:10,14	14:19	43:19,22	9:5,8,16
138:5,8	<b>,</b> 25	15:8	44:4,12,2	10:2,5,18
140:22	focus	former	0,24	<b>,</b> 23
147:2	43:11,13	13:20	45:13,17,	11:2,8,13
151:23	53:9		21,25	<b>,</b> 23
156:8	68:11	forming	46:3,10	12:10,15
161:20	136:22	14:14	54:12	13:3,13

		OD 03 03 2013	- 9	01 204
14:1,5,11	43:3,10,1	83:7,13,1	function	199:17
,18	6,21	7 <b>,</b> 25	133:11	218:9
15:5,10,1	44:22	84:1,11,1	216:3	221:17
9,25	45:2,3,11	7,23,24	fundamental	generally
16:6,12,1	46:6,12	85:14 <b>,</b> 15	ly 180:4	42:2
3,18,23	83:1 <b>,</b> 15	86:1,8	<b>1y</b> 100:4	47:24
17:1,5,17	152:1,19	87:1,9,14	funding	69:12
,21	Freedom	<b>,</b> 23	75:15 <b>,</b> 24	102:19,20
18:1,7,12	106:14	88:3,9,20	76 <b>:</b> 2,3	106:16
,22,25	107:8	,24	<b>funds</b> 93:16	
19:6,12,1	109:22	89:7,11,1		150:16
8		6 <b>,</b> 22	<b>future</b> 33:2	217:25
20:7,15,2	frequently	90:7,18,2	73:1	
0 21:7,16	83:2	1,24	77:10	generated
22:1,12,1	107:9	91:6,10,1	81:19	91:12
7,23	friend	7	93:21	generation
23:2,9,15	112:6	148:13,15	100:23	124:4
,20,24	116:4	149:13	125:2	146:8
24:9,17,2	152:2	156:9,14,	127:5	genesis
1,22	<b>front</b> 63:8	24 157:8	130:20	62:21
25:2,10,1	225:8	158:12,18	131:13	
9,25	223:0	159:13	164:11	gentleman's
26:14,18,	frustrated	160:24	171:24	40:11
21	192:23	161:4	196:25	geographic
27:5,17,2	fryer 2:14	164:24	198:1	33:1
1	3:8	166:5,6	220:14	
28:1,2,9,	66:1,3,4,	176 <b>:</b> 25	221:14,25	geographica
17,21,25	6,7,23	179:9	223:9	<b>1</b> 33:9
29:7	67:1,10,1	181 <b>:</b> 19		George 2:12
30:4,8,15	9,20,24,2	182:1,8	G	45:15
31:5	5 68:3,17	208:7	<b>gain</b> 107:9	<b>gets</b> 189:14
32:1,8,14	69:6,14,2	209:11	gained 94:7	_
,21,24	1 70:3,12	214:10	<b>Gajos</b> 2:22	getting
33:7,16,2	71:1,2,5,	224:11		81:8 92:2
2	6,9,14,19	Fryer's	game 115:22	164:1
34:7,14,2	,20,24	156:22	gather 15:2	175:1
0,21	72:7,10,2	183:4	gathering	193:12 205:21
35:1,5,15	0 73:14	<b>FS</b> 172:10	96:23	223:6
,20,24	74:1,16			
36:1,2,8, 11,18	75:6,12,1	<b>fuel</b> 104:12	Gemba	<b>given</b> 29:14
37:12,25	9	fulfil	104:4,5	40:11,20
38:3,4,8,	76:5,8,13	178:5 <b>,</b> 6	general	42:22
14,20	77:3	<b>full</b> 57:17	19:20	43:24
39:6,13,1	78:10,16,	58:17	26:1,3	44:8
9,25	22	68:9	50 <b>:</b> 21	144:13
40:8,24	79:13,17,	95:18	77:6 <b>,</b> 20	155:17
41:2,3,8,	23	139:24	98:21	160:3,6
19,25	80:2,9,14	140:8	102:24	173:19
42:9,17,2	81:6,24		108:1	178:6,16
4	82:3,20,2	<b>fully</b> 73:6	172:14	giving
_	5			

~				
28:18	greater	78:8	<b>hear</b> 5:7	183:3,11
78:13	20:17,19	105:18	23:3	191:4,9,2
Glance 59:7	164:4,16	110:24	heard 9:9	4 192:1,7
Glicksman	greatly	158:19,21	10:11	193:5,9
48:16	37 <b>:</b> 10	happens	11:4 26:1	195:5
	51:11,20	93:10	27:10,11	204:22 208:7
goal 69:23	61:19	happy	42:1 49:2	
goals 55:1	<b>grid</b> 146:7	132:21	50:20	<b>he's</b> 5:14
gone 9:21	212:21	207:9	68:4	8:1,13,23
36:5,21	group	226:18	111:10	62:16
50:4	127:18	hard 145:8	hearing	136:1,7
63:14	221:2	155:17	23:4	157:14 196:8
191:13		170:23	24:11	228:1
Google	growing	171:4	heart	
217:6	84:5	174:22	11:22,25	high 27:12
	199:11,12	194:1	12:7	96:22
Gord 114:13	growth 73:1	harmonizati	<b>heat</b> 124:5	103:4
115:2	74:5 <b>,</b> 9	on 218:16		131:7
gotten	75:14,23		hectic	138:3,9,1
177:23	76:3	hats	182:4	9,22,23,2
178:14	93:15,21	178:6,7	<b>he'd</b> 114:19	4 200:11 204:11
192:12	guess 19:1	haven't	<b>held</b> 1:16	
224:24	133:14	109:12,13	56:2	higher
225:1	178:1	155 <b>:</b> 20		87:10
226:10	192:4	having 9:10	he'll	155:18
governance	guessing	83:22	196:7,10,	high-level
221:13,17	57:7	94:14	11	139:5
governed		108:24	Hello 66:5	193:16,21
228:2	guesswork 78:19	114:9	<b>help</b> 163:7	194:22
	/8:19	137:12	_	195:5
governing	<b>guy</b> 165:3	147:11	helped	highly 26:6
82:11	201:1	148:12	123:20	27 <b>:</b> 7
government		151:22	helpful	hired
100:23	H	157 <b>:</b> 18	83:16	155:15
103:5,19	<b>Hall</b> 1:17	159:6	184:17	
110:11		1 0 0 0		hiring 9:20
	<b>hand</b> 207:5	178:23	helping	1111111 <b>9</b> 9.20
210:4		179:1	helping 115:6	historic
	handled		115:6	_
210:4	handled 79:3	179:1	115:6 hence 176:9	historic
210:4  Governments 29:18	handled 79:3 handwritten	179:1 223:25 <b>head</b> 6:21	115:6 hence 176:9 here's	historic 14:20 217:18
210:4  Governments	handled 79:3	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8	115:6 hence 176:9	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22	handled 79:3 handwritten	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15	115:6 hence 176:9 here's	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22  granular	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22  granular 188:19	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20 happen	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading 54:15	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10 126:7	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17 Hogg 87:5 holding
Governments 29:18 granted 7:22 granular 188:19 great 18:20	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20 happen 69:12 73:5 171:13	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading 54:15 headline	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10 126:7 127:1	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17 Hogg 87:5 holding 82:6
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22  granular 188:19  great 18:20 30:2	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20 happen 69:12 73:5 171:13 180:16	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading 54:15	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10 126:7 127:1 156:23	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17 Hogg 87:5 holding
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22  granular 188:19  great 18:20 30:2 172:15	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20 happen 69:12 73:5 171:13	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading 54:15 headline	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10 126:7 127:1 156:23 158:24	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17 Hogg 87:5 holding 82:6
210:4  Governments 29:18  granted 7:22  granular 188:19  great 18:20 30:2	handled 79:3 handwritten 112:19,20 happen 69:12 73:5 171:13 180:16	179:1 223:25 head 6:21 headed 50:8 118:15 heading 54:15 headline 47:25	115:6 hence 176:9 here's 200:1 Herhalt 124:10 126:7 127:1 156:23	historic 14:20 217:18 historicall y 14:17 Hogg 87:5 holding 82:6 169:20,22

	10 0011110110	00 00 00 2013	1490 202	01 204
holidays	70:11,20,	127:3	<b>11y</b> 95:13	33:11,21
210:5	25	148:12,15		34:13,25
home 109:4	71:4,7,11	149:12		35:4,10,1
	83:6,11,2	151:12 <b>,</b> 19	i.e 18:16	8 36:7,10
honestly	0 84:21	152 <b>:</b> 5	19:2 29:4	38:7,10
44:10	85:6,11	153:1	135:9	39:4,8,18
Honour	100:3	156:9	217:5	,24
5:10,11,2	112:1	158 <b>:</b> 18		41:7,13,2
3 24:18	121:22	161:2	<b>Ian</b> 3:5	4
27:18	122:3,7,1	166:4	5:5,9,20	42:5,13,2
35:21	0 147:22	179:9	6:7,11,18	0
36:19	152:13,16	180:8,20	7:5,8,13,	43:2,9,15
37:14	166:12	181:18	19 <b>,</b> 24	44:2,7,14
38:1	186:9,17,	191:5,25	8:7,12,20	45:9
40:8,25	20,23	192:8	9:4,7,14,	46:25
43:17	187:3,7,1	193:10	19	47:3,6,10
44:23	0	201:15	10:4,9,21	, +0, -0,
45:20	188:7,12	203:21	11:1,6,11	,24
54:10	190:21	204:13	,20	48:6,9,12
58:11	207:12,17	208:6	12:8,12	,15,17,19
62:13,20	211:15	209:11	13:1,12,1	,22,25
63:2 83:2	224:15,18	214:10	9	49:6,16
85:10	225:5,11,	222 <b>:</b> 24	14:4,8,16	50:25
88:16	24	224:11	,22	51:4,9,13
106:9	226:3,11,	Houghton's	15:9,15	<b>,</b> 17 <b>,</b> 22
109:3	19	67 <b>:</b> 5	16:25	52:5,10,1
147:20	227:3,7,1	193:6	17:4,16,2	5 <b>,</b> 21
152:1	2,15,24		0	53:1,6,15
207:9	hope 16:1	house 93:7	18:6,11,1	, 20, 20
226:17	182:17	Housing	8 <b>,</b> 23	54:1,9
HONOURABLE	hopefully	14:24	19:5,11,1	
5:3,6,17,	222:12	<b>huge</b> 217:8	7,25 20:12,18	3,23
24 24:10		_	21:2,13,2	56:25
27:13,19,	hoping	<b>Hume</b> 64:22	4	] 37.377,13
22 34:4,9	149:13	hundred	22:7,16,2	58:2,5,8,
35:23	182:5	11:5	2	19,23
36:15	hot-button	36:25	23:1,8,14	59:2,11,2
37:2,23	95:9	37:5,6	,19,23	0
40:2,14	Houghton	56 <b>:</b> 16	24:7	60:4,11,1
43:19,22	2:16	69 <b>:</b> 7	25:1,7,14	5,19,24
44:4,12,2	6:15,19	79:24	,24	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
0,24	7:11,22	155:23	26:5,17,2	62:2,7,12 63:7,11,2
45:13,17,	8:18,20	173:7	0,24	03:7,11,2
21,25	9:2,17	183:19	27:10	64:2,5,8
46:3,10	10:3,6,13	Hurontario	28:8,16,2	65:3,7,11
54:12	11:9,15,2	1:19	0,24	,15
58:12	1 83:4		29:6,15	66:6,21,2
62:15,22	85:18	Hydro	30:7,13	4 67:9,16
65:18,25	113:23	210:10 211:1	32:7,20,2	68:15
67:12 <b>,</b> 18	114:2,22		3	69:5,16,2
		hypothetica		05.5,10,2

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD	05-03-2019	Page 253 of 284
------------------------	------------	-----------------

	Te confindation	JD 03-03-2019	raye 233	01 204
4	108:4,12,	171:23	86:10	172:2
70:16,22	23	177:14	88 <b>:</b> 15	175:19
71:23	109:7,11,	214:18	89:6,14,2	
72:2,8,19	18,24		0 90:3,5	177:15,19
73:7,23	110:5,13,	identify	93:8,24	178:15,16
74:10	25	141:5	96:6	179:22
75:5,17	111:15,23	212:24	99:11,21,	202:17
76:1,6	112:11,25	213:2	25 100:13	
77:17	113:13,17	ignores	116:11	impacts
	· ·	201:6	119:10,11	81:15
78:11,18	<b>,</b> 25			205:9,23
79:11,14,	114:4,7,2	<b>ill</b> 5:14	121:3	219:4,12
21,24	5 115:16	I'll 45:15	133:13	impatient
81:2,23,2	116:7,10,	62:22,24	140:2	192:12
5	22	65 <b>:</b> 19	141:9	
82:14,22	117:13,17	72:22	143:1	implication
83:9 84:7	,21,25	77:9	147:20,21	173:3,13
85:9 86:6	118:6,10	84:17	152:2,11	implication
87:8,13,2	119:19,23	87:6 <b>,</b> 12	156 <b>:</b> 17	<b>s</b> 78:25
1,24	120:3,15,	88:12	161 <b>:</b> 24	115:14
88:7,23	20,23	104:15	163:7,10,	146:3
89:6,12,2	121:5,11,	104:15	20 165:19	174:7
0	18 <b>,</b> 25	111:17	170:4	
90:2,10,2	ianchadwick	156:25	176 <b>:</b> 12	194:11
0,23	.com 64:1	157:6	179:3	212:22
91:4,9,15			180:11	implied
<b>,</b> 23	I'd 11:20	198:12	182 <b>:</b> 5	139:4
92:4,9,15	27 <b>:</b> 11	I'm 5:14	183:5 <b>,</b> 6	important
93:1,6,18	30:19	6 <b>:</b> 25	184:1	75 <b>:</b> 1
, 24	31:5 66:8	11:11,14	185 <b>:</b> 16	76:20
94:13,25	69:4	12:18	186:10,20	105:7
95:6,10,2	91:10	20:21	201:1,24	115:17
2	102:11	23:25	205:2	130:11
96:4,11,1	103:19	24:11 <b>,</b> 15	207:7 <b>,</b> 9	136:4
4	109:7	25:7 37:5	208:24	
97:1,6,10	111:8,10	38:21	214:13	160:12
,21 98:23	112:20	40:3,5,15	218:17	169:11
99:1,5,11	117:3	,21 43:4	226:17	impose 46:4
,15,20,25	119:23	46:18	227:5,11	imposed
100:12,18	180:15	50:14		79:19
,24	<b>idea</b> 137:5	55 <b>:</b> 16	imagine	89:2
101:4,9,1	159:14	57:7 <b>,</b> 23	93:3	
9,22	197:4	59 <b>:</b> 13	180:11	impressed
102:1,7,2	197:4	63 <b>:</b> 7	impact	181:11
5	ideal	71:8,11,1	80:23	impression
103:6,13,	182:18	7 73:3,11	81:22	157:21
23	identified	75:17,23	105:14	158:8
104:8,14	96:7	77:17,23	141:6	202:23
105:2,17,	100:22	79:8	168:9,21	203:1
25 106:16	107:4	81:25	170 <b>:</b> 19	207:1
107:6,13,	121:10	83:2,5	171:2,4,6	225:1
16	132:12	84:11,19	,18,24	
10	194.14	O 1 • 1 1 / 1 J	, ,	improve
į l				İ

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO.	D 05-03-2019	Page 254	of 284
51:11,20	168:12	99:14,19,	24 184:16	instances
improved	Indeed 8:9	24 100:6	185:9,10	104:17
32:18		101:2	193:13	177:13
	independent 222:12	industry	informed	183:24
inappropria tely		8:11,22	54 <b>:</b> 16	instead
108:19	independent	27 <b>:</b> 9	initial	207:14
	<b>ly</b> 173:17	64:17	194:8	Institute
incentives	in-depth	65:10	198:14	142:22
146:7	172:12	125:8	224:22	143:11
IN-CHIEF	indicate	127:9	initially	instructed
122:21	22:24	164:1,11	10:6	45:6
include	45:15	209:19 212:22	149:17	
47:25	75 <b>:</b> 13	223:16,22		instructing
75:14	indicated	·	initiative 74:23	44:5
178:24	7:2,18	inevitably		instruction
221:2	15:11	217:10	in-person	43:24
included	36 <b>:</b> 4	inflated	153:21	instructors
19:7,8,9	127:2	218:10	159:5,9	44:17
21:21	130:4	influenced	163:14	insurance
47:5 51:7	149:18	199:10	input	93:10
56:6	206:4	influencing	73:10,11	
66:13	indicates	227 <b>:</b> 20	91:3	integrity
112:19	75 <b>:</b> 20		176:9	111:17,18
125:4	indicating	information	223:6	intend
138:9	157:14	15:2 25:16	inputs	37:11
183:14,19	161:9	56:2 70:6	136:5	intent
including	168:2	74:17	143:22	135:20
50:12	indication	78:5,19	<pre>inquiry 1:3</pre>	intention
54:25	136:12	90:4	2:3,4	104:17,20
124:13 146:6		94:1,3	13:14,16	interacting
	indicative	96:23	37:10	223:6
inclusion	129:16 134:3	106:14,18	38:11,21	
194:25	134:3	107:9,21	41:6 54:8	interaction
incorrect	142:1,10,	108:1,10,	58 <b>:</b> 4	7:11
63:16	16 143:5	20 109:22	73:13 88:1	inter-
210:21	144:1	113:11	106:8	company
increase	145:1	118:8 120:17	109:16	195:18
174:9,24	179:25	120:17	120:13	interest
199:15,21	individuall	136:16	123:9	19:8
incredibly	y 94:17	164:3	172:6	43:6,14,2
95:25		172:21	inserted	5 68:21
incremental	individuals	173:25	133:8	72:4
189:24	28:7 112:8	174:23		124:13
	126:17	175:23	<b>inside</b> 91 <b>:</b> 12	131:5
incurred		177:9,17,		176:8 213:5
89:5	induced	24	instance	213:5
incurring	161:11	178:14,25	175:18	220:16
	Industrial	182:6,14,		220.10
	i I			

INQUINI	. le COLLINGWOC	00 00 2017	rage 233	01 204
interested	96:17	42:8,16	223:14	127:1,11,
19:14	interviewed	47:12	224:3	14,23,25
20:22	6:20	105:9	<b>it'd</b> 79:7	128:3,13,
42:1 43:4		114:17	220:12	17,21,25
50:14	interviews	115:21		129:3,11,
59:14	9:24	124:16	<b>item</b> 31:20	21,24
119:11	178:2	126:24	32:9 33:8	131:20,23
126:2	introduced	184:18	34:1 40:7	132:11,17
127:3	56:10	185:6	66:8	,21
171:8,9	210:4,13	221:19	137:18	133:3,6,1
174:5	211:12	involvement	<b>items</b> 33:24	
186:21			35:2,8	134:7,14,
214:2	invested	28:11	181:22	20
	197 <b>:</b> 8	99:13		135.15.23
interesting	198:2,7,2	involves	it'll 72:17	136:5,14,
109:3	5	143:20	I've 9:20	24
interests	199:2,4,5	involving	11:4	137:8,19,
11:17,22,	<b>,</b> 9	92:17	17 <b>:</b> 12	25
24 12:7	investigate		27:3,10,2	138:13,16
52:2	68:20	irrational	3 81:4	,22
218:5,6	125:2	217:1	89:14	139:9,17,
221:10		<b>isn't</b> 142:5	103:7	22
interim	investigate	<b>issue</b> 12:24	106:18	140:7,12,
9:25	<b>d</b> 88:4		111:9,10	16 141:15
82:18	investment	20:13	123:11	142:8,23
83:10	91:13	24:12		143:1
	215:8	105:8		144:3,17,
interior	216:8	108:25 162:22	January	22
183:17	investor	167:21	49:3,14	145:10,17
internal	19:21	171:20	72:11	146:11,15
80:21	20:9		74:25	,21,25
129:5		180:14	74.23	147 <b>:</b> 19
179:6	investors	184:12	<b>Jay</b> 115:2	148:3,4,9
	216:11	195:11 202:3	job	,22
internally	invoice		10:7,8,10	149:1,7,1
114:9	112:18	216:16 218:24	97 <b>:</b> 9	1,22
internation	128:9	219:23	114:16	150:6,11,
ally	involuntary	225:1	205:12	14,20
110:12	52 <b>:</b> 8			151:10,14
Internet		issues 47:8	John 2:4	,18
47:12	involve	92:1,2	3:13	152:22,23
	42:18	95:9	48:16	153:8,11,
interpretat	143:19	110:14	83:10	18
ion	188:4	124:12	122:5,9,2	154:4,23
107:25	involved	141:5	1,22,25	155:3,7,2
118:1	25 <b>:</b> 4	146:2	123:5,8,1	5
165:17	26:16,19,	163:24	6	156:5,20
interpreted	25 27:3,4	174:15	124:6,10,	157 <b>:</b> 7
182:13,23	28:5,6,13	215:14	15 <b>,</b> 21	158:2,11,
, 25	,14,23	219:22	125:12	16,24
	30:1	222:2	126:6,11,	159:10,15
interview			18,23	·

INQUIRY re C	COLLINGWOOD	05-03-2019	Page	256	of	284
--------------	-------------	------------	------	-----	----	-----

	- IC COLLINGWOO	00 00 2019	1 age 250	01 204
160:13,18	20	129:2,10,	9,21	212:3,7,1
,21	206:1,4,9	20,23	167:15	7
161:13,16	,13,16,20	130:2	168:7	213:7,10,
,20	,23	131:22	169:4,10	22
162:6,25	207:7,15,	132:10,16	170:7,11,	214:8,12,
163:6,21	20,21	<b>,</b> 20	14,18	20,23
164:22	208:1,9,1	133:2,5,9	172:3,18,	215:12,22
165:11,18	4,19,23	134:6,11	23	216:24
,22	209:5,10,	135:14,19	173:5,9,2	217:25
166:1,8,1	16	<b>,</b> 25	3 174:1	218:21,23
0,14,15,2	210:1,17	136:19	175 <b>:</b> 25	219:9,15,
0,23	211:3,7,1	137:4,17,	176:20	19
168:3,24	8,19	21	177:7,11,	220:6,11,
169:5	212:2,5,1	138:11,15	21	25 222 <b>:</b> 1
170:4,8,1	3,19	<b>,</b> 20	179:2,10,	223:1
2,15	213:8,21,	139:2,16	13,16	224:9,14,
171:21	24	140:15	180:10,23	·
172:4,19,	214:9,17,	141:14,19	181:6	225:9,15
24	21,24	142:12	182:9,11	226:2,5,1
173:6,11,	215:16	143:8	183:5,10	6
24 175:17	216:20	144:6,21	184:24	227:1,5,1
176:17,24	217:21	145:2,15	185:4,7,1	0,14,22
177:8,13	218:13,22	146:10,14	5,20	Jonathan's
178:22	219:1,10,	,20,24	186:13,19	191:14
179:4,8,1	16,21	147:8	<b>,</b> 22	Journal
1,15	220:7,20	148:18,24	187:2,5,9	54 <b>:</b> 5
180:6,18, 25 181:13	221:20 222:23	149:3,9,1	,14	
182:10	224:4,10	5,24 150:10,13	188:9,16 192:3,9,2	journalist
183:1,7,1	225:3	,19,22	2	7:12,21
1,12	226:17	151:13,17	193:7 <b>,</b> 20	12:4
184:15,25		,21	194:17,24	96:8,21,2 5 106:12
185:5,8,1	joint	152:11,15	195:10,15	111:18
8,19	183:22	153:3,10,	196:1,4	113:1
186:7	184:12	14	200:3,5,2	
190:24,25	193:23	154:3,6	3	<b>judge</b> 76:16
192:6,11,	jokingly	155:2,6,9	201:11,20	judgement
20	71:16	156:19	202:7	188:5
193:3,8	Jonathan	157:4,10	203:5,11	judgements
194:15,18	3:12 85:1	158:9,15,	204:16,25	143:19
195:4,12,	122:6,19,	20	205:16,19	
20	24	159:14 <b>,</b> 23	,21	judging
196:2,6	123:3,7,1	160:20	206:8,11,	103:6
199:23	1,19	161:5,15,	15,18,21,	judgment
200:4,20	124:9,18,	19,24	25	37:21
201:2,6,8	25 125:16	162:7	208:8,17,	judicial
<b>,</b> 12	126:9,12,	163:4,10,	21	1:3 88:1
202:4,22	22	23	209:3,9,1	109:15
203:9,13,	127:1,16	165:1,16,	5 <b>,</b> 25	
18 204:17	128:2,6,1	19	210:16,20	juggling
205:7,17,	6,20,24	166:7,9,1	211:5,23	157:19
1				

	TO COLLINGWO	OD 03-03-2019	rage 237	01 201
<b>July</b> 31:16	kinda	88:13	largest	216:20
32:4	174:15	123:2,10,	100:21	LDCs
117:11	kinds	12 127:18	<b>last</b> 15:12	29:20,24
212:15	115:14	128:1	38 <b>:</b> 11	56:12
June 12:19	179:17	129:6	53 <b>:</b> 7	92:20
13:11,17	KMP 68:5	132:8	79:1,16	94:4
15:20		139:14	94:23	124:7,16
18:3,10	KMP917	140:20,23	95 <b>:</b> 12	125:14
23:4 68:4	191:1	143:3	107:7	155 <b>:</b> 1
Justice 1:7	knew 10:14	146:16,18 ,23 181:1	119:25	183:9
41:5 66:4	78:1,3	191:8	210:21	184:19
76:14	116:14	206:4	<b>late</b> 7:9	185:3,6
84:17	189:11	208:2	8 <b>:</b> 17	210:25
	knowledge	219:17	<b>later</b> 6:22	<b>lead</b> 132:14
K	74:23	222:15	20:25	227:19
<b>Kate</b> 2:3	173:20	KPMG's	22:25	leaders
3:10 16:9	known 26:9	128:23	23:6,13	103:21
112:4,5,1	27:20,23,	120.23	37:1	
2,17	24 88:10		69:18	<b>leading</b> 111:12
113:14,21	172:1	L	81 <b>:</b> 11	111:12
114:1,5,2		lack 93:14	83:4 85:4	
1	<b>KPM</b> 186:8	103:18	88:12	<b>leads</b> 105:7
115:13,24	KPM0000563	173:20	90:13	163:18
116:3,8,2	4:8	<b>lag</b> 199:16	174:15	<b>learn</b> 152:7
0,24	KPM0000600	<b>laid</b> 196:8	181:21	<b>least</b> 17:18
117:3,14,	4:9	Lakes	<b>law</b> 27:15	40:23
18,23 118:2,7,1	KPM0000884	99:13,19	lawyer 27:8	75 <b>:</b> 14
2	4:10	101:1	227:23,25	80:20
119:21,25	KPM0000917	language	·	126:13
120:4,9,1	4:11	118:3	<b>lawyers</b> 28 <b>:</b> 22	127:11
6,21		131:11	46:19	147:9
121:4,8,1	KPM0000926	133:25	64:16	177:18
3,20	4:12	134:22		<b>leave</b> 89:3
key 195:11	KPM1032	135:13	layoffs	90:22
1	208:10	138:14	52 <b>:</b> 8	134:2
kickoff	KPM563	141:17,22	<b>LDC</b> 50:23	145:16
149:25	133:17	142:17	65 <b>:</b> 9	leaving
150:2 151:15,19	KPM600	211:25	94:12,20,	74:22
151:15,19	139:18	<b>large</b> 30:1	24	<b>led</b> 158:7
		103:10	95:15,17	163:22
kick-off	KPM884	164:14	130:13	202:25
148:11,17	155:8	178:3	132:14 169:12,18	left-hand
,19,23	156:1 165:23	189:14	170:3	113:5
149:2,11,		larger	173:16	
	KPM926	50:23	184:7	legal
<b>kid</b> 201:2	203:14	74 <b>:</b> 24	187:19	44:8,18
kilometres	<b>KPMG</b> 2:25	164:19	205:25	64:14
154:13	28:14	186:5	212:11	78:14
	1			

	TE COLLINGWO	00 00 00 2017	raye 230	01 204
107:3	32:9,18	225:18	27:24	54 <b>:</b> 22
223:17	leveraging	little	39:12	lull 130:12
legislated	73:6	11:18	109:5	
29:23	108:21	25 <b>:</b> 7	164:10	lunch
		55 <b>:</b> 18	189 <b>:</b> 7	122:13
legislation	life 104:23	56:14	190:7	147:23
92:21	lightly	59 <b>:</b> 6	212 <b>:</b> 8	
Leisure	96:13	61:14	<b>Longo</b> 44:10	M
56:2	likely	64:10	45 <b>:</b> 5	ma 68:4
length 36:3	103:8	71:10	long-	magnitude
less 22:19	limit	83:2	standing	200:17
23:17	216:22	87:2,6,10	162:18	mail 191:4
57:14	217:23	88:20		
143:13		107:17	long-term	mailbox
144:2,4	limitation	140:1	27:2	22:14
192:16	212:8,9	192:12,23	197:1	mailboxes
225:21	217:2,19,	205:1	<b>lose</b> 18:21	22:8
	23	<b>live</b> 177:4	losing	main 74:2
let's 29:10	limited	216:11	19:15	166:2
118:2,12	43:1	<b>lived</b> 64:19	69:11	
179:24 193:16	210:5		193:23	maintain
195:16	line 30:16	Lloyd	205:10	163:16
	66:9	13:16,20	loss 202:17	maintained
letter	116:6	14:12		141:17
128:8,22	120:1,14	72:21	<b>lost</b> 83:2	maintaining
129:1,7,1	175:13	73:20	<b>lot</b> 61:8	53:9 82:7
2 135:13	206:17	106:24,25	63 <b>:</b> 11	96:22
137:7,10	217:7	Loblaws	88:2	00.22
138:2,18	lined	109:8	92:19	<b>major</b> 80:23 84:5
140:13,19	154:12	local 8:2	94:1,17	
143:3		18:21	115:8,9	110:14 195:18
147:1 207:4	lines	54:24	145:3	196:19
207:4	126:3,5	55 <b>:</b> 1	160:4,8	212:25
letters	130:4	56 <b>:</b> 21	162:8	
56:21	179:6	86:16	165 <b>:</b> 4	majority
level 27:12	205:25 206:10	96:16	182:14	68:8
61:18		110:25	189:24	213:4
97:8	link 48:1	145:24	190:16	man
104:25	167:16	146:3	192:24	104:22,23
138:3,9,1	168:1,4	169:13	198:10	manage
9,23,24	liquid	locally	199:7	104:12,19
188:19	76:21	111:1	218:18,24	
200:11	liquidity	<b>logic</b> 92:16	lots	management 61:22
204:11	76:21	_	178:4,9	140:24
levels		logical	<b>loud</b> 132:22	
69:23,25	list 3:3	176:14	<b>lower</b> 31:18	140.14
102:24	4:1 56:8	190:8	216:12	159:7
142:20,24	99:7	<b>long</b> 20:1		162:16
leverage	119:7	longer 21:6	lowest	164:5,8,1
Toverage	126:17	10.19C1 21.0		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-03-2019	Page 259	OI 284
6 184:6	1:7	Marron 2:12	148:3,4,9	9 186:7
221:5,6	5:3,6,17,	27:25	,22	190:24,25
	24 24:10	45:15,18	149:1,7,1	192:6,20
management'	27:13,19,	•	1,22	193:3,8
<b>s</b> 135:3	22 34:4,9	material	150:6,11,	· ·
198:6	35:23	39:11	14,20	195:4,12,
managers	36:15	66 <b>:</b> 25	151:10,14	20 196:2
157:25	37:2,23	87 <b>:</b> 25	,18	199:23
223:4	40:2,14	materials	152:22,23	
	43:19,22	159:6	153:8,11,	201:8,12
managing	44:4,12,2	161:9	18	202:4,22
123:1,6,9	0,24		154:4 <b>,</b> 23	203:9,13,
mandate	45:13,17,	Mather 2:4	155:3,7,2	18 204:17
37 <b>:</b> 8	21,25	3:13	5	205:7,17,
manner	46:3,10	122:5,9,2	156:5,20	203.7,17,
79:4,10	54:12	1,22,25	150.5,20	206:1,9,1
102:23		123:5,8,1		
	58:12 62:15,22	6	158:2,11, 16	3,16,20,2 3
March	•	124:6,15,		
148:11,17	65:18,25	21 125:12	159:10,15	207:7,15,
<b>,</b> 20	66:5	126:6,11,	160:13,18	20,21
151:6,8,1	67:12,18	18,23	,21	208:1,9,1
5 153:20	70:11,20,	127:14,25	161:13,16	
Marie	25	128:3,13,	<b>,</b> 20	209:5,10,
183:20	71:4,7,11	17,21,25	162:6,25	16
	76:14	129:3,11,	163:6,21	210:1,17
Marine	83:6,11,2	21,24	164:22	211:3,7,1
99:13,19,	0	131:20,23	165:11,18	8,19
23 100:5	84:17,21	132:11,17	,22	212:2,5,1
101:1,2	85:6,11	,21	166:1,8,1	
mark 58:11	100:3	133:3,6,1	0,14,15,2	213:8,21,
62:14	112:1	6,22	0,23	24
166:11	121:22	134:7,14,	168:3,24	214:9,17,
marked 17:8	122:3,7,1	20	169:5	21,24
35:21	0 147:22	135:15,23	170:4,8,1	215:16
	152:13,16	136:14,24	2 <b>,</b> 15	216:20
40:1	166:12	137:8,19,	171:21	217:21
54:11	186:9,17,	25	172:4,19,	218:13,22
market	20,23	138:13,16	24	219:1,10,
29:21,22	187:3,7,1	,22	173:6,11,	16,21
68:24	0	139:9,17,	24 175:17	220:7,20
127:22	188:7,12	22	176:17,24	221:20
144:14	190:21	140:7,12,	177:8,13	222:23
168:23	207:12,17	16 141:15	178:22	224:4,10
marketed	211:15	142:8	179:8,11,	225:3
217:8	224:15,18	143:1	15	226:17
	225:5,11,	144:3,17,	180:6,18,	matter
marketplace	24	22	25 181:13	14:21
68:22	226:3,11,	145:10,17	182:10	24:24
131:9	19	146:11,15	183:1,7	25:13
136:13	227:3,7,1	,21,25	184:15,25	28:13,14
Marrocco	2,15,24	147:19	185:5,8,1	37:13 <b>,</b> 16
				·

INQUIN	TE COLLINGWOO	00 00 2019	rage 200	01 204
39:3 43:7	176:5	3:10 16:9	meaningful	23:22
81:7 83:3	179:16 <b>,</b> 18	112:4,5,1	223:25	24:25
105:15	181:9,18	2,17	means	49:4,15
110:12	187:18 <b>,</b> 20	113:14,21	54:15,22	55 <b>:</b> 22
168:5	188:4,5	114:1,5,2	59:17	56:19 <b>,</b> 24
matters	189:15	1	211:10	57:2,6
38:6	191:21	115:13,24	227:2	66:13
41:6,10	201:15	116:3,8,2		67:6 68:5
90:12	208:2	0,24	meant 52:23	71:22
109:15	209:23	117:3,14,	67 <b>:</b> 7	72:3
	210:12	18,23	129:25	74:17
mature	216:18	118:2,7,1	137:2	84:8
77:22	221:23,24	2	154:25	141:2
<b>may</b> 1:23	<b>maybe</b> 13:15	119:21,25	155:4	147:9,11,
12:17	19:19	120:4,9,1	157:8	16
13:4,13	38:25	6,21	196:5	148:11,13
15:20	123:17	121:4,8,1	204:24	<b>,</b> 17
16:6 18:2	126:15	3,20	205:3	150:25
21:19	144:9	MDMR 177:4	mechanical	151:2,6,1
22:7 27:6	154 <b>:</b> 13		143:18	6,20,24
34:4,5	155 <b>:</b> 9	mean 25:8	<b>media</b> 6:20	152:5
35:11	163:25	76:4 79:12	7:1,3	153:21
39:25	168:15	127:17	41:14	159:5,9,1
45:5,10	169:11,21	135:23	46:23	9,20
47:22	170:25	138:8	56:21	163:9
54:4	173:1	153:6,9	63:13	170:25
57:14	182 <b>:</b> 15	160:1	78:2 95:2	179:18
66:12	187 <b>:</b> 16	161:6,7	103:5,7,1	180:7,13,
68:24	189:24	170:19	4,19	17,19
69:20	190:5,8	171:10	104:19	181:9,11,
72:2	192:13,23	175:9,15	109:21	12,17,23
74:11,17	199:6	176:11	110:8,22	182:21
80:17	mayor 13:20	181:7	115:10	201:14,19
88:4	14:2	182:13,23	media's	202:1,6,9
90:10	106:24	185:20	110:16	203:6,10, 20,22
92:13,21,	126:15	189:5		20,22
23 97:23		192:21	medical	204:13,23
106:17	McDowell 2:18 5:13	195:16	90:22	213:13
119:4,8 125:21	41:3,9	196:2	meet 140:24	
141:5	•	200:5	222:17	meetings
151:1,6,1	McFadden	202:9	meeting	12:23,24
4,15,16,2	25 <b>:</b> 23	207:1	12:18,25	20:23
0 152:3	26:22	214:13	13:11,18	21:9,22
153:22	27 <b>:</b> 15	216:21,25	14:6	22:11,14
156:14	28:4,5	217:13	16:24	24:1,4,19
157:21	61:7,8,16	221:6	17:3,15	,23 25:5
164:10	62 <b>:</b> 4	224:21	18:3	28:12
169:20	McFadden's	meaning	21:6,10,2	29:1 66:17
170:1	76 <b>:</b> 22	134:24	3	
175:19	McGrann 2:3	138:17,25	22:5,9,15	147:3,6,1
	MCCIAIII 2.3		,,,,	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 261	of 284
8 163:14	10:13	mining	mollified	92:20,23
member 29:9	109:8,13	100:22	202:23	110:3
118:21	223:5	105:23	moment	203:13
126:15,16	method	minorities	15:11,20	multiple
143:3	105:3	68 <b>:</b> 9	20:21	157 <b>:</b> 25
members	methodologi	minority	32:10	195:24
13:23,24	es 196:8	19:8	33:23	197:6,7,9
49:4 53:4		213:5	131:5	,11
56:10,17	metric		141:10	198:8,20
103:11	198:21	minute 34:5	150:21	200:9,25
125:7	<b>M-hm</b> 9:4	36:16 122:11	153:12	201:4
126:14	55 <b>:</b> 23	152:11	156:20	multitude
memories	67 <b>:</b> 9		158:3	102:5
67:5	71:23	minutes	Moncton	multi-year
	87:8 92:9	15:20	103:15,25	135:4
memory 12:17	104:8	20:22,25	money 73:9	136:3
13:4	109:24	21:1,3,9, 18,21	monitoring	Muncaster
17:13	Michael 2:7	22:2,3,19	46:23	25 <b>:</b> 23
18:2,8	3:12	,21	51:8	26:4,6,15
22:13	122:19	23:5,12,1		28:5
24:6 45:5	mid 166:16	6,18	month 21:5	148:13
mention	middle	24:13,15,	68:8	149:8
30:15	72:24	17 34:11	101:21	151:1
84:18	86:2	65 <b>:</b> 20	months 9:23	152 <b>:</b> 5
142:20	midway	163:15	10:20	179:19
mentioned	204:20	215:14	23:6	180:8,20
74:17		misinformat	30:24	181:11
76:16	might've	<b>ion</b> 63:12	32:4 35:7 80:20	201:18,21
114:21	44:9 177:23	mission	105:18	202:9
128:21	194:22	31:22		209:12 214:10
145:11		32:6	morning	
merge	migrate		6:6,7	municipal
136:10	189:22	Mississauga	46:17,21 65:19	42:10
	million	41:6	91:21,23	43:6,13,2
merger	68:25	mistaken	Ť	5 68:19 69:23,25
135:10	200:13,14	89:15	motivated	84:4
175:10 214:5	,16,17	mistrust	159:12	91:14
214.3	mind 40:23	103:4	176:13	97:9
	79:25	misundersta	move 9:24	106:13
merging	106:11	nding	84:12	108:18
213:19	131:18	227:19	102:12	114:16
merits	138:12	modelling	147:21	municipalit
135:8	145:7	155:21	161:17	ies
message	159:22		moves	125:13
61:6,15	200:8 220:10	models	225:17	210:9
102:23		155:15	moving	223:19
met 7:15	minimize	mollied	14:21	municipalit
	79:2	202:12	80:4	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 05-03-2019	Page 262	of 284
<b>y</b> 94:20	78:17	55:25	numerous	216:23,25
municipally	157 <b>:</b> 23	56:17	8:2	offers
210:7,25	160:6	85:17		131:17
210:7,23	negative	86:20		
	110:22	89:4	OBCA 125:14	offhand 8:1
mushrooms		109:3		116:22
109:9	neither	161:22	objective	officials
myself 46:4	118:20	163:22	222:12	103:22
69 <b>:</b> 6	<b>net</b> 171:2	210:2	objectivity	offline
83:18	news 41:14	<b>noted</b> 57:13	222:18	121:15
179 <b>:</b> 2	96:10	80:16	observation	
Myths 62:10	99:2	85 <b>:</b> 19	32:2,4	offset
11, 6115 02.10	105:22	89:8	•	168:16
		177:1	observation	<b>oh</b> 26:17
N	newspaper	181:25	<b>s</b> 12:3	100:18
narrative	96:9	182:3	37:24	166:19
110:9,23	<b>nine</b> 108:17	193:25	obtain 20:9	174:12
narrow	nitty-	<b>notes</b> 61:16	obtaining	203:11
160:11	gritty	71:3	9:11	205:6
narrowing	205:22	112:19,20		224:16
96:7		113:5	obviously	227:15
	nobody	150:1,15,	16 <b>:</b> 24	<b>okay</b> 46:2
narrowly	69:19	18,23	50:23	51:19
68:11	no-cost	151:1,11	80:22	57 <b>:</b> 16
Nation	89:24	152:8,24	occasion	59:3,12
102:13,19	<b>Nolan</b> 48:14	160:18,22	6 <b>:</b> 14	60:6
<b>,</b> 20	NOIAN 40.14	,25	36 <b>:</b> 12	63:22
103:18	non-	161:17,21	37 <b>:</b> 18	64:9
105:1	disclosur	163:1,3,8	41:9,11	69:14
National	е	,12,13,18	occasioned	70:3 71:6
96:10	107:12,15	164:23	33:19	72:7,19
	,18 108:9	note's		73:14
Nations	<b>none</b> 73:1	80:14	occasions	74:1,16
103:4	109:7		97 <b>:</b> 5 <b>,</b> 8	75:6 76:8
104:7	nor 118:21	nothing	occupied	78:22
nature 14:7		15 <b>:</b> 7	175 <b>:</b> 4	79:23
20:16	<b>norm</b> 2:25	noting	occur 82:19	81:6
40:17	215:25	147:21	167:2	82:3,25
77 <b>:</b> 6	normal	155:11		84:11
143:9,10,	223:19	notion	occurred	85 <b>:</b> 12
23 171:23	normally	169:5	39:16	87 <b>:</b> 23
178:8	9:20		40:12	88:3,24
190:18	223:20	November	148:20	89:11,16,
192:15	223.20	55 <b>:</b> 21	180:13	22 90:7
194:2	norms	56:24	181:8	91:17
201:2	223:23	Nowadays	October	92:4
nearby	Norris	115:10	113:9,15	118:12
213:20	114:13	np	<b>OEB</b> 217:23	123:5
necessary	115:2	2:7,18,22		128:13
46:5	<b>note</b> 16:23	2.1,10,22	<b>offer</b> 68:25	133:6
10.0	110 CE 10.23			l l

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	05 05 2015	raye 203	01 204
134:14	39:12	173:21	19:10	81:18
135:14	47:5 95:2	175:1	50 <b>:</b> 2	93:16
136:11	103:7	190:3	68 <b>:</b> 11	115:22
137:25	116:11	199:20	73 <b>:</b> 18	172 <b>:</b> 2
142:8	Ontario	205:11	93:5	194:21
145:17	1:20 26:6	opinion	212:25	200:17
147:19	42:10	80:3 91:2	213:1,2	organizatio
150:6,13,	54:23	102:18	220:4,5	n 30:22
20	94:18	103:2	225:7	162:15
153:8,14	110:11	110:8	options	165:3
155:3,25	123:20	111:16,20	15:12,13,	175:16
159:15	130:12	185:17	17 17:10	178:7,17
161:16	154:7,9		19:4,7	·
163:6	164:2	opinions	29:21	organizatio
165:22	165:9	40:11	30:2 50:1	<b>ns</b> 178:9
166:9	183:19	opportuniti	56:11	orientation
167:24	226:9	<b>es</b> 32:18	68:7 69:3	43:4
173:9,12		35:16	123:25	
176:17	Ontario's	52:14	124:22	origin
179:15	26:12	100:22	125:9	163:8
180:25	onto 59:23	124:2	126:19,20	original
185:19	<b>open</b> 56:2	opportunity	,25 135:9	87 <b>:</b> 15
186:22	64:14	22:2,18,2	140:14	131:11
189:6	117:25	0	145:22	132:25
191:2	133:17	23:16,18	149:14	133:24
193:8		24:2	183:9,13	156:6
197:17	opened	34:2,22	184:14,19	206:22
201:8	179:19	35:11	185 <b>:</b> 2	originally
204:23,25	operated	70:19	191:9 <b>,</b> 17	10:22
205:4,6	173:17	94:10	193 <b>:</b> 15	38:11
206:1	operates	100:23	194:21	138:2
207:15	175:16	119:17	198:10	others 25:4
208:9,19		199:1	202:21	27:14
209:5,10	operating		204:7,14	42:2
211:7 212:19	141:4	opposed 29:22	207:8	127:19
212:19	154:10	29 <b>:</b> 22 56:19	208:4	152:8
220:2	167:5	114:10	209:7	153:2,5
	180:3 199:14,17	114:10	212:23,25	174:16
old 52:24	218:14,15	151:4	213:9,13,	195:3
189:10	•	161:21	17	
onboard	operation	162:1	214:11,14	otherwise
183:4	19:23	194:22	,15,18,19	8:10 32:19
ones 8:3	operational		,22	32:19 44:15
	175:6	opposing	219:23,24	78:14
ongoing	194:5	56:22	220:9,24	
12:6	205:9	optics	221:24	ours 76:21
80:21	operations	114:8,22	222:19	outage
116:15	66:16	option	<b>order</b> 7:23	51 <b>:</b> 11
191:8	91:13	17:14 <b>,</b> 18	8:8 37:19	outcome
online	124:1	18:5,9	<b>45:</b> 23	
	±∠¬•±	_ 5 . 5 , 5		19:23

Page 264 of 284
-----------------

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	05-03-2019	Page 264	of 284
58:21	219:23,24	64:11	participati	partners
68:10	220:15	66:10	on 40:3	32:3 56:7
outline	222:14	67:14 <b>,</b> 15		namtnamahin
69:15		75 <b>:</b> 8	participato	partnership 18:14
	P	112:12,17	<b>ry</b> 40:3	30:11,21,
outlined	p.m	118:14	particular	24
66:18	87:12 <b>,</b> 18	129:4,5	14:15,21	31:16,20
outlining	122:16,17	130:5	20:1 21:6	32:16
74:8	147:25	131:25	30:19,25	33:10,20
167:9,18	148:1	134:16	41:12	35:7,13,1
outside	228:6	138:6	55 <b>:</b> 24	7 36:6
89:24		147:20	72:17	50:2
114:11	package	148:5,14	81:12	52:9,23
168:20	21:22,23	150:9,15	86:18	53:17
223:18	<b>page</b> 3:2	153 <b>:</b> 13	94:11	56:8,13
	4 <b>:</b> 2	160:14	105:15	58:18,21,
Overall	31:6,19	201:9,14	108:21	25
166:17,25	34:1,2	203:14	129:14	59:8 <b>,</b> 19
overestimat	49:19	204:18,19	131:4	64:25
ing 216:7	54:14	,20	142:18	65 <b>:</b> 5
overhead	55:18,20	207:22	165:7	68 <b>:</b> 12
154:13	59:4	208:1	particularl	92:11
	76:25	210:2,21	<b>y</b> 20:13	93:23
overlooked	88:16	paragraphs	36:24	94:2
210:22	118:13	148:10	40:11	106:6
overseen	120:10 139:24	parameters	131:1	110:3
64:15	166:16	193:18	178:16	117:10,20
oversimplif		195:6	parties	partook
ying	pages 67:4	partake	17 <b>:</b> 6	106:2
189:22	<b>paid</b> 10:24	72 <b>:</b> 9	29:18	<b>party</b> 20:16
overview	60:9,13,1	106:1	42:11	60:1
123:17	7 64:21		99:9	77:13
172:14	91:7	partial	107:21	92:22
	101:25	18:5	108:2	118:15,25
<b>owned</b> 54:15	217:17	19:2,4,7, 10 176:15	119:6	119:7
210:7,8,2	painted	177:19	partly	222:16
4,25	163:22		42:6,7	party's
212:11	<b>pair</b> 51:25	participant	163:12	119:2
owner	_	<b>s</b> 46:9	190:1	
130:22	<b>Pam</b> 87:5	participate	193:25	passed
220:13	<b>panel</b> 125:8	92:23	partner	199:17
ownership	_	106:4	20:11	passing
124:14	paper 6:23	148:22	30:18	26:12
125:23	<b>par</b> 106:2	participate	33:15	<b>past</b> 78:9
135:9	paragraph	<b>d</b> 72:11	50:12	131:6
145:22	48:1		64:18	
149:19	49:24	participati	65:13	Patrick
176:4	50 <b>:</b> 7	<b>ng</b> 125:8	74:24	2:22
200.7	50.7		, - •	
209:7	63:23	148:16,19	95:18	<b>Paul</b> 2:10
214:3		148:16,19		<b>Paul</b> 2:10 3:9

INQUIRI LE COLLINGWOOD 03-03-2019 Page 263 OL	05-03-2019 Page 265 of 284	INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD
---	----------------------------	------------------------

INQUIRI	. Te COLLINGWOO	JD 03-03-2019	rage 203	01 204
91:20,21,	85 <b>:</b> 24	51:3,11	87:3	178:6
24	86:25	53 <b>:</b> 24	88:21	181:24
92:5,10,1	88:18	56:16,18		188:20,21
8	97:17	57:6,9,20	perfectly	205:2
93:3,13,1	98:1,8,13	78:13	92:16	
9 94:9,21	<b>,</b> 17	95:1	performance	personal
95:4,8,11	101:13	107:8	155:16,19	63:25
,23	102:16	132:22	perhaps	89:21
96:5,12,1	111:6	133:13	21:5 22:8	103:17,20
9	112:15	144:19	27:1	106:18
97:3,7,12	113:19	152:3,4,5	69:22	personality
,19,23	116:1	164:20	89:4	202:10
98:4,10,1	117:1	178:2,5,9		mamaana11
5,19,24	120:7	,10	121:6 156:25	personally 26:10
99:3,6,12	133:20	187:8,11	165:12	93:6
,17,22	134:18	198:16,20		
100:2,5,8	139:7,20	216:5	179:2	104:14
,9,14,20,	140:5,10	223:23	181:20	personnel
25	148:7	224:6,25	224:25	90:11,12
101:6,10,	153:16	224:0,23	period	106:17
15,20,24	156:3		10:20	107:3
102:3,9,1	160:16	people's	21:12	162:18
8	165:25	176:13	22:25	164:15
103:2,9,1	185:13	178:11	27:16	175:13
6	203:3,16	<b>per</b> 101:21	35:12	persons
104:2,9,1	207:24	perceived	77:13	179:14
6	208:12	155:18	89:18	
105:5,20			103:15	perspective
106:7,23	pay 119:19	percent	124:11	127:17,22
107:11,14	131:7	18:16,20,	127:4	145:23
,24	144:13,20	24	131:1	219:7
108:6,14	145:5	19:3,9,10	164:10	220:9,16,
109:2,10,	216:14,23	<b>,</b> 15	196:14,15	21
14,19,25	217:1,3	36:14,25	,20,21	222:8,25
110:7,18	218:8	37:5,6,22	197:4	Peterboroug
111:8,16,	payable	39:16	periodicall	<b>h</b> 183:20
24	209:23	56:20	<b>y</b> 74:14	184:2
DATION 15.00	225:12,25	68:13	89:23	phone
<b>PAUSE</b> 15:23	payment	69:7,13	moni odo	147:12,17
16:4,16,2 1 17:24	61:1	79:25	<pre>periods 131:6</pre>	151:6,8
31:3,25	169:8	105:10,11		153:6
32:12		,12,16,24	perpetuity	163:8
33:5	peaks	111:13	196:25	
38:18	154:14	155:23	person 6:15	phonetic
49:10,21	people 22:9	163:20	26:10	174:10
68:1	26:13	217:14,15	27 <b>:</b> 23	phrase
75:10	27:24	,16	115:7,21	142:4
76:11	30:1	percentage	147:18	<b>pick</b> 22:9
77:1	40:17	187:11,12	151:16	_
80:7,12	42:8 44:5	199:1	159:1,20	picked
84:15	45 <b>:</b> 6	perfect	163:9	168:17
04.10		F	-	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 266	OI 284
picture	62:8,14	150:4	35 <b>:</b> 14	125:24
167:1	63:23	163:5	103:25	168:22
	64:10		203:6	170:18
piece	80:5	poking		174:8
193:15	97:13	198:5	possibility	175 <b>:</b> 15
194:19	98:15	policies	69:20	179:4
204:10	112:13,22	27:4	81:15	200:18
<b>pipe</b> 136:3	116:25	126:1	possible	
	118:14		93:25	<b>power</b> 19:22
placed	120:5,11	politic	111:21	31:14
118:24	145:19	56:12	151:21	50 <b>:</b> 24
119:2	143.19	political	161:1,5,7	52:8,14,2
216:22	pleased	56 <b>:</b> 12	174:17	3 57 <b>:</b> 23
<b>plan</b> 27:2	35:16	102:24	178:24	59:9 61:9
66:15	116:17	politically	211:1	124:5
74:4,8,11	<b>plus</b> 26:15	-speaking	227 <b>:</b> 9	128:15
,18 93:20	_	- <b>speaking</b> 96:18		146:8
95:25	point 19:1	96:18	post 38:13	170:17
<b>-11</b> 70.0	32:22,25	politics	41:16	PowerPoint
<b>plank</b> 70:2	40:23	110:15	post-2000	146:17
planned	41:15	<b>pool</b> 164:20	164:10	
177:2	60:8	189:3	noatnono	PowerStream
planning	71:25		<pre>postpone 202:1</pre>	2:22
25:9	72:13	<b>poor</b> 86:14	202.1	30:12,17,
	74:9	155:19	potential	21 31:16
<b>plans</b> 75:14	80:10	Port	24:5 33:2	32:3,16
141:1	117:4	100:11,14	56 <b>:</b> 7	33:10
147:5	129:7	101:2	93:22	35:7,12
plate	132:7	portion	94:3,24	36:25
157 <b>:</b> 24	141:12,13	72 <b>:</b> 25	95 <b>:</b> 15	46:20
platform	145:18,19		105:10	48:5,8,11
32:9,15,1	146:16,17	138:1 188:8	127 <b>:</b> 5	,14,21,24
7	147:2	210:18	132:5,9	49:5
'	161:20		136:12	50:23
69:15,22	171:5,25	211:21	142:2,10	51:7,14
70:2	174:2,19	212:14	143:6	52:7,13
<b>play</b> 115:22	175:21	portions	145:1	53:18
180:22	183:8	211:23,24	171:19,24	58:1,20
please	187:20	position	174:6,14	59:15,19
16:14	188:23	9:11	175:10,19	60:23
31:23	200:25	10:14	177:14,18	61:10,20
32:10	219:14	108:10	191:17	62 <b>:</b> 5
33:3	221:21	114:19	193:22,23	65:6,9,12
38:16	225:4	142:23	194:11	70:8
47:23	pointing	149:19	202:17	73:18,20
49:19	136:1,7	167:22	209:22	74:25
54:2	·	168:9	216:17	89:9
55:15,18	points	171:2	217:10	90:13,16
57:22	49:24,25	176:6	220:23	95:14,19
59:4	50:13		potentially	105:13,16
61:4,14	72:5	positive	95:8 99:9	106:5
01.7,14	130:10	33:19	JJ.0 JJ.9	112:8,18

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 267	of 284
117:8,11	119:13	178:14	158:1	78:12
226:14	143:14	pretty 70:2	prioritize	82:18
PowerStream	207:9	110:20	177:6	proceed
's 61:17	208:16,25	111:3		202:2,13
	221:1	152:11	priority	·
practical	preparing	161:24	204:3	proceeding
31:13	40:4 58:7	165:19	private	35:22 82:5
117:7	219:10	178:15	212:9	
practice	221:21	201:20	225:19,22	proceeds
14:13	presence	204:11	<b>,</b> 25	72 <b>:</b> 25
108:8	52:19	previous	226:8,14	73:4
114:11	211:9	7:2 11:3	<b>privy</b> 81:2	process 7:1
123:12		63:13	proactive	9:20,22
215:9,23	<pre>present     49:3 57:6</pre>	78 <b>:</b> 2	69:1	10:1
216:25	149:2	87 <b>:</b> 19		18:15
practiced	153:1,2,5	91:11	probably	19:24
27:15	160:23	previously	27:24,25 78:5	25 <b>:</b> 22
precise	196:17	5:20	110:14	26:16,23
131:12,19	224:7	46:20	115:11	28:6
141:23		60:3	127:12,18	29:13,16,
142:6	presentatio	114:13	129:17,25	17,25
144:10	<b>n</b> 17:2	204:19	134:3	30:5,10 36:3,4,6,
predictor	56:6,11 66:13	price	143:12	13,21
154:10,15	146:18	131:15	144:11	37:4,9,10
1	208:3,15,	136:12	150:1	,15,16,21
<b>preface</b> 142:12	24	216:14	158 <b>:</b> 22	39:23
	209:6,14	218:10	159:8	46:4
premium	219:11	prices	160:5	56:3,9
215:10,21	221:1,21	54:21	162:23	63:17
,24	222:6,10,	55:9	163:19	64:20
217:12,14	18	182:17	164:17	73:9 74:5
,15,16	224:5,7,1		165:4	79:16
premiums	1,12	primarily	178:4,11,	80:24
131:7,8	225:17	145:13	12,20	91:1
preparation	presented	146:13 162:3	179:19 182:12	106:3
126:24	15:2 <b>,</b> 16		192:12	111:12,14
prepare	17:14	principle	,23 194:7	125:1,7,1 3 126:8
132:1,4	22:4	221:18	195:11	127:9
138:2	35:17	principles	205:3,6	143:15
145:20	68 <b>:</b> 5	81:19	213:11,12	144:16
146:16	74:11	<b>prior</b> 41:9	215:23	159:3
prepared	81:14	80:21	216:11	188:10,13
37:5	103:14	92:1	227:17	<b>,</b> 15
38:5,9,10	105:3	94:15	Probe	198:24
39:3,7,14	<b>press</b> 37:13	124:21	89:9,13	199:16
40:5,15	pressures	130:13,16	94:19	222:22
54:7 58:4	212:21	185:11		223:3,7,1
118:16		priorities	<pre>problem 9:12</pre>	0 224:1
	presto	•	9.1∠	

11120111	T IC COLLINGWO		rage 200	
processes	promoted	184:6	50:16	115:18
36:23,24	114:13	190:12	61 <b>:</b> 24	purchase
123:21	promoting	222:24	70:14	29:4 49:5
prodding	115:5	provided	78 <b>:</b> 23	50:9
198:6		29:2	81:19	131:7,14
product	<b>prompt</b> 183:2	42:11	92:8,12,2	171:11
217:7	183:2	46:23	5 193:24	189:15
	promptly	58:9	provisions	216:15
production	9:11	61:20	77:4	217:12,13
177:5	proper	66:18 <b>,</b> 25	proximity	,14 218:2
productivit	37:20	70:6	40:12	purchased
y	201:7	78:20		174:4
154:21,25	properly	99:2	prudent	189:19,23
profession	110:2	100:10	69:1	
113:1	201:6	102:3,12	<pre>public 6:21</pre>	purchaser
		103:10,11	21:15	174:3
professiona	proportiona	104:6,10,	54 <b>:</b> 16	190:19 218:7
<b>1</b> 109:6	<b>1</b> 199:3	11 105:7	56:2,4,10	
profile	proposed	150:1	69 <b>:</b> 8	purchases
168:22	10:12	168:11	73:10,11	216:19
profits	49:5	169:8	79 <b>:</b> 25	purchasing
167:5	219:7	177:16	92:17	170:20
199:12,15	proposing	182 <b>:</b> 5 187 <b>:</b> 8	102:24	214:3
217:9	143:6	189:17	106:22	218:10
			107:4,21,	purport
project	pros 191:18	provides	22 108:1	50:5
128:4	193:15	127:23	119:5	
206:17	protect	156:14	167:3 212:10	purpose
216:18	218:4,6	187:18		20:8
projected	proud	providing	publically	31:9,11
144:14	116:17	102:4,11	111:14	117:5,15,
projection		105:21	publicly	19,24 118:3,8,1
196:12,21	<pre>provide 25:15</pre>	120:17	56:19	9 119:16
197:25	52:13	169:6,25	210:24	9 119.10
199:7	69:2	174:5	publish	purposes
projections	89:18	188:25	63:19	39:14
124:3	99:3	190:14		58:7
135:4	104:18	province	published	91:14
136:4	108:11	110:11	63 <b>:</b> 20	98 <b>:</b> 5
143:13,21	113:15	186:4	64:4,7	102:4 142:7
144:9	123:13,18	210:9	<b>pull</b> 16:14	179:24
196:24	127:17	provincial	38:15	
197:2	129:13	8:2	49:7	pursuing
198:6	132:8	27:1,4	203:14	33:13
projects	136:8	29:18	208:10	<b>puts</b> 217:10
124:4	143:6	92:21	pulled	putting
	146:18	100:23	38:22	93 <b>:</b> 16
promise	161:11	210:3,13	<b>pulse</b> 59:16	164:4
64:20	171:12	provision	<u></u>	165:5
		P-04-011		100.0

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 05-03-2019	Page 269	of 284
222:10	119:25	200:1	164:12	163:1,3
	138:8,21	208:25	<b>t</b> F2.11	182 <b>:</b> 7
Q	139:9	anni alean	rate 53:11	<b>reads</b> 52:18
qual 204:8	142:13	quicker 140:1	130:22,24 131:3	53:8
	164:23	140:1	165:5	
qualidi	168:19	quickly	196:16	<b>real</b> 144:11
109:20	171:11,14	75 <b>:</b> 8	197:8	reality
qualificati	172:21	197:24	200:9	18 <b>:</b> 13
on	176 <b>:</b> 25	199:11,12	201:4	
139:3,4	184:11,13	207:2	218:15	<b>really</b> 19:1 22:19
1: find	,16,17	216:17		45:4
qualified 109:20	185:17	<b>quite</b> 40:21	ratepayer	62:23
109:20	193:4	57:8 <b>,</b> 20	168:18	78:8 84:9
qualifier	195:18	76:2 79:6	ratepayers	127:17,21
138:9,18	questioner	88:1	111:21	130:3
qualitative	43:23	107:9	145:24,25	131:19
146:2		131:7	rates 18:21	144:15
191:18	questioning	141:22	53:18	145:4
204:9	67:7	150:23	69:11	150:3
	157:13	177 <b>:</b> 22	143:20	168:19
quality	questions	quo	198:23,25	175:6
39:23	5:15 9:1	17:14,18	201:6	184:13
quantify	43:17	66:15		187:24
193:22	45:12,16	68:7	rate-	188:13,15
202:20	46:20	74:18,19	setting	194:1
quantitativ	56:5 <b>,</b> 19	212:25	199:16	202:8
<b>e</b> 191:18	65:17	220:4	rather	215:25
204:9	72:16		24:14	
	83:21	quoted	91:13	realm
quantum	91:18	138:6	104:18	223:19
170:16	112:7		116:17	reason 74:2
199:5	113:22	R	142:1	133:4
quarter	115:20	ra 201:4	143:11	198:22
207:13	121:21	<b>radar</b> 70:1	147:11,18	199:6
226:23	152:17		168:18	reasonable
queries	156:11,18	<b>Radio</b> 96:16	190:13	92:11,19,
191:14	157:16	rails	197 <b>:</b> 25	25 93 <b>:</b> 2
	158:6	191:13	ratio 131:2	94:9 97:3
question 44:23	160:6,8	raise 92:3	198:2,17,	103:3
68:18	161:18	176:25	18	104:24
70:12	166:5 170:24		rationale	136:1
73:4,22	191:21	raising 14:9	136:7	144:10
77:5 83:8	191.21	14:9		178:20
85:2,16	192:15,24	rank 153:25	re 112:1	194:7
87:15,16	207:8	154 <b>:</b> 24	172:6	reasonably
90:8 91:2	219:2	rapport	reader	142:5
108:15		163:16	142:5	207:2
110:15	quick 57:9			214:15
111:9	67:3	rare 215:23	reading 34:12	222:2
117:22	198:12,21	ratchet		
	199:25		95:2 98:5	reasons

50:3,4	<b>,</b> 25	122:16	139:2	144:8
56:8,13	181:12	147:25	216:17	reflect
107:3	202:6,8,1	recognize	reducing	24:13
132:15	1 204:12	49:13	29:19	111:17
recall 8:1	206:9,15,	57:25	69:23,25	152:8
13:15,16,	25 207:2	97:23	136:22	168:16
19,24	214:9,12	98:22		193:25
14:9	218:3	101:17	reductions	
15:12,16	224:21,22	217:17	199:20	reflection
17:2	recalled		refer 16:8	179:6
18:19	201:17	recollect	30:20	reform
20:13		150:3,5	73:17	123:21
21:14,19	recalling	recollectio	76 <b>:</b> 18	refresh
22:10	163:1	<b>n</b> 21:25	185 <b>:</b> 17	
26:5,25	180:7	41:18	reference	18:8 127:4
41:13,14	receive	56:23	36:17	127:4
42:15	37:6	57:5,11,1	77:12	refreshed
44:2,10,1	40:5,16,2	7 69:13	88:22	17:13
8 57:8	2 62:23	126:12		regard
70:23	116:9	161:14	116:5 141:20	29 <b>:</b> 13
73:8	177:9	162:12	162:16	155 <b>:</b> 22
74:7,10,1	185:10	180:19	208:5	
2 80:17	received	202:5	200.5	regarded
81:14	8:2	212:13	referenced	27:8
87:21	11:3,9		120:17	42:25
88:1,7	42:18	recommendat	137:11	regarding
91:4	43:12	ions	references	70:5
97:19	68:8	221:7	121:6	81 <b>:</b> 17
100:12	116:19,21	recommended	150:15	89:4
103:9	119:21	17:11	208:2	105:10
104:15	121:3,9,1	reconcile		134:22
108:24	4 127:2	167:12	referencing	regards
126:16	136:12	172:6	153:19	80:23
128:25	172:22		referred	
129:21,24	193:5	records	41:12	region
137:12,17		120:25	44:1	102:14
147:6	receiving	recreationa	71:16	regional
148:16,19	206:10	<b>1</b> 64:21	119:18,22	54:19
,20	recent	<b>red</b> 156:16	163:25	74:9 <b>,</b> 13
149:5,12,	120:12	166:6	referring	76 <b>:</b> 5
23,24	130:7	173:7	41:9	93 <b>:</b> 15
151:22	131:17		120:19,22	96:9
157:7	132:13	redacted	150:18	regul 215:3
158:15,20	134:23	107:3	168:25	
160:22	137:1	redaction	214:7	regular
161:7	141:21	106:17		22:20
163:21	145:8		refers 77:8	23:18
167:20	recessing	Re-direct 3:10	refine	46:7 60:8
169:15	34:17		144:8	101:8
179:10,11	65:22	112:4	refining	regulated
180:11,18	00.22	reduce	· · · · ·	123:15
·				

	, ,			
215:3,6,7	103:14	33:24	146:8	representat
,15,18,20	relationshi	remaining	renewal	ion 84:5
216:15,21	<b>p</b> 10:16	183:22	80 <b>:</b> 23	representat
217:3,9	50:12	remember	repeat	ive
regulation	73:21	7:14,25	138:21	221:12
198:24	94:12	12:25		representat
210:23	103:4,21	13:1,10	replaced	ives
regulations	161:12	17:13	115:2	88:13
226:6	relationshi	20:1 24:7	report	125:4
regulator	<b>ps</b> 89:21	57 <b>:</b> 2	31:6,9,11	223:12
216:18	109:13	104:10	49:13	
217:5	170:21	116:12,18	57:23	represents
218:12	218:16	,21	58:1,16	197:19
	relative	135:20	59:16	reputation
regulators	205:24	148:25	60:3	8:18
		149:1,4,1	61:7,16 87:7	request
216:6,12 217:11,17	relatively	0,15	116:4	84:4 87:7
	125:6	152:25	117:5,15,	106:15
regulatory	relayed	153:4,7	19	120:25
61:23	127:11	154:1	118:9,16,	129:16
212:21	released	157:3,10 158:10	25	150:3
relate	119:4	161:22	119:4,6,9	172:5
69:22	relevant	163:5,7	,13	requested
86:15	47:12,17	164:25	142:19,20	177:9,17
195:8	146:19	165:14	143:24	requesting
related	212:11	169:23	146:17,19	108:20
70:5 92:6	213:14,23	179:5	154:5	156:10
102:10,21	214:14,15	180:24	196:9	
108:22	223:14	181:7,8,1	209:18	requests
126:4	224:3	1 182:7	reported	107:9
159:22	225:21	194:25	56 <b>:</b> 18	require
191:15	reliable	195:3,16	57 <b>:</b> 14	102:21
219:23,24	155:24	201:18	reporter	required
relates		202:25	6:22	22:6
93:15	reliance	203:6,7,1		66:18
96:22	118:15,24 119:2,9	2 204:24	reporting	89:19
108:11	·	206:16	96:15,23 203:20	125:14
138:13	reluctance	213:11	203:20	184:8
relating	18:20	223:7	reports	206:5
81:10	40:21	remotely	35 <b>:</b> 14	216:7,9
91:1	reluctant	147:15	41:14	requirement
96:21	69:6	remove	63:15	210:24
218:19	rely 147:17	91:13	66:18	requirement
relation	173:18	138:18	153:24	<b>s</b> 50:11
124:23		removing	154:2 155:13	165:8
	relying 222:24	138:23		
relations 90:11			represent	requires
A∩:TT	remainders	renewable	221:10	164:4

INQUIRI	re COLLINGWOC	D 05-03-2019	Page 272	01 201
res 170:21	respects	40:4	retainer	140:25
reservation	188:5	restriction	48:4	147:4,14
	respond	s 79:19	135:13	149:19
<b>S</b>	157:16	223:17	138:1,18	208:4
10:15,16	182:1		209:8	reviewed
114:3,6,7	102.1	restructure	reticent	15:13
reserves	responded	190:3	10:7	18:9
167:19	75 <b>:</b> 3	194:4		22:24
residence	155:4	restructuri	retired	23:12
54:19	181:25	<b>ng</b> 123:21	162:20	41:11
	182:2	124:1,12	retirement	58:6
resident	responding	183:16	89:1,5,15	81:11
106:11	85:3	212:23	162:11	
109:20				reviewing
residents	responds	result 6:16	RETIRES	35:2 74:2
55:8	177:1	19:2,23	228:4	78:23
111:22	response	30:9,12	return	86:18
resist	51:11	36:9	199:2	reviews
23:25	87:10,11,	79:17	215:8	30 <b>:</b> 16
23.23	15 89:12	91:24	217:16	59 <b>:</b> 18
resources	161:6	92:24	returns	revision
47:5	172:5	155:19	216:7,9,1	133:11
50:17	182:7,12,	161:8	2,15	225:16
51:2,6	13,20,23,	170:21,24	217:3,10	
164:21	25 183:1	192:11		revisions
	192:5	resulting	revenue	129 <b>:</b> 13
respect 9:2	172.5	_	1.60 1.5	
17:10		160:3	168:15	
_	responses	160:3	168:15 review	revitalizat
17:10	responses 89:9	160:3 results		revitalizat ion 64:23
17:10 23:16	responses 89:9 156:14,16	160:3 results 30:17	review	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword
17:10 23:16 25:13	responses 89:9	160:3  results 30:17 33:19	<b>review</b> 14:19	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13	<b>review</b> 14:19	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6	160:3  results 30:17 33:19	review 14:19 22:2,19,2	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15	review 14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2 reworded 225:18
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2 reworded
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2 reworded 225:18 RFP 15:18 56:7
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2 reworded 225:18 RFP 15:18
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 34:23 35:21 36:12	revitalizat ion 64:23 reword 129:16 134:2 reworded 225:18 RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected 8:21 26:6 27:8	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23 119:3	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10 200:7	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6 118:4	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23  rights 40:4
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected 8:21 26:6 27:8  respectful	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23 119:3 responsible	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10 200:7 220:19	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6 118:4 119:17	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23  rights 40:4
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected 8:21 26:6 27:8  respectful 104:1	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23 119:3 responsible 145:13 146:13	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10 200:7	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6 118:4 119:17 127:15,21	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23  rights 40:4
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected 8:21 26:6 27:8  respectful 104:1 respecting	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23 119:3 responsible 145:13 146:13 rest 119:11	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10 200:7 220:19	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6 118:4 119:17 127:15,21 130:11,14	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23 rights 40:4 ring 100:17 169:2
17:10 23:16 25:13 26:2 27:12 29:2 30:16 31:7 36:5,13 37:13 38:5,23 39:3,15,2 3 41:5 42:3,12,1 7,19,25 45:7 83:3  respected 8:21 26:6 27:8  respectful 104:1	responses 89:9 156:14,16 ,18,22 158:5 166:6 responsibil ities 42:4,12 45:7 96:20,24 responsibil ity 11:10 81:10 97:9 118:20,23 119:3 responsible 145:13 146:13	160:3  results 30:17 33:19 35:13 58:17 59:15 116:16,18  resuming 34:18 65:23 122:17 148:1  retain 124:14 125:11,23 135:10 136:10 200:7 220:19 221:17	review  14:19 22:2,19,2 0 23:17,18 30:20 31:12,22 32:10 33:23 34:23 35:21 36:12 37:9 60:1 67:8 70:4 73:15 74:22 81:12 117:6 118:4 119:17 127:15,21	revitalizat ion 64:23  reword 129:16 134:2  reworded 225:18  RFP 15:18 56:7 106:3,4 113:9 144:16  RFPs 124:16  Rick 13:16  right-hand 112:23  rights 40:4  ring 100:17

INQUIN	i ie collinowe	JD 03-03-2019	rage 273	01 204
robust	Ron 29:1	132:5,9	190:17	150:8
111:13	room 57:18	141:7	schedule	156:6,13,
Rockx	61:24	142:3	181:20,23	15 <b>,</b> 21
127:23,25		176:16	·	166:2
129:6,12,	rough	177:19	schedules	172:24
17,25	198:13	189:15	42:8	181:14
132:18	Roughly	198:18	scope	191:1,6,1
133:8,24	7:13	211:10	128:23	0,23
134:8,21	56:16	212:9	140:18	193:9
135:15		213:1,2,3	141:10	195:21
136:5	rows 57:11	<b>,</b> 4 215:19	145:18	208:19
138:3,23	<b>rule</b> 198:11	220 <b>:</b> 5	146:23	211:8
· ·	<b>rules</b> 164:5	225 <b>:</b> 7	159:1	212:20
141:14,15	223:22	226:8,13	160:11	scrolling
,18 142:23		<b>sales</b> 124:7	175:7	218:14
	<b>run</b> 66:23	210:7	189:16	
145:11	125:1		192:18	<b>seats</b> 57:10
153:19,23	<b>Ryan</b> 2:19	213:3	206:22	second
,24 154:1	5:11	Sandra 2:12	207:3	31:22
155:3	0.11	satisfactor		49:19
156:22		<b>y</b> 46:8	scrambling	50:7,14
161:3	safe 106:13	_	164:24	59:14,25
179:4	<b>saie</b> 106:13	satisfying	165:17	66:22
183:3,12	salary	193:1	screen	80:10
185:18	11:3,9	Sault	71:15	85 <b>:</b> 7
191:24	<b>sale</b> 12:20	183:20	97 <b>:</b> 20	141:11
195:21,22	18:5,15,1	saving	101:18	210:2
196:6 199:24	6	124 <b>:</b> 2	150 <b>:</b> 12	213:1
	19:2,4,7,		201:13	
200:21	8,9,10	<b>saw</b> 15:7	209:1	secretive
201:2,6	24:5	87 <b>:</b> 19	scroll	79:12 <b>,</b> 15
	36:14,22,	103:24	49:18	section
208:7	25	118:11	50:8	31:21
Rockx's	37:4,6,17	141:13,16	54:14	32:14
87:10,15	,22 39:16	224:10	55:18	81:16
Rogers	56:20	scale	56:14	129:16
96:17	63:13,14,	20:3,10	59:4,6,13	134:3
	17	32:9,18	,23	138:17
role 6:19	68:9,19	185:22	61:4,13	140:19
10:19,25	70:7,21	scales	63:23	sector
12:1	72:12		64:10	8:22,23,2
26:11	77:25	185:23	67 <b>:</b> 2	4 105:23
113:24	78:4 82:6	<b>scan</b> 57:9	86:21	123:15,18
123:9	90:25	scanning	87 <b>:</b> 9	,22
127:15,16	94:24	47:5,12	97 <b>:</b> 24	127:19,20
,21 162:19	95 <b>:</b> 15	•	98:15	130:7,13
	105:10,11	scans 99:2	112:22	134:23
180:21 221:5	,13,15	scenario	139:23	137:2
	110:1	93:4	140:17	146:6
roles 178:5	111:12	scenarios	145:19	183:17
	124:7	95:16,20	147:1,3	212:10
		55.10,20	, -	-

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWO	OD 05-03-2019	Page 2/4	OI 284
225:19,22	68:24	106:20	105:20	2
226:1,8,1		120:24	113:8	186:11,16
4	selling	121:2	154 <b>:</b> 12	<b>,</b> 25
seeing	18:19	129:12	169:8	187:8,18,
	19:15	147:13	176:7	19 <b>,</b> 20
15:12	70:24	161:3	179:22	188:25
41:13,15	93:7	166:4	183:24	189:7
88:8	200:19	192 <b>:</b> 7	184:10	190:10,13
192:11 202:8	semantics	203:19	188:2	,14
202:8	117:22	208:5	190:8	193:24
seeking	<b>send</b> 106:3	224:10	193:24	195:9
156:11		sentence	194:12	202:24
185:9	sending	119:12,18	195:17	205:18
seem 37:14	107:1	·	218:24	208:4
46:5	134:8	,22 131:25	serviced	218:19
92:10,15,	136:16	131:23	94:4	219:12
18,25	154:1		94:4	anai an
176:14	157 <b>:</b> 3	141:24	services	<b>session</b> 42:3 56:2
	senior	166:17	20:4	42:3 50:2
seemed	82:12	173:2	46:23	<b>sets</b> 58:16
82:16,18	140:24	210:21	47:4	59 <b>:</b> 7
<b>seems</b> 92:16	147:3,7	separate	50:18	140:18
93:1	sense 9:9	151:19	53:12	setting
ann l	11:4,14	169:14	54:6	114:16
<b>seen</b> 67:8,22	12:3	189:8	61:20	126:3
119:23	19:13	206:3	69:11	154:21
131:6	20:24	separately	80:15	
159:12	20:24	173:16	81:20,23	seventy
	30:9 32:2		82:4,8,16	99:8
selfless	37:19	September	89:19	several
12:1	44:5	23:6	100:10	8:13
sell 18:24	50:21	88:22,25	102:10,12	14:24
69:7	107:25	89:2	103:10	21:4
70:18	107.23	120:14	104:4,5,1	50:1,3
77:16	129:18	seriously	8 105:6	54:19
92:25	130:1	96:21	108:11	57 <b>:</b> 11
94:5	134:4	<b>serve</b> 11:17	113:15	114:8
105:24	135:17	52:3,19	123:13,14	<b>share</b> 29:4
124:13	140:3	154:11	<b>,</b> 17 167 <b>:</b> 9	49:5 50:9
125:24	143:18	187:22	168:11	68:9
135:10	181:23		169:2,6,9	70:7,19
136:10,11	184:5	served	,15,19,22	82:6
144:19	189:19	14:23	<b>,</b> 25	105:11
197:20	204:10	service	170:2,3,9	107:21
200:7,9	211:11	18:21	,13,16	107.21
215:4		50:18	171:12,23	0 125:18
217:20	sensitive	51:21	172 <b>:</b> 7	176:4
221:17	79:4,8,10	59:18	174:5,21	179:8
225:25	,11	80:24	177 <b>:</b> 15	
	<b>sent</b> 56:7	81:17	180:21	shared
seller's	87 <b>:</b> 5	102:4,5	184:6,7,1	80:24
29:21		,		

	111001111	i ie eoddingwe		1 age 273	
	81:20,23	19:3	<b>sign</b> 108:9	68:10	176:12
	82:4,7,16	36:14,22	128:8	<b>sir</b> 6:11,18	situations
	169:2,14,	37:1,17,2	signals	7:5,19,25	
	19,21	2 39:17	218:11	8:7,12	
	170:1,2,1	68:13		9:14,19	six 9:22
	6 171:23	105:16,24	signature	10:4,21	12:23
	172:6	111:13	139:24	11:1,20	24:4,13,1
	174:21	218:24	significant	12:8	9 25:5
	176:6	221:4	58 <b>:</b> 25	14:8,16,2	28:12
	177:15	sharing	127:7	2 15:9,15	30.23
	179:12,22	106:9	130:9,15	16:24,25	39:1
	180:21	170:9,13	132:14	17:4,16,2	80:20
	183:24		134:25	0	89:3 90:5
	184:9	sheds	137:3,15	18:6,11,1	<b>sixty</b> 101:7
	186:11,16	115:20	139:12	8	size 154:12
	<b>,</b> 25	sheet	152 <b>:</b> 4	19:5,11,2	
	187:18,20	148:21	199:20	5	skills
	188:25	167:8,18	215:24	20:12 <b>,</b> 18	114:18
	189:7	172:9	216:10		<b>skip</b> 72:18
	190:8			21:2,13,2 4	_
	193:24	<b>she's</b> 228:1	significant		slide
	194:12	shipyards	<b>ly</b> 22:19	22:7,16,2	16:10,19
	195:8,17	100:11,15	23 <b>:</b> 17	2 23:1,8	17:2,12,1
	202:24	101:3	similar	25:1,24	4,22 18:4
	205:18,23	<b>abon</b> 115.0	36:24	26:17,20	56:11
	218:19	<b>shop</b> 115:9	114:14	28:8,16,2	1 33.1,13,4
	219:12	short	183:8	0,24 29:6	3 61:5
	224:6,12	42:21,22	184:3,5,1	30:7,13	70:10
	225:2	199:18	8 185:2	32:7,20	75:7 76:9
		shorter	215:13	33:21	80:4 81:5
	shareholder	10:22		35:4,10	116:24
	70:15		similarly	36:7,10	118:13,14
	76:24	short-term	35:13	38:7	208:3
	78:24	10:22	195:4	39:5,18,2	209:18,19
	89:25	199:19	simpler	4	,20,21
	125:20	shotgun	171 <b>:</b> 1	41:4,7,24	L L L U • L J
	128:11	70:17	aimmliatia	43:2,9,15	211:21,24
	220:12,13	76:16	simplistic	44:3 45:9	212:23,24
	221:10	92:7	200:24	59:10,17	214:18,25
	shareholder		<b>simply</b> 15:2	70:16	215:1,2
	<b>s</b> 29:5	showed 74:5	19:21	89:20	219:2,3
	50:10	showing	20:9	91:18	220:3,4
	60:9,22	208:24	47 <b>:</b> 17	187 <b>:</b> 5	225:7,8
	125:20,21	shown	95 <b>:</b> 13	<b>sit</b> 122:12	
		167:11	96:24		slides
	shareholder		104:20	<b>site</b> 147:9	16:1,2,7,
	' <b>s</b> 66:20	168:15	114:8	<b>sits</b> 113:1	8 17:7,9
	Shareholder	<b>shows</b> 66:17	ginalo	sitting	59:4
	<b>s</b> 81:15	87:4,7,16	single	96:1	209:17
		208:5	155:10		212:20
	shares	sic 141:13	singular	situation	218:14
	18:15,16			152 <b>:</b> 9	219:22
1		I			i

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 05-03-2019	Page 2/6	OI 284
slightly	163:15	191:4	48:10,21	109:15
189:6	171:11	192:3,20	69:5	141:20,24
small	174 <b>:</b> 19	198:17	76:20	149:16
109:10	200:12	201:12	78 <b>:</b> 8	165:15
115:8	202:1	203:14	82:23	187 <b>:</b> 25
178:8	someone	211:19	84:9	188:1
	79 <b>:</b> 22	212:10	151 <b>:</b> 18	205:23
smaller	181:1,3	224:16,17	158:11	213:11
164:20	217:24	<b>sort</b> 21:8	209:12	218:3
186:1	227:20	78:14	220:22	224:25
smallest		86:10	221:22	specifics
186:3	somewhat	88:12	speaking	50:22
small-town	13:2	93:8,11	102:19,21	
115:4	37:13	106:11	132:22	spectrum
	69:17	123:17	209:11	144:1
<b>smart</b> 146:7	79:15	164:7		speculative
212:20	94:25	166:15	speaks	178:18
social	95:5	185:10	142:18	speed
63:12	127:10	205:2	specialized	223 <b>:</b> 13
109:13,21	136:21	227:19	50:17	
110:8,16,	216:11		127:23	<b>spend</b> 73:10
21 115:10	somewhere	sorts	specific	187:12,13
	109:8	107:18 180:1	102:12	<b>spent</b> 94:14
society 110:10	sorry	180:1	104:12	159:6
	63:8,24	sought	105:22	sphere
<b>sold</b> 37:7	73:7,23	166:5	107:17	198:23
69:12	75 <b>:</b> 17	172:21	108:24,25	
113:4	76:1,2	sound	110:23	<b>spite</b> 89:22
151:4	78 <b>:</b> 1	212:16	119:20	<b>spoke</b> 35:18
161:21	81 <b>:</b> 25	sounding	124:20	36:3
162:1	83:13 <b>,</b> 17	113:3	126:16	48:13
173:16,17	89:6,14		129:15	91:7
174:3	90:3 <b>,</b> 5	sounds	137:18	109:25
175:21	93:24	165:11	167:21	195:9
197:19	97:14	180:6	169:16	222:7
225:19,22	99:15,21	199:23	179:5	spoken
<b>sole</b> 119:3	100:13	207:15,16	180:19	28:10,11
Solutions	116:11,23	212:17	194:25	61:8
80:16	121:3	217:21	202 <b>:</b> 17	113:23
	133:11	222:23	223:21,22	201:16
somebody	137:14	source 18:4	226:6	
9:23,25	138:20	116:12	specificall	<b>spot</b> 11:18
24:14	141:15	121:10	<b>y</b> 69:24	spree
90:21 98:20	143:10	144:11	74:12	213:18
114:9,10	152:15	sources	76 <b>:</b> 22	spring
114:9,10	153:8	39:9	81:16	39:10
131:15	156:6,15		85:16	120:18,24
136:9	172:25	space	92:21	121:1
144:12	173:16	132:14	97:21	150:16
152:14	182:1	<b>speak</b> 35:11	105:15	<b>SPTT</b> 55:25
102.11	183:11			DEII 00.40

	. Te COLLINGWO	JD 03 03 2019	rage 211	01 204
56:10	199:4,9	storage	202:5	95:24
staff 6:15	starts	104:13	struck	submitted
12:5	49:25	<b>store</b> 113:4	110:3	87 <b>:</b> 25
35:12,16	166:17	stories	132:25	subsequent
42:7	173:3	47:7,13,1	136:18	150:25
49:13	191:3	7 95:2	structure	151:24
81:10	<b>state</b> 103:3	121:7	53:11	158:21,22
82:12			94:6	170:25
103:22	statement	story	126:1	225:16
106:20	65:2	104:21	171:6	
107:1	167:17,24	strategic	175 <b>:</b> 15	subsequentl
114:20	statements	18:14	183:22	<b>y</b> 94:15
115:6	66:14	20:10	184:5,9,2	105:12
116:14	165:6	25:8 <b>,</b> 12	2 188:25	210:18
stage	167:12	30:21	190:4	substantial
181:3,5	states	31:15,20	209:18	21:11
stages 70:7	54:16	36:6	structuring	22 <b>:</b> 25
_	statistical	50:2,11,1	194:13	23:12
stakeholder	155:15	6 52:22		52 <b>:</b> 19
<b>s</b> 146:19		53:17	STT	success
<b>stand</b> 34:10	status	56:1,13	13:8,11,1 7	58 <b>:</b> 25
122:11	17:14,18	58:18,21,	14:3,7,19	successes
standalone	66:15	24 59:8,19	15:8,14	31:14,19
141:8	68:7 74:18,19	68:12	28:11,18	117:8
stand-alone	141:4	106:5	·	118:4
62:20	212:25	117:10	studies	successful
189:8	220:4		155 <b>:</b> 23	79:18,22
		strategies 127:6	stuff	80:1
standard	<b>stay</b> 205:8		145:16	102:22
70:2	stayed	strategy	style	110:4
96:22 108:8	52:23	74:5	146:17	117:20
	<b>Ste</b> 183:20	75:15,23,	<b>su</b> 176:12	succession
STANDS	<b>step</b> 9:18	24 76:2,3		162:22
122:1	106:24	93:5,8,12	subcommitte	163:24
start		,15,17,21 102:23	<b>es</b>	
147:10	steps		14:14,20	<b>suddenly</b> 82 <b>:</b> 18
148:16	13:7,8	streamlined	subject	02:10
193:4	79:2,3	197:24	114:12	suggest
206:23	Stewart	Street 1:19	130:9	94:10
started 7:7	52:24	64:23	134:24	103:7
122:12	<b>stop</b> 207:13	strength	137:3,15	111:2
147:11,13	209:20	20:10	139:12	113:7
159:3	215:1	strengthen	144:5 168:5	151:4 157:1
190:6,15	220:2	19:22		157:1
194:1	226:24		submission	176:15
starting	stopping	stretching	36:19	
131:24	226:25	13:4	40:7,18	suggested
132:7	227:1	strong	submit	82:5
				134:9

INQUINI	. IC COHHINGWO	00 00 2013	1 age 270	01 204
141:18	78:9	59 <b>:</b> 15	78:3	69:2
158:25	<b>sure</b> 6:25	suspect	109:13	teach
159:20	44:9	44:9	116:13	104:23
181:1	67 <b>:</b> 21		159:19	
201:25	71:8,12	suspicion	165:20	team
202:1	73:11	163:11	218:18,24	13:9,11,1
224:23	86:9	sustainable	talking	7,21,22
suggesting	93:10	164:9,10	56:1	14:3,7,19
198:8	99:25	<b>Sworn</b> 3:12	83:3,4,8,	15:8,14,1
	120:18	122:19	19,23	6 20:17
suggestion	131:8,14,		95 <b>:</b> 1,2	25:9,13
73:8	22 133:13	synergies	109 <b>:</b> 22	28:11,18
159:11	150:7,24	205:10	125 <b>:</b> 12	56 <b>:</b> 1
193:11	151:25	218:15	149:16	143:3
199:24 212:5	152:11,20	synergy	150 <b>:</b> 8	164:16 179:7
	156:19	202 <b>:</b> 18	163:5	1/9:/
suggestions	159:18	system	165:21	telephone
73:5	161:24	164:6	167 <b>:</b> 13	137:22
suggests	163:11,20	177:5	189:5	159 <b>:</b> 4
21:21	165:19	187:21 <b>,</b> 22	205 <b>:</b> 15	163:11,13
23:4	168:25	,24	209:22	,18,19
24:14	171 <b>:</b> 22	189:11,20	<b>talks</b> 31:21	<b>ten</b> 65:19
138:23	183:4,5,6	,24	32:15	108:17
144:1	195:1			196:12,21
182:20	201:20	systems	targets	197:4,15,
summary	213:15	104:13	154:21	18 198:5
31:7	214:13		<b>task</b> 11:16	<b>tend</b> 37:3
32:22	223:12	T	14:24	143:9
34:23	224:2	table 3:1	25 <b>:</b> 13	163:13
35:9 68:8	225:2	51:2 62:5	56 <b>:</b> 1	185:25
145:20,25	surely	69:10	125 <b>:</b> 4	205:1
167:8,18	37:18	96:2	126:14	216:7
172:9	surmised	104:17	140:22	
209:6	162:2	115:11	175 <b>:</b> 5	tended
supplementa		taking	tasked	147:17
ry 155:10	surmising	11:10	14:20	tendency
<b>Ly</b> 133.10	163:2	12:1,18	<b>tasks</b> 14:15	160:7
support	165:12	157 <b>:</b> 18	140:21	tends
52:1	surprise	161:10		216:13
105:11	23:7,10	<b>talk</b> 71:21	<b>taste</b> 86:14	term 6:9,12
114:20	149:6	72 <b>:</b> 4	<b>tax</b> 209:20	69:15
188:2	159:25	114:12,14	210:6,24	78:2
supportive	160:1	,23,24	211:2,9	94:23
52:8	surprised	115:4,9,1	224:25	95 <b>:</b> 12
suppose	155:4	1 169:12	225:6,12,	100:16
19:1	180:15	193:16	25	107:7
	surrounding	195:5	taxes	199:18
supposed	37:20	talked	209:23	terminal
45:22		74:12		130:25
supposition	survey		taxpayers	130.23
1	İ			İ

INQUIN	ie commingwo	JD 03-03-2019	Page 2/9	01 204
131:2	tests	111:24	189:24	ticket 93:9
196:22	222:17	121:21,23	209:17	tie
197:10,12	<b>Tha</b> 121:22	<b>,</b> 25	216:22	167:10,25
,15,17,19	111 <b>a</b> 121.22	141:16	217:2,5,1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
terminated	thank	190:21	9 226:5	172:10
9:10	5:5 <b>,</b> 17	191:5	they're	tied
	6:1	226:3	70:12	159:16,25
terms 31:13	8:15 <b>,</b> 25	227:14	83:18	tight 11:18
36:17	12:11,15	that'll	107:1	_
59:18	16:14	77:10	115:19	Tim 3:8
77:20	17:21		133:4	66:3,4,7,
78:23	20:20	themselves	163:12	23
79:5	25:20	125:18	187:23	67:1,10,2
95:14,20	32:24	170:10	191:20	0,24,25
99:7	34:13,15	223:20	216:14	68:3,17
100:23	35:24	theory	217:1	69:14,21
103:20,21	40:24,25	215:3,19	217.1	70:3
105:6,21	43:19,21	216:25	224:2	71:2,6,9,
108:19	44:20			14,19,20,
110:23	45:11,16,	thereby	<b>third</b> 60:1	24
117:7	17	218:11	71:21,25	72:7,10,2
118:17	46:10,12,	therefore	118:15,24	
119:14,18	13 52:17	162:22	119:5	74:1,16
,22 125:7	55:14	168:12,22	222:16	75:6,12,1
142:22,24	57 <b>:</b> 21	190:9	third-party	9
144:23	58:10,15	199:11,18	30:20	/6:2,5,8,
154:25	59:5,22	216:13	35:21	13 77:3
164:3	61:3 62:7	217:4	222:12	78:10,16,
165:4,16	63:1,22	222:21		22
184:14	64:6,9	there's	thoughts	79:13,17,
190:6	65:16	40:21	29:8,12 30:10	23
193:1	66:4	86:9,21	67:5 69:4	80:2,9,14
194:13	67:1,16,2	92 <b>:</b> 5	77:15	l ''''
197:23	0 68:17	93:9,13	136:21	82:3,20,2
202:3	69:14,21	97:13		5 83:13,17,
205:15	70:3 71:1	102:9	thousand	25
terrific	76:8	104:3	11:5	84:1,11,1
64:18	79:13	110:15	173:8	7,23,24
65:13	85:9 88:9	115:8,9	thread	85:14,15
territory	89:16	137:10	86:10 <b>,</b> 22	86:1,8
154:12	90:24	142:19	87:16 <b>,</b> 17	87:1,9,14
	91:6,17	152 <b>:</b> 4	129:6,22	,23
test 144:14	93:13	155:12 <b>,</b> 14	three-day	88:3,9,20
testify	96:5	157 <b>:</b> 12	85:19	,24
62:17	97:12	161:12		89:11,16,
testifying	98:10,19	162:16	throughout	22
62:17	102:9	166:17	8:21 26:6	90:3,7,18
	103:11	173:2,7	108:17	,21,24
testimony	107:11	175:19	thumb	91:6,10,1
10:11	108:14	178:3,4,1	198:11	7
86:15	109:19	0 187:15		
1				1

	i ie eoddingwe	OB 09 09 2019	rage 200	01 204
137:21,23	49:2 65:2	115:8	115:4	167:2,6
149:5	69:9	125:5,20	traditional	168:7
151:4,8	130:21	126:10 <b>,</b> 15	ly 14:23	169:24
156:24	149:18	127:12	199:3	170:2
157:1,8,1	198:2,3,7	128:12,18	199:3	175:10
3 159:17	203:25	136:25	train	177 <b>:</b> 25
160:1,2	215:13	137:5,24	104:20	195:18
161:8,21,	4 - 4 1 -	141:7	training	198:16
25	today's	145:23	42:16,18,	210:25
162:9,14	197:22	167:4,10	19,23,25	218:1,2
164:24	tomatoes	168:8,11,	43:5,8,12	•
165:2,3,1	109:9	13,17,20	44:16	transcribed
0,17,21	tomorrow	169:7,8	52:13	112:20
192:23	191:11	170 <b>:</b> 13	61:22	150:2
201:22,23		171 <b>:</b> 12	104:6,10,	transcribin
224:23	tone 111:4	173 <b>:</b> 15	19	<b>g</b> 162:4
	203:6	174 <b>:</b> 2		transcript
timeline	tool 37:15	177 <b>:</b> 15	transaction	3:20
38:5,9,15		179:12,14	50 <b>:</b> 22	66:17
39:2,7,20	tools 105:4	190:10,12	68 <b>:</b> 25	
40:5 54:7	top 31:10	201:24	70:7	transcripti
55:20	64:15	202:20	77:16	<b>on</b> 150:17
58:4,7	140:17	205:12	79:18	transfer
74:20	169:21	209:13	90:25	114:18
77:15	215:2	214:1	96:2	182:17
86:4,5,7,	topic 20:21	220:12,16	141:7	209:20,23
12 87:20	74:22	,21,22	142:3	210:6,24
88:12,14		221:2,8,1	168:21	211:2,9
timely	touch 105:5	2,18,22	171:7,24	224:25
161:10	181:21	222:3,5,1	172 <b>:</b> 2	225:6,12,
time-	towards	1	174:10,14	25
sensitive	54:13	223:6,7,8	175:15,20	
9:12	59:25	,12,15	<b>,</b> 23	transform
	98:6	224:6,8	176:23	125:17
Timothy	108:19	226:22	189:15	translate
2:14	town 1:2,17	Town's 44:8	190:18	131:14
Tim's 165:7	2:18 6:16	113:2	194:14	transparent
172:5,20	30:14	149:19	198:18	64:14
Tire 26:8	36:23	219:6	209:24	111:13
	53:5,22,2	220:14	211:10,13	
title 31:8	5	222:7,24	212:6,9	travel
123:3,6	60:22,25	·	219:8	147:16
TOC048547	63:12	<b>tra</b> 209:22	transaction	tried
31:1	64:15	tracks	s	222:20
TOC0485476	65:5	167:2	130:7,12,	triggering
	72:25		15 131 <b>:</b> 18	211 <b>:</b> 2
4:3	78:3	<b>trade</b>	132:13	
TOC485476	82:11,16	215:9,21, 24	134:23	trust
115:25	108:22	∠4	137:1,6,1	103:18
today 5:14	109:10	traditional	1 141:21	208:22
39:23	113:24	114:11	145:8	trusted

INQUIR	re COLLINGWO	OD 05-03-2019	Page 281	of 284
28:7	176:6	133:12	36:22	unexpected
try 171:16	typical	underlying	43:4 52:7	68:19
192:13,17	115:4	159:2	59:10	unfair 40:9
193:22			60:13	
202:19	typically	understand	94:14	unfold 96:3
227:8	143:16	8:6,13	98:22	unique
	183:11	9:17	106:19	94:11
trying	186:13	19:19	107:15	183:21
57:10	196:6,10	24:3	121:8	184:21
86:10	197:5	27:6,7	123:1	185:1
115:18	213:16	34:8 37:3	126:7	223:16
136:17		38:4	128:9	unknown
149:16	U	39:20	145:12	92:13
163:7	ultimate	40:6,17	146:12	
168:4	171:7	46:9	168:10	unless
169:7	ultimately	51:6,17,2	172:13	24:14
170:5	144:7,11,	2	222:9	98:20
175:8	15 170:22	52:13,16	understands	107:2
179:20,25	219:4	59:16,20	228:1	unlikely
186:10,24		60:2		161:6
190:20	unanimous	63:25	understated	
turn 31:6	29:4	76:3	205:1	unnecessary
115:24	76:24	94:16	understood	202:14
148:4	78:24	106:9	53 <b>:</b> 17	unprecedent
152:17	81:15	119:3	63:18	<b>ed</b> 111:2
155:7	unaware	128:4,18	110:2	unsure 25:7
201:9	73:8 76:6	132:12	128:14	
turned	77:19	133:7	143:1,4	unusual
78:17	uncertain	136:15	146:22	15 <b>:</b> 7
	83:5	137:7 138:24	155:25	171 <b>:</b> 13
<b>TV</b> 96:18			162:9	216:1
twelve 7:3	uncertainty	143:2 144:17	207:7	unwilling
twenty	78:25		undertake	10:10
77:8,12,2	79:2	150:7 153:21	140:21	upcoming
1	130:9,23	159:16,21	184:18	29:19
78:4,12,1	132:15	160:21		
7 111:18	134:25	168:25	undertaken	update
	136:23	171:22	29:13	121:1
two-day	137:3,16	184:20	183:8	updated
103:15	138:12	186:11	185:2	87 <b>:</b> 18
type	139:12	188:16	undertakes	121:14
124:4,5	144:5,12	193:2,4	118:22	
142:18	145:5	209:21	undertaking	upgrade
183:24	underestima	210:17	130:13	187 <b>:</b> 21 188:1
184:7,9	ting	215:17		100:1
200:17	216:9	225:17	underway	upgraded
types 68:21	underlined	226:12	26:23	187:21
126:4	133:7		undesirable	upgrading
164:14	134:13	understandi	216:10	187:23
165:20		<b>ng</b> 8:18	218:12	
100.20	underlines	15:3 28:4		upon 5:1
Î.	1			

	i i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	JD 03 03 2013	rage 202	01 201
34:17,18	213:18	188:1	68:6	145:1
65:22 <b>,</b> 23	214:4,6	189:14,18	84:19	155 <b>:</b> 13
78:8,23	215:4,9,1	,20,23	87 <b>:</b> 7	168:23
94:17	5,18	190:7,11,	124:22	170:17,20
122:16,17	216:12,13	13,14	126:19	177:24
147:25	·	196:13,23	129:17	180:1,4
148:1	utility	197:5,9,1	134:3	193 <b>:</b> 22
171:18	6:21 8:23	8 <b>,</b> 23	135:1,7	195:24
228:6	46:19	198:2,6,1	140:14	196:17,18
	50:18	5,16,24	141:23	,19,23
Upper	53:5,12	199:3,11,	142:1,9,1	197:8,10,
99:13,19	54:17	14,21	5,17,18,1	12,16,17,
101:1	55:3,12	200:13,19	9,20	19,22
<b>UPS</b> 113:4	56:20	208:4	143:5,15,	198:1,14,
22.25	59:16	213:20	23,25	17,18,19
<b>urge</b> 23:25	60:17	215:1,18,	144:8,25	199:2,10,
urgent 9:12	69:8	24	149:14,20	21
useful 33:9	116:14	216:19,21	158:13,14	200:2,25
37:14	124:14	,23	160:3	201:5
40:25	125:3,18,	217:5,12,	171:17	201:3
53:4	23 127:20	14,20,24	191:9	215:5,10,
106:8	128:7	218:5,7,1	193:12	20,25
142:6	130:18,20	1	194:8	216:1,2,3
152:7	131:2,3,1	220:13,14	201:7	217:12,15
198:22	5 135:11	,15,17	202:16	,23
	141:2,4	221:4,5,6	204:2	
usually	142:3	,15	204:2	values
57:9 93:9	144:13	223:4,9	215:1,14	31:22
133:12	145:24			32:6
163:17	146:4	utility's	valuations	215:4
205:1	147:5	141:6	127:24	variables
utilities	149:20	168:9,18	183:8,11,	154:11 <b>,</b> 16
2:7 54:6	154:17	198:23	12 184:19	variety
123:15,20	155:16,17	utilize	185:6,16	124:4
,24	157:17	87:18	201:1,3	
124:8,12,	162:21		216:5	various
17,19,20	164:19		valuator	17:10
125:17,25	167:4,22	<b>vague</b> 45:5	142:14	20:22
128:14	168:8,11,	_	145:12	24:1
131:8	20,22	vaguely	<b>value</b> 37:24	39:15
154:8,19,	169:6,12	129:23	126:19,24	79:5
21 162:14	171:11	154:3	130:8,18,	109:22
164:14	174:6,7	157:4,5	25 131:2	135:9
183:13,18	175:2,20	182:9	132:5,9	145:22
,21,23	176:5	<b>val</b> 204:1	134:24	154:10
184:4	177:20	valuable	136:8,9	155:14
185:23,24	178:3	40:13	137:2,15	157:19
186:1,4,5	180:1,5	65:9	139:11	209:7
,6,15	183:15,20	68:19	141:6	<b>vary</b> 143:22
187:22	186:6,14	00.17		
	107.1 / 1		1421/11	770re10n
210:7,24	187:1,4,1 9,25	valuation	142:2,11 143:6	<pre>version 38:22</pre>

11/2011/1		OD 03 03 2013	1 agc 203	<u> </u>
87:18	194:16	48:1	83:18,21,	•
versions	warned	<b>we'd</b> 70:10	23 109:21	<b>,</b> 19
86:9	29:17	176:21	113:7	117 <b>:</b> 20
88:5,6,8			118:13	124:13
	Wasaga	week 89:3	131:13	125 <b>:</b> 22
versus	94:23	101:25	132:24	126:2
142:15	95:14,17	102:6	136:22	139:10
186:5	wasn't	181:21	139:3	148:20
200:16	10:24	206:6	174:4,5	150 <b>:</b> 24
<b>view</b> 11:24	50:24	weekend	185:22	152:3 <b>,</b> 8
19:20	69:19	103:25	189:5	153:4 <b>,</b> 5
26:1,3	72:8	weekly	194:7	155:17,18
40:6	73:24	47:14	226:13,24	158 <b>:</b> 12
62:23,24	74:4	99:4,9	227:1,13,	163:8
136:15	75:24	101:8	18	169:7
137:14	76:18		West 107:1	174:19
138:16	77:4	weeks		178:23 <b>,</b> 24
140:8	82:1,2,22	147:10	we've 26:1	180:19
142:2,10,	85:1 <b>,</b> 16	182:4	28:10,11	200:15
16	101:4	weighting	29:14	221:21
143:5,8	131:18	56:9	49:2	226:10
144:1,9	150:24		50:4,20	227:20
145:1,9	151:4	we'll 34:10	56:1 61:8	<b>whole</b> 174:4
221:7	171:25	59:6	83:22	177:19
222:4	172:1	67:10	98:21	
viewing	174:17	72:18	175:9	<b>whom</b> 206:12
109:4	176:22	74:2 87:1	177:14	who's 45:13
	180:12	122:11,12	200:11	94:5
<b>views</b> 76:21	182:14,16	,13 135:12	205:14	170:20
113:2	,20		218:18	whose 220:9
226:10	184:16	137:8	whatever	
vision 32:6	water	147:23 150:20	107:2	William
visions	8:23,24	153:11	169:25	2:18
31:21	173:15	158:3	217:1	willing
	174:6,7	191:22	Wheeler	144:13,20
voice	175:20	201:12	2:20	174:20
55:3,12	177:16	204:5		216:11,14
<b>vote</b> 72:11	183:15,19	204:3	whereas	217:3
105:12,14	,23	207:13	127:23	willingness
108:21	184:7,21	215:1	whereby	18:24
<b>voted</b> 49:4	186:14,25	219:25	125:13	
	187:4,12,	220:2	whether	win 115:23
voting 15:1	19,25	226:24	11:14	winding
	190:7,11,		13:16	88:15
W	13,14	well-	30:11	Windsor
wait 150:11	205:10,25	crafted	95:16,17	183:20
201:13	Watson 2:7	64:13	106:24	184:4
212:6		Wendy	110:10,16	186:6,15
walk 112:21	wear 178:6	228:13	112:7	•
	website	we're 40:22	113:23	Wingrove
walked		WE IE 40.22		
Ī	Ī	i l		

INQUIR	re COLLINGWOO	DD 05-03-2019	Page 284	of 284
9:10	,21 127:4	worth	197:5,7,9	
wire 99:2	128:10,23	71:8,12	yours 7:2	
154:13	140:18,20	130:22	_	
	141:10	155:11	yourself	
wires	145:4,13,	197:5	153:19	
169:13,18	19 146:23	worthwhile	173:2	
wisdom	155:21	155:11	you've	
25:22	159:2	157 <b>:</b> 23	23:11	
wish 16:8	160:4		34:11,22	
220:19	165:4,10	would've	39:22	
	177:22	25:12,21	67:8 75:3	
wishing	180:22	28:4,13,2 2 177:23	86:11	
11:16	185:16,23	178:1,11	94:7	
witness	191:8		101:16	
5:12 <b>,</b> 16	226:22	<b>write</b> 63:10	103:17	
39:22	227:8	64:12	105:6	
43:18	worked 56:8	153:23,25	121:9	
83:21	96:8	writes	129:13	
121:23	working	134:25	132:15	
122:1,4,5	20:3,5	135:2	177:14 197:14	
152:9	25:15,18	193:9	201:4,15	
228:4	79:19	written	201.4,13	
witnessed	82:17	166:24	202.4	
110:19	94:2	222:6		
witnesses	128:10			
77 <b>:</b> 11	187:23	wrong 11:15	<b>zero</b> 55:16	
81:11	workload	wrote 63:6	<b>zeros</b> 38:25	
111:10	89:4	65:1	39:1	
won 8:13,24	164:4	162:1	97:14	
	165:21	222:5		
wonder	175:3,4			
35:20	workloads	Y		
152 <b>:</b> 2	178:11,12	<b>Ye</b> 169:4		
wondering	182:16	yesterday		
152 <b>:</b> 2	205:24	9:1,9		
161:1	Works 6:22	14:12		
Woodworth		72:22		
228:13	workshop	73:19		
wording	103:14	226:20		
56:7	world	<b>yet</b> 5:13		
88:11	182:18	50:21		
	worldwide	you'll		
work 68:6	110:15	66:11		
102:12 105:13	111:3	71:15		
112:25	worry 181:4	80:9		
123:24	192:25	86:20		
124:16,22		87:4,11		
,23	worrying	88:21		
126:18,20	165:5	104:2,4,9		
	1			