

TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD JUDICIAL INQUIRY

Before:

Associate Chief Justice Frank Marrocco

Held at:

Collingwood Town Hall Council Chambers 97 Hurontario Street Collingwood, Ontario

June 26th, 2019



```
2
1
                 APPEARANCES
2
3 Kate McGrann
                         ) Inquiry Counsel
4 John Mather
                      ) Associate Inquiry
5
                         ) Counsel
6
7 Michael Watson (np) ) Alectra Utilities
8 Belinda Bain
                         ) Corporation
9 Heather Fisher (np) )
10
11 (No Counsel)
                   ) For Paul Bonwick
12
13 George Marron
                   ) For Sandra Cooper
14
15 (No Counsel)
                         ) For Timothy Fryer
16
17 Frederick Chenoweth ) For Edwin Houghton
18
19 William McDowell ) For Town of Collingwood
20 Ryan Breedon (np)
21
22 Patrick Gajos (np) ) For Collus PowerStream
23
                         )Corporation
24
2.5
```

1	MADIE OF COMMENTS	3
1 2	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
3	List of Exhibits	PAGE NO.
4	LISC OI EXHIDICS	4
5	JOHN BROWN, Sworn	
6	Examination-in-Chief by Mr. John Mather	5
7	Cross-examination by Mr. Frederick Chenoweth	
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Belinda Bain	194
9	Cross-examination by Mr. Paul Bonwick	233
10		_00
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Certificate of Transcript	279
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

_					
					4
	1		List of Exhibits		
	2	Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	
	3	376	TOC0515233		
	4	377	ALE0050230		
	5	378	CPS0007764_0001		
	6	379	CPS0005431		
	7	380	TOC0516647		
	8	381	TOC0516775		
	9	382	CPS0007337_0001		
	10	383	ЕНН0000118.0001		
	11	384	ALE0004323		
	12	385	ALE0050228		
	13	386	ALE0050223		
	14	387	ALE0008096		
	15	388	ALE0050224.0002		
	16	389	ALE0050224		
	17	390	CJI0011182		
	18	391	CJI0011188		
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

5 --- Upon commencing at 10:03 a.m. 2 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Good morning. 5 6 JOHN BROWN, Sworn EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY MR. JOHN MATHER: 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Good morning, Mr. 10 Brown. 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: Good morning. MR. JOHN MATHER: You were the CAO of 12 the Town of Collingwood from July 2013 to September 13 14 2017. 15 Is that correct? 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: And we understand 18 that you took on this role at the suggestion of John Mascarin at Aird & Berlis. 19 20 Is that correct? 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 22 MR. JOHN MATHER: Could you briefly give us an overview of your municipal experience prior 24 to coming to Collingwood? 2.5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. So I have

- 1 worked in two (2) different countries. I worked in
- 2 the UK before I came to Canada, and I worked in
- 3 Canada.
- 4 I've worked in three (3) provinces, New
- 5 Brunswick, Ontario, and Alberta. I've worked for
- 6 seven (7) municipalities. I've had about forty (40) -
- 7 almost forty (40) years of experience, and thirty
- 8 (30) of those years has been at the level of either
- 9 assistant city manager or at a city manager level,
- 10 which equates to the CAO.
- I have never been terminated from any
- 12 position. And I -- I think that's about it.
- 13 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it's our
- 14 understanding that when you were -- came to
- 15 Collingwood in July 2013, you were brought in an
- 16 acting capacity, and it was supposed to be a temporary
- 17 position.
- 18 Is that correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can you please
- 21 explain then how you ended up staying for what looks
- 22 to be four (4) years and a little bit more?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: So when I came, I
- 24 just started working, and Council didn't take any
- 25 action to initiate the hiring process for the

- 1 permanent CAO. And then sometime -- I think it must
- 2 have been about two (2) months or something like that,
- 3 the mayor came to see me in my office. And she said,
- 4 So what are your intentions? I think that was the
- 5 exact words.
- And I said, so in -- in what sense?
- 7 And she said, Well you seem to know
- 8 what you're doing, so we were wondering if you might
- 9 want to stay for a while. Something -- something to
- 10 that effect.
- 11 And I said, Yes, I could stay on,
- 12 because I'm retired -- I was retired. And I think
- 13 after that, there was kind of informal discussions
- 14 about the length of the contractor interim arrangement
- 15 that they wanted to set up for me.
- And I think Rick Lloyd, the deputy
- 17 mayor, suggested five (5) years. And I said, I -- at
- 18 my age, I couldn't do five (5) years, but I could do
- 19 three (3), and that would be long.
- 20 And the matter went to Council, and
- 21 they approved a two (2) year contract. And that was
- 22 subsequently renewed by, I think, that Council, and
- 23 then the subsequent Councils renewed the contract
- 24 until I finally retired.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Could we pull up

Transcript Date June 26, 2019 8 paragraph 685 of the Foundation Document. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: So Mr. Brown, this paragraph identifies a series of reports that were prepared after the share sale Transaction involving PowerStream. And if we scroll down, we can see a 10 summary of those reports enumerated in subparagraph 11 (a), down through to the bottom, to subparagraph (f), going down. Keep going down. 13 And it's my understanding that you were 14 involved in the commissioning of several of these 15 reports. 16 Is that correct? 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we will have some 19 questions for you about these reports. And the first one, if we scroll up again, that I believe you were 21 involved in commissioning was the 2013 report referenced in subparagraph (d) involving BMA 22 23 Consulting to conduct a review of Collingwood's

Is that correct?

24

2.5

financial health.

9 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So if we could go to paragraph 724 of the Foundation Document. 3 4 5 (BRIEF PAUSE) 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph describes the BMA report which looked at the --Collingwood's ability to finance the services on a continuing basis. BMA concluded, amongst other 10 11 things, that Collingwood was in a negative financial position with a net financial liability of \$16.4 13 million, and that its debt per capita was higher than 14 the average debt per capita of ninety-eight (98) other 15 Ontario municipalities that have been surveyed by BMA. 16 Could you explain to the Inquiry why 17 this report was commissioned at this point in time? 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: I commissioned the 19 report because I had worked with BMA before in Oshawa, and I had -- BMA this survey to Brantford when I was 21 there. So I knew what the -- I knew what the company 22 did, and what it did was it received the FIRs from all 23 of the municipalities that participated, and then they 24 did kind of a summary comparison and gave each

municipality an analysis of, you know, what their

- 1 financial position was, and any recommendations that
- 2 they believed were necessary.
- 3 So when I arrived in Colling --
- 4 Collingwood. I had no sense that there was an
- 5 understanding in any detailed way of the Town's actual
- 6 financial position in terms of a lot of financial
- 7 criteria. So I asked BMA if they would come in and
- 8 give me a -- a kind of an overview analysis of where
- 9 the Town was positioned financially compared to the
- 10 ninety-eight (98) -- ninety-eight (98), I think, other
- 11 municipalities.
- 12 And they undertook that. They did
- 13 that. And that based on their conclusions, which I
- 14 took to Council and advised Council of, there was a
- 15 significant financial challenge, which was -- which
- 16 was the City had to address in the future -- the Town,
- 17 rather, pardon me.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And what steps
- 19 followed from you reporting on the BMA's findings to
- 20 Council?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: So with -- with the
- 22 report presented to and understood by Council, I then
- 23 wrote further reports and recommended that the Town
- 24 undertake a strategic financial plan, and Council
- 25 approved that recommendation, and I proceeded to

- 1 develop with the treasurer certain elements of a
- 2 strategic financial plan, including recommendations to
- 3 do with debt, and reviewing reserve funds, and
- 4 generally reporting, and understanding, and making
- 5 public the -- the plan's goals to address the
- 6 financial challenges the Town had.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could now move
- 8 to paragraph 731 of the Foundation Document.

9

10 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph
- 13 references the Beacon 2020 and True North report, and
- 14 our understanding is that this is -- this is the
- 15 second report that we understand was commissioned by
- 16 you, and this report was to carry out an operational
- 17 review of the shared services agreements under which
- 18 Collus-PowerStream Solutions provided services to
- 19 CPUSB, which is the water utility.
- How did this report come about?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: So as you're aware,
- 22 there were lots of -- there were lots of questions in
- 23 terms of the -- the billing of Collus Solutions and --
- 24 and discussions with Marc -- Marcus Firman. We
- 25 decided that a value for money audit might be a good

- 1 idea to address the situation with respect to those
- 2 billings and to answer the question, were we getting
- 3 value for money, that we paid for the various services
- 4 that were provided, and I worked -- this was a joint
- 5 project between the Town and the CPUSB, it wasn't just
- 6 initiated by the Town but was a joint project, and
- 7 Marcus Firman and I -- he was the COO of water waste -
- 8 wastewater.
- 9 We worked on it together as kind of
- 10 joint project managers, so we went through the
- 11 procurement process. Actually, we -- we hired the
- 12 firm that Marcus had brought to our consideration of,
- 13 you know, candidate firms. We hired that company, and
- 14 it was True North, Beacon 2020, and they proceeded,
- 15 under a terms of reference that I wrote that was
- 16 prepared for Council and approved by Council, and
- 17 obviously was known to the CPUSB and presumably to
- 18 Collus Solutions and Collus-PowerStream staff, and
- 19 that -- that project proceeded.
- 20 And that project concluded that, based
- 21 on their review, that it was not possible to undertake
- 22 a value for money audit because the services were not
- 23 costed individually. They were apportioned across
- 24 broader costs at Collus Solutions Service, which was
- 25 the hydro company.

- 1 So that report had many recommendations
- 2 and it was contested significantly. One -- one point
- 3 is that -- that -- that report was worked on with the
- 4 steering committee. The steering committee was
- 5 myself, Marjory Leonard, the Treasurer, Sara Almas,
- 6 the Clerk, and Marcus Firman, and each of us -- when
- 7 the consultant was developing drafts, each of us had
- 8 the responsibility of reviewing the draft and advising
- 9 the consultant if there were any things that were
- 10 incorrect or needed to be changed, in -- in the report
- 11 from a correctness perspective, not a judgmental
- 12 perspective.
- 13 And when the report was finally
- 14 presented to Council, Mr. Firman surprisingly
- 15 criticized the consultant for -- for errors within the
- 16 report, and the consultant later, I think it was
- 17 later, advised me that he had not received any
- 18 comments back from Mr. Firman with respect to any
- 19 mistakes that might have been in the report.
- 20 Notwithstanding all of that, the
- 21 consultant took into account all of the feedback that
- 22 was received by everybody who had concerns about the
- 23 report and the consultant made very minor changes to
- 24 the report. So, the report generally stood as it was
- 25 written.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: I have a few
- 2 questions arising from that.
- 3 At the outset, you said that there had
- 4 been lots of questions about the billing practices. I
- 5 take it that means the billing by Collus Solution
- 6 (sic) to the water utility for services provided by
- 7 Collus Solution (sic) employees?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, essentially the
- 9 question started with me calling Ms. Shuttleworth, the
- 10 CFO, and I said to her, like, can you please explain
- 11 to me the basis of your billings to the Town, like how
- 12 do you go about creating these bills, what's included?
- 13 And she said she did it, you know, she was
- 14 responsible, and that it was her responsibility.
- 15 And she went on to tell me that she had
- 16 governance authority over it and she said it was -- I
- 17 think she said it was nothing to do with me and that
- 18 she was very busy and she hadn't time to answer
- 19 further questions from me, or words to that effect,
- 20 and of course being Chief Administrative Officer of
- 21 the Town and water and wastewater being Town
- 22 responsibilities, and also IT, which is included,
- 23 which is an integral part of our operation, I was very
- 24 concerned and I guess I persisted with Ms.
- 25 Shuttleworth to please provide to be some sense of how

- 1 you develop these bills.
- 2 She then said -- I said there must be
- 3 some agreement somewhere, and she said she didn't know
- 4 but she would look for something. And then she called
- 5 me back and sent me a copy of a 2003 document and said
- 6 this was in a drawer, but clearly it was not something
- 7 that she was aware of before she went to look for it,
- 8 and she sent it to me.
- 9 So I reviewed that document and
- 10 initially I thought the document had trans -- had
- 11 expired but -- so then that document, the -- the
- 12 existence of that document led to further enquiries
- 13 with respect to what, you know, was what its status,
- 14 was it legal anymore, was it a rollover document, and
- 15 then that was the critical element which was used by
- 16 Beacon 2020 when they reviewed the value for money
- 17 audit, so they used that document and -- and they
- 18 found out that -- that the anticipated costing under
- 19 that agreement had been departed from by, I guess,
- 20 Collus Solutions.
- 21 That agreement was a public document
- 22 but it had never been released to the public. There
- 23 was no record of it in the Town. I checked with the
- 24 auditor. They had never seen or heard of it. And so
- 25 we had a difficult situation where we're -- we didn't

- 1 know anything about the billing and the only
- 2 information we had, we didn't even know if it was
- 3 correct or not, and then we had to take legal advice
- 4 with respect to that -- Leo Longo, I think.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So, what I
- 6 understand from your answer is, you had some questions
- 7 about how the -- how Collus arrived at the numbers
- 8 they billed the Town for with respect to water and
- 9 wastewater. Is that correct?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, I had, and the
- 11 Treasurer had, and the Treasurer had herself attempted
- 12 to get information from Collus Solutions staff, I
- 13 think Ms. Shuttleworth, and she -- she -- she was
- 14 frustrated. I don't think she got the information
- 15 that she thought she needed to have.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: And so you spoke
- 17 with Ms. Shuttleworth who provided you with an
- 18 agreement.
- 19 So if we could pull up TOC515233.

20

21 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down. So it
- 24 is our understanding that this is a shared services
- 25 agreement from 2003 that was in place at the time the

- 1 sale transaction proceeded.
- Is this the document that Ms.
- 3 Shuttleworth provided you?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than providing
- 6 you with this document, what else did Ms. Shuttleworth
- 7 tell you prior to retaining Beacon about how the
- 8 shared services were charged?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I don't have a
- 10 clear memory of that, but I know that in -- in talking
- 11 to the Treasurer, there was concern that the Treasurer
- 12 did not have the information, financial information
- 13 she needed for her fiduciary responsibilities, and she
- 14 had enquired and I quess that didn't change.
- So, I'm not sure how further my
- 16 discussions with Ms. Shuttleworth went but I think
- 17 this agreement was something that we needed to -- we
- 18 needed to act on, and that ended up being the basis
- 19 for the value for money audit because we thought that
- 20 was current. We thought that was the way the bills
- 21 were developed and we wanted to know whether the costs
- 22 we were paying represented value for money.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember how
- 24 many conversations you had with Ms. Shuttleworth
- 25 before Beacon was retained?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, I don't clear
- 2 memory of that. There were quite a few emails between
- 3 Ms. Shuttleworth and myself.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And did you also
- 5 have direct conversations with her, either in person
- 6 or on the phone?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think I met with
- 8 her a couple of times, and generally emails and a
- 9 couple of phone call conversations.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than speaking
- 11 with Ms. Shuttleworth, did you speak with anyone else
- 12 about how the shared services had been charged and
- 13 were being charged?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't think so.
- 15 Maybe the Treasurer.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you retained
- 17 Beacon and True North, what was the scope of their
- 18 review? What did you want them to look into and
- 19 report back on?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: We just wanted to
- 21 examine this agreement to see what services we were
- 22 being provided with and to undertake some sense of
- 23 evaluation of those costs related to the price that
- 24 the Town was paying for the services provided, which -
- 25 which included information technology.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: And you indicated in
- 2 your earlier answer they weren't able to determine
- 3 what those costs were. Did I understand that
- 4 correctly?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: That's my
- 6 understanding of what they said, yeah.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you get a sense
- 8 of why they weren't able to make that conclusion?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: My understanding was
- 10 that instead of the costs being -- being allocated
- 11 according to I guess wages and time and various levels
- 12 of responsibility for service delivery, they were
- 13 apportioned. They were apportioned costs. So I guess
- 14 they were allocated by Ms. Shuttleworth and she was
- 15 quite adamant that she allocated them and she had the
- 16 authority to do so.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 18 paragraph 732 of the Foundation Document.
- 19 So this paragraph summarizes some of
- 20 the conclusions and issues that Beacon identified in
- 21 its report there in the subparagraphs beneath it.
- 22 They include that the shared services
- 23 agreement between Collus Solutions and the water
- 24 utility did not reflect the services actually
- 25 delivered and may, in fact, be expired.

- 1 It identified certain issues involving
- 2 conflict of interest about people within the Collus
- 3 Group of Companies both having positions with Collus
- 4 entities and with the CPUSB. And so those were --
- 5 that's a summary of those conclusions.
- And if we go down to paragraph 733, it
- 7 then states that the Beacon report recommended that
- 8 the shared services agreement between Collus,
- 9 PowerStream, and CPUSB be terminated.
- 10 What was your reaction to Beacon's
- 11 recommendation that the agreement be terminated?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, any consultants
- 13 I've ever hired throughout my career, I've always
- 14 sought to understand what they're recommending and
- 15 why. I've never tried to persuade them to do anything
- 16 other than follow their judgment and provide their
- 17 recommendations.
- 18 So I thought that was a recommendation
- 19 which they had supported and was worthy of being
- 20 advanced to Council for its consideration.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: One of the things
- 22 that's referenced in the Beacon report is they
- 23 indicated that they had difficulty locating certain
- 24 documents and accessing certain information.
- 25 Was that a concern that the authors

- 1 expressed to you at the time? What do you recall
- 2 about what they said to you?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: The sense I got was
- 4 that the -- the records that were made available to --
- 5 to them for some reason weren't complete in terms of
- 6 what they expected to -- to be able to review or have
- 7 available -- have made available to them.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What happened after
- 9 the Beacon report was delivered?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: So there was quite a
- 11 -- there was quite a concern expressed that the Beacon
- 12 report kind of missed the mark or was inaccurate or
- 13 wrong or, you know, didn't take into account
- 14 information that was available. And I explained that
- 15 before, because that was the responsibility of Mr.
- 16 Firman, we all had to report back to the consultant in
- 17 terms of a draft so that he didn't publish anything
- 18 that was inaccurate.
- 19 If we could point it, I can correct it,
- 20 and Mr. Firman, for some reason, didn't do that. And
- 21 he, at the public meeting of Council, criticized the -
- 22 the report and the -- its authors for, you know,
- 23 these errors.
- 24 So then the CPUSB, who was a joint --
- 25 it was a joint project, because Marcus and I were both

- 1 the project managers, the report -- I think Council --
- 2 Council tabled the report and then they sent it out to
- 3 the CPUSB for a response. And there were lots of
- 4 responses came back to that, making the case that
- 5 there were omissions or inaccuracies or whatever.
- And then what we did was we provided
- 7 those to the consultant and said this is the
- 8 information we've got back, can you please reflect in
- 9 your report to see if any way it changes your
- 10 recommendation.
- 11 And so he did that and then he
- 12 finalized his report and he submitted it. And as I
- 13 said before, there were minor changes in my -- in my
- 14 judgment.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could go to
- 16 paragraph 739. So the Foundation Document reflects
- 17 that Beacon received feedback, including from the
- 18 CPUSB, Councillor Chadwick as well as the Collus
- 19 Companies, and then this indicates that they had
- 20 reviewed -- that Beacon reviewed the responses and the
- 21 recommendations and conclusions of the report remained
- 22 the same.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that what you
- 25 were referring to?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we scroll down
- 3 to the next paragraph, it says that:
- 4 "On February 17th, 2015 Collingwood
- 5 Council resolved to receive and
- 6 approve the Beacon report and to
- defer the recommendation to provide
- 8 notice of termination of the current
- 9 agreement until the Board and CAO
- 10 have an opportunity to review and
- 11 report back to Council by no later
- 12 than May 14th, 2015."
- 13 Who is the Board that's contemplated
- 14 when it says "the Board and the CAO will have an
- 15 opportunity to review"?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not -- I'm not
- 17 clear on the Board. I might guess that that would be
- 18 the Board of the CPUSB. I would -- I think it's them.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So in any event,
- 20 this contemplates that Council wanted to give you at
- 21 least an opportunity to review and report back to
- 22 Council by no later than May 13th, 2015 of the
- 23 required services.
- 24 What did you understand that direction
- 25 to be?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: To -- to find out
- 2 what, if any, part of the recommendations that they
- 3 might have concerned -- concerned about that were
- 4 inappropriate to proceed with. Generally feedback
- 5 with respect to the viability or the ability to
- 6 implement the recommendations.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than
- 8 terminating the services agreements, were there any
- 9 other recommendations that you were to investigate the
- 10 viability of?
- 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I think Beacon
- 12 2020 made a lot of -- made a lot of recommendations
- 13 and all of those would all have to be examined.
- 14 And of course, their implementation
- 15 could be different. The big thing -- the big thing
- 16 was terminating the agreement. That was the most
- 17 significant thing that concerned us and, I guess,
- 18 occupied our immediate attention because, you know, we
- 19 didn't know if that agreement was current. We didn't
- 20 know whether it was a roll on. It turned out to be a
- 21 roll on agreement, every year it rolled on even though
- 22 nobody knew of its existence.
- 23 And so we took legal advice on that and
- 24 -- so we just wanted to make sure whatever we were
- 25 doing, we were doing properly and we were fully

- 1 informed.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than taking
- 3 legal advice, what did you do to investigate further
- 4 the possibility of terminating the shared services
- 5 agreement or implementing other recommendations by
- 6 Beacon?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not sure -- I'm
- 8 not quite sure the interim period between the
- 9 conclusions of Beacon being, I guess, investigated and
- 10 then the initiation of the BMA organizational review
- 11 report.
- So there seemed to be some pause, but
- 13 I'm not quite -- I'm not quite sure why that -- why
- 14 that pause happened. I don't recall that clearly.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 16 ALE50230. So this is a letter dated March 24th, 2015,
- 17 so about a month after Council had adopted the Beacon
- 18 report and directed you to look into the matter
- 19 further, it's a letter to you from Brian Bentz at
- 20 PowerStream.
- 21 If we scroll down Mr. Bentz writes:
- "I hope this letter find you well.
- I am writing with respect to
- 24 discourse regarding the services
- 25 agreements and, in particular, your

- 1 recent discussion with David
- 2 McFadden regarding the service
- 3 agreements."
- 4 Do you remember having discussions with
- 5 Mr. McFadden sometime prior to March 24th, 2015?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Yes, I had a
- 7 lot of discussions with Mr. McFadden and I remember
- 8 this letter coming -- coming in. And I think this
- 9 letter led to discussions with PowerStream, Mr. Bentz,
- 10 the Deputy Mayor, myself, Dan Horchik, and we tried to
- 11 talk about where we would go and then what the next
- 12 steps might be.
- 13 And that eventually ended up as, I
- 14 think, as a memorandum of understanding being
- 15 developed between the Town and PowerStream to see if
- 16 we could explore some alternatives to the -- to the
- 17 existing agreement or update it or replace it.
- 18 Pardon me. Sorry.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you recall
- 20 about your discussions with Mr. McFadden before
- 21 receiving this letter from Mr. Bentz?
- 22 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I'm not clear on
- 23 that, sorry.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: This letter is dated
- 25 March 24th, 2015. It contemplates that you've had a

- 1 discussion or discussions with Mr. McFadden. I just
- 2 wanted to understand what you recall about the
- 3 discussions prior to receiving this letter.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry, I don't have a
- 5 recollection of that.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: The letter encloses
- 7 another letter agreement dated July 31st, 2012. And
- 8 if we scroll down, we can see the enclosure which is a
- 9 letter dated July 31st, 2012.
- 10 We understand from the transaction
- 11 documents that this was included in the final closing
- 12 documents for the share sale transaction. Prior to
- 13 Mr. Bentz sending you this letter on March 24th, 2015,
- 14 were you aware of this side letter agreement?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. Nobody was.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What do you mean
- 17 nobody was aware of it?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: I wasn't aware of it.
- 19 I don't think -- I'm not sure Mr. McFadden was aware
- 20 of it. Clerk (sic) -- anyway, I -- I certainly wasn't
- 21 aware of it.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What did you do
- 23 after you received a copy of this letter from Mr.
- 24 Bentz?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I took legal

- 1 advice and -- and was very concerned with information
- 2 that was provided to me by Ron Clark. I called Ron
- 3 Clark and I said there's a shared service agreement
- 4 here and what would happen if that letter was breached
- 5 because, as you know, we had a recommendation to
- 6 conclu -- end the agreement with Collus Solutions.
- 7 And Mr. Clark advised me that -- that
- 8 there could very well be a penalty in the order of, I
- 9 think it was \$1.7 million for breaching this
- 10 agreement. And so that concerned me greatly and I
- 11 reported back to Council.
- 12 And, at that point in time, I'm not a
- 13 corporate lawyer. You know, I -- you know, I used to
- 14 be an urban planner. And then I got into corporate
- 15 management.
- But when we get into corporate law,
- 17 it's kind of something that I need a lot of advice on
- 18 because that is not something that I've got very much
- 19 experience in.
- 20 So, I went to our law -- to Mr. Clark
- 21 and I asked him. And he said this is point -- \$1.7
- 22 million penalty. And he said he thought, you know,
- 23 that was -- it was binding and that PowerStream would
- 24 win if -- if that was the case, so that concerned me a
- 25 lot.

- I think I reported back to Council,
- 2 told them this was a major situation. I explained to
- 3 the extent that I understood the -- the share purpose
- 4 agreement and the clauses in that, that the
- 5 predicament we might be in if we kind of ended up with
- 6 a dispute with PowerStream.
- 7 And it was that point in time I -- I'm
- 8 not quite sure where the water and wastewater
- 9 sequences in, but I'll just continue on. So, we took
- 10 advice.
- 11 And I said, I need to -- I need to
- 12 refer this to our lawyers, Miller Thomson, and we need
- 13 to get the corporate lawyer there to look into this
- 14 whole situation, this situation, and a lot of other
- 15 questions which had arisen with respect to this deal
- 16 that I thought were issues that need -- needed to be
- 17 looked into by the lawyer.
- 18 And that resulted in Miller Thomson,
- 19 you know, responding to Council with a comprehensive
- 20 report dealing with a wide variety of issues that's
- 21 impacted the share sale.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And we'll get to
- 23 them.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just -
- 25 just before you --

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yeah.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 3 Brown, I'm just trying to understand the situation.
- 4 The Town was paying Collus PowerStream for shared
- 5 services. You couldn't determine the basis upon which
- 6 you were being charged for those services and Mr.
- 7 Clark tells you there's a \$1.7 million penalty for
- 8 attempting -- for discontinuing the arrangement.
- 9 Is -- is that the gist of it?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: That's what I
- 11 understood, yeah.

- 13 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: And in that
- 15 conversation with Mr. Clark, was it in reference to
- 16 this specific letter agreement that we're looking at
- 17 right now?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, one (1) of the
- 19 conversations with Mr. Clark.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you speak with
- 21 anyone else about what this letter agreement was and
- 22 what its implications were?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: Could you scroll down
- 24 to the bottom to I see who -- who signed it? Okay.
- 25 So, I talked to the mayor and I talked to Sara. And I

- 1 think -- I believe I talked to Mr. Houghton all about
- 2 this letter to try to figure out where it came from
- 3 because nobody seemed to be aware of it.
- And going back to Beacon 2020, you'll
- 5 recall that they reviewed the 2003 agreement.
- 6 However, it would appear that the 2003 agreement was
- 7 no longer emp -- no longer there and was replaced by
- 8 this agreement.
- 9 So, I talked to Mr. Houghton and I
- 10 asked him about it. And I best recall him telling me
- 11 that -- that he had nothing to do with it. He only
- 12 signed it because everybody else signed it. He asked
- 13 me to ask the mayor if she negotiated or worked with
- 14 Mr. -- Mr. Nolan in developing it.
- 15 And I asked the mayor. And she said,
- 16 no, she did not work (sic) Mr. Nolan to develop it.
- 17 She relied on -- if anybody put something in front of
- 18 her, she relied on whoever put it in front of her,
- 19 having checked it out before she would sign it. So,
- 20 she said she had no part of that.
- 21 I asked Sara. My understanding was
- 22 Sara didn't quite understand what this was about. And
- 23 I don't think it appeared in the closing book. I
- 24 asked for the closing book which we hadn't got. So,
- 25 when I asked -- got the closing book, I don't think

- 1 letter was included.
- So, it came as a real surprise to
- 3 everybody and kind of knocked us all back in terms of
- 4 where was the Town right now. And it looked like --
- 5 because there was a shotgun clause, it looked like, if
- 6 Colling -- if PowerStream, you know, were upset by the
- 7 whole situation, that, you know, we couldn't relate
- 8 the fact that a shotgun clause might be issued and we
- 9 had no idea with respect the value of our 50 percent
- 10 shares which took us to another chapter in this whole
- 11 situation.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: And this letter
- 13 itself doesn't reference the shotgun clause that was
- 14 in the share sale --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- transaction. How
- 17 did you become aware of the shotgun clause?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I read the
- 19 agreements, yeah.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you remember when
- 21 you first read the agreements?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Not offhand. It
- 23 would have been -- it would have been this whole thing
- 24 started to -- whe -- when I first arrived, I was
- 25 focussed on the financial situation, so I really

- 1 didn't get involved with Collus PowerStream or the
- 2 deal or whatever, but I have to tell you that there
- 3 was -- there were issues.
- 4 Like, one (1) of the first
- 5 conversations that I had with the department heads,
- 6 the whole issue of the 50 percent sale came up. I
- 7 think it was the dinner after Council appointed me.
- 8 We went for dinner.
- 9 And they said, you know, Did you hear
- 10 the Town sold 50 percent of it shares. I said, No, I
- 11 had no idea. And then people in the community -- it
- 12 started to come through and certain members of Council
- 13 started to talk to me.
- 14 So, there was an issue under there
- 15 somewhere, but I really didn't have time to get into
- 16 that. There was no reason to get into that. But,
- 17 eventually, when we got into the -- the whole
- 18 situation of paying for the services with Collus
- 19 Solutions for water and wastewater and IT, then I got
- 20 more and more into this whole situation of the share
- 21 sale and how it was carried out and who recommended
- 22 what.
- 23 So, I got into the whole thing as a
- 24 result of that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you reviewed

- 1 the -- the share sale agreement or the agreements
- 2 relating to the share sale was there anything other
- 3 than the shotgun clause that concerned you?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I quess there
- 5 was the shotgun clause. I think that there was a
- 6 twenty (20) day period, which for a municipality would
- 7 be virtually impossible, I mean, for any municipality,
- 8 the issue with a shotgun clause with twenty (20) days
- 9 to respond.
- 10 Municipalities are required to be very
- 11 public, you know, to involve the public, to notify the
- 12 public, to have public hearings. So, whoever put the
- 13 twenty (20) days into that agreement really just did
- 14 not understand how municipalities work. I was alarmed
- 15 when I read that because there's no way we could have
- 16 even gotten the value in twenty (20) days and we
- 17 didn't have a current value for our shares.
- 18 So, we all star -- I started to rush.
- 19 We all started to rush to try to position the Town so
- 20 that it wasn't vulnerable. And -- and so, that -- so
- 21 there's a shotgun clause.
- The right of first refusal, that
- 23 concerned me because, if there's a right of first
- 24 refusal, then, you know, really who's going to put a
- 25 lot of work into making an offer to purchase when for

- 1 ten dollars (\$10) more, you know, PowerStream could,
- 2 you know, exercise their right to purchase it.
- So, I'm not quite sure, you know, who -
- 4 I'm not guite sure where the advice came from from a
- 5 municipal perspective to judge that those were things
- 6 that the Town could be comfortable with in the future.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Before moving on to
- 8 the next section of the FDI, I have a question --
- 9 Foundation Documents, I have a question about it. You
- 10 mentioned that, when you spoke to the mayor about this
- 11 July 31st, 2012, letter, she indicated that, at least
- 12 as I understood it, she would have relied on whoever
- 13 presented the letter to her for a signature.
- 14 Did she give you an indication of who
- 15 did that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I think her
- 17 comment was, I rely on people who put things in front
- 18 of me and, on that basis, I sign them. It was kind of
- 19 like a trust thing.
- 20 So, she was telling me that she trusted
- 21 whoever her advisers were to -- to make sure that
- 22 whatever she was signing was appropriate.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we pull up
- 24 paragraph 749 of the Foundation Document.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. Brown, this
- 4 section 8.13(a) summarizes and describes a series of
- 5 correspondence between yourself, Mr. Clark, and
- 6 Mr. Longo --
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- about who
- 9 represented the Town in respect of the share
- 10 transaction. Do you recall participating in these
- 11 inquiries?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, yes. Absolutely.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What led you to
- 14 initiate them?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Because I've worked
- 16 in -- I've worked in many municipalities, and I've
- 17 never, ever been in a municipality where the staff or
- 18 anybody on staff couldn't sit down and take me through
- 19 a major transaction.
- I mean, there's always -- there's
- 21 always somebody who was involved in the project that
- 22 knew it -- you know, the project leader or whatever --
- 23 that could take you through all of the events and show
- 24 you the documentation of who decided what and when and
- 25 how, and what information they used to arrive at

- 1 the -- like, they've always been comprehensive.
- 2 Everywhere I've ever been -- all
- 3 municipalities -- all operate on that basis, and all
- 4 the information is stored with the clerk, and all you
- 5 have to do is ask for the file.
- 6 So when I came here and I started to
- 7 get more and more involved with this, I went to the
- 8 clerk, and I said, can I get the files and the
- 9 transaction? And you know, she said, I don't have any
- 10 files. And I find that very hard to believe that
- 11 there were no files of an \$8 million share transaction
- 12 that were vested in the Town and the office of the
- 13 clerk and no back-up materials.
- 14 And then I had to get into a whole
- 15 series, you know, of emails and, you know, emails that
- 16 concern me and I think annoyed some other people that
- 17 I was asking for all this information because they
- 18 just didn't have it.
- 19 And I didn't -- you know, that -- that
- 20 concerned me a lot. I mean, it's very -- very, very,
- 21 very unusual that there would no information in the
- 22 Town with respect to a public sale of perhaps one of
- 23 the Town's greatest investments. I just couldn't
- 24 believe it.
- 25 Anyway, I started this whole search to

- 1 try to find out and understand this transaction. And
- 2 again, I say, there was nobody who could sit down with
- 3 me and take me through the steps of this transaction,
- 4 which I also find very hard to believe.
- 5 And I guess we'll come to it later on,
- 6 but the reality was, there was nobody in the Town who
- 7 could -- who would know and understand all of the
- 8 details of this agreement and be able to position the
- 9 Town for the future to make sure that it didn't fall
- 10 afoul of, you know, a shotgun clause and was prepared
- 11 that it knew the value of the shares.
- I just couldn't believe that, you know,
- 13 there was no knowledge, never mind any files in the
- 14 corporation of who was responsible for this file and
- 15 who would manage it into the future. It just wasn't
- 16 there.
- 17 And then, of course, I -- I took legal
- 18 advice actually because I started to ask lots and lots
- 19 of questions, and it was concerning me that I was
- 20 doing that, and I think people didn't like me doing
- 21 that.
- So, you know, I wondered -- I mean, is
- 23 this part of my job? I -- I mean, I started to
- 24 second guess what I was doing. So I -- I went to
- 25 John Mascarin, and I said, you know, I have a

- 1 predicament here. I mean, I'm getting in a situation;
- 2 I'm having to ask questions; people with feathers are
- 3 getting ruffled. And is this part of my job? Is --
- 4 am I entitled to get this information? Should I be
- 5 pursuing this? And he said yes, and he wrote me a
- 6 legal opinion, and I'll talk about that later on when
- 7 we talk about expunging emails.
- 8 So -- so he gave that legal opinion.
- 9 And then -- you know, as this whole continued, you
- 10 know, when we got new lawyers -- Miller Thomson -- I
- 11 asked Steve O'Melia the same question. I just said,
- 12 like, this is just -- this isn't -- I never expected
- 13 to be involved in a situation anything like this, and
- 14 is this appropriate for me to be doing this? Should I
- 15 be doing this? And I got -- I got the advice that I
- 16 should be doing it.
- 17 And then I asked another lawyer who had
- 18 experience of public inquiries, and I put the question
- 19 to him, should -- you know, should I --
- 20 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, hang on.
- 21 I think we got to be careful here, right? Because
- 22 we -- the Town has waived privilege over things,
- 23 including the shared services agreements. It has not
- 24 waived privilege over the advice leading to the public
- 25 Inquiry. And Mr. Brown may not be aware of that, but

- 1 there is a firewall there.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It --
- 3 I don't know if you understood --
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Not really.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 6 what Mr. McDowell was saying. But he's saying the
- 7 Town has waived privilege with respect to the matters
- 8 that the Inquiry is inquiring into --
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- but
- 11 not a privilege insofar as you're talking about the
- 12 advice --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 15 which led to the decision to pass the resolution --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 18 causing the Inquiry.
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Pardon me.
- 20 I'm sorry.
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yeah.
- 22 But just -- you understand what --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I do now. Or I do
- 24 now. Anyway, I guess, where I could, I took legal
- 25 advice to make sure that what I was doing was

1 appropriate and was part of my job.

- 3 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And my specific
- 5 question was with respect to the conversations we see
- 6 in this section of the Foundation Document, in which
- 7 you were asking --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- who at Aird &
- 10 Berlis was representing the Town. What led you to ask
- 11 those questions of Mr. Longo and Mr. Clark
- 12 specifically?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Because I was looking
- 14 for somebody who could tell me the story of the file.
- 15 I thought if they had a lawyer and the lawyer was
- 16 involved that I could -- all these other inquiries I
- 17 was making, it would be much simpler if I could just
- 18 go to the lawyer who was representing the Town and
- 19 say, listen, you know, can you please take me through
- 20 this file and tell me who did what when, so that I
- 21 understand it to see where I am? And I thought I
- 22 would ask for the lawyer.
- 23 So I asked Mr. Houghton -- I said, who
- 24 was the Town's lawyer? And he told me Leo Longo. So
- 25 I said, great. Now, I'll just call Leo, and I'll get

- 1 all this cleared out. You know, Leo will tell me what
- 2 happened.
- 3 So when I called him, you know, he told
- 4 me -- you know, he wasn't the Town's lawyer of record,
- 5 and that started another whole discovery of, like, who
- 6 was the Town's lawyer? Who represent who for what?
- 7 And I've never experienced anything like that ever
- 8 before.
- 9 So it would appear that Leo was invited
- 10 in for particular elements of this deal and he gave --
- 11 gave some advice. But there's no way he accepted
- 12 responsibility or no way he could take me through the
- 13 story that I was so anxious to find out. Like, what
- 14 happened here?
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we could
- 16 scroll to paragraph 755.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: We see that in
- 21 addition to the inquiries you made about who
- 22 represented the Town in respect of this transaction,
- 23 you also -- Mr. Longo also sent you an email answering
- 24 questions that you had raised about the shared
- 25 services agreements between the Town and Collus

- 1 PowerStream Solutions and -- between the Town and
- 2 Collus PowerStream.
- 3 What led you to make these inquiries of
- 4 Mr. Longo at this point in time?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I -- I was just
- 6 seeking to better understand -- better understand
- 7 the -- the situation, how the Town -- how the Town
- 8 ended up in the position that it's in.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 10 before you go on, did you not have a reporting letter
- 11 from Mr. Clark on the closing of the transaction?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: So we didn't have a
- 13 close -- a closing book?
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 15 very often a closing book would be accompanied by some
- 16 sort of reporting letter reporting on the transaction.
- 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: And so -- so I asked
- 18 for a -- where the closing book was, and the clerk
- 19 didn't have a closing book. I asked Mr. McFadden, is
- 20 there a closing book? He didn't know where the
- 21 closing book was? He undertook to look for it. I
- 22 don't think Mr. Houghton had the copy of the closing
- 23 book.
- 24 So I called Ron Clark, and I said,
- 25 where -- you know, like, why did we not getting a

- 1 closing book? And he said, oh -- he said he gave one
- 2 to Mr. Houghton, but he didn't give one to the Town.
- 3 And I said, well, can I please have a copy of that?
- 4 So -- no, I asked Mr. Longo to get me a copy of it.
- 5 And he provided a copy of it to me.
- And so -- so no, we didn't have -- we
- 7 didn't have that either.

8

- 9 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 11 paragraph 758 of the Foundation Document. So this is
- 12 in the same session that discusses the answers
- 13 Mr. Longo provided to you about the Shared Services
- 14 Agreement.
- This paragraph describes an email that
- 16 Mr. Longo sent you on April 7th, 2015. And if we
- 17 could pull up the email itself, which is ARB513.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we see -- this is
- 22 the email that was referred to in that paragraph. And
- 23 if we can scroll down, you can see that Mr. Longo is
- 24 describing a memorandum from Ron Clark.
- What do you recall about the memorandum

1 that Mr. Clark provided to you?

2

3 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry. Can we go
- 6 back to the top? Can you scroll up to the top?
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Yes.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Scroll up, please.
- 9 Should I read more of it? I'm sorry.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry you -- you can
- 11 direct our court operator which way you want to --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry, I thought it
- 13 was you.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: No, no, that's fine.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Pardon me.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And while you're
- 17 reviewing, Mr. Brown, I just -- this -- this email
- 18 contemplates a memorandum that Mr. Clark had prepared
- 19 and I just want to know if you recall Mr. Clark
- 20 preparing a memorandum for you in respect of the
- 21 issues discussed in this email.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, this wasn't clear
- 23 in my memory, unfortunately.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we see on the
- 25 screen in the third sub-bullet point, it -- it says:

		46
1	"Ron Clark is advised that if the	
2	Town were to attempt to terminate	
3	the SSA and PowerStream was	
4	successful in persuading a court or	
5	arbitrator that July 31st, 2012	
6	letter constituted a binding and	
7	enforceable legal agreement	
8	extending the SSA's terms to	
9	December 31st, 2017, the financial	
10	exposure might be in the range of	
11	\$1.7 million."	
12	Is that what you were referring to	
13	earlier?	
14	MR. JOHN BROWN: It is, yes.	
15	MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. And then if	
16	you look at the next paragraph it says:	
17	"At this juncture and up until July	
18	1st, 2015, the Town will be	
19	considering whether it will serve a	
20	notice on solutions respecting the	
21	termination of the SSA on December	
22	31st. During this period of time	
23	you", and he's writing to you, Mr.	
24	Brown, "will be meeting with Town	
25	staff and reps with PowerStream and	
1		

- 1 Solutions. If an opportunity exists
- 2 to negotiate an exit strategy from
- 3 the SSA that might be agreeable to
- 4 all parties concerned."
- 5 Is that an accurate description of what
- 6 your plans were at this point in time, was to have
- 7 further meetings and see if there was some sort of
- 8 exit strategy to negotiate?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. Yes, indeed, we
- 10 tried to -- we didn't want any confrontation with
- 11 PowerStream. We tried to -- we tried to see if there
- 12 was some way that we could revise the shared services
- 13 agreement, that we could -- one of the things we
- 14 talked about was whether IT, I considered IT very
- 15 important and for the Town not to have responsibility
- 16 and control of an IT function. I thought we were very
- 17 vulnerable.
- 18 So I suggested we would bring in IT
- 19 into the Town and then we would bill them for any
- 20 costs, but that was not accepted.
- 21 So we went through various scenarios
- 22 that -- that might see us. There was an MOU
- 23 developed, various scenarios that might see us kind of
- 24 resolve this in a very positive way and there was a
- 25 group of people who met with Mr. Bentz and I think Mr.

- 1 Horchik, and then I continued on meeting with Mr.
- 2 Bentz and those discussions continued.
- 3 And unfortunately those discussions
- 4 didn't arrive at anything and it seemed to take a long
- 5 time. I kept asking our lawyer what's the status,
- 6 have you heard anything, what's the status.
- 7 And -- and she -- she said to me there
- 8 is a delay on the part of PowerStream's lawyers. That
- 9 was the -- that was the feedback that I got from her.
- I consider that very important and I
- 11 got her to write a note to Council so that Council
- 12 would understand that, because I didn't want to just
- 13 say that myself. I wanted the lawyer to provide that
- 14 comment back to Council.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So I understand from
- 16 that response the discussions you're referring to are
- 17 negotiations about entering a new shared services
- 18 agreement?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, yeah. Updating
- 20 it or creating a new one or whatever. Yes.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the Inquiry has
- 22 heard from witnesses who have suggested that the
- 23 reason that a new shared services arrangement was not
- 24 entered into was because of the inquiries that you
- 25 were making as well as a suggestion that you weren't

- 1 prepared to negotiate a new agreement.
- 2 Are you familiar at all with that
- 3 testimony?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, and I don't
- 5 think that's correct because I met with Mr. Bentz -- I
- 6 met with Mr. Bentz on several occasions, at lunch in
- 7 his office, we get on very well and -- and we talked
- 8 about, you know, various things that might be
- 9 accommodated.
- 10 I work with our lawyer, Jean Leonard
- 11 and constantly she was trying to refine and develop
- 12 that agreement.
- So no, that was ongoing. We tried to
- 14 do that and nobody stopped it and we were disappointed
- 15 that it really didn't conclude in an updated
- 16 agreement. There would be no reason not to develop
- 17 that.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 19 CPS7764 1.
- 20 So Mr. Brown, this is a -- meeting
- 21 notes that we understand were prepared by Ms. Almas in
- 22 respect of a meeting that you had with her and Mr.
- 23 Houghton on May 4th, 2015.
- This is after the email we were just
- 25 looking at from Mr. Longo, who indicated that you were

- 1 planning on going out and meeting with Collus
- 2 PowerStream representatives as well as Town staff.
- 3 Was this one of the meetings you had
- 4 that was being contemplated in Leo Longo's email?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not sure if it
- 6 was contemplated by Leo, but it's -- it's a meeting we
- 7 had to have. Again, it's one of those discovery-type
- 8 meetings. We were trying -- I was trying, we were
- 9 trying to put together the picture, so we just wanted
- 10 clarity from Mr. Houghton with respect to -- at least
- 11 I did -- various issues that we were experiencing.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And why did you have
- 13 Ms. Almas prepare meeting notes about the meeting?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I -- I wanted
- 15 there to be an official record. I didn't want anybody
- 16 misinterpreting, you know, that I -- it was a lot of
- 17 stuff starting to -- to be -- people were starting to
- 18 be concerned with me and say that I was being overly-
- 19 aggressive or whatever.
- 20 So I wanted to make sure that in this
- 21 meeting with Mr. Houghton that there was -- that
- 22 whatever was recorded was recorded according to her
- 23 judgment, that it wasn't being influenced in any way
- 24 by me, and whatever we talked about was accurately
- 25 recorded.

- 1 So that's why I -- I thought it was
- 2 important that she take the minutes of the meeting.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Why did you feel
- 4 that step was necessary at this point in time?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: I talked to Mr.
- 6 Houghton a few times and -- and -- like, for instance,
- 7 who was the Town's lawyer? It was Leo Longo. Well,
- 8 apparently not.
- 9 So I just wanted to -- I wanted to make
- 10 sure that we didn't get into any other
- 11 misunderstandings.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: What was your
- 13 intention about what you would do with the information
- 14 you obtained at the meeting with Mr. Houghton?
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: Just see how we -- we
- 16 could be enlightened by his answers. Just to see if
- 17 he could help us understand the situation.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: And in this case it
- 19 looks like the -- what you were discussing was the
- 20 shared services agreement. Is that your recollection?
- Sorry, you have to say yes or no.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry. Yes.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: So it's our
- 24 understanding that Ms. Almas sent these meeting notes
- 25 to Mr. Houghton for his review. Do you recall that?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you direct Ms.
- 3 Almas to send the notes to Mr. Houghton?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, because I wanted
- 5 to make sure that he had his input before she
- 6 finalized them.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 8 CPS5431. And scroll down to the bottom.
- 9 So this is an email from you to Mr.
- 10 Houghton after the -- on May 6th, 2015, which is two
- 11 days after the meeting that was reflected in those
- 12 notes.
- Your response suggests that Mr.
- 14 Houghton provided feedback to Ms. Almas on the notes
- 15 that she had provided.
- 16 Do you recall how Mr. Houghton provided
- 17 that feedback?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Probably in an email.
- 19 I don't know.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have any
- 21 recollection of what changes he suggested be made to
- 22 the meeting notes?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: If you could bring up
- 24 the meeting notes, perhaps I could refresh my memory.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: It's CPS7764. And

- 1 when we bring them up, I would like to know your
- 2 recollection, if you have any recollection, with --
- 3 prior to looking at it.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: He changed some
- 5 things that I thought were important and in my
- 6 opinion, he revised it so that it wasn't what he said
- 7 at the meeting that she recorded, but kind of like a
- 8 changed version. Like he said something different.
- 9 The specific is that I don't recall it,
- 10 you know, I don't have the detail of that in my head.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So perhaps to assist
- 12 you more, if we could go back to CPS5431, there is
- 13 further emails in that email chain that may assist in
- 14 refreshing your memory.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, sure.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Scroll down. So
- 17 that was your email to Mr. Houghton. Mr. Houghton
- 18 replies that he disagrees and thinks his comments were
- 19 factual.
- 20 And if we scroll up, your further reply
- 21 to Mr. Houghton and you indicate that Mr. Houghton
- 22 specifically mentioned the Mayor during our meeting
- 23 and suggested that she may have negotiated directly
- 24 with Mr. Nolan from PowerStream, the amendment to the
- 25 purchase and sale agreement.

- 1 You declared yourself to have
- 2 absolutely no involvement with the creation of this
- 3 document and signed in ignorance and without legal or
- 4 business advice.
- 5 Does that refresh your memory about
- 6 what changes Mr. Houghton wanted to make?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, absolutely.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: What were the
- 9 changes?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, he -- I can
- 11 tell you what he said. He said that he was -- he's --
- 12 that's what he said, what I've written there.
- 13 He -- he -- what did he say? I'm not
- 14 sure what he said. All I know is that what I'm saying
- 15 there is what I recall he said at the meeting. He
- 16 particularly said that -- sorry -- he particularly
- 17 said that -- the Mayor was perhaps the most important
- 18 element of it, so he said that -- to ask her, perhaps
- 19 she negotiated it with Mr. Nolan and I did, I asked
- 20 her and she said she didn't and I explained why she
- 21 didn't.
- 22 And so that was -- that was the major
- 23 point of it. Sorry, I -- I don't recall more than
- 24 that.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall why

- 1 you had a concern about Mr. Houghton suggesting
- 2 changes to the me -- to the minutes, why that seemed
- 3 to bother you?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I think the
- 5 whole purpose of having Sara at the meeting was to
- 6 void confusion and I had her take the notes because I
- 7 wanted them to be accurate.
- 8 So I guess I was surprised when -- when
- 9 Mr. Houghton made those changes. And that's -- that's
- 10 what I wanted to avoid.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if the
- 12 -- the notes that Ms. Almas made, were they ever
- 13 presented to anyone?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Were they presented
- 15 to anybody?
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you give them to
- 17 Council or any of the subsequent legal staff, legal --
- 18 lawyers who reviewed the transaction?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't think so. I
- 20 don't recall doing that.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Were they shared
- 22 with anyone else to your recollection?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't think so.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'm about to move on
- 25 to another area, so.

- 1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 2 take ten minutes and we'll come back.

3

- 4 --- Upon recessing at 11:02 a.m.
- 5 --- Upon resuming at 11:15 a.m.

- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 8 before we proceed further, I have some comments I'd
- 9 like to make with respect to the nature of the
- 10 evidence that's being brought up from this witness.
- I'm concerned, Your Honour, that we're
- 12 now going into evidence and raising issues that were
- 13 not raised at earlier times. And the Inquiry could
- 14 well have had the benefit of the evidence of Ms.
- 15 Almas, the mayor, Mr. Bentz, Mr. McFadden, Mr. Mc --
- 16 Mr. Houghton with respect to all of these issues that
- 17 are raised.
- 18 And there was no questions directed by
- 19 Inquiry counsel that raised any of these issues. And
- 20 we're now in a situation where -- I'm sure this
- 21 gentleman is quite a worthy gentleman.
- 22 But we are now required to -- I don't
- 23 know what the Inquiry will do with the evidence that
- 24 we're hearing with respect to things like the memo,
- 25 things like whether the mayor and Mr. Nolan discussed

- 1 the July 31st, 2012, letter because we only have the -
- 2 the evidence of -- of one (1) individual with
- 3 respect to this.
- So, I -- I'm concerned about the fact
- 5 that we're now raising new issues that haven't been
- 6 issues in this Inquiry to date and have not been
- 7 raised by Inquiry counsel to date and that the -- that
- 8 the commission does not have an opportunity to explore
- 9 these issues to their fullest and get to the bottom of
- 10 them.
- 11 And I think it's unfair to, a) the
- 12 participants and, b) the public.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Can I -- can I
- 14 address that briefly?
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes,
- 16 certainly.
- 17 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: What I would
- 18 say to that is, the number of the witnesses, certainly
- 19 Mr. Houghton, to some extent, Mr. Bentz. Grosomoto
- 20 (phonetic) blamed the breakdown in the relationship
- 21 between the Town and Power -- Collus PowerStream on
- 22 Mr. Brown, and so that's the first point.
- The second point is, I'm not sure who
- 24 wanted this witness to be called, but what we're now
- 25 learning is, in a sense, the other side of what's been

- 1 raised, what were the issues and what -- what were the
- 2 particulars of the concerns of Mr. Brown.
- 3 So, you know, frankly, I don't think My
- 4 Friend pulled his punches when asking questions to try
- 5 and lay the blame at the feet of Mr. Brown, so I don't
- 6 really see an issue.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 8 for --
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: May I
- 10 respond to that, Your Honour?
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Sure.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yeah.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Then
- 14 we'll end the -- then we'll end the submissions.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: If you don't mind,
- 16 Your Honour, I also have some input after Mr.
- 17 Chenoweth.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right. Your
- 19 Honour --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh,
- 21 wait a second, Mr. Chenoweth. What's your question --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 23 there's -- there's --
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No.
- 25 no. Just hold on. I'll hear you last.

1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.

- 2 Thank you.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: What -
- 4 what's your input, Ms. Bain?
- 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you, Your
- 6 Honour. We very much are in agreement with Mr.
- 7 Chenoweth in that the evidence being provided by Mr.
- 8 Brown certainly appears to go beyond merely an
- 9 uncomfortable relationship and get into specifics with
- 10 respect to meetings, conversations, what information
- 11 was or was not provided.
- 12 And the particulars of that were not
- 13 raised with Mr. Bentz or Mr. Nolan while they were
- 14 here giving evidence. We appear to be getting into a
- 15 Browne v. Dunn type of situation.
- 16 I don't know sort of how we address
- 17 that, whether further evidence may need to be put in
- 18 by way of affidavit otherwise to respond, but we very
- 19 much agree that this appears to be raising new issues
- 20 which were not put to our witnesses when they were on
- 21 the stand.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 23 Brown, Browne v. Dunn is a case.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It's

- 1 got nothing -- it's not you.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. That's good to
- 3 know.
- 4 MR. TIM FRYER: Justice Marrocco, may
- 5 -- may I speak?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 7 Certainly, Mr. Fryer.
- 8 MR. TIM FRYER: Okay. I -- I do agree
- 9 there's some evidence that's been given. But I spent
- 10 the last three (3) months here trying with the various
- 11 witnesses to address matters of the evidence that's
- 12 been presented.
- I think it's in the evidence, a number
- 14 of the -- of the situations. I -- I do -- I do agree
- 15 that there's been some mis -- information introduced
- 16 just recently that has put it into a situation where
- 17 we've got to look into it a little deeper.
- 18 But, as I said, I -- I spent --
- 19 painstakingly spent time trying to make sure I address
- 20 things that Mr. Brown has spoken about with the
- 21 various participants.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 23 Chenoweth?
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour
- 25 --

- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh,
- 2 sorry. Just a minute, Mr. Chenoweth. I keep
- 3 interrupting you. Mr. Bonwick...?
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, Your
- 5 Honour. I only raise two (2) issues. One (1) is I
- 6 was concerned once again with counsel for the Inquiry
- 7 providing the testimony -- or the preamble for the
- 8 testimony last night at six o'clock. We had --
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That
- 10 was the amended statement.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Amended, right.
- 12 So, we, as you can appreciate, or certainly from my
- 13 perspective, when the reference to the testimony came
- 14 out last Friday, you spend 'X' number of hours or days
- 15 trying to develop a position for questioning or cross-
- 16 examining based on that.
- 17 And to have something come out at six
- 18 o'clock last night sort of puts us -- puts us back a
- 19 notch in terms of what we're able to do regarding
- 20 relevant cross-examination.
- 21 My second point would be, based on some
- 22 of the information that Mr. Brown has provided to the
- 23 commission, had I have known that these statements
- 24 were going to be made, it would have had some impact
- 25 in terms of any of the cross-examination I had

- 1 provided to no less than eight (8) or nine (9)
- 2 witnesses.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Mr.
- 4 Chenoweth...?
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: My concern --
- 6 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh,
- 7 wait, sorry. Mr. Marron, do you have any submi -- I
- 8 just passed -- you didn't say anything, so I -- but I
- 9 -- I -- do you -- do you want to have -- do you have a
- 10 position on this?
- 11 MR. GEORGE MARRON: No. No.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 13 you. Sorry -- sorry for that. Go ahead, Mr.
- 14 Chenoweth. I promise this time I won't stop you.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm -- I'm
- 16 not offended. Your Honour, I -- My -- My friend, Mr.
- 17 McDowell, says that we're quite liberal about raising
- 18 issues about bad relations between Mr. Brown, and this
- 19 not a secret, Mr. Brown, obviously, and -- and others,
- 20 both at the Town and at -- and at Collus PowerStream.
- 21 We did that. There's an opportunity
- 22 for him to respond to that issue. That's not my
- 23 concern. My concern is that we're now dealing with a
- 24 number of issues that have significant import.
- 25 I am uncertain as to where the

- 1 Commission intends to go with respect to matters such
- 2 as the July 31st letter and who's responsible for it,
- 3 et cetera, et cetera. But we have to keep in mind
- 4 that the commission has the power to make findings of
- 5 misconduct through the course of its activities.
- 6 And we are now in a situation where
- 7 we're hearing significant evidence from this
- 8 individual on matters such as the July 31st letter, et
- 9 cetera, and -- and other matters, and evidence with
- 10 respect to who he spoke to and didn't speak to and who
- 11 had what, et cetera, et cetera.
- I mean, all of this could have been
- 13 easily canvassed with -- with Mr. Clark when he was on
- 14 the stand. We've had a tonne of people who could
- 15 speak to all of these issues. And now the commission
- 16 has lost the opportunity to do that.
- 17 And I'm concerned that we are now going
- 18 to have the bald evidence of this particular witness
- 19 on a variety of different matters that -- that it
- 20 could be quite significant, including possible
- 21 findings of misconduct.
- 22 I -- I should tell the court that I
- 23 raised this specific issue with Inquiry counsel in
- 24 emails over the last few days and said to them that I
- 25 was concerned that new issues were going to be raised

- 1 that could now not properly be spoken to by those with
- 2 counter evidence and that we'll lose the benefit of
- 3 that.
- 4 So it's an issue I've raised on earlier
- 5 occasions and raise again now.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: My
- 7 view of it is this: I'm going to permit counsel --
- 8 Commission counsel to continue the questioning.
- 9 The question of why the -- this
- 10 strategic partnership fell apart was raised in
- 11 cross-examination and the responsibility for that was
- 12 in the tenor of who was responsible from the
- 13 cross-examination was that things changed after
- 14 Mr. Brown became the chief administrative officer.
- Mr. Brown's testifying, and he's
- 16 explaining to us what his situation was. If -- it's a
- 17 matter of argument whether -- and the weight to be
- 18 attached to evidence if something significant comes
- 19 out of his testimony which wasn't put to the other
- 20 witnesses. And I'll deal with that, and I assume
- 21 it'll be dealt with as a matter of argument.
- But by and large, Mr. Brown's here
- 23 because the cross-examination of other witnesses
- 24 suggested that it was during his term that this very
- 25 happy relationship that emerged from the strategic

- 1 partnership and the merger became unhappy and
- 2 dysfunctional, and that is the fundamental reason why
- 3 he's here, and that's what he's addressing.
- 4 As far as the amended statement is
- 5 concerned, my understanding of that is that a
- 6 statement of Mr. Brown's evidence was provided. There
- 7 was requests for further information -- further
- 8 detail, and there was an amended -- there was an
- 9 amended statement provided of necessity late -- late
- 10 in the day.
- 11 So I see it fundamentally as a matter
- 12 of argument, and we're going to carry on. Continue
- 13 the questioning.

14

- 15 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 17 paragraph 765 of the Foundation Document.

18

19 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. Brown, this
- 22 paragraph references a further report. When we
- 23 started out looking at the list of reports, this one
- 24 is the Miller Thomson report, which was delivered to
- 25 yourself on May 15th, 2015, and it was entitled

- 1 'Issues surrounding the services agreements between
- 2 Collingwood Public Utilities Commission and Collus
- 3 Solutions Corp., the sale of shares in Collingwood
- 4 Utilities Services Corp., and related issues.'
- 5 Could you please explain the origins of
- 6 this report?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Certainly. So when
- 8 Mr. Clark advised me that the impact of the 2012
- 9 July 31st amended agreement could well -- very well be
- 10 \$1.7 million penalty and he thought that that argument
- 11 would hold in court, I became very concerned.
- I did not want the Town subject to any
- 13 penalty, and I already had brought forward a report to
- 14 Council which said that the Shared Services Agreement,
- 15 as they understood it, existed. It should be
- 16 cancelled. So that looked to me like I better deal
- 17 with what looked like a -- a major threat.
- 18 So as I said before, I'm not a
- 19 corporate lawyer and all of this information -- and it
- 20 was all kind of broken up pieces of information
- 21 everywhere. It was very hard to understand this
- 22 picture of how we ever got to be where we are and who
- 23 was responsible.
- 24 So I told -- I had a meeting with
- 25 Council, and I told Council -- I said, this is just

- 1 becoming kind of beyond me. I'm going to need
- 2 corporate legal advice. I want your approval to get
- 3 that because I think we need somebody to come in and
- 4 have a look at these critical issues which have all
- 5 developed and mushroomed. And I certainly want to
- 6 avoid the taxpayers having to come up with some large
- 7 penalty as a result of us not knowing what we're
- 8 doing.
- 9 So I asked the -- I asked our corporate
- 10 lawyer to examine a number of issues. She looked at
- 11 many of them, and they're all outlined in her report,
- 12 and I'll be happy to comment on any of it if you want.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And the corporate
- 14 lawyer you're referring to is Ms. Leonard at Miller
- 15 Thomson?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: It was, yeah. Jean
- 17 Leonard.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we scroll
- 19 down, the conclusions and issues that Miller Thomson
- 20 identified are summarized in 766 to 770. Among other
- 21 things, Miller Thomson raised concerns about how the
- 22 share-sale transaction proceeded and whether there was
- 23 sufficient Council oversight and legal oversight.
- 24 So I don't have specific questions
- 25 about those conclusions. But I do want to know what

- 1 you did after you received Miller Thomson's reporting
- 2 conclusions.
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: So Miller Thomson's
- 4 report, I received that. And I submitted it to
- 5 Council. We invited the lawyer to come up, and do a
- 6 presentation, and take Council through the situation
- 7 as she understood it.
- 8 And she provided advice to Council
- 9 about that. She said she did not think we were at
- 10 risk of the \$1.7 million, which eased a lot of our
- 11 concerns.
- 12 But to me -- to me, the conclusions of
- 13 her report suggested we find out some of the
- 14 information -- you know, how things happened the way
- 15 she had concerns about.
- 16 Like, one -- one of the major issues
- 17 she said was, how -- why would Council have delegated
- 18 sole authority to the mayor to undertake a transaction
- 19 of this magnitude? Why would that happen? Why would
- 20 that not be a matter for Council to decide?
- 21 And that was one of the -- one of the
- 22 questions I continued to ask. I asked Mr. Houghton; I
- 23 asked various people: How did this happen? I think
- 24 Mr. Houghton said he didn't know how it happened.
- But anyway, it happened regardless. So

- 1 there were significant issues that required us to
- 2 better position ourselves for the future now that we
- 3 had some relief for the \$1.7 million penalty.
- 4 But clearly in my opinion looking at
- 5 the -- the deal that was done and the lack of anybody
- 6 who was in charge of this file who knew about it, I
- 7 thought the Town needed to consider where it is right
- 8 now -- the vulnerabilities which exist -- and what the
- 9 options might be in the future.
- 10 And I think that was prudent. I made
- 11 the recommendation to Council, and Council agreed.
- 12 And then that resulted in us hiring a lawyer called
- 13 Mark Roger.
- 14 And of course, Mr. Roger prepared a
- 15 report which summarized all the previous reports that
- 16 we've been talking about, and then positioned the Town
- 17 to start thinking about what it might do. Do you want
- 18 to keep your 50 percent shares? What other options
- 19 might you want to think about?
- 20 And that's what took us to that
- 21 sequences of events. So the Miller Thomson report
- 22 left unanswered questions, but it also pointed us --
- 23 the Council and the Town -- in the direction of where
- 24 do we go in the future, which I think was very
- 25 important.

- 1 MR. JOHN MATHER: You mentioned that
- 2 the -- you had a concern or it left you with concerns
- 3 about the vulnerabilities that might exist.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: What did you
- 6 understand at this point in time -- May 2015 -- what
- 7 those vulnerabilities were?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: So again not being a
- 9 corporate lawyer myself but just looking at a deal is
- 10 sort of somebody with common sense and just the
- 11 ability to kind of think about what the impact would
- 12 be, I just wondered, you know, what was going to
- 13 happen after the five (5) years.
- 14 I mean, it seemed to me here we are in
- 15 this situation -- and it was a very difficult
- 16 situation. I think it was difficult in terms of
- 17 governance, and that's one of the things in the KPMG
- 18 report.
- 19 They said when they outlined the
- 20 initial options, which never included the Strategic
- 21 Partnership -- but the options they did outline, they
- 22 noted, you know, if -- where there was -- where there
- 23 was joint ownership, you might want to look at
- 24 governance.
- Well, as it turned out, I think it was

- 1 very unfortunate that the governance was not fully
- 2 evaluated before this deal was done and Council
- 3 advised because governance turned out to be very
- 4 problematic.
- 5 The second Council had a major concern
- 6 because they saw the company as really almost like a
- 7 takeover. I mean, somehow or other, the Town had
- 8 50 percent of the shares. We had people who were
- 9 appointed to the Board. But they -- they were -- it
- 10 seemed precluded from telling us anything that
- 11 happened that was of any significance.
- 12 Now, I -- when I was in Oshawa and I
- 13 was in Branford, I wasn't involved in the hydro, but I
- 14 used to sit at the meet -- I was the link in Oshawa
- 15 between the hydro company. And once a month, the
- 16 president of the company would come in and tell me
- 17 what was significant in his opinion, and I would
- 18 always update Council. Council needs to know, you
- 19 know, what's happening to the value of the assets that
- 20 it's looking after on behalf of the -- the citizens.
- 21 But -- so that's what we did in Oshawa.
- 22 There was always an opportunity to report back to
- 23 Council whenever it was appropriate so that they
- 24 stayed informed. In Brantford when I was there, I --
- 25 I attended the meetings and, you know, I was aware of

- 1 everything that happened.
- In this arrangement, you know, just
- 3 like my experience trying to get answers to questions,
- 4 no answers came, and we were told that this is the
- 5 system.
- I'm not sure that Council, you know,
- 7 the want -- the Council the day that approved the deal
- 8 understood that almost -- there was no role for the
- 9 Town, specifically, the Council. There may have been
- 10 for the people who were on the board as directors, but
- 11 we're talking about a Council, representing the people
- 12 who own these 50 percent of the shares and not being
- 13 able to, I guess, answer significant questions that --
- 14 that the owners might ask, i.e., the public. So that
- 15 was a very difficult situation.
- 16 The second thing is we did not know,
- 17 you know, if a shotgun clause would be issued or not,
- 18 you know, I mean, how -- and if -- if one was going to
- 19 be issued, we didn't know the value of the shares. So
- 20 that was a very vulnerable position.
- 21 Twenty (20) days doesn't give you time
- 22 to -- well, municipalities, you know, I mean, you
- 23 can't do very much in twenty (20) days that's a public
- 24 issue, especially a major issue like this. So I
- 25 thought the Town's position, just looking at it from a

- 1 commonsense perspective, I wondered how we ended up
- 2 agreeing to those sorts of conditions and that sort of
- 3 governance situation where the -- the second Council
- 4 that I served under, they were very frustrated.
- I know one (1) of the members of
- 6 Council kept asking for a business plan constantly,
- 7 and the business plan didn't show up, and that was a
- 8 source of frustration not only to that member of
- 9 Council, but to the whole Council. Why can't we get a
- 10 business plan? What are the business criteria that
- 11 this joint company is going to try and pursue and
- 12 achieve or not achieve? How can we track, you know,
- 13 the positioning of these shares for us?
- 14 So it just did not look -- to me, it
- 15 did not look like a good deal for the Town. That's my
- 16 personal opinion, and so we're trying to wrestle with
- 17 all those things, but always trying to look after the
- 18 interests of the people that owned the shares.
- 19 So then we moved on to Mark Rodger, and
- 20 he did -- he did a report. And what I wanted to
- 21 happen was for the new Council -- this was a new
- 22 Council, and all this stuff that happened before was
- 23 very complicated, very intertwined, very difficult for
- 24 people to understand. And I wanted him to do a report
- 25 which very -- very tightly and easily, in a very non-

- 1 complicated way, had a look at the company from its
- 2 very start and brought us right up to date, and then
- 3 continued on to the future.
- 4 And he came in. He wrote that report,
- 5 and Council then gave him instructions to pursue
- 6 options in terms of -- in terms of, you know, the --
- 7 the selling of the shares, and I worked with him on
- 8 that.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: We will get to the
- 10 BLG report in a --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sure.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- in a moment.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: At one point in your
- 15 answer, you referenced a new Council, 2004. I take it
- 16 you're referring to the new Council in 2014?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry, '14. Pardon
- 18 me.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we go to
- 20 paragraph 772.
- 21
- 22 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 23
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So this brings us to
- 25 Chapter 8.16 of the Foundation Document, and it

- 1 discusses the valuation that Henley International
- 2 completed of Collus PowerStream in June 2015.
- 3 At this point in time, why did the Town
- 4 retain Henley to complete a valuation?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, we retained Mr.
- 6 Rodger, and Mr. Rodger suggested -- just as we told
- 7 him, We don't have a current value for our shares.
- 8 And he -- he commissioned Henley on our behalf to
- 9 undertake a valuation of the shares.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: And what was your
- 11 understanding of why Henley would undertake a
- 12 valuation?
- 13 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well -- well, we
- 14 wanted to know what they were worth, because if there
- 15 was a shotgun, and you've got twenty (20) days, you're
- 16 not going to -- I mean, you -- you should really know
- 17 on an ongoing -- I mean, if -- if we are a business
- 18 partner, we should be constantly monitoring the value
- 19 of our investment. It's just like you having money in
- 20 the bank, you know, in various assets, you know. I
- 21 mean, you should know what's happening to it.
- 22 And so I suggested we need to know the
- 23 value of our shares right now in terms of if we got a
- 24 great offer from -- from PowerStream, take it, but if
- 25 we don't, you know, decline it.

```
And so -- so we just didn't -- we
```

- 2 didn't know what we were doing, and we needed to find
- 3 out. That's the point.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: And if we could
- 5 continue to paragraph 774. This paragraph sets out
- 6 some of the conclusions from the Henley valuation.
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: And subparagraph (b)
- 9 says that:
- 10 "Collingwood and PowerStream's joint
- 11 ownership of Collus PowerStream
- 12 restricted the Town's ability to
- sell its interest in the company and
- 14 potentially made the company less
- 15 attractive to buyers."
- 16 What you recall about this issue or
- 17 consideration?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: That's a huge issue,
- 19 and it's one (1) of the first that -- that -- I mean,
- 20 I think at the very first meeting with the department
- 21 heads, on my lunch, when I'd just been appointed,
- 22 started talking about selling 50 percent of the
- 23 shares.
- I said, Well, why would they ever do
- 25 that, you know? Like, who would sell 50 percent of

- 1 the shares? You know, like, in Mississauga, there was
- 2 a Borealis bought some shares, and some shares had
- 3 been -- you know, Brampton sold all of the theirs, and
- 4 Oshawa didn't sell it.
- 5 So there was -- there was a different
- 6 experience, but I've never heard of an experience
- 7 where 50 percent was the ideal. And I guess that was
- 8 one (1) of my major questions, that, you know, I don't
- 9 know that it's ever still been answered. Like, who --
- 10 who recommended this 50 percent deal, and -- and what
- 11 basis, what research, what analysis, and what business
- 12 -- and what business case was made for 50 percent?
- 13 And I asked the question over and over
- 14 again. I asked the mayor. I asked Mr. Houghton. I -
- 15 I asked everybody I could, and nobody has answered
- 16 that question.
- 17 So could you lose control? And as I
- 18 explained before, we lost control completely. I mean,
- 19 when -- when I -- in -- in addition to losing control,
- 20 when -- when the new -- when I was appointed to the
- 21 board with the clerk, and the treasurer, one (1) of
- 22 the first things we were asked to do was to sign a
- 23 non-disclosure agreement.
- 24 And that wasn't a board policy, and it
- 25 wasn't a requirement of any law, but the board

- 1 initially, when we went on, just asked us to agree
- 2 that we weren't going to say anything to anybody,
- 3 notwithstanding. And we refused to do that. We
- 4 called a vote, and we won the vote.
- 5 So there was all the stuff about never
- 6 saying anything to anybody, this secrecy. And I -- I
- 7 really had a hard -- having a whole municipal
- 8 background for forty (40) years, the whole secrecy
- 9 that I discovered when I came here about information,
- 10 and you can't have it, and, Well, we forgot to show it
- 11 to anybody, and, No, we don't have the files, and, you
- 12 know, I can't explain that; ask somebody -- this whole
- 13 situation just seemed to me like almost from another
- 14 world.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Go to paragraph 746
- 16 of the Foundation Document.

17

18 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this is another
- 21 report that was commissioned in and around the time
- 22 period we're looking at, June, 2015. It's a BMA and
- 23 DFA water and -- water services report. And if you go
- 24 down to paragraph 747, we see that one (1) of the
- 25 conclusions was that the wastewater and water be

- 1 removed from the Public Utility Services board and
- 2 return -- and returned to the Town of Collingwood for
- 3 control.
- And I -- my understandings is that's,
- 5 in fact, what happened, that the -- the wastewater and
- 6 water came under -- back under the Town's control.
- 7 I just want to understand at this point
- 8 what role this report played in the overall picture of
- 9 what was going on in terms of Collus and the
- 10 utilities.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. So the context
- 12 for this report being done was when we did the BMA
- 13 financial analysis, and one (1) of the things that the
- 14 original Council asked KPMG to do, which I took over,
- 15 which was to do an organizational review.
- And so -- so I took on that
- 17 responsibility with BMA and we left KPMG. And what we
- 18 did was we did a review of all of the programs across
- 19 the Corporation. And it's just -- I -- I've done it
- 20 in Oshawa. I did in Brantford.
- 21 It's -- it's a look at all of the
- 22 programs to define what they are, the service levels,
- 23 you know, to see whether you can save money wherever
- 24 you can save it. So that was the context.
- 25 So water and wastewater wasn't picked

- 1 out. Water and wastewater was just a part of a whole
- 2 organizational review. And -- and so what that meant
- 3 to the shared services agreement was Mr. -- Mr. Ali,
- 4 who was subcontracted by BMA, he came in and he spent
- 5 a lot of time with Ms. -- Ms. Shuttleworth, and a lot
- 6 of time with the treasurer. He interviewed whoever he
- 7 wanted. There was no limits. You know, and he -- it
- 8 was up to him to talk to whoever he wanted, and he
- 9 talked to a lot of people.
- 10 And he wrote a report which, in
- 11 essence, confirmed the conclusions originally of, I
- 12 guess, KPMG, because they had a look at Collus
- 13 Solutions. And I think there was concern there on
- 14 their part, about the complexity of the arrangement
- 15 and the way it worked. And that was their conclusion.
- 16 And then we saw in the report from --
- 17 the report from -- not Miller Thomson, the one before
- 18 that. The report from 2020 -- Beacon 2020. They said
- 19 that they had concerns with that system. And I quess
- 20 Mr. Ali again confirmed the conclusions of all of
- 21 those other reports which was that -- that the system
- 22 was -- fundamentally needed to be changed, and if you
- 23 did change it, you could save, I think he said in the
- 24 order of either 700,000 or 740 or -- a significant
- 25 amount of money could be saved.

- 1 And I note that the Treasurer confirmed
- 2 to Mr. Rodger that a significant savings could occur
- 3 if his recommendations were followed, and were saved
- 4 after they were followed. So it was a really
- 5 important report. And how did it tie into the -- to
- 6 the whole situation with Collus-PowerStream?
- 7 Well, in essence what it did was it --
- 8 it -- it brought water, wastewater back into the Town,
- 9 we saved money, it caused, I think, us to go further
- 10 with respect to the work with PowerStream to try and
- 11 conclude on, you know, whatever new arrangement we
- 12 could do to replace it, and as I said, one of the
- 13 suggestions I really wanted, IT to be consolidated
- 14 within the Town and sell back service to whoever
- 15 needed it, and that -- but that was refused.
- 16 So anyway, it was -- it was part of the
- 17 process of change that the Town really was causing and
- 18 the -- the shared services agreement and the whole
- 19 deal with Collus-PowerStream was adjusting, and I
- 20 think adjusting fine according to that. There were
- 21 new pressures being created.
- 22 Mr. Bentz is -- is a very understanding
- 23 gentleman. He saw the other side of the argument. He
- 24 said, well let's try to do it, and we all tried to do
- 25 it, and it just didn't happen. It just got so

- 1 protracted. I mean, we all -- I understood we were
- 2 going to conclude on an updated shared services
- 3 agreements and, in essence, we ran out of time and we
- 4 were told that was a problem, not on our side.
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: And you made a
- 6 reference to the amount of money that BMA estimated
- 7 might be saved by moving water and wastewater. If we
- 8 scroll down to the bottom of para -- paragraph 746,
- 9 and there's a reference to a 706 thousand --
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: Six (6), okay, I
- 11 thought it might be forty, yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that the amount
- 13 you were referring to?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, it is. Yes,
- 15 sorry.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could pull up
- 17 TOC516647.
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: So the first page of
- 22 this is the notes, or a version of the notes we
- 23 previously looked at relating to May 4th, 2015. If we
- 24 scroll down, we see that there's also notes that
- 25 indicate there was a meeting that took place on August

- 1 11th, 2015, with yourself, Ms. Almas, and Mr.
- 2 Houghton.
- 3 It's my understanding that the
- 4 handwriting on these notes is your handwriting. Is
- 5 that correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: And just to place
- 8 this in time, Miller Thomson delivered their report in
- 9 June 2015?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 11 MR. JOHN MATHER: And Mark Rodgers
- 12 (sic) retained at BLG in October 2015.
- With those two (2) signposts, do you
- 14 recall what the purpose of this meeting was with Mr.
- 15 Houghton in August 2015?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Can I read it,
- 17 please?
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Could
- 22 we go back up to the top?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: This is -- it's the
- 24 -- this is the top of the -- the document.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: The

```
bar on the side is --
 2
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: There was a -- a
   version of the earlier memor -- meeting notes that we
  already looked at from May 4th --
 5
                  THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Oh, I
 6
   see --
 7
                  MR. JOHN MATHER: -- so this is the
   beginning of the August notes.
 9
                  MR. JOHN BROWN: Scroll up. Sorry.
10
   Yeah.
11
12
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
13
14
                  MR. JOHN BROWN: Scroll up, please.
15
16
                          (BRIEF PAUSE)
17
18
                  MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, okay.
                                               So --
19
   yeah, there -- there were a number of issues here but
   I quess one of the big -- big -- one -- one of -- the
   large issue was this whole question about who wrote
21
   the report that recommended the deal to Council.
22
23
                   And I had -- I had just one (1) brief
24
   meeting with Kim Wingrove and she kind of give me a --
```

you know, what was going on type of meeting, but then

- 1 -- and another meeting -- she called me one time and
- 2 she was quite distressed and she said that -- she said
- 3 that her -- she had been told by somebody --
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 5 I -- you know, I'm not --
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, sorry.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 8 not -- I'm not sure that --
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 11 what Ms. Wingrove told you she was told by somebody
- 12 else --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- I
- 15 don't find that helpful and it -- it could be -- it
- 16 could be -- it could be quite damaging and how do you
- 17 -- how do you respond to that? So let's -- let's be
- 18 careful on that sort of thing.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. JOHN MATHER:
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Understood. I -- I
- 22 take it from this, is this was a -- you were again
- 23 just trying to find more information about the share
- 24 sale transaction broadly. Is that fair?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Generally, yes, but I

- 1 was interested in Ms. Wingrove's situation in
- 2 particular.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I take it you
- 4 asked Ms. Almas to take minutes or notes of this
- 5 meeting for the same purposes of the May 2015 meeting?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. I wanted to
- 7 make sure that they were done properly and that nobody
- 8 could say that's not what I said or what I did say,
- 9 yeah.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you know if these
- 11 meeting notes were shared with anyone other than Ms.
- 12 Almas and Mr. Houghton?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: These are kind of my
- 14 thinking notes, when I was reading it, but I certainly
- 15 would have been -- I was surprised to see them here
- 16 actually, but no, I wouldn't share those with anybody.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: You're -- you're
- 18 speaking of the handwriting?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, yes. I wouldn't
- 20 have expected those notes to be shared with anybody. I
- 21 was surprised that they're actually here.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And other than the
- 23 handwritten notes, was the text notes or a version of
- 24 them ever shared with anyone that you know of, other
- 25 than Ms. Almas or Mr. Houghton?

```
1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Not that I'm aware
```

- 2 of, no.
- 3 MR. JOHN MATHER: Could we go to
- 4 paragraph 775 of the Foundation Document?

5

6 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, Mr. Brown,
- 9 you've already -- this section deals with the BLG
- 10 report and its commissioning. You've already
- 11 explained the sequence of events that led to Council
- 12 requesting that BLG be retained to -- to -- to --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- to look into
- 15 these issues.
- 16 One specific question: why was a
- 17 different law firm retained for the purposes of this
- 18 report as opposed to Aird & Berlis or Miller Thomson,
- 19 who had already --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh --
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- looked into these
- 22 matters and reported on those matters?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. So, when I was
- 24 in Oshawa when the deregulation came into effect, I
- 25 worked with -- I worked with BLG and Mr. Rodger had a

- 1 role in -- in -- in setting up the company in Oshawa,
- 2 and when I came here I -- we needed -- we needed a
- 3 lawyer experienced in energy matters and I couldn't
- 4 remember his name, so I called somebody in Ah --
- 5 Oshawa and I said, Do you remember the guy who -- who
- 6 gave us advice, and I was told it was Mark Rodger, and
- 7 then what I did was I sat down with a staff person
- 8 called Dennis Sloan and we called around, I don't
- 9 know, about eight (8) or nine (9) different companies
- 10 that offered services and -- and we tried to get a
- 11 lawyer.
- 12 We just didn't take Mr. Rodger. He was
- 13 just one of a number of people. And we called around
- 14 and we had huge difficulty getting a lawyer because I
- 15 guess in the energy business a lot of lawyers are
- 16 conflicted, and we went through and when everybody
- 17 said, well, who's involved, well, it's PowerStream,
- 18 there was a lot of people who were conflicted.
- 19 So we eventually ended up with Mr.
- 20 Rodger and he said, you know, which I told him the
- 21 situation, that we needed advice on where we're going
- 22 in the future and, you know, just Council needed to be
- 23 advised, and he said, well, you'll have to ask Brian
- 24 Bentz if it's okay that I -- that I undertake this
- 25 work for you. The work's okay but you just have to

- 1 check with Brian.
- 2 So I called Brian Bentz, who I got
- 3 along with very well, and I said, you know, this is
- 4 our predicament, can we go with Mark Rodger? Do you
- 5 have a concern? And he said sure. So then we hired
- 6 Mark Rodger and -- and that's how we proceeded.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: So we see in
- 8 paragraph 776 that Mr. Rodger presented his initial
- 9 findings from the BLG report to Council on February
- 10 3rd, 2016. And then if we scroll down, we see that on
- 11 February 10th, 2016, so after the presentation to
- 12 Council, Mr. Rodger sent a subsequent draft of the
- 13 report to members of the Collus-PowerStream Board for
- 14 feedback and comment.
- Then this paragraph reflects that Board
- 16 member John Worts responded, taking issue with,
- 17 amongst other things, the lack of opportunity provided
- 18 to Collus-PowerStream to comment on the report before
- 19 it was presented to Council.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall why
- 22 the draft report wasn't sent to Collus before it -- it
- 23 was initially presented to Council?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, I do. The Clerk
- 25 and the Treasurer and myself talked about it. Mr.

- 1 Rodger wanted to release it, but I have a lot of
- 2 experience in a lot of municipalities, and let me tell
- 3 you, the last thing you want your Council to wake up
- 4 to is questions from the press, asking them about
- 5 conclusions of a report that they know nothing about.
- 6 So the three (3) of us discussed it and we were very
- 7 firm that Council had to see the report first and then
- 8 the report could be referred out, any concerns dealt
- 9 with.
- 10 But you know, I could not have the
- 11 clerk -- we were all strongly of the opinion, and Mr.
- 12 Rodger, he wanted to do it differently but we said no,
- 13 we want Council to be the first people to hear this
- 14 report and then we'll send it out and deal with
- 15 whatever arises from that. That was the reason.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: When you say Mr.
- 17 Rodger wanted to do it differently, I take it he --
- 18 his preference was to send it to Collus before going
- 19 to Council?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, that's my
- 21 recollection, yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: So if we go ahead to
- 23 paragraph 779, we see that after it's delivered to
- 24 Collus PowerStream, David McFadden provided a response
- 25 to Mr. Rodger to the draft report. The response

- 1 commented on clarified and criticized numerous
- 2 elements of the BLG report. Some of those are
- 3 highlighted in the subparagraphs beneath it.
- And then if we go to paragraph 781, we
- 5 see that Mr. Rodger revised the report to include some
- 6 of Collus PowerStream comments, including the ones Mr.
- 7 McFadden provided and that -- that led to an email
- 8 chain between yourself and Mr. Rodger about how he
- 9 went about incorporating Collus PowerStream's
- 10 comments.
- 11 And I'd like to pull up the email chain
- 12 itself, BLG93 1. And if we could scroll to the
- 13 bottom.
- 14 So I don't intend to walk through this
- 15 entire email chain, but I do have a couple of
- 16 questions. So it begins with an email from Mr.
- 17 Leonard to yourself --
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, right.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- where she says
- 20 that she's been through the report, which we
- 21 understand is the BLG report, twice, and she says that
- 22 she feels that the entire direction and tone of the
- 23 report have been weakened. And then she goes on and
- 24 provides some examples.
- 25 And if we scroll up, you then forward

- 1 Ms. Leonard's email on to Mr. Rodger and note that her
- 2 thoughts and your thoughts strongly align.
- And then if we scroll up, there's a --
- 4 a lengthy response from Mr. Rodger and if we continue
- 5 scrolling up, we then see there's a further back and
- 6 forth in which you write him back and then he responds
- 7 in blue and all caps.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: I just want to first
- 10 get a sense of what you recall about this interaction
- 11 with Mr. Rodger and what your concerns were and what
- 12 your reaction to his response was.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sure. So anyway, in
- 14 -- in -- when you hire consultants what you want is
- 15 independent recommendations. But most consultants
- 16 require you to -- you know, the staff and the
- 17 Municipality to participate with them and constantly
- 18 give feedback and raise issues or concerns which they
- 19 can think about.
- None of that changes the -- I guess the
- 21 right of the consultant to write whatever they think
- 22 is right and make whatever recommendations they
- 23 believe are right, notwithstanding what anybody
- 24 thinks.
- 25 So this was just part of a normal

- 1 process, really, for many consulting arrangements I've
- 2 been part of, you give feedback and -- and they take
- 3 it into account just as they do people who think, you
- 4 know, there are corrections or errors, you know, they
- 5 take it all under advisement and then they issue the
- 6 final report having considered all those issues.
- 7 And these were the issues, Marjory came
- 8 to me and I think Sara was of the similar opinion,
- 9 that the way he positioned the responses in terms of
- 10 his conclusions almost looked like they were
- 11 corrections. And that was just our perception and
- 12 because we shared that perception, we thought others
- 13 might, so we brought it to his attention.
- 14 And he argued the case back and then he
- 15 wrote the report that he thought should be written.
- 16 We did not cause him to change anything, we just gave
- 17 him feedback.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than that --
- 19 so -- so I take it from your answer your concern was
- 20 the way in which he incorporated the responses from
- 21 Collus, made it appear to you, at least, that they
- 22 were corrections to the report.
- 23 Did you have any other concerns about
- 24 how Mr. Rodger -- that you can recall about how Mr.
- 25 Rodger dealt with PowerStream -- Collus PowerStream's

- 1 feedback?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well he -- he took it
- 3 all under advisement and I don't think he made too
- 4 many changes to -- to his report. I don't remember
- 5 what they were specifically. But generally, the --
- 6 you know, the flow of his report stayed fundamentally
- 7 as he wrote it, as I best recall.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: I take that. So
- 9 your recollection is he didn't change his report
- 10 significantly based on the feedback that you provided
- 11 in this email?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't think so,
- 13 no.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Can we go to
- 15 paragraph --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Nor was it my intent
- 17 to ask him to make those changes. They're suggestions
- 18 for his consideration.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: But you made those
- 20 suggestions and at that point it would have been your
- 21 -- your view or your preference if he had made them,
- 22 appreciating that it was ultimately his final say?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, if I can
- 24 persuade him that my position was sound and if he
- 25 adopted it, great. But if he didn't, that was his

- 1 prerogative.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we can go to
- 3 paragraph 787 of the Foundation Document.
- 4 So Mr. Brown, this paragraph sets out
- 5 or summarizes the conclusions that Mr. Rodger made in
- 6 the final version of -- of his report.
- 7 And if we can scroll down to
- 8 subparagraph (e). One of the conclusions or issues
- 9 that Mr. Rodger identified was a breakdown in
- 10 communication and at some levels a mutual erosion of
- 11 trust between Collus PowerStream and the Town with
- 12 respect to matters including the events occurring the
- 13 prior years and the process resulting in the 50
- 14 percent share -- share sale.
- 15 At this point in time, did you agree
- 16 with Mr. Rodger that there had been an erosion of
- 17 trust between Collus and the Town?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't know if it's
- 19 an erosion of trust. I think there was a -- there was
- 20 difficulty with respect to questions that I had to ask
- 21 and people responding. I don't think it was
- 22 appreciated at all, in particular Ms. Shuttleworth,
- 23 she told me really, this is nothing to do with you,
- 24 I'm very busy, so -- so don't be calling me again.
- 25 That's -- that's the approach that I got from her.

- 1 She certainly didn't like -- didn't like me asking
- 2 questions.
- I don't think Mr. Houghton appreciated
- 4 my questions. I think I saw once "And here's another
- 5 ridiculous email from Mr. Brown".
- And so I think there was a concern,
- 7 they didn't like it, but in my opinion, you know,
- 8 having worked in large complicated organizations,
- 9 people always ask you for information, people
- 10 challenge you. It's just something that comes with, I
- 11 guess, the maturity of professionalism. I don't think
- 12 these sorts of questions were well received by the
- 13 people, you know, that I posed them to.
- 14 I think the other thing that happened
- 15 was, you know, there was the Town's position, as it
- 16 was, and then there was the evolution of the strategic
- 17 financial plan. And the strategic financial plan, as
- 18 it moved through all of the defer -- departments, was
- 19 creating a new context for the Town's management. And
- 20 what happened was it really collided with the whole
- 21 concept of Collus Solutions.
- 22 And so -- and that collision, I don't
- 23 think, was well-received by Collus Solutions. To me,
- 24 Collus Solutions was a -- an internal organization, it
- 25 wasn't public, it didn't answer questions in public.

- 1 And all of sudden, you know, questions were being
- 2 asked. How do you do this, how do you do that, how do
- 3 you do the other, and I don't think they were used to
- 4 that.
- 5 The second thing -- and that was being
- 6 -- and the second thing was they were being kind of
- 7 almost put under a spotlight. We had consultant
- 8 professionals come in, two of them, and they asked
- 9 these questions and they published public reports and
- 10 we saw the feedback in the reports. They -- they were
- 11 not well-received.
- 12 So we had -- we had -- there was a lot
- 13 of stress going on. Not necessarily to do with
- 14 personalities, but as these forces, you know,
- 15 collided, we had got the argument well we got to
- 16 reduce costs. We didn't know what the costs were for
- 17 Collus Solutions. We tried to find out, but the
- 18 principal behind it was you find out what your costs
- 19 are and then you try to manage those costs down or
- 20 avoid them or whatever.
- 21 But if your costs are just a portion
- 22 over a much larger function, and you don't even know
- 23 how much people are getting paid or whether they're
- 24 necessary, you know, in that function, I didn't know
- 25 what the IT situation was. Do we have a strategic IT

- 1 plan? I asked -- one (1) of the first things I did
- 2 when I met with Larry Irwin was do we have a strategic
- 3 plan for IT? Well, no we don't. Well, can we have
- 4 one? Well, when I left we still didn't have one.
- 5 So there were all kinds of issues that
- 6 were colliding with the Collus Solutions construct,
- 7 which itself was a very unusual one, as you can read
- 8 from all of the materials.
- 9 So here was a very unusual kind of
- 10 something from the past, this structure from the past
- 11 that really was being pressured to move into the
- 12 current and the future, and I think that was very
- 13 stressful on everybody, including me. And they were
- 14 stressed and I know they were stressed.
- So the other thing was, I think, that
- 16 the Council -- the Council that came after, you know,
- 17 the 2014 plus Council, right, so that Council started
- 18 to see how the governance structure was not working.
- 19 They resented the fact that we have -- here we have 10
- 20 percent of our shares, we've some people appointed as
- 21 directors and we can't find any information out. And
- 22 they felt isolated and they felt left behind that
- 23 somehow or other this company had been expropriated by
- 24 somebody else and they couldn't get any information,
- 25 and that stressed the Council.

- 1 And of course when the Council was
- 2 stressed, then all of the parties who were involved
- 3 got stressed.
- 4 So I think we had a huge change
- 5 happening in the whole organization and structure of
- 6 the way Collingwood was run and managed, and we were
- 7 moving in a future direction based on, I guess, a
- 8 methodology of examining and getting evidence and
- 9 empirical data to make decisions related to cost and
- 10 kind of looking at things that were done in the past
- 11 as not being it has to be there in the future. There
- 12 opportunities to think different.
- So, this all collided, and I think that
- 14 caused a stressful situation, this whole change
- 15 process. So, I don't think it was based on
- 16 personalities. And I don't think it was a breakdown
- 17 necessarily in trust, although there was an element of
- 18 that.
- 19 I think the change factor was very
- 20 distressing for the people who were engaged and liked
- 21 and supported the old system, and they were being
- 22 pushed into a new system, and that was very difficult.
- 23 And -- and, you know, I've -- I've done
- 24 this in -- I've done this in other municip -- I did it
- 25 in Bradford and I did it in Oshawa, this whole change

- 1 process, and you push it through with a strategic
- 2 plan.
- 3 And then you do a financial plan. And
- 4 then you kind of set up the management system in a new
- 5 way. And the people who are affected generally don't
- 6 like it, but change is necessary.
- 7 MR. JOHN MATHER: Some of the
- 8 witnesses we have spoken to have described that the
- 9 relationship between the Town and the Col -- agreed
- 10 with BLG that there was a relationship of mistrust and
- 11 that the relationship had become dysfunctional, you
- 12 know, at this point in time, March 2016.
- 13 Did you think the relationship between
- 14 the Town and Collus was functional at that point in
- 15 time?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think it was a
- 17 difficult relationship, particularly with me and the
- 18 tre -- well, and the treasurer, as well, because she
- 19 had the same frustrations that I had with the
- 20 information that we could not get from Collus
- 21 Solutions, okay.
- 22 And there's a -- there's an email that,
- 23 you know, the treasurer sent to Ms. Shuttleworth that
- 24 I think should be looked at just to see that this was
- 25 not just me asking questions, this was the treasurer

- 1 in the context of her fiduciary responsibility.
- So, I think there was a -- there was a
- 3 frustration that went wi -- with that whole situation.
- 4 And sorry, could you just repeat your question?
- 5 MR. JOHN MATHER: I want to
- 6 understand. BLG identified a mutual erosion of trust.
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: We have heard from
- 9 witnesses who described that the relationship between
- 10 the Town and Collus became dysfunctional. I'm
- 11 wondering if you agree with the characterization that
- 12 it was a dysfunctional relationship at this point in
- 13 time, March 2016.
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. Thank you.
- 15 Thank you. So, the answer to that would be for part -
- 16 with particular people. I think the people who had
- 17 difficulty would be the ones that Mr. Bentz outlined
- 18 when he was here.
- 19 Mr. Bentz said that, I think it was Ed
- 20 and Larry and Pam and Cindy. Mr. Bentz didn't say it
- 21 included him because my experience with was always
- 22 very cordial, always very polite, always very
- 23 respectful, totally professional.
- 24 We never had words. There was no issue
- 25 at all, so there was no dysfunctional relationship

- 1 with Brian Bentz.
- 2 With respect to Mr. McFadden, when --
- 3 when I was frustrated trying to get answers to
- 4 questions from the other people I just mentioned, I --
- 5 I just said, you know, I can't do this anymore, I'm
- 6 going to go to Mr. McFadden because I just can't do
- 7 this.
- 8 I went to Mr. McFadden. We had a
- 9 number of meetings. We had a couple of lunches. And
- 10 at one (1) of the lunches he told me -- he said,
- 11 There's some people there are having a difficulty
- 12 dealing with you, they find you very aggressive.
- 13 And I'd spoken, I guess, in direct --
- 14 and I'm a direct person, so. And so, we had the
- 15 lunch. And we talked about all kinds of things,
- 16 including, you know, one (1) of the issues that is
- 17 really important here, which was, is -- could there
- 18 possibly be a cross-subsidy of water on hy -- on -- on
- 19 the hydro or hydro on water because there was
- 20 apportioned costs, you don't know what the true costs
- 21 are, so is it possible there's a cross-subsidy.
- 22 That was a concern Mr. McFadden shared
- 23 with me and why he, you know, wanted -- I think he was
- 24 supportive of the -- of the Beacon -- Beacon report,
- 25 so. And then -- and then, after the lunch and after

- 1 all that discussion about it, I -- I said to Mr.
- 2 McFadden, So anyway, we've been talking here for over
- 3 an hour, have I pressured you, do you find me
- 4 aggressive, have I been very difficult to deal with.
- 5 And he said, No. So, to answer your
- 6 question, there was a problem with some people and --
- 7 but not -- it was not characteristic of my
- 8 relationships with everybody in the situation.
- 9 Viney Metha, the lawyer for
- 10 PowerStream, I get along very well with him, so, yeah,
- 11 but there were some people. And there was a reason
- 12 for that, I think, because I was asking questions and
- 13 I was persistent.
- 14 You know, I make no apologies for being
- 15 persistent when I'm looking after public money. You
- 16 know, I -- I was entitled to get the answers and it
- 17 just was not acceptable for somebody to tell me go
- 18 away because I'm busy, you know.
- 19 You know, can you imagine me telling
- 20 anybody, oh, I didn't find that out because, you know,
- 21 she told me she was busy? Well, I couldn't go there,
- 22 so, yeah, I probably annoyed them. And I think it
- 23 might have been, in their opinion, dysfunctional
- In my opinion, it didn't give me the
- 25 answers that I thought I needed as a CAO, and so that

- 1 did -- you know, it wasn't working. So, then I moved
- 2 beyond that to Mr. Bentz and Mr. McFadden, and both of
- 3 those relationships worked out just fine.
- 4 Ms. -- Mr. Bentz didn't say he had a
- 5 problem with me. He said there's a problem with four
- 6 (4) other people. And Mr. McFadden said something
- 7 about -- I think it was females. I'm not quite -- you
- 8 know, there was a problem with, you know, female staff
- 9 and me.
- 10 Well, you know, he -- he -- you know,
- 11 he didn't tell me that at the lunch. He told me there
- 12 were staff who had a problem dealing with me, and I
- 13 guess I understood that. But was that my fault or was
- 14 -- were they -- could they take these sorts of
- 15 questions? Did they like being asked again? I don't
- 16 know.
- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: There's been some
- 18 suggestion by some witnesses that, in the -- in the
- 19 process of your inquiries and the information you were
- 20 seeking, your -- the -- it rose to the level of -- you
- 21 know, bullying or harassment were some of the terms
- 22 that were used.
- 23 What's your reaction to that?
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, so that's very
- 25 interesting actually because there was one (1) -- Ms.

- 1 Shuttleworth, in particular, my exchanges with her
- 2 were, I would say, very difficult. And -- and I read
- 3 back through some of the emails she sent me.
- Anyway, at one point in time, I
- 5 wondered why I was getting these. They almost were,
- 6 like, personal emails, you know, threatening. And I
- 7 went to the HR manager and I said, Will you take a
- 8 look at these emails, you know, because I'm afraid
- 9 this woman's setting me up for harassment. I mean,
- 10 that's where I was coming from.
- 11 And I didn't want to do that and -- you
- 12 know, so I just needed a second opinion. So, I said,
- 13 Take a look at these emails. And -- and her opinion -
- 14 her name is Maryanne Nero. She told me that, in her
- 15 opinion, Ms. Shuttleworth was harassing me.
- 16 MR. JOHN MATHER: So, if we look at --
- 17 scrolling up to subparagraph (b)... So, again, we're
- 18 back at looking at some of the conclusions that Mr.
- 19 Roger drew. Mr. Roger, in his report or summary of
- 20 one (1) of the conclusions is:
- 21 "There did not be -- appear to be
- 22 any consensus as to why Collus
- decided to sell 50 percent of its
- 24 shares."
- Something you've touched on. And then

- 1 he says:
- 2 "Interviews provided conflicting
- information on this point."
- And described some of that. And then,
- 5 if we scroll down to paragraph F, or subparagraph (f):
- 6 "Another summary of a conclusion is
- 7 that neither the Town, nor Collus
- 8 PowerStream, were able to provide
- 9 BLG with any rationale as to why a
- 10 50 percent share of Collus's shares
- 11 was chosen in 2012 as opposed to a
- 12 hundred percent share."
- One (1) of the things that we've heard
- 14 in the Inquiry is that one (1) of the reasons for a 50
- 15 percent share was that this was the first step in a
- 16 growth strategy whereby Collus and PowerStream
- 17 together as a partnership would look into acquiring
- 18 other LDCs, including within the CHEC group.
- 19 Was that something you recall being
- 20 advised of when you were conducting your inquiries?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I was never --
- 22 nobody ever advised me that there was any growth
- 23 strategy related to the transaction or the share sale
- 24 and it never was -- I learned that subsequent to that,
- 25 but I was not aware of any growth.

- 2 have looked up for the file whether, I guess, the
- 3 evaluation of that strategy would have been undertaken
- 4 and assessed and looked at, particularly in the
- 5 context of what might the financial impact on the Town
- 6 be of such a strategy.
- 7 You know, like, there's business and
- 8 there's municipal government. And I would have looked
- 9 to see where -- where Council had been advised that
- 10 that was an intended strategy of an organiza -- of a -
- 11 of a company it owned and that it concurred with
- 12 that and it knew and understood any and all of the
- 13 risks that might relate to that.
- 14 So, I never heard of that. And -- and
- 15 if I had have heard of that, I would have gone and --
- 16 if the files had have been there, I would have read
- 17 the files and acquainted myself with that situation,
- 18 so that was all news to me. Nobody told me about
- 19 that.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: The witness -- some
- 21 of the witnesses have also suggested that -- and this
- 22 has already been alluded to today, that prior to you
- 23 becoming CAO, the relationship worked well and there
- 24 was moves towards this growth strategy that has been
- 25 discussed.

- 1 And the suggestion has been that the
- 2 dysfunction that we've -- that I've already talked to
- 3 you about and the growth strategy that didn't
- 4 ultimately be implemented was as a result of you and
- 5 the steps you took when you became CAO.
- 6 What is your reaction to that
- 7 testimony?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I -- I quess,
- 9 just logic would suggest, if the strategy was
- 10 important and it was known and intended, then I would
- 11 think that whenever I did anything that anybody
- 12 thought was interfering with that, they would have
- 13 told me about it and give me the opportunity of
- 14 knowing and understanding it, but I was ignorant of
- 15 that.
- 16 Nobody ever told me there was a growth
- 17 strategy; I was unaware of it. I just saw a
- 18 transaction. I didn't notice, in reading the
- 19 transaction, where there was ever a reference that
- 20 there was a growth strategy.
- 21 Maybe one (1) of the things in the RFP
- 22 might have been to look at a company that best could
- 23 effect the growth strategy if that was the case. I
- 24 don't know. I wasn't aware of it.
- 25 MR. JOHN MATHER: Other than the -- you

- 1 discussed having a lunch with Mr. McFadden in which
- 2 some of the issues with the Collus employees were
- 3 brought to your attention.
- 4 Other than that, do you recall at any
- 5 other point anyone raising with you that the way you
- 6 were proceeding with your inquiries was interfering
- 7 with the partnership or otherwise detrimental to
- 8 Collus PowerStream?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well -- you know,
- 10 it's -- other than Mr. McFadden saying, you know,
- 11 like, this is a very difficult situation in response
- 12 to, I guess, Cindy complaining because I asked her if
- 13 she could provide comments for -- before Council made
- 14 a decision -- you know, it was a -- there was a -- I
- 15 read emails about that.
- 16 So other than that, Mr. McFadden just
- 17 said this -- you know, these questions are bad, and,
- 18 you know, they're getting out there, and, you know,
- 19 it's not a good situation. So we should try and
- 20 negotiate with -- with PowerStream to see if we can
- 21 kind of exit this situation, and we -- and we tried to
- 22 do that.
- 23 MR. JOHN MATHER: So after Mr. Roger
- 24 delivers the BLG report, it's my understanding that
- 25 from there Council authorized him to explore options

- 1 into selling the Town's 50 percent interest, which
- 2 ultimately led to the sale to EPCOR. Is that correct?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Okay. So one more
- 5 area that I have questions for you -- so if we could
- 6 go to paragraph 729 of the Foundation Document.

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: So this paragraph
- 11 describes an email that you've already touched in. So
- 12 I take it you're familiar with it, so I would like to
- 13 open up the email chain itself, which is ARB496.

14

15 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 17 MR. JOHN MATHER: If we could scroll
- 18 to the bottom of the email chain. Scrolling up so we
- 19 can see the beginning of the first email.
- 20 So this is an email from Mr. Mascarin
- 21 at Aird & Berlis to you. We will see, as we go up,
- 22 that he emailed you at your Gmail account. And he's
- 23 discussing with you a file or some -- a document he's
- 24 found in his office.
- 25 If we scroll up, you respond talking

- 1 about how -- when you would pick up the -- what he
- 2 found. And he also wants to up -- you know, so update
- 3 on a couple of matters. Scroll up, a few more
- 4 responses.
- 5 And then you reply further up that in
- 6 the same email chain -- this time on April 1st -- that
- 7 you received Aird & Berlis's most recent bill, and you
- 8 discuss it was Sara. I assume that's Ms. Almas?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: That you -- she was
- 13 going to be calling to clarify some matters and also
- 14 to see if you can split the bill so there was --
- 15 there's not a single bill for \$11,000.
- And then you go on saying:
- "I also do not recall getting advice
- 18 about the role of the CAO."
- 19 And entitlement to certain information.
- 20 You then respond later on the same day: "Please
- 21 expunge this email thread."
- So I have a few questions about this
- 23 email chain, starting with: Do you know why
- 24 Mr. Mascarin emailed you at your Gmail account at the
- 25 outset of this chain?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I -- I became
- 2 acquainted with Mr. Mascarin when I worked for a
- 3 different municipality, and I was involved in a very
- 4 sensitive legal situation with him in that
- 5 municipality. And that's how we got to know each
- 6 other.
- 7 So he actually -- he said to me -- he
- 8 said to me when I left -- I told him I was retiring
- 9 from Brantford -- and he said, listen, sometimes my
- 10 clients -- this is exactly the situation here --
- 11 sometime my clients need somebody to go in on an
- 12 interim basis, you know, as a retired person -- 'cause
- 13 I just retired from Brantford, my second time I
- 14 retired.
- So -- so I said, well, it depends, you
- 16 know. So he said let me have your email address. So
- 17 it was he that sent me an email, asked was I
- 18 interested in coming here for a couple of months. So
- 19 he had my home email address.
- 20 So I thought about this, and my -- my
- 21 memory isn't a hundred percent clear. But all of it
- 22 suggest that it relates to a document, which was a
- 23 sealed document that I was giving -- given -- giving
- 24 to him so that he could have that, I guess, manage --
- 25 whatever you do with it -- at his law firm, and I had

- 1 given it to him before.
- 2 And -- 'cause it doesn't match the
- 3 circumstances that relate to this file. Mr. Mascarin
- 4 was really not involved, other than providing me legal
- 5 advice, and that legal advice I've already mentioned.
- 6 And I want -- this whole situation was
- 7 just -- it was very difficult for me to actually
- 8 understand what I was doing and why I was asking these
- 9 questions about the situation.
- I couldn't understand it, and I just --
- 11 it just seems something that didn't seem right. It
- 12 never matched any of my experience anywhere else, and
- 13 I wanted to be very careful before I continued to dip
- 14 my toe in the pond, as they might say, that I wasn't
- 15 doing something that I shouldn't do.
- 16 So I asked him, could you please give
- 17 me your legal advice with respect to the right of the
- 18 sale information and the right to ask for information?
- 19 Before I send any of these emails or asked any
- 20 questions, I wanted to make sure that I had the
- 21 authority, and it was appropriate for me to do so.
- So I asked him to send it to my home
- 23 address, and I asked that on purpose because the
- 24 mayor -- I notice she signs cheques, and she signed
- 25 all the cheques. And when the cheques were going in,

- 1 I guess, on legal bills, they were putting all the
- 2 backup statements, and I noticed she starting asking
- 3 me about backup -- backup statements from some of the
- 4 legal bills that came in.
- 5 So I really didn't want to, you know,
- 6 tell the mayor that I was asking for legal advice with
- 7 respect to my ability to obtain information.
- 8 And in relation to that during one of
- 9 my exchanges -- difficult exchanges with
- 10 Ms. Shuttleworth, I called her up and I asked her for
- 11 information, and she'd give me the story, oh, I'm
- 12 busy. You know, it's not your role. It's none of
- 13 your business. I've got governance. She gave me that
- 14 sound of response, and she sounded quite -- quite
- 15 irritated by me.
- And I think the next day, the mayor
- 17 came into my office, and she said -- she said, so
- 18 you're asking Cindy for information, are you? And I
- 19 said, yes. And she said, Cindy is busy. I said,
- 20 pardon? She said, Cindy is very busy. And I just --
- 21 I think I took the meaning, and I didn't say anything.
- So I did a bring forward in my diary
- 23 for two weeks ahead. And then I contacted Cindy again
- 24 saying, hopefully you've been able to catch up. Now,
- 25 can I get some answers to my questions?

- 1 So that's why I was concerned about
- 2 Mr. Mascarin rolling -- that \$11,000, I think, was him
- 3 rolling together various legal inquiries into one
- 4 figure. And so because of the sensitivity that the
- 5 mayor was showing to some of the questions I was
- 6 asking, who I was asking them to, and reading legal
- 7 backup, that's why I asked him to send it to me at
- 8 home.
- 9 In my 30-year career as, you know -- in
- 10 the -- that's -- I think that's the first email I've
- 11 ever asked any lawyer to -- I never mix my home email
- 12 up with my business email. Never ever. And that was
- 13 the first time I ever asked for that to be sent to my
- 14 home account.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you believe it
- 16 was appropriate to request Mr. Mascarin provide you
- 17 legal information to your personal account?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Under the
- 19 circumstances, yes.
- 20 MR. JOHN MATHER: And the
- 21 circumstances being what you described, which was the
- 22 mayor had been asking you for backup information, and
- 23 you were also concerned about the conversation you
- 24 recall having with her about asking Cindy
- 25 Shuttleworth --

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 2 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- further
- 3 questions. Is there any other reason you thought it
- 4 was appropriate --
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well --
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- in this instance?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- yeah. She wasn't
- 8 asking me for backup information. She's asking me
- 9 questions about the backup information. She didn't
- 10 ask me for information. She obviously read it and was
- 11 asking me questions about.
- 12 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if
- 13 Mr. Mascarin sent you the information you sought to
- 14 your Gmail account?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: All right.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, sorry. Pardon
- 18 me.
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 20 advised the mayor that you received that information
- 21 from him?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 24 advised anyone else at the Town that you had received
- 25 information from him?

1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. The clerk -- I

- 2 advised the clerk. I should point out that in all of
- 3 my inquiries, the clerk was copied on all of the --
- 4 all of my emails. The clerk was fully informed of
- 5 everything I was doing, as was the treasurer and the
- 6 deputy mayor at the time, who is the current mayor.
- 7 He was -- he was brought up to date on a constant
- 8 basis with respect to this whole situation.
- 9 And it wasn't just me. It was -- it
- 10 was the senior staff -- the clerk, the treasurer,
- 11 myself -- and then we updated the deputy mayor with
- 12 respect to all of these events that I've explained to
- 13 you.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: So you've -- we see
- 15 on this email chain the clerk is not copied. Do you
- 16 recall specifically whether you advised the clerk that
- 17 Mr. Mascarin had sent you legal information to your
- 18 personal Gmail account?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I would have
- 20 told her that it went to my account, and I -- I'm
- 21 pretty sure I left it with her when I left. But she
- 22 would -- I think this is -- I think this email relates
- 23 to a separate matter which doesn't relate to
- 24 Collingwood.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Right. And I

- 1 understand from -- if you look at -- near the end of
- 2 the page, you write:
- 3 "I also do not recall getting advice
- 4 about the role of the CAO and
- 5 entitlement information. Can you
- 6 please resend this to this email
- 7 address?"
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. This --
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: And I understood
- 10 your earlier answer to be that you recall that
- 11 Mr. Mascarin did sent that information --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: -- to you to your
- 14 email address.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Is that correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 18 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you advise the
- 19 clerk that when you received this information that
- 20 Mr. Mascarin had sent it to your personal email
- 21 address?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I believe I did,
- 23 yeah.
- 24 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you have a
- 25 specific recollection?

1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Sara was informed of

- 2 everything that I was doing. She was -- like on an
- 3 ongoing -- every day, we talked about these matters
- 4 every day. A hundred percent? No. Ninety percent,
- 5 yes.
- 6 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall if you
- 7 told anyone else other than Ms. Almas that you had
- 8 received a legal opinion to your personal email
- 9 address?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE
- 11 RESPONSE).
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Sorry, you just have
- 13 to --
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. No, sorry.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: You then write to
- 16 Mr. Mascarin with respect to this email we're looking
- 17 at now and you say, "Please expunge this email
- 18 thread"?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. Yeah.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: What did you mean by
- 21 that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, this -- this
- 23 didn't really have anything to do with Collingwood, so
- 24 just, you know, don't -- don't relate it to
- 25 Collingwood, just get rid of it because, you know, the

1 -- the issue -- I -- I said there I had talked to Sara

- 2 about the bill and she will call him and discuss
- 3 whatever.
- 4 I'd forgotten the details about the
- 5 bill. She'll call him. And if he had any problem, to
- 6 call me back. He never called me back, so I assume
- 7 there was no issue there.
- 8 So, the only -- the only issue would
- 9 have been the legal opinion that was -- that was sent
- 10 to me. And I'm sure she has that legal opinion now
- 11 because I would have left it with her when I left.
- But I didn't say come in on my home --
- 13 well, she would have known that.
- 14 MR. JOHN MATHER: Using the word
- 15 'expunge' suggests that you wanted to make sure there
- 16 was no record of this email tra -- trail. Is that
- 17 accurate?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Maybe it's deleted or
- 19 get rid -- I mean -- I mean, it could be deleted,
- 20 expunged. 'Expunged' is a strong word, but I don't
- 21 know why I used it. So, deleted would be the same
- 22 effect.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: And I just want to
- 24 understand why you wanted this email trail deleted.
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't know, just

- 1 being -- just ma -- wanted to make sure that I didn't
- 2 -- that I -- you know, I didn't start to get asking
- 3 questions about why you're getting legal advice about
- 4 asking information when I was asking the questions and
- 5 they were causing, obviously, some concern with people
- 6 answering them.
- 7 I didn't want -- I didn't want to have
- 8 to disclose a lot of information that was of concern
- 9 to me which -- which really proved nothing to me. I
- 10 didn't want to say I've got, I suspect, this, that, or
- 11 the other because I'm not an investigator. I'm -- I'm
- 12 a CAO and I was doing something that I was very
- 13 uncomfortable doing, but I believed I needed to do it.
- 14 And I didn't want to -- I didn't want
- 15 to say there's a problem here because I could have --
- 16 I could have said there's a problem and caused a whole
- 17 lot of trouble for people and there wouldn't have
- 18 been.
- 19 And that's why -- and I don't know if
- 20 I'm getting into privilege or not, and you can tell me
- 21 if I am, but that's why I did not conclude on this
- 22 issue myself. I did not conclude in this whole issue
- 23 myself.
- 24 I had a lot of information. And I
- 25 said, This is -- I do not have the ability to draw

1 this to a conclusion and present this to a Council.

- 2 can't do that because it wouldn't be fair because I'm
- 3 not experienced enough.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall how --
- 5 other than this email thread and the subsequent you ju
- 6 -- you believe you received with the information you
- 7 set out, did you communicate otherwise with Mr.
- 8 Mascarin via Gmail in relation to Collingwood?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, only when he told
- 10 me about this job here, I think, no.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Do you recall when
- 12 he sent you the information you requested about your
- 13 entitlement to information, whether or not you asked
- 14 him to delete or expunge that email thread?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I wouldn't, no,
- 16 because that would have been information I would have
- 17 had, which would have been Town information which I
- 18 wouldn't delete or expunge because it was the Town's.
- 19 It was technically to me, but it was to
- 20 the CAO position.
- 21 MR. JOHN MATHER: Did you consider --
- 22 what's in your email on April 1st, 2014, at 11:59
- 23 a.m., did you consider that to be Town information?
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, he sent it to
- 25 me in my email address. I quess my mistake was

- 1 including stuff related to the Town and a response to
- 2 him, so I don't -- I -- I'm sorry. I mean, maybe it
- 3 is Town information.
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: Those are my
- 5 questions.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 7 you -- you mentioned that, at some point when you were
- 8 reviewing these documents, you wondered if there was a
- 9 cross-subsidy?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: What
- 12 were -- what's the implication of that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, you know --
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Or why
- 15 were you concerned about whether there was a cross-
- 16 subsidy or not?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, that was Mc --
- 18 Mi -- that was Mr. McFadden's concern, that not
- 19 knowing what the actual costs were, if you apportion
- 20 the costs, water or wastewater IT, which our Town
- 21 costs with the hydro costs, then we don't know that
- 22 somebody was overpaying or underpaying.
- 23 So, if the Town was overpaying in
- 24 actual costs, then there could be a subsidy to the
- 25 operation of the hydro system.

1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And if

- 2 -- if the Town was underpaying --
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 5 then the hydro is subsidizing the Town?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. So, the --
- 7 I think his position was that each cost should be
- 8 discrete, you know, and capable of being identified as
- 9 exclusive to that function, that one (1) wasn't cross-
- 10 subsidizing another.
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: And I
- 12 don't want to put words in your mouth. I really want
- 13 to know what was going on in your mind. I assume that
- 14 the hydro ratepayers cannot subsidize the Town if --
- 15 if in fact the -- the cross-subsidy is working to the
- 16 disadvantage of the hydro?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Ye --
- 19 yes or no or wha -- or is not -- that wasn't in your
- 20 thinking?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, that -- that
- 22 wasn't really my concern. My concern was that we were
- 23 getting value for the money we paid. It was Mr.
- 24 McFadden's concern --
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I see --

1 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- that we not have -

- 2 really shouldn't have a cross-subsidization between
- 3 things. That was his issue.
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Mine was are we
- 6 overpaying or whatever. That was mine.
- 7 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 MR. JOHN MATHER: Your Honour, we've
- 10 received a request from Mr. Fryer, who indicates that
- 11 he believes that Mr. Brown was referring to notes
- 12 during his testimony and has made a request for those
- 13 notes.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 15 right. Well, we'll deal with that the same way we
- 16 dealt with Mr. Houghton's notes. The -- in terms of
- 17 the order of cross-examination, Mr. Chenoweth, were
- 18 you gonna -- were you going last in -- in this?
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I -- I would
- 20 certainly like to in that, candidly, I'm going to need
- 21 some further time to prepare my cross-examination
- 22 given -- given the areas we've dealt with here.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 24 right. Mr. Marron, I'm not going to start. I'm going
- 25 to -- I'm going to break for lunch in any event, so

- 1 it'll give you some time over the lunch hour.
- 2 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Okay.
- 3 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But,
- 4 Mr. Marron, do -- do you have questions? I -- but I -
- 5 I'll call upon you after lunch. I just want to
- 6 know.
- 7 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Yeah. I -- I'd
- 8 like to just reserve on that. If I do, I'll be very
- 9 brief, yeah, just --
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 11 right.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: -- just one (1)
- 13 area that I may want to review my notes. Thank you.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So,
- 15 we'll -- we'll break for lunch. And, Mr. Brown, the -
- 16 generally, witnesses are cautioned not to discuss
- 17 their evidence because they're under cross-
- 18 examination.
- 19
- 20 --- Upon recessing at 12:32 p.m.
- 21 --- Upon resuming and 1:36 p.m.
- 22
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So,
- 24 Commissioner, just to enliven things, I wanted to go
- 25 back to the -- the witness order.

- I had thought that Mr. Chenoweth had
- 2 called this witness and so I didn't say anything about
- 3 the witness order. I gather he didn't and Mr. Brown
- 4 is the --
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Our
- 6 witness?
- 7 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Yeah, so I'm
- 8 told.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 10 I actually didn't -- I now -- yes, I understand now.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right. So --
- 12 so we'd like to follow the same process as with Ms.
- 13 Wingrove, that we would go last because he was the CAO
- 14 of the Town.
- Now, I hear Mr. Chenoweth and whether
- 16 he's ready to go. Mr. Fryer has asked me to address
- 17 you just in the course of this to say that he's got
- 18 quite an extensive list of questions, which he would
- 19 whittle down, given time.
- 20 But I'd just alert you --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 22 we're proceeding.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Right.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Let's
- 25 be clear about that. We're proceeding.

- 1 Now, I'm somewhat flexible about the
- 2 order, but we're proceeding.
- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Yes, that's
- 4 fine.
- 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: So we
- 6 will follow the usual. I -- we should follow the
- 7 usual order.
- 8 Mr. Bonwick?
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: If -- Your Honour,
- 10 if I could get some clarification on the usual order,
- 11 my understanding is the usual order has been me going
- 12 last. Recognizing this is not a witness the Town has
- 13 called, I would expect that that would continue to be
- 14 the case.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 16 think if -- if this is our witness, and it is, it is,
- 17 then -- then we'll follow the order that we've
- 18 followed with all of our witnesses, which means that
- 19 you will -- I don't -- I don't see this Mr. Brown as
- 20 the Town's -- the Town's witness.
- 21 He's either our witness or -- well,
- 22 he's not Mr. Chenoweth's witness, that's clear.
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: But he was the
- 24 Chief Executive of my client.
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I

- 1 understand that.
- 2 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: So consistent
- 3 with what we did with Ms. Wingrove, that puts me at
- 4 the bottom of the batting order, I would have thought.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Well, respectfully
- 6 Ms. Wingrove -- sorry, Ms. Almas was still an
- 7 employee, but again I sit there and go she's no longer
- 8 an employee and was called by the --
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: What
- 10 I'm going to do is I'm going to follow the usual order
- 11 in the -- and Mr. Bonwick will go last.
- 12 You can go -- you can go next, second
- 13 last. Mr. Bonwick will be the last to question. And
- 14 I'm going to start -- Mr. Chenoweth, are you ready to
- 15 go?
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, as I
- 17 indicted, Your Honour, as I indicated earlier, I'd
- 18 like more time to prepare.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It
- 20 doesn't matter which reporter it is, you can't get the
- 21 microphone straight. You had a defence until we
- 22 changed reporters.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The green
- 24 light is on, Your Honour. So I'm a go, apparently.
- I -- I can do my cross now. I must say

- 1 that given the evidence that we've heard today, I
- 2 would need at -- at least two hours to prepare the
- 3 cross I want to make with respect to this individual.
- But if necessary, I'll proceed right
- 5 now.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 7 I'm not -- I'm -- I can -- what I can do is let me
- 8 just -- hang on a second.
- 9 I can give you a little bit of time by
- 10 changing the order around a bit. And I can -- I can
- 11 break for a bit and give you a chance to collect your
- 12 thoughts.
- I mean, first of all, let's be clear,
- 14 all of the reports that Mr. Brown commissioned have
- 15 been available and referred to in the Foundation
- 16 Document and they cover virtually everything he
- 17 testified to with perhaps the exception of the memos
- 18 and the to-ing and fro-ing about what the memo said.
- 19 And I respectfully think you're
- 20 experienced enough, I have every -- you've got far
- 21 more experience than you and I want to admit and I
- 22 have no doubt that you can get your head wrapped
- 23 around that aspect of it in fairly short order.
- 24 So I --
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm prepared

- 1 to proceed now, if you wish me to.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'll
- 3 tell you what. I think -- I think you should. And --
- 4 and so carry on.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 6 MR. TIM FRYER: Justice Marrocco, not
- 7 -- begging your indulgence. I'd be prepared to go
- 8 first. I might be able to save some other questions.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Does
- 10 that -- does that assist, Mr. Chenoweth, if Mr. Fryer
- 11 goes first?
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Not
- 13 particularly.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well
- 15 then, then we'll follow the same order. Go ahead.
- 16
- 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. Brown,
- 19 you started again in 2013 as -- as CAO?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And what
- 22 month in 2013?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I think it was July.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: July. All
- 25 right.

- 1 And you at some juncture developed a
- 2 concern about the shared service agreement?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: My first concern was
- 4 the financial position of the Town.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yes
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. And in the
- 7 context of that, then the shared service agreement.
- 8 Not the shared service agreement, it was the billing.
- 9 I wanted to know how much it cost us.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 11 And you first of all went through the
- 12 financial report and I've forgotten which particular
- 13 organization prepared the --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: BMA.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 16 the BM?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: A.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: BMA report,
- 19 and it said there were issues and you went to Council
- 20 with the issues that BMA had identified?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, serious issues.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right,
- 23 thank you.
- 24 And so I take it now at the very least
- 25 in the late fall of 2013?

1 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not so precise on

- 2 the timing of all of these events.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well,
- 4 neither am I. The late fall of 2013, is it fair to
- 5 say that you didn't turn you mind from the financials
- 6 to the shared service agreement until the late fall of
- 7 2013?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry, I don't want
- 9 to be definitive on that. I mean, I turned my mind to
- 10 it, but I'm not sure which month it happened.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, you
- 12 said you did the financials first?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So I take it
- 15 that might have taken you, by the time you got a
- 16 report, et cetera, you'd be into the fall of 2013?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sounds reasonable.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 19 And then at some juncture in the fall
- 20 of 2013, you turned your mind to the shared service
- 21 agreement?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 24 And one of your first inquiries, in
- 25 fact, I think you indicated your only inquiry in

- 1 essence, was to Cindy Shuttleworth, who you say, and
- 2 she wasn't asked this, but you say that she said she
- 3 was too busy to give the answers that you needed with
- 4 respect to the shared service agreement?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, actually, I
- 6 think I talked to the treasurer before I -- to get a
- 7 first reading about her understanding of the billing
- 8 and, you know, interface with Collus Solutions, then I
- 9 would have talked to Cindy at some point after that.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did the --
- 11 did the treasurer indicate that she didn't understand
- 12 the shared service agreement?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't say she
- 14 didn't understand the agreement. She said the
- 15 information that she's being provided with was not
- 16 satisfactory and inadequate.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see.
- 18 So did she make any inquiries that
- 19 you're aware of as to how it was billed or the pattern
- 20 of billing?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: We were -- yeah. She
- 22 sent a memo -- sorry, I don't know the date, and
- 23 hopefully, we'll be able to turn it up -- but she sent
- 24 a long memo to Cindy Shuttleworth outlining all of her
- 25 requirements for clarification and information. Yes.

- 1 So she was well positioned on the request for
- 2 information on the Shared Services Agreement.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 4 And that would have been sometime in mid fall of 2013?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'll say that's
- 6 reasonable.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Is that
- 8 fair?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I don't know for
- 10 sure.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 Thank you. In any event, did you -- you indicate that
- 13 Cindy Shuttleworth was sort of the person that you
- 14 approached, and I think you said it was the only
- 15 person you approached about learning of the billings
- 16 for the Shared Service Agreement.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. She was the
- 18 one who told me she was responsible, she had authority
- 19 to do it, and she did it. So that's -- I called her;
- 20 I talked to her.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did the --
- 22 did the Town treasurer tell you that there was an
- 23 annual report given every year by Collus PowerStream
- 24 to the Council?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't know if she

- 1 told me or not, but I -- I think I remember a report
- 2 coming annually. Yeah.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Do you
- 4 remember a report coming annually?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. I believe I
- 6 do.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I'm told
- 8 that report contained, amongst other things, a summary
- 9 of the shared service billings.
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: It didn't detail the
- 11 costs for each particular service, but we understood
- 12 it was the requirement of the 2003 agreement. We did
- 13 not know what particular services costs. They were
- 14 spread over a much larger operation.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay. But
- 16 in any event, you're aware that Council would have had
- 17 that kind of summary on an ongoing basis since prior
- 18 to the time of your involvement in July of 2013.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Probably. But that
- 20 didn't answer the information request we were looking
- 21 for.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see. I
- 23 understand that the financials of Collus PowerStream
- 24 were part of the report that was given to Council on
- 25 an annual basis.

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: They may have been,
- 2 but they didn't detail the information that the
- 3 treasurer wanted, and I thought was necessary, which
- 4 was a value for money, information about the cost of
- 5 services.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see. Was
- 7 there -- were you aware that there some problem with
- 8 the Shared Service Agreement, or this was just a --
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well --
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- just a
- 11 general inquiry you wanted to get to the problem of?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well --
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Or were you
- 14 trying to solve a particular problem?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I -- I guess the
- 16 initial inquiry was, can you please tell me on what
- 17 basis you submit these bills to the Town for the
- 18 services we get? That was the inquiry.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And you were
- 20 having trouble getting the details of that basis.
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, the answer was
- 22 really, what's it got to do with you? I am
- 23 responsible, I do the billing, and I'm very busy.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: And then I said, can

- 1 you please -- there must be some reference documents
- 2 somewhere. She said she wasn't aware of any reference
- 3 documents, but she -- she did undertake a look around.
- And subsequent to that, we all found
- 5 the existence of this 2003 agreement, which apparently
- 6 had never been reported to Council since 2003.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, I
- 8 can't give evidence. But in any event, I'll move on
- 9 from that. I'm trying -- I'm still -- am not going to
- 10 answer my question.
- 11 Was there a problem? I mean, did you
- 12 see -- was there evidence that somebody was
- 13 subsidizing someone? In other words, the Town was
- 14 subsidizing Collus or Collus PowerStream, or Collus
- 15 PowerStream was subsidizing the Town or Solutions. I
- 16 mean, was there a problem that these inquiries that
- 17 you appear to repeatedly make were designed to deal
- 18 with? Or were you just trying to figure out how the
- 19 billings were done?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I wanted to
- 21 find out how the billings were done because we thought
- 22 we needed that information. How were all these bills
- 23 arrived at? And that was my understanding of what the
- 24 2000 (sic) agreement provided for, but it was not the
- 25 practice.

- 1 And so the -- it was Mr. McFadden's
- 2 issue, and he was the president of the corporation.
- 3 It was his issue that there may be some cross subsidy.
- 4 That wasn't my issue, but it was a credible issue.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, that's
- 6 just common sense, isn't it? There may be cross
- 7 subsidy. He didn't suggest to you that there was a
- 8 cross subsidy, that the Town was subsidizing Collus
- 9 PowerStream. Obviously, he didn't do that, did he?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, he didn't. But
- 11 he thought it'd be a good idea to find out.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, there
- 13 you go. Well, that makes some sense. But I'm just
- 14 trying to understand the necessity of your
- 15 persistence, a persistence that seemed to have led to,
- 16 on your own description, ongoing consternation amongst
- 17 those that you are making these inquiries of.
- 18 What was the necessity for continuing
- 19 to make those inquiries? Because from all I can
- 20 gather and from what you've just told me now, there
- 21 was no evidence that there was a problem.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't have any
- 23 evidence there was a problem. I didn't accuse anybody
- 24 that there was a problem.
- 25 All I wanted to do was to make sure

- 1 that the Town knew and understood the basis for the
- 2 billings that it was paying since 2003.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So as a
- 4 result of that, you commissioned -- was it two (2) or
- 5 three (3) reports with respect to shared services
- 6 agreements?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, the first
- 8 report I commissioned was the -- and this was a joint
- 9 project with -- with --
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: We know what
- 11 it was. We've got your evidence. It was Beacon.
- 12 Right?
- 13 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. But it was
- 14 also with the CPUSB itself.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: We have your
- 16 evidence with respect to that.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Well --
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But
- 19 the witness can answer your questions.
- 20
- 21 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm again --
- 23 did you -- you're aware that the OEB has financial
- 24 records that are produced to it annually by LDCs and
- 25 that the financial records of Collus PowerStream would

1 have been there. If you had any concerns with respect

- 2 to the performance of Collus PowerStream to its
- 3 business plans or anything of that nature, that would
- 4 have been available to you with the OEB?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: So I looked at it
- 6 from the perspective -- we had a consulting company
- 7 just like all municipalities and consulting companies,
- 8 and we -- and they billed us for work done.
- 9 And the question was: Can you please
- 10 explain to us the basis for the bills that we are
- 11 receiving and paying and have been since 2003?
- 12 So to go to OEB to find that
- 13 information, you know, would seem to me to be
- 14 unnecessary when we have a consulting company
- 15 contracted to provide services directly to us.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you
- 17 don't have to pay anything to get the information from
- 18 the OEB. You've got to pay consultants, and you've
- 19 got to pay lawyers, and you got to pay Beacon, and you
- 20 $\,$ got to pay Miller Thomson and all these people --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- to get
- 23 these reports. Why didn't you simply go to the OEB
- 24 and get the financials of Collus PowerStream on an
- 25 ongoing basis along with -- along with summaries of

- 1 the shared service arrangements, all of which are
- 2 reviewed by the OEB before you went off and spent,
- 3 frankly, a good deal of money and caused a good deal
- 4 of consternation in that relationship before you --
- 5 before you did that simple research? You could have
- 6 got it for free.
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I think asking
- 8 the consultant who billed us would be the first step.
- 9 And I didn't contemplate any difficulty in being
- 10 provided with it -- that information. But as I've
- 11 given evidence earlier on, I had great difficulty.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, did
- 13 the OEB or did your consultants tell you that you
- 14 could go to the OEB and get financials?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. But Mr. Roger,
- 16 when he did his work -- I understand he had to go to
- 17 the OEB to get information that wasn't available to
- 18 him. So I guess at one point in time, the OEB had to
- 19 be accessed.
- 20 But in my opinion, that should have
- 21 been unnecessary because if you've got a consulting
- 22 company, which is your technical employee, and they're
- 23 providing services to you and you're paying them to do
- 24 it, I don't think it'd be a big push for them to
- 25 answer you and tell you how their costs were

- 1 constructed and what they were based on.
- 2 So you're right. I probably could have
- 3 gone to the OEB, but it -- that looked to me like not
- 4 the direct approach.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You chose to
- 6 incur the fees necessary with at least two (2), maybe
- 7 three (3) reports on shared services.
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I made a phone
- 9 call to the consultant. And as I've already told you,
- 10 the response I got and following further discussions
- 11 and emails, it was agreed that a value for money audit
- 12 may very be a solution to this issue.
- 13 And the CPUSB joined with the Town and
- 14 the joint consulting project to have Beacon 2020 do a
- 15 value for money audit. We all expected that that
- 16 value for money audit would solve the problem because
- 17 nobody knew that the billing process had been changed
- 18 from a direct cost one to one of apportioned cost.
- 19 Nobody knew that. It had never been
- 20 reported to Council, the agreement was in place since
- 21 2003, and I think when I told Council that it existed,
- 22 it was the first time they heard of it.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: My
- 24 information is that the OEB has a shared services
- 25 analysis that they do on a five (5) year annual basis

- 1 that would have given you the -- the answers to those
- 2 questions as to whether or not there was an improper
- 3 subsidy because, indeed, that's one (1) of the matters
- 4 that the OEB checks. That want to make sure.
- 5 And we have a report that was from the
- 6 OEB people, it was before this commission, that says
- 7 that was their ongoing concern and that that's why
- 8 they required shared services reports.
- 9 Did you look into that analysis done by
- 10 the OEB to see if there's a problem that required the
- 11 persistence that you chose to undertake on these
- 12 inquiries?

- MR. JOHN BROWN: So --
- 14 OBJ MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, just a
- 15 second. My Friend is persisting and asking about
- 16 persistence. But, you know, there's -- there's -- if
- 17 he wants to put a particular piece of evidence to the
- 18 witness, that's one (1) thing, but to just posit that
- 19 somewhere there's this precise piece of information's
- 20 a bit unfair to the witness.
- 21 He should first ask the witness whether
- 22 he agrees with that proposition or not.
- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Were you

- 1 aware that --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 3 wait a second. There's been an objection. Let me
- 4 rule on the objection before you start asking any more
- 5 questions.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm
- 7 obviously content, Your Honour.
- 8 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: But --
- 9 but in any event, you can go ahead and ask the
- 10 question because I wasn't going to give effect to the
- 11 objection. But I do think you've made the point, at
- 12 least as far as the availability of information that
- 13 the OEB is concerned.
- 14 And we did hear from Cynthia Chaplin,
- 15 and whatever she said, she said. I'm not going to try
- 16 to summarize it in two (2) minutes, but I think we've
- 17 -- you've covered that.
- But nevertheless, I'm overruling the
- 19 objection. So, now you can ask your question.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 21 Thank you, Your Honour. And I'll -- and I'll move
- 22 along to other matters --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Could I answer --
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- quickly.
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: Could I answer that

- 1 question?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Not
- 3 really, unless -- unless Mr. Chenoweth chooses to
- 4 repeat it. And I've asked him not to repeat it, so I
- 5 think we'll just move on.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.

- 8 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's my
- 10 information -- and you can tell me whether this is
- 11 right or wrong, or maybe you don't know.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But the
- 14 information I've been supplied with suggests that in
- 15 fact there was a five (5) year summary of the shared
- 16 services costs filed with the OEB in mid-September of
- 17 2013, around the time that you started your task as
- 18 CAO of the Town.
- 19 Again, did you -- did you look at that
- 20 document to see if there was a problem that required
- 21 your ongoing persistence?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I think -- I
- 23 think I've already answered. I called the person who
- 24 prepared the bills. I thought that was the most
- 25 direct, easiest, most cost-effective way of getting an

- 1 answer. I didn't anticipate not getting an answer.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you
- 3 didn't get an answer, so you say that's the only
- 4 person you inquired with. Usually, if you don't get
- 5 an answer from party A, you'll ask somebody else. You
- 6 tell us you didn't do that?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. But we did --
- 8 yeah. So, I think -- I think the inference is that,
- 9 because I got the answer I got from Ms. Shuttleworth,
- 10 that I con -- constructed value for money audit
- 11 methodology and recommended it at the Council.
- 12 That wasn't the case. We work with the
- 13 CP at the Town, and the CP USB, the water, wastewater
- 14 authority, work together. Mr. --
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Herman?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: -- Herman and myself
- 17 were joint managers of the project. We did everything
- 18 together and we entered into the contract. And
- 19 everybody was hopeful that the value for money audit
- 20 would produce what we felt was necessary, which was an
- 21 evaluation -- a detailed evaluation of the cost, the
- 22 de -- well, what were the services being provided and
- 23 how are those costs created because we were paying the
- 24 bills.
- So, just like anybody else, if you're

- 1 paying a bill and somebody says it's got five (5)
- 2 parts to it, you may be interesting in knowing each of
- 3 what those parts are, you know, so that you're
- 4 satisfied that you're getting value for money.
- 5 That was the purpose of it. It wasn't
- 6 to avoid going to the OEB. It was to get a basis to
- 7 solve what turned out to be a very significant
- 8 problem. It was hoped that we all could have that
- 9 agreement evaluated and know what the cost of the
- 10 services.
- 11 And then we could have gone forward in
- 12 a much more positive way to know and understand what
- 13 we're paying for and conclude that we were getting
- 14 value and maybe negotiate different terms to see if we
- 15 could get value.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It's -- it's
- 17 pretty clear, sir, that it was obvious to you at the
- 18 time, from your evidence-in-chief, that your approach
- 19 to finding answers to that problem was having a
- 20 significant effect on the relationship between the
- 21 Town and Collus PowerStream. Isn't that fair?
- I mean, you've told us of four (4)
- 23 people that were significant affected. You told us of
- 24 Cindy Shuttleworth. You told us of -- of Mr.
- 25 Houghton. You told us of others that -- that were

- 1 having real problems with the approach you were
- 2 taking, yet you persisted in spite of the fact that
- 3 you've told us you weren't aware that there was any
- 4 problem other than your wish to get to the bottom of
- 5 the billings which were obvious from the OEB?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, as the chief
- 7 administrative officer, I don't think I have the
- 8 ability to negotiate my responsibilities or fiduciary
- 9 responsibilities in light of the fact that some people
- 10 may or may not want to answer questions.
- I think my job is to try, to the best
- 12 of my ability, to make sure that the Town is getting
- 13 value for money, and that was the purpose of the
- 14 inquiry.
- That was the purpose of the consultant
- 16 report that was a joint one (1) between the wastewater
- 17 and water who were incurring the charges and the Town
- 18 that was eventually paying the bills, including for --
- 19 for information technology.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: When you got
- 21 to the position of CAO in the Town in approximately, I
- 22 think you said April of 2013 --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: July. I think I said
- 24 July.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That's

- 1 right, July of 2013. You're correct. That was your
- 2 evidence. Were you aware that the Collus PowerStream
- 3 relationship at that juncture, and we've heard a good
- 4 deal of evidence of it, had been going for a year at
- 5 that time and was regarded by many as -- as a very
- 6 fruitful, successful arrangement?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, when I came in
- 8 July I didn't know anything about Collingwood and I
- 9 didn't know anything about Collus Solutions or the 50
- 10 percent. I didn't know anything about anything. I
- 11 was fresh.
- 12 And so, when I came here and I started
- 13 to kind of get a sense of the issues, the financial
- 14 situation was the most important to deal with first in
- 15 my mind.
- 16 I didn't ask any questions about the 50
- 17 percent serv -- although a lot of people had mentioned
- 18 it may very well be an issue or they had concerns
- 19 about it.
- I didn't seek to go there. I only got
- 21 there by following the Council approved approach which
- 22 they gave me, which was to develop a strategic
- 23 financial plan. And that took me to the whole issue
- 24 of where were we paying for services. And that kind
- 25 of, you know, was the first in the sequence that ended

- 1 up with, I guess, the shares being sold.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you at
- 3 some juncture find that there was a problem with the -
- 4 with Collus PowerStream and the way it was running
- 5 or its direction or -- or its financial success or was
- 6 there some problem with Collus PowerStream that you
- 7 became aware of in your -- in -- in your earlier time
- 8 at the -- or at any time in the -- in the centicure
- 9 (phonetic) as CAO?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, I guess the first
- 11 thing that I guess somewhat surprised me was the
- 12 cancellation -- or the postponement of the KPMG
- 13 contract which included -- which included, you know, a
- 14 program or an organizational review.
- 15 And I was surprised that that happened.
- 16 and I went to meet with Mr. Peever who was there. And
- 17 he told me that, in his opinion looking at it, the
- 18 whole set up, the whole structure of Collus Solutions
- 19 and -- and in kind services and people working who
- 20 were not employees, but a lot of people thought they
- 21 might -- this whole situation was very confusing to
- 22 him.
- 23 And I shared with him my concern that
- 24 it was very confusing and hard to understand. I think
- 25 he was concerned in terms of the liability it might be

- 1 if anything happened.
- 2 My concern was it just didn't seem to
- 3 reflect municipal structures as I had experienced
- 4 them. I mean, there were consulting companies
- 5 provided services. There were people who worked for
- 6 Town companies who weren't Town employees. It was all
- 7 confusion.
- 8 So -- so, he and I came to a similar
- 9 conclusion. And I note that when we did an RFP, it's
- 10 one (1) of the things I did under the strategic
- 11 financial plan, was to say we've had some of these
- 12 contracts far too long -- excuse me -- too long. We
- 13 need to go to the market again for legal services, for
- 14 whatever.
- 15 And the company that we got for HR, we
- 16 explained to them the whole situation of Collus
- 17 Solutions, how it sat, and who was or wasn't
- 18 responsible to. And they expressed their surprise at
- 19 such a structure being in place.
- 20 So I think it was a general concern not
- 21 just in my part that this -- that this system, which
- 22 had been developed in the past, was a very complicated
- 23 one. And I note that Mr. Houghton was very concerned
- 24 with KPMG's work, and that was behind it being halted,
- 25 as I understand it. And I also understand that the

- 1 mayor was going to be the project manager of that
- 2 project, which --
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm --
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- I'm really
- 5 surprised.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- I wonder
- 7 if we could come back to the question I asked you,
- 8 which was, do you had -- did you have any evidence of
- 9 any concerns about the financial performance, the
- 10 business planning? Did you have any evidence of any
- 11 problems?
- Just as I asked you with respect to
- 13 problems in the shared service agreement, was there a
- 14 problem that required your persistence of negative --
- 15 it -- to the point that it obviously, in your own
- 16 admission, negatively affected the -- the -- that --
- 17 that very relationship, was there a problem with the
- 18 financial progress or planning of Collus PowerStream
- 19 when you undertook your inquiries with respect to it?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, because I
- 21 wouldn't have in -- in -- had the information to make
- 22 that judgment. It was information I was looking for,
- 23 not a judgment that something was wrong.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 25 Then I take it you would have known that for the first

- 1 time in history, at least as long as Collingwood had
- 2 been involved in the LDC business, there was a
- 3 dividend paid by Collus PowerStream to the Town in
- 4 2014. That's the evidence we received here --
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- at this
- 7 Inquiry?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: It's --
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So is it
- 10 fair to say that a minimum -- a modicum of inquiries
- 11 would have illustrated that the relationship was a
- 12 satisfactory one and was moving along quite well?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I wouldn't have
- 14 the information to be able to agree with that
- 15 conclusion.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 17 So you weren't making these inquiries because there
- 18 was some obvious problem of some kind in the financial
- 19 planning of Collus PowerStream --
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I did not --
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- or its
- 22 results?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- I did not start
- 24 off with the idea that there was a problem or
- 25 something was wrong. I was merely starting off with

- 1 the idea that we needed better to understand, you
- 2 know, where our costs were coming from to see if we
- 3 could manage those costs down. All municipalities do
- 4 that. I did before, and I was trying to do that here.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Sir, my
- 6 point is, if there wasn't a problem, why did you feel
- 7 it necessary to push with your questioning, et cetera,
- 8 to the point that you were significantly affecting the
- 9 staff of Collus PowerStream and its relationship with
- 10 the Town, why would you do that if there wasn't a -- a
- 11 prevalent problem that -- that needed a solution,
- 12 especially when there was available information in
- 13 other sources?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I don't -- I --
- 15 I mean, I -- I don't know that -- I think this is the
- 16 same question coming up all the time, and I guess I've
- 17 already answered it.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Be --
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: And the answer is
- 20 that corporate -- contemporary corporate management is
- 21 based on good information. I did not have good
- 22 information, and I was merely attempting to get it
- 23 from a consultant that was a consultant to the Town.
- Not too many consultants tell their
- 25 clients, Don't ask us for what our bills are. Don't

- 1 ask to explain our bills. Just pay them, please. Not
- 2 too many consultants can do that.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You
- 4 mentioned a concern about a business plan for Collus
- 5 PowerStream, no sense of -- of where this -- this
- 6 growth idea was going.
- 7 That was a concern of yours?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't know
- 9 anything about the growth idea. Nobody explained that
- 10 to me.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No, but you
- 12 said you developed a concern about it. Nobody
- 13 explained it to you, and that was -- or were you
- 14 never, ever concerned about growth?
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't know about
- 16 it until --
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That wasn't
- 18 a problem for you?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- I didn't know
- 20 about it until recently.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You would
- 22 have been aware that a business plan for Collus
- 23 PowerStream was filed annually with the Town of
- 24 Collingwood Council? That would have been part of
- 25 their annual report that Collus PowerStream made to

- 1 the Town?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: It all depends on the
- 3 definition of a business plan. I think the 2013
- 4 report was included in the background material. My --
- 5 in my view, that is not a business plan.
- If you look at Mr. Rodger's evidence,
- 7 you will see that he details what a business plan -- a
- 8 -- a business plan might be anticipated to be, and the
- 9 one in 2013 doesn't match that.

10

11 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you
- 14 review the business plan?
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: Did I read the 2013 -
- 16 I don't think it was called a business plan, but I
- 17 think it was their report. But anyway, did I read
- 18 through it? Yes.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Yeah. Thank
- 20 you. You expressed a concern about the concepts
- 21 contained in the shareholders agreement?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I.e., a
- 24 shotgun provision?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And -- and a
- 2 right of first refusal? And you acknowledge that --
- 3 that you don't -- when it comes to corporate law on
- 4 things, that's not really your bailiwick, and you need
- 5 some assistance with respect to that?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Absolutely.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 8 Did you learn through the course of your inquiries
- 9 that the development of the shareholders agreement and
- 10 the share purchase agreement were done in consultation
- 11 with the Town's lawyers, Mr. Clark and Ms. Kennedy,
- 12 along with the input and review completed by Mr.
- 13 McFadden, a commercial lawyer himself?
- 14 Did you become aware of that?
- MR. JEFF CROZIER: So my understanding
- 16 of Mr. Clark's comments was that he was hard to paper
- 17 the deal, and the deal was already constructed, so
- 18 that's my understanding of what he said. And I don't
- 19 know if that's correct or not, but that's my
- 20 understanding.
- 21 And far as Mr. McFadden goes, my
- 22 understanding of Mr. McFadden was, he was not a legal
- 23 advisor to anybody, and especially the Town. He --
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No one
- 25 suggested he was a legal advisor to Mr. Kennedy -- or

- 1 Mr. Clark and Ms. Kennedy.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry, I -- sorry --
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Ms. Clark
- 4 and Ms. Kennedy --
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- and --
- 7 and Mr. McFadden, on his own admission, had the
- 8 opportunity to review the documents --
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- to
- 11 appraise the documents --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- and to
- 14 give input and comment with respect to what was
- 15 contained in the documents. That was Mr. McFadden's
- 16 evidence.
- Were you aware of the fact that Mr.
- 18 McFadden, a commercial lawyer, had had that input?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I was not aware
- 20 of any role Mr. McFadden had with respect to the
- 21 development of any of the share purchase agreement or
- 22 the details, no.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you're -
- 24 to put it simply, you would have been aware that the
- 25 terms of the share purchase agreement -- or sorry, the

- 1 share -- yes, the share purchase agreement, and the
- 2 unanimous shareholders agreement were a function of
- 3 legal advice that the Town and Collus had received
- 4 from Aird Berlis, and in particular, from Mr. Clark
- 5 and Mr. Kennedy (sic), which surely would have
- 6 involved some discussions about -- about a shotgun
- 7 provision and a right of first refusal?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't know that
- 9 to be the case.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 11 Thank you. Did you find that out on your inquiries?
- 12 You spoke to Mr. Clark, you tell us?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes -- so, sorry, did
- 14 I find what out from Mr. Clark?
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you find
- 16 out that the Town and Collus had received advice from
- 17 Mr. Clark on those particular concerns -- on those
- 18 particular terms of the unanimous shareholders
- 19 agreement --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- to allay
- 22 your concerns that you had in this matter?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. My concerns were
- 24 after the fact. When I read that consummated
- 25 agreement, I was very surprised that there would be a

- 1 right of first ref -- not surprised, but it's -- it
- 2 was of concern to me that there was a right of first
- 3 refusal. It was of concern to me that there was a 50
- 4 percent share sale, and it was a concern to me that
- 5 there was a shotgun clause with a twenty (20) day
- 6 period in it. They were my concerns.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see. In
- 8 any event, I take it in the final analysis, the
- 9 existence of the shotgun clause rightly or wrongly got
- 10 the Town out of this Transaction with Collus
- 11 PowerStream by -- by bidding using the shotgun clause
- 12 to -- to purchase the shares of -- of PowerStream?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I guess that was the
- 14 mechanism that Mr. Rodger recommended to implement
- 15 Council's decision to sell the 50 percent remaining
- 16 shares.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, you
- 18 didn't need Mr. Rodger's recommendation. You could
- 19 have done it anyway, couldn't you?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I --
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Why did you
- 22 you need Mr. Rodger's recommendation?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: Because Mr. Rodgers
- 24 (sic) is a very experienced energy lawyer and the Town
- 25 needed quality advice and I got it for the Town.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Why couldn't
- 2 you simply call up Mr. Clark who -- who consummated
- 3 the deal and -- and use those terms without paying Mr.
- 4 Rodgers (sic) a huge fee for his report? Why weren't
- 5 you simply able to call up Mr. Clark and Ms. Kennedy
- 6 and -- and get some comments on the Utility of the --
- 7 of the shotgun clause that you eventually used?
- 8 MR. JOHN MATHER: Your Honour --
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes --
- 10 MR. JOHN MATHER: -- I just wanted to
- 11 indicate that this appears to be getting close to
- 12 legal advice with respect to the sale of EPCOR, which
- 13 was an issue raised earlier.
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 15 don't -- I don't think it does because you don't have
- 16 to disclose the advice. That's privileged.
- 17 The issue around paying a huge fee, I -
- 18 I don't know if we have any evidence concerning the
- 19 fee, but I -- I think that type of question is -- is
- 20 permit -- permit -- permissible.
- 21 Mr. McDowell...?
- MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, just a
- 23 little confused because, of course, at the time that
- 24 we're getting into the EPCOR thing, Aird & Berlis is
- 25 not acting for the Town anymore.

1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No, I

- 2 know.
- 3 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: All right.
- 4 Just so that's clear. So saying why didn't you phone
- 5 up your former law firm is a bit of an odd question.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 7 --
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I don't know
- 9 that it's particularly odd --
- 10 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: the
- 11 witness is not unsophisticated. He can answer.
- Pardon me, Mr. Chenoweth?
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I was -- I
- 14 really have no comment. Proceed, Your Honour.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Right.
- 16 So go ahead -- go ahead and ask your question.
- 17
- 18 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Why didn't
- 20 you just call up the guys who put this thing together,
- 21 the lawyers who put it together, rather than pay
- 22 another party, and get some advice on the utility of
- 23 the shotgun clause contained in the already existing
- 24 agreements?
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: Because we're a

- 1 municipality, that's why.
- 2 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm not
- 3 following that, I'm sorry.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Some municipalities,
- 5 when they make decisions, they have to be very public.
- 6 They have to be -- they have to be understood by the
- 7 public. Often you'd have to have a public hearing.
- 8 So, you know, it wouldn't be -- it
- 9 would be very challenging for any CAO to say we want
- 10 to sell our shares and we'll just pull the -- you
- 11 know, do -- go via the shotgun clause. The whole --
- 12 the whole situation would have to be explained to the
- 13 public. And one of the things Mr. Rodger noted in his
- 14 report was, because the 50 percent share sale was 50
- 15 percent and there were obligations that went with the
- 16 -- the shareholders' agreement and the share purchase
- 17 agreement, that that limited the obligations of a
- 18 municipality, which are to make decisions as public
- 19 and transparent as possible, and that's something that
- 20 the Council struggled with, something that they were
- 21 seriously criticized for by Mr. Chadwick, and -- and
- 22 so -- so to simply say just pull the shotgun because
- 23 it's there, you know, doesn't appreciate the
- 24 complexity of a municipal government vis-a-vis a
- 25 corporation that operates under the Business

- 1 Corporations Act.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. Brown,
- 3 you appear to have had some ongoing meetings with Ms.
- 4 Almas and Mr. Houghton in which you were enquiring of
- 5 Mr. Houghton, what went on in the past.
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You weren't
- 8 enquiring of Ms. Almas what went on in the past; you
- 9 were enquiring of Mr. Houghton.
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: That's correct.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 12 And you'd asked Ms. Houghton -- I'm sorry, Ms. Almas,
- 13 to make a memo of the answers that Mr. Houghton gave
- 14 with respect to that.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And it seems
- 17 clear that -- that even the development of these memos
- 18 -- did -- did this make Mr. Houghton, in your
- 19 observation, feel uncomfortable, the fact that he was
- 20 there, being questioned by you, and that the -- that
- 21 the answers and the questions were being taken down
- 22 into some sort of memo?
- 23 Did that -- did that cause you any
- 24 concerns as to the relational effect that might have
- 25 had with the President and CEO of Collus-PowerStream?

```
MR. JOHN BROWN: To the extent that I
```

- 2 could put this whole picture together over a very long
- 3 period, asking very many questions as opposed to
- 4 somebody just telling me what happened because nobody
- 5 seemed to know exactly what happened, I thought if I
- 6 went to Mr. Houghton -- he seemed to be the project
- 7 leader. He seemed to be the person who was
- 8 interacting with Council, with the Board, with the
- 9 lawyers.
- 10 So just examining it, if anybody said
- 11 to me, what role did Mr. Houghton play, I would say he
- 12 was the project lead, he was the leader of the
- 13 project. He was the one who was responsible for
- 14 setting it up and managing it, and bring it to a
- 15 positive conclusion. So my view would be to that is,
- 16 wouldn't he be the best person that I would ask?
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It sounds
- 18 like an interesting place to start, and I submit to
- 19 you that Mr. Houghton gave you good answers. You
- 20 asked him what was the impetus for the strategic
- 21 partnership and he gave you in a memo that we looked
- 22 at this morning, I thought a pretty good explanation
- 23 of -- of why the Town had chosen to go with that --
- 24 with that approach.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: So -- so the -- so --

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you --
- 2 did you follow up any further on the explanation given
- 3 to you by Mr. Houghton?
- 4 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 5 trying not to interrupt, but you asked a question.
- 6 The witness started to answer, then you asked another
- 7 question.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm confused now
- 9 because I have two (2) questions. What do you want?
- 10 Could we get --
- 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: -- the first one back
- 13 again?
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- I
- 15 think maybe why don't we start with you trying to
- 16 answer the first question first.
- Do you want him to repeat it?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, the first one,
- 19 please.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Are
- 21 you able to -- are you able to repeat it?
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I certainly
- 23 can, Your Honour.
- 24
- 25 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: In a memo
- 2 that we reviewed this morning, and I wish I could tell
- 3 you the document number --
- 4 MR. JOHN MATHER: TOC516647.

5

- 6 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It was an
- 8 explanation by, if we're talking about the same memo,
- 9 an explanation by Mr. Houghton as to why the strategic
- 10 partnership was chosen.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: We'll pull it up.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Pull up the
- 13 reference that Mr. Houghton -- the answer that Mr.
- 14 Houghton gave at that time.
- 15 MR. JOHN MATHER: I'll scroll down to
- 16 the August 2015 meeting. I'm not certain if this is
- 17 what you're referring to.
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It --
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It is.
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It
- 21 starts there:
- 22 "The changes to the electrical --
- electricity industry."

24

25 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you --
- 2 did you regard that answer as -- you apparently went
- 3 right to what you regarded as the source for the
- 4 answer.
- 5 Did you not regard that as a -- a
- 6 reasonable start in your hunt for an answer to -- to
- 7 the question he talks about, the changes in the
- 8 electrical industry, future opportunities, et cetera,
- 9 et cetera, and talks about the use of -- of KPMG to
- 10 investigate options, et cetera?
- 11 Was that not a -- a reasonable, I'm
- 12 going to say start. Obviously we've gone into it in
- 13 greater detail in this Commission, but was that not a
- 14 reasonable start on an answer as to how the sale of
- 15 half of the Utility occurred by way of strategic
- 16 partnership?
- 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, I called KPMG,
- 18 one of the other people, I asked for information, and
- 19 --
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Can we deal
- 21 with -- can we deal with --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: This is the answer.
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- the Mr.
- 24 Houghton's answer.
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: This is the answer.

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Okay.
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: So I called KPMG and
- 3 I looked at the options that they had identified, and
- 4 I did not see an option called a strategic partnership
- 5 included within their first report. It wasn't there.
- And so my question would be, it wasn't
- 7 there, so how did it get there, and the second
- 8 question would be, was it -- how was it included and
- 9 on what basis was it evaluated similar to the other
- 10 options that KPMG evaluated? That was the issue.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well, did
- 12 you check the minutes of Council meetings to establish
- 13 that in fact the strategic partnership approach was
- 14 authorized and encouraged by Council?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: That wasn't -- my
- 16 question was, how did it get on the sheet, because it
- 17 wasn't added by KPMG, it was added by somebody else,
- 18 and on what basis was it evaluated such that it became
- 19 the approach adopted by Council.
- 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I -- I --
- 21 let's go back to my original question, which was, does
- 22 this not appear to be the start of a good answer to
- 23 your query, how do we get to a 50 percent sale? You
- 24 may have had follow-up questions or some other
- 25 questions you wanted to get to the bottom of, but

- 1 surely to goodness this is a -- a candid response from
- 2 Mr. Houghton as to why there was a shale -- share of
- 3 50 percent.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. Mr. Houghton --
- 5 that explanation doesn't answer my question, which was
- 6 where did the strategic partnership option originate,
- 7 why was it not included in the KPMG report, and was it
- 8 ever evaluated by anybody before it became an option
- 9 which Council eventually adopted.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Well,
- 11 interestingly enough, we spent a -- a good deal of
- 12 time through the course of this Commission enquiring
- 13 about that, and the counsellor (sic) will, I'm sure,
- 14 come to a conclusion with respect to that.
- But did you -- would you not say that
- 16 this initial response was a helpful response?
- 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: It didn't answer my
- 18 question.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Right.
- 20 Well, did you follow up with -- with other questions?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Did I ask him the
- 22 second time?
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: No, no. Did
- 24 you -- did you ask him the specific question about
- 25 where did the strategic partnership come from?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: I had a conversation
- 2 -- Sara took the notes and Mr. Houghton had to leave
- 3 at a prescribed time, and these are the questions that
- 4 I fitted in within the time.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And I put it
- 6 to you that you got reasonable answers from Mr.
- 7 Houghton with respect to the questions you put to him
- 8 within what you've described as a restricted period of
- 9 time?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: I couldn't agree with
- 11 that.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 13 Did you -- did you take another meeting
- 14 to ask further questions? Did you follow-up on the
- 15 answers you got on the August 11th, 2015 meeting?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. As I explained
- 17 earlier on, I asked a lot of questions and got a lot
- 18 of answers that I didn't understand or had to discover
- 19 further, so I moved on to Mr. Bentz and Mr. McFadden.
- 20 I obviously was irritating some people and I didn't
- 21 want to do that, so I moved on to -- to gentlemen I
- 22 dealt very well with and who didn't seem to be
- 23 bothered by the questions and -- and understood why I
- 24 was asking them.

2.5

1 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you
- 4 become aware that the strategic partnership concept
- 5 was discussed at six Council meetings between June of
- 6 2011 and January of 2012, where on each occasion there
- 7 was an authorization by the Town to proceed with that
- 8 approach?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I didn't know it
- 10 was six times, no.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you
- 12 check the Council meetings in that respect?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't know it was
- 14 six times.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 16 Did you -- did you understand that the
- 17 Town had -- had directed that a strategic task force
- 18 team be delegated with responsibilities to study the
- 19 strategic partnership and report back to the Town with
- 20 a recommendation?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: On that one it's my
- 22 understanding that technically the Town didn't
- 23 establish such a task force, it's supposed to do that
- 24 by a decision of Council and by-law. It was my
- 25 understanding that that didn't happen. I could be

- 1 wrong, but that's my understanding.
- 2 And there weren't any terms of
- 3 reference and -- and you know, it was a -- it was a
- 4 group that they set up and they talked about it and --
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: So it's
- 6 group they set up and they talked about it?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, and --
- 8 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see.
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- and the idea, I
- 10 guess the idea was suggested by somebody, but nobody
- 11 seems to know who it was, that a strategic partnership
- 12 or a 50 -- sorry, a 50 percent sale might be the way
- 13 to go.
- 14 I -- I don't recall anywhere, from the
- 15 information that I've had to date, of who that person
- 16 actually was who said I've got a great idea, let's
- 17 have a strategic partnership for the following
- 18 reasons.
- 19 I don't recall where that information
- 20 is.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But we spent
- 22 nine weeks looking at that, so I suspect we'll soon
- 23 know the answer to that.
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 1 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Now, again,
- 2 with respect to your memos, Mr. Houghton seemed to be
- 3 concerned about some of the things that were in the
- 4 memos of your meetings with Mr. Houghton and Ms. Almas
- 5 and -- and he responded to try and --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Excuse
- 7 me. Mr. Brown, you have to answer 'yes' or 'no,'
- 8 because the transcript won't capture you nodding your
- 9 head in agreement.
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Pardon me.
- 11 Sorry, yes.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 13
- 14 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Was there --
- 16 was there something wrong with receiving additional
- 17 evidence from Mr. Houghton? Was this some sort of an
- 18 inquisition where he had to sign a statement or
- 19 something?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Why -- what
- 22 was wrong with taking the further input of Mr.
- 23 Houghton with respect to more information relating to
- 24 the questions you were asking?
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: So I think I -- I

- 1 tried to answer that earlier on. But the purpose was
- 2 not to end up with I said, no you didn't, you said, we
- 3 didn't want to go there. And that's why I asked Sara
- 4 to come in and Sara was given the responsibility of
- 5 preparing the final minutes, notwithstanding what I
- 6 said or what Mr. Houghton said.
- 7 So we were relying on Sara, the clerk,
- 8 to accurately reflect what was discussed. And I had
- 9 my understanding and Mr. Houghton had his, and I was
- 10 satisfied with the final minutes which Sara issued.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Why -- why
- 12 were these -- why were these final minutes, Mr. Brown?
- 13 What's wrong with taking more information on a subject
- 14 that you were interested in?
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I didn't see it
- 16 as more information. I saw it as amended information
- 17 and things that were not said that were now being
- 18 included in the -- in the written material.
- 19 So -- so we let -- I let Sara decide in
- 20 her opinion what she heard. And it didn't reflect my
- 21 recollection 100 percent, and I don't think it
- 22 reflected his 100 percent. But it was what she
- 23 concluded the meeting record was.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Can we look
- 25 at the emails that we further looked at, that we

- 1 looked at earlier this morning, between Almas and
- 2 Houghton and yourself. And I believe it's CPS5431.
- 3 Could we go to the -- to the 5:13 email
- 4 of Ms. Almas, you'll see it's from Ms. Almas. Ms.
- 5 Almas needs -- appears to have an obligation or feels
- 6 -- feels an obligation to speak up.
- 7 "Sorry, I need to respond. It is
- 8 truly my only issue with Ed's
- 9 comments. I did not lie or
- 10 misrepresent the notes from our
- 11 meeting. Ed did indeed speculate
- 12 that he believed that the Mayor and
- Dennis had discussions regarding the
- July 31st, 2012 undertaking and
- shared services letter. However, Ed
- 16 did recommend that John should ask
- 17 the Mayor to find out for sure, as
- 18 he was not aware."
- 19 I take it she felt that Mr. Houghton
- 20 had a good point with respect to that particular
- 21 comment?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: He did and I accepted
- 23 it.
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I see. And
- 25 -- and so you moved on and made inquiries with other

- 1 people, you inquired, I take it, with the Mayor?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did you
- 4 inquire with -- with Dennis Nolan with respect to his
- 5 recollection of how the matter proceeded?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, I believe I did.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 Now, the Beacon report. Beacon 2020
- 10 report, it was a matter that frankly admit ruffled
- 11 some feathers?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: It certainly did.
- 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 14 And it -- it went directly to Council
- 15 without going to others for comment first, and I think
- 16 you've explained why you --
- 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: I did.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- felt a
- 19 necessity to do that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, no, that was the
- 21 -- you're talking about the BLG report.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: The Beacon 2020 on
- 24 was where Mr. Firman -- where Mr. Firman was -- he was
- 25 the joint chair or the joint project manager with me

- 1 and Sara and the treasurer were involved and we all
- 2 undertook to give feedback on the drafts that came in
- 3 to make sure that he did not have any errors or
- 4 omissions and that it made sense.
- 5 And as I explained earlier on, I gave
- 6 my feedback, Sara gave hers, and the treasurer gave
- 7 hers and it appears that Mr. Firman did not give his
- 8 and the corrections that resulted from that were made
- 9 by the consultant and -- and that was it.
- 10 But Mr. Firman didn't advise the
- 11 consultant of -- of errors in the report that I think
- 12 turned out to be there, some of them. But it didn't
- 13 change his recommendation or any of the substantive
- 14 analysis in his report.
- 15 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: If I
- 16 understand it, Collus PowerStream was eventually given
- 17 an opportunity to make comments on the Beacon report?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Everybody was given
- 19 the oppor -- a Municipality, anybody can comment on
- 20 anything.
- 21 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I understand
- 22 it, and we can turn to it if you'd like, but I don't
- 23 want to go through each one of their concerns.
- 24 But as I understand it, Collus
- 25 PowerStream gave a 13-page rejoinder to that report,

- 1 talking about factual inaccuracies and errors.
- Is that an accurate depiction?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: That may very well be
- 4 accurate, yes.
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- And I understand that Collingwood
- 7 Public Utilities provided a 6-page report with respect
- 8 to errors?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. And all of
- 10 those -- all of those reports and the comments that
- 11 they included were all provided to the consultant.
- 12 The consultant was asked to review -- review every
- 13 comment made to see whether, in his professional
- 14 opinion, it required any changes to the report, and,
- 15 generally, it did not.
- 16 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And Mr. Ian
- 17 Chadwick gave a twenty-seven (27) page comment on the
- 18 Beacon report. That's -- that's almost fifty (50)
- 19 pages of -- of comments on what were regarded as
- 20 errors and factual problems in the Beacon report,
- 21 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: The understanding was
- 23 that there were errors or corrections needed to be
- 24 made in the Beacon report. And, as I've explained,
- 25 the opportunity was provided to everybody to point

- 1 those out.
- 2 And then the consultant was asked to
- 3 review and either agree with them and amend the report
- 4 or explain why he didn't agree with him, and that
- 5 happened.
- 6 So, Mr. Chadwick, you know, I mean,
- 7 he's good at writing lots of pages, but the consultant
- 8 reviewed all his pages and concluded what he concluded
- 9 independent of me or anybody else.
- 10 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And it
- 11 appears that others are good at writing pages, too,
- 12 including Collus PowerStream, and the public utilities
- 13 people, as well.
- So, we got in excess of fifty (50)
- 15 pages of reports -- or comments on the report. And ${\tt I}$
- 16 take it the -- the report eventually done by this --
- 17 by Beacon 2020 is found at document TOC516775. Can we
- 18 look that up for a moment?
- 19
- 20 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 21
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Go down just
- 23 a little further, if you would, please, in the report.
- 24 Down a little further to, "Adjustments to the report."
- 25 Whoa, there.

```
1 Are these the adjustments that -- that
```

- 2 the consultant took out of the fifty (50) pages of
- 3 comments that he'd received from those three (3)
- 4 bodies?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: This is what the
- 6 consultant reported back with respect to the comments
- 7 that he received, fifty (50), a hundred, two hundred
- 8 (200) pages, whatever, yeah. This is his conclusions.
- 9 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And he took
- 10 out of that what appears to be twenty-four (24) words
- 11 from that almost fifty (50) pages of comment on that
- 12 report. Is that accurate? I -- I've actually --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: So --
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- counted
- 15 the words.
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, I really can't
- 17 comment. I mean, we're talking about words, but you
- 18 really have to ask the consultant what -- on what
- 19 basis -- he obviously discounted quite a lot of the
- 20 page -- the content of quite a lot of the pages. I
- 21 can't answer that.
- 22 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I -- I just
- 23 suggest to you that the fact that the consultant was
- 24 pre -- prepared to reduce almost fifty (50) pages of
- 25 factual comments to twenty-four (24) words suggests

- 1 that there may be some concerns about the conclusions
- 2 reached by that report and whether or not the analysis
- 3 done by the consultant was fulsome.
- 4 Would you agree with that?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't think
- 6 that follows that logic.
- 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Very good.
- 8 Thank you. I note that the consultant's report has a
- 9 disclaimer in the report. And that is found at
- 10 CJI7337 001, page 2.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Disclaimer
- 15 right there. And could you read the second paragraph
- 16 of that disclaimer?
- 17 MR. JOHN BROWN:
- "So, although we have tried to
- 19 provide accurate information, it is
- 20 based solely on information from the
- 21 documents provided and interviews
- 22 conducted. And there's no guarantee
- the information is accurate and
- complete as of the date it is
- 25 received or that it will continue to

- 1 be accurate in the future."
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 3 think you can read it to your -- generally speaking,
- 4 you can read it to yourself. When -- when counsel
- 5 says, "Please read it," I -- I thought -- think he
- 6 just means read it.
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, I thought he
- 8 asked me to read it.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: No,
- 10 that's fine.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm sorry.

- 13 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- 14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: That's --
- 15 that's helpful having done so. Is it fair to say that
- 16 the consultant had a concern with the extent of the
- 17 materials he had been provided with in order to
- 18 complete his report?
- 19 Did he ex -- did he ever express to you
- 20 that concern through the course of preparing the
- 21 report?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, he expressed to
- 23 me his surprise that the services were being allocated
- 24 as opposed to reviewed under the 2003 shared services
- 25 agreement that was the agreement between the Town and

- 1 Collus Solutions.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. Brown,
- 3 I'd appreciate it if you'd try and answer my question.
- 4 I'm looking -- we're looking at the disclaimer which
- 5 you've had an opportunity to read and in fact read out
- 6 loud.
- 7 Is it fair to -- did the -- did the
- 8 consultant, at any time, express to you a concern
- 9 about the extent of the information that he was
- 10 provided with an order to do this report?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't recall
- 12 him saying that the information was inadequate. But I
- 13 think he was probably covering himself in the event
- 14 that some information hadn't been provided to him
- 15 which -- which would have materially affected the
- 16 report. I think he was just covering himself.
- 17 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Did the
- 18 consultant have a copy of the January 31st -- I'm
- 19 sorry, July 31st, 2012, undertaking memo signed by
- 20 PowerStream, Collus, and the Town?
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: This is the side
- 22 letter?
- 23 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: The side --
- 24 call it the side letter. Fine, the side letter.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. So -- so, no,

- 1 he didn't have that, notwithstanding the fact that I
- 2 think he talked to twenty-six (26) people. And he
- 3 talked to people who would have known that such a
- 4 letter existed, but nobody told him.
- 5 So, that included Mr. Houghton, Mr.
- 6 Bentz, Mr. Nolan, Mr. McFadden, all of the Collus
- 7 Solutions staff who met with the consultant. I was
- 8 not at the consultant meetings. The consultant was
- 9 given full reign to talk to anybody he wants and to
- 10 get whatever information.
- 11 He talked to all those people. And
- 12 nobody -- nobody pointed the fact out that he seemed
- 13 to reviewing an out-of-date agreement, an agreement
- 14 that was amended on the 31st of July.
- And then, when we proceeded further
- 16 with these reports and we ended up with a
- 17 recommendation to cancel the agreement, then all of
- 18 the sudden this July 31st letter appears. And that
- 19 threw us into the whole concern about this \$1.7
- 20 million potential penalty.
- It would have been good if the
- 22 consultant had have provi -- had -- had have been
- 23 provided with this July 31st, 2012, memo. I myself
- 24 did not know of its existence. It wasn't in the
- 25 closing book. It was nowhere.

Transcript Date June 26, 2019 187 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Oh, there 1 2 was no closing book. Are you --3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, there was a closing book. 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: But you --6 MR. JOHN BROWN: We didn't get it. MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: You took some time getting the closing book? 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: We didn't get it. I 10 had to ask for it. 11 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Now -now, there's -- I'm going to take a ten (10) minute 13 break. Is this a convenient time or did you --14 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm content, 15 yes. 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All 17 right. 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you,

19 Your Honour.

20

- 21 --- Upon recessing at 2:42 p.m.
- 22 --- Upon recessing at 2:52 p.m.

- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH:
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. Brown,

- 1 we were dealing with the Beacon 2020 report. Do --
- 2 and again, it's designed to get to the root of the
- 3 shared services issue, I take it?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)
- 5 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 6 Do you know how much time the consultant spent
- 7 interviewing staff of Collus PowerStream in order to
- 8 come to a conclusion about shared services?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. No constrains
- 10 were imposed on the consultant. He could talk to
- 11 whoever he wanted for how long he needed to.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm advised
- 13 that it was an hour that they -- something less than
- 14 an hour they spent with staff? Are you able to verify
- 15 that?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. You have to
- 17 check with the consultant. I don't know.
- 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Suffice it
- 19 to say that the report -- the Beacon report -- I think
- 20 you acknowledge it -- caused significant ruffled
- 21 feathers and consternation amongst the -- amongst the
- 22 stakeholders who would be affected by this particular
- 23 report?
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: So -- so the report
- 25 had a terms of reference that was approved by Council.

- 1 The report was undertaken by the CPUSB and the Town
- 2 together. We had joint project leaders, and it may
- 3 very well have caused concern. But it was Council's
- 4 direction and the support of the CPUSB that the report
- 5 be undertaken.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It caused a
- 7 lot of concern amongst the parties affected by the
- 8 report. Fair to say?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, yeah. I know
- 10 it caused concern, yeah.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm looking
- 12 at the comments made by the -- along with public
- 13 utilities people. And if we could turn for a moment
- 14 to -- oh, I'm not sure I have reference for the
- 15 report, a document reference. I can read from it if
- 16 that's of any assistance.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We'll
- 18 try to find it.
- 19 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: All right.
- 20 Thank you. Thank you. Collingwood Public Utility
- 21 Board comments to the service agreement review,
- 22 presented to Council on January 15th, 2015.
- MR. JOHN MATHER: EHH118.1
- 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you.
- 25 And I think I'm looking at page -- what appears to be

190 page 1 of that report in the second paragraph. 2 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: How does it begin, Mr. Chenoweth? 7 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: I'm sorry, Your Honour? 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: How 10 does it begin? 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: It begins --12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: "Firstly"? 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: 14 15 "firstly." Yes. 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yes. 17 Okay. 18 19 CONTINUED BY MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: 20 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And the second sentence of that -- and this is from the Public 21 22 Utility Services Board who would have been clearly 23 affected by this report: 24 "The Board is of the opinion that 2.5 the consultant may have either

191 misunderstood the original purpose 1 2 of the study or may have been misdirected. The consultant's 3 report appears to contain numerous inaccuracies or unsupported 5 6 conclusions. Regrettably, the report was made public before these flaws were addressed." Is that your understanding of the -- of 9 the thoughts of the CPUSB with respect to this matter? 10 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. I think I've 12 already explained that to Mr. Firman. 13 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Thank you. 14 So Mr. Firman who was one of the people who assisted 15 in the preparation of the terms of reference in this 16 report --17 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 18 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: 19 Mr. Firman was pretty hot about the results of the report. Fair to say? 20 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, yes. He -- he 22 criticized the consultant at the podium. Yeah, 23 indeed. 24 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: He wasn't 25 alone -- Mr. Firman was what? He was the -- he ran

- 1 the --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 3 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: -- that
- 4 organization?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: He -- he was the top
- 6 person. But -- but as I explained earlier, it was
- 7 Mr. Firman's responsibility to read the report and get
- 8 whatever information that was available in water and
- 9 wastewater and advise the consultant of anything that
- 10 was wrong before it was released. Mr. Firman did not
- 11 do that.
- 12 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Mr. Firman
- 13 was not alone in his view of this report. It was a
- 14 view -- we had 13 pages of a criticism of the report
- 15 from Collus PowerStream and 27 pages from
- 16 Mr. Chadwick. There was a number of others that were
- 17 unhappy with the factual inaccuracies of the report
- 18 and the conclusions reached. Is that fair?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I think
- 20 Mr. Firman -- if he believed it was inaccurate should
- 21 have told the consultants before they presented the
- 22 report publicly at Council.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Isn't it
- 24 fair to say, sir, that a number of the other reports,
- 25 which you commissioned on these matters, met a similar

- 1 fate -- that the case of the Borden Ladner Gervais
- 2 report of Mr. Roger was heavily criticized by those
- 3 that might be affected by the report and the
- 4 participants?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. And I think I
- 6 explained earlier on when you affect the
- 7 organizational change, there's a very strong
- 8 resistance to anybody changing anything. And I guess
- 9 that's a process which is difficult in organization
- 10 renewal but necessary nonetheless.
- 11 So it does not surprise me that the
- 12 people who were impacted by it might be concerned
- 13 about it and criticize it. That is quite usual when
- 14 you've got significant and fundamental organizational
- 15 change happening.
- MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: They're
- 17 complaining about factual inaccuracies and matters of
- 18 that nature -- 50 pages of those kind of concerns out
- 19 of which the consultant in the Beacon report took
- 20 24 words.
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right. And that's
- 22 the focus, I would suggest, on the direction -- the
- 23 recommendations -- the consultant was making for
- 24 change.
- 25 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Isn't it

- 1 true that a similar fate was met by the BNA report
- 2 with respect to the Town's water and wastewater
- 3 operations. It was highly criticized as well.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes indeed. By the
- 5 same people, the people who were affected by it.
- 6 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: And they
- 7 were concerned about -- about factual inaccuracies,
- 8 misguided conclusions?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I guess they
- 10 had concerns.
- 11 MR. FREDERICK CHENOWETH: Your Honour,
- 12 those are my questions of this witness. Thank you.
- 13 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 14 you, Mr. Chenoweth. Mr. Marron, have you decided
- 15 whether you've got any questions?
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: I have, and I
- 17 appreciate Your Honour's indulgence, and I have no
- 18 questions.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Thank
- 20 you. Ms. Bain...?
- 21
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Good afternoon,
- 24 Mr. Brown. My name is Belinda Bain. I'm one of the
- 25 lawyers for PowerStream.

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Perfect.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: We've been speaking
- 3 about the Beacon report, which was commissioned, as I
- 4 understand it, in 2014. And you've indicated to us
- 5 that at the time that the Beacon report was underway,
- 6 you had been provided with a copy of the 2003 Shared
- 7 Services Agreement, correct?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I think I got
- 9 the 2003 Shared Services Agreement before that. I --
- 10 I'm pretty sure it was before that.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And you had
- 12 indicated that prior to Beacon completing its report,
- 13 you had actually sought legal advice as to the status
- 14 of the 2003 Shared Services Agreement.
- 15 Did I hear you right?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. Because --
- 17 because we discover -- it was provided to us in, I
- 18 think, 2000 -- was it '13? I can't remember.
- 19 Anyways, it was provided to us. It was
- 20 dated 2003. I think the term was stated at 2006. So
- 21 the question is -- was, is this still current or not?
- 22 And I asked Leo Longo -- and you've
- 23 probably seen his advice -- that it was a roll-on
- 24 agreement, and it was current. And I think that
- 25 conclusion was reflected in Miller Thomson's judgment

- 1 on the currency of the agreement as well.
- So -- so the agreement was current even
- 3 though Council had never seen it.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so you went to
- 5 Aird & Berlis in or around 2013/2014 to seek an
- 6 opinion on the currency of the 2003 Shared Services
- 7 Agreement. Is that right?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: I went to Leo Longo,
- 9 yeah. He's in Aird & Berlis.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And did you
- 11 ask specifically about what impact, if any, the share
- 12 transaction had on the Shared Services Agreement?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Ask Leo Longo that?
- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Or anyone at Aird &
- 15 Berlis?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: I asked Leo Longo
- 17 that?
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Correct.
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. 'Cause Leo Longo
- 20 said he wasn't the Town's lawyer. So all I asked him
- 21 was, can you advise as to whether this agreement is
- 22 current or not? And he provided that advice.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: In speaking with
- 24 Leo Longo, if you had wanted to know what the current
- 25 situation with respect to shared services was

- 1 post-transaction, would it not have been logical to
- 2 you to ask the question, how were the shared services
- 3 dealt with in the context of the transaction selling
- 4 50 percent of the utility?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, it -- I thought
- 6 the share -- I didn't see how the shared services were
- 7 dealt with, other than it said that we were going to
- 8 update it, and it has to be market-based. And so
- 9 there was a whole -- there's a whole requirement to
- 10 update the Shared Services Agreement, make it
- 11 market-based, and exactly what we thought the 2000
- 12 agreement was, but it wasn't.
- 13 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so in the
- 14 context of working with Beacon to assess the shared
- 15 services, did it not occur to you to ask the question
- 16 how the Shared Services Agreement had been dealt with
- 17 in the context of the transaction in 2012?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: It might have. It
- 19 didn't. It didn't.
- 20 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you'd indicated
- 21 before that the July 31st, 2012 letter agreement was
- 22 not in the closing book. Was that your evidence?
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. That's my
- 24 recollection that it wasn't included in the closing
- 25 book. I could be wrong, but that's my recollection.

198 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Can we pull up, please, ALE4323. 3 (BRIEF PAUSE) 5 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So this is the index to the closing book of the purchase of shares of Collingwood Utility Services Corp. by PowerStream Inc. from the corporation of the Town of Collingwood. this is the index to the closing book in connection 10 11 with the transaction. Do you see that? 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. 13 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. 14 scroll down, please, to tab 29. 15 16 (BRIEF PAUSE) 17 18 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So you'll see there that tab 29 is the side letter between the purchaser, the vendor, the corporation, Collus Solutions and Energy, re-amendment of or entry into service 21 22 agreements. Do you see that? 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE) 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So that is the July 31st, 2012 side agreement, correct?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. That's what it
- 2 says.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And it is in
- 4 the closing book, correct?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. Well, if it
- 6 says it's in the closing book, then that's fine. I
- 7 haven't got the closing book, and I can't look it up.
- 8 But it says it's in it, and I take your word for it.
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And so that
- 10 was a document that was considered and formed part of
- 11 the underlying transaction, correct?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So, if you had
- 14 asked the question when you were speaking to Aird &
- 15 Berlis as Beacon is doing its analysis as to how the
- 16 shared services had been dealt with in the
- 17 transaction, you would then have been alerted to the
- 18 existence of the July 31st, 2012, letter agreement,
- 19 correct?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I might have
- 21 been. But by the same token, I would imagine that
- 22 everybody who was aware of this letter would, when
- 23 they were being interviewed by Beacon 22, correct
- 24 their misunderstanding that the 2000 agreement wasn't
- 25 measured by this document.

- So, yeah, I guess you're right. But I
- 2 -- I would have thought that that oversight could have
- 3 easily been corrected by all of the people who knew of
- 4 its existence and the fact that it was in the closing
- 5 book.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that's another
- 7 thing I wanted to talk to you about, was who was
- 8 interviewed in connection with the Beacon report.
- 9 Maybe we can turn up the Beacon report. It's
- 10 CPS7337 1.

11

12 (BRIEF PAUSE)

13

- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: If we can scroll
- 15 down, please, to page 49. Oh, sorry, that's not
- 16 right. I'm looking for the appendix.
- 17 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO:
- 18 There's appendix 3 on the screen now.
- 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. If you could
- 20 scroll down a little farther, please, just stopping
- 21 there.

- 23 CONTINUED BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So, on page 49 here
- 25 we have:

	201
1	"Structured interviews were
2	conducted with a total of twenty-two
3	(22) individuals to capture the
4	views of both service providers and
5	service recipient groups.
6	Interviewees included Town
7	councillors current and former,
8	Collingwood Public Utility Service
9	Board members current and former,
10	managers and staff Collus
11	PowerStream Solutions Corp. service
12	providers, managers and staff
13	Collingwood Public Utilities service
14	clients, managers and staff Town of
15	Collingwood service clients."
16	You'll agree with me that the there
17	were no Board members of Collus PowerStream
18	interviewed by Beacon, were there?
19	MR. JOHN BROWN: I I can't confirm
20	that. I don't know who was interviewed. They had
21	free reign to interview any and everybody. And I
22	think Marcus developed a list of all of the people
23	they might want to contact and but they were given
24	free reign to contact whoever they wanted.
25	MS. BELINDA BAIN: That's interesting.
1	

- 1 So, you don't know who they interviewed?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. So, when you
- 4 said earlier that they interviewed Brian Bentz and
- 5 Dennis Nolan, you didn't know that, did you?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think I learned
- 7 that after the fact, that they talked to Brian Bentz
- 8 and Dennis Nolan.
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Sorry,
- 10 you've just told me that you don't know who they
- 11 interviewed?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I don't know who
- 13 they interviewed.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay.
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't know then.
- 16 But, yeah, perhaps -- perhaps you're correcting me.
- 17 Perhaps, yeah, they didn't interview Brian Bentz or
- 18 Dennis Nolan, but my understanding was that they did.
- 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So, you're saying
- 20 perhaps --
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, I -- I know what
- 22 I'm --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: -- perhaps that's
- 24 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, perhaps it's

- 1 correct.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Where -- where did
- 3 you generate the -- that understanding?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I -- I -- in
- 5 talking to Rink (phonetic), I think his name was, the
- 6 gentleman who did the interviews. I think my
- 7 understanding was that he talked to all of the
- 8 significant, you know, players in the -- in the --
- 9 related to this study.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. You're not
- 11 sure about that?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I'm not sure.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And certainly,
- 14 you'll agree with me that the report, when it sets out
- 15 who they interviewed, does not mention anyone at
- 16 PowerStream and does not mention any members of the
- 17 Board of Collus PowerStream, correct?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, it doesn't. I
- 19 don't think it was made public. I don't think it was
- 20 made public who was interviewed.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You don't think
- 22 what was made public?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: The list of people
- 24 who were interviewed.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Well, certainly,

- 1 it's set out here in the report, you'll agree with me?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: The names of the
- 3 individuals.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. You'll agree
- 5 with me that Brian Bentz and Dennis Nolan are neither
- 6 Town councillors, Collingwood Public Utility Services
- 7 Board members, managers and staff of Collus
- 8 PowerStream Solutions, managers and staff of
- 9 Collingwood Public Utilities, or managers or staff of
- 10 the Town of Collingwood?
- 11 They don't fit in any of those buckets,
- 12 do they?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: They don't. But I
- 14 can't tell you for sure that they weren't talked to.
- 15 You'd have to check that with the consultant.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Well, that's what
- 17 I'm getting at. Thank you very much, sir.
- 18
- 19 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 20
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Could we pull up,
- 22 please, ALE50230?
- 23
- 24 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 25

1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So, Mr. Mather took

- 2 you to this letter before. This is the correspondence
- 3 by which Mr. Bentz provided you with a copy of the
- 4 July 31st, 2012, letter agreement, correct?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And it suggests
- 7 that Dan Horchik and Mr. Bentz meet with you and
- 8 Deputy Mayor Saunderson to move forward with the
- 9 shared services agreement, correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you did meet
- 12 with Mr. Bentz and Mr. Horchik, correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, I met with Mr.
- 14 Bentz many times. I met with the group a couple of
- 15 times. It was just too unwieldy getting people
- 16 together. We couldn't move fast.
- 17 So, Mr. Bentz and I met and I reported
- 18 back to the -- the other people in the group --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And you've
- 20 described that Mr. Bentz was -- was helpful and
- 21 cooperative in moving forward with that issue,
- 22 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. He's extremely
- 24 professional, very good to deal with.
- 2.5

206 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Could we go now, please, to ALE50228? 5 6 (BRIEF PAUSE) MS. BELINDA BAIN: This is an email from Cindy Shuttleworth to yourself dated May 12th, 10 2015, in which she states: 11 "Mr. Brown, the following 12 information has been gathered in 13 regards to the sale of 50 percent of 14 Collus by the Town of Collingwood to 15 PowerStream. It is awaiting pickup 16 at our front desk or links have been 17 provided below." 18 Did you receive that email? 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: I have no reason to 20 doubt I didn't. MS. BELINDA BAIN: And did you attend 21 22 to pick up the documents that had been prepared for 23 you? 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think they were 25 picked up by a bylaw officer.

207 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And provided to 2 you? 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not a hundred 4 percent sure. 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You have no reason 6 to doubt it? 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. MS. BELINDA BAIN: Can we go now, 9 please, to ALE50224? 10 11 (BRIEF PAUSE) 12 13 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And if we could 14 scroll down to the bottom first, please. Oh, that's 15 all there is. Could we go instead to 50223, please? 16 17 (BRIEF PAUSE) 18 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And scroll down on 20 that to the bottom. 21 22 (BRIEF PAUSE) 23 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Up a little 25 further, please.

Transcript Date June 26, 2019 208 1 (BRIEF PAUSE) 2 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, there we go. Can we just look at the top of this email in terms of date and time? Thank you. So, we're looking at an email from Pam Hogg to yourself and Sara Almas dated 7 May 28th, 2015? 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm. 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: The subject is: 10 "Town records related to the sale of shares to PowerStream." 11 12 Do you recognize this email? 13 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. 14 15 (BRIEF PAUSE) 16 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: Can I make a comment 18 on the email? 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You can make a 20 comment. 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. So, it says: 22 "We've once again gone through our 23 files and believe we have provided 24 all the information that you've

requested.

2.5

- 1 You've specifically asked about
- 2 advice and decisions made by the
- 3 steering committee relating to the
- 4 establishment of a specific number
- of shares which was decided should
- 6 be sold... KPMG recommended a sale
- of shares up to 50 percent."
- I'm not sure that I have seen any
- 9 documentation that underscores the correctness of that
- 10 statement.
- 11 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And that's not what
- 12 I wanted to ask you about, but thank you for bringing
- 13 that to our attention. If we could -- do you recall
- 14 receiving this email?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I think I do, yeah.
- 16 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Can we
- 17 scroll down, please? So, in it, Ms. Hogg is providing
- 18 you with information that you have requested of her,
- 19 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And she's -- in
- 22 doing so, she sets out key dates which I understand to
- 23 be key dates leading to the transaction, correct, and
- 24 then also setting out meetings which occurred and
- 25 providing notes with respect to the documentation in

- 1 connection with each of those events/meetings,
- 2 correct?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And amongst
- 5 the meetings are the meetings of the Strategic
- 6 Partnership Task Team. Do you see that?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE
- 8 RESPONSE).
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: As an example,
- 10 August 3rd, 2011, was the first meeting of the task
- 11 team. Do you see that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And beside that,
- 14 she said:
- "Meeting was held to review process.
- 16 Minutes have been provided to you."
- MR. JOHN BROWN: M-hm.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Do you see that?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, she had provided
- 20 you with the minutes from the August 3rd, 2011, task
- 21 team meeting?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Obviously.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And with
- 24 respect to August 29th, 2011, you'll see:
- 25 "Second meeting with Strategic

- 1 Partnership Task Team."
- Then further note is:
- "Meeting was held to review process.
- 4 Minutes have been provided to you."
- 5 So, similarly, you had those minutes,
- 6 correct?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Looks like it, yeah.
- 8 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. Similarly,
- 9 September 28th, 2011, third meeting of strategic
- 10 partnership task team, and she advises you at the end
- 11 of the further note, "no minutes were taken", so you
- 12 had the information there were no minutes from that
- 13 meeting, correct? Okay.
- 14 Can we scroll down to just the rest of
- 15 the task team meetings? So November 23rd, fourth
- 16 meeting of task team, she advises in her notes "no
- 17 minutes were taken", so you were aware at that point
- 18 there were no minutes from the November 23rd meeting,
- 19 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, and then
- 22 finally November 28th, fifth meeting of strategic
- 23 partnership task team, again, "no minutes were taken",
- 24 do you see that? Okay.
- 25 Can we scroll up now, please? So the

- 1 email above it, it doesn't have a header on it, but
- 2 this appears to be a response from you back to Pam
- 3 that same day. And I say that same day, because if we
- 4 scroll up, you'll see that Pam has forwarded on to
- 5 Viney Metha on May 28th.
- Do you see that? Yes?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 8 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so do you agree
- 9 with me then, if we go back to the body of what
- 10 appears to -- that is your response back to Pam that
- 11 same day. Is that right?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, but I -- I
- 13 haven't seen this in a long time, so.
- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, take your
- 15 time.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. So that was
- 18 your response to Pam that same day?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Obviously.
- 20 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, coming after
- 21 she had sent you that email with the chart setting out
- 22 all the information, correct? Yes?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And so you
- 25 see item number 2 down in your response:

213 "Were minutes taken of the steering 1 2 committee meetings, and if so, can I 3 have copies of all of these." Do you see that? 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: I do. 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And you'll remember, we just looked at the email from Pam that 7 day where she very carefully sets out each of the meetings and the fact that she's either sent you the minutes already or that there weren't any minutes, 10 11 correct? 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right. Correct. 13 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Yes? 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct. 15 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So you'll agree with me that you're asking her for information that she's already provided you? 17 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right. And she 19 complained about that and I apologized about that. 20 She told me I've given you this information more than once. 21 22 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so -- but why did you ask for it again on the very same day when 24 she'd set out in the chart that she'd already provided 25 it to you?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Obviously I hadn't --
- 2 I -- if it was the very same day, obviously I hadn't
- 3 analysed it and digested it all and I've obviously
- 4 gone ahead and -- and asked for information that had
- 5 already been provided. So you're right.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So you hadn't
- 7 bothered to read her email?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I hadn't read
- 9 it. I don't know if I hadn't bothered to read it, but
- 10 at that point in time I hadn't read it, and I
- 11 shouldn't have sent an email with this content.
- So, I agree with you. I'm not arguing.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. The last
- 14 line -- so items 3 and 6 of your email, item 3 is:
- "If no minutes were taken, how were
- decisions made."
- 17 And then number 6 is:
- 18 "How were decisions made."
- 19 That's repetitive, correct?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes. But I think
- 21 just to comment on that, I think I was -- I was
- 22 searching blind for information because, as I've
- 23 explained earlier on, I had very little information to
- 24 go on, I couldn't get the story from anybody in
- 25 particular and I had to piece together lots of

- 1 requests for information to find the story out.
- 2 So -- so the point is I was looking for
- 3 information and piecing it together. But the core
- 4 question which she did not answer was who was it that
- 5 recommended that the 50 percent sale was the option
- 6 that they are going to recommend to Council and on
- 7 what basis was that recommendation made, that is
- 8 really what I was looking for and that is not included
- 9 in the information that she provided.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: To say that she
- 11 hadn't provided you information --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, it's --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: I mean, we've
- 14 looked at the fact that -- sorry.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm sorry.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: I mean, we've
- 17 looked at the fact that she had provided you
- 18 information which you hadn't bothered to look at
- 19 before responding to her.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, that's true.
- 21 And for that, I apologize, but she did not -- she did
- 22 not enlighten me how the decision was made to decide
- 23 on 50 percent, who made it, and on what basis.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: At the time Ms.
- 25 Hogg is an executive assistant at Collus PowerStream,

- 1 correct?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay, and if we
- 4 looked -- let's just look at the last line of your
- 5 email, which states:
- 6 "If not, how was Council enabled to
- 7 prepare hot the presentations?"
- I take it there's a typo in there.
- 9 "Remembering that this was an \$8
- 10 million transaction."
- 11 Do you see that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, I do.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: How do you expect
- 14 Ms. Hogg, the executive assistant at Collus
- 15 PowerStream, to be able to answer whether Council was
- 16 adequately informed at the time of the transaction?
- 17 That's not in her bailiwick, that's not in her --
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, that being the
- 19 case, did she refer it to Mr. Houghton or anybody else
- 20 who was in a position to answer if that had of been,
- 21 you know, over her head or beyond her pay grade then,
- 22 you know, I would have imagined she would've referred
- 23 it to Mr. Houghton or somebody else who could answer.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: But certainly it
- 25 wouldn't have been appropriate for someone in your

- 1 senior position to be continually asking someone in
- 2 Ms. Hogg's position for that type of information, if
- 3 you knew it was over her head?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't say I knew
- 5 it was over her head, I said I asked her the question
- 6 and I -- and I'm asking the question if it was over
- 7 her head, which is may very well have been, would she
- 8 not, having had the responsibility she had for all the
- 9 years she had them, working so closely with Mr.
- 10 Houghton and participating in all of the task force
- 11 meetings, not been able to refer it to whoever it was
- 12 who could answer it and let me know that?
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Well, I have your
- 14 answer, sir.
- Can we pull up ALE8096? And if we
- 16 could scroll down, please. Down further. I'm looking
- 17 for a July 12th email from Mr. Brown. Sorry, June.
- 18 Here we go.
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: Open the document in
- 20 native format.
- 21 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So we have on the
- 22 screen what I want, we're fine, thank you.
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: It's just the text is
- 24 cut off, so I'm trying to open it another way so you
- 25 can see the full text.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Oh, thanks John.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: It's
- 3 now a blank screen.

- 5 CONTINUED BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So just up a tiny
- 7 bit so we can see that it's from Mr. Brown. Thank
- 8 you.
- 9 So this is a June 12th, 2015 email from
- 10 yourself to Pam Hogg. Do you see that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I do.
- 12 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And it says:
- "Hi Pam, can you please provide me
- 14 with a list of the members of the
- 15 committee which advised Council with
- 16 regard to its share sale of
- 17 PowerStream and the Council report
- 18 recommendation approved motion of
- 19 Council which authorized them to
- 20 undertake this responsibility."
- Do you see that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I do.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So on June 12th,
- 24 2015, you're asking Ms. Hogg to tell you who the
- 25 members of the task team were and to provide you with

- 1 the staff report and the bylaw authorizing the
- 2 transaction, is that right?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, any information
- 4 I could get to help me try and understand the -- the
- 5 transaction, how it happened.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And -- and
- 7 we've seen before that you had already received and
- 8 you agreed that in May you had already received the
- 9 minutes from the -- all of the task team meetings for
- 10 which minutes were available, correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Can we pull up,
- 13 please, CPS2342?
- 14 You'll see that these are -- no, these
- 15 aren't the minutes, actually. Can we pull up the --
- 16 the minutes from the August 3rd, 2011 task team
- 17 meeting? Or are these? These are. Okay.
- 18 So these are the minutes that you would
- 19 have received, sir, from the task team meeting?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: If she sent them,
- 21 yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Well, you told me
- 23 earlier that you did receive them in May, you agreed
- 24 with me?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.

- 1 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Yes. Okay.
- 2 And you'll see there at the top of the
- 3 minutes a listing of the task team, correct?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So when you
- 6 received the minutes of the task team in May, I take
- 7 it you would have reviewed them?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so you knew who
- 10 the members of the task team were, because you'd seen
- 11 the minutes?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so why were you
- 14 asking Pam who the members of the task team were in
- 15 June?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: So -- so the whole
- 17 issue of me asking for information more than once has
- 18 already been -- was raised with Pam. She pointed it
- 19 out a number of times and I conceded to the fact that
- 20 I may have -- I did ask for information more than
- 21 once, and I apologized for that, but, you know, that's
- 22 acknowledged. I mean, the point you're making was
- 23 acknowledged and that's been acknowledged for a long
- 24 time.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so you --

- 1 you're acknowledging you didn't need to continually
- 2 ask Ms. Hogg for this information that you already
- 3 had, correct?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Acknowledging the
- 5 fact that I asked a number of times for information
- 6 that she had already sent me and I apologized.
- 7 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Another thing that
- 8 you asked Ms. Hogg for in June that we just saw was a
- 9 copy of the staff report relating to the transaction,
- 10 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Is this the report
- 12 from the CAO to --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Correct.
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- recommend the
- 15 report to Council? Yes.
- 16 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You were asking Ms.
- 17 Hogg for that in June. We just saw that in the email,
- 18 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, yeah.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And the
- 21 staff report is something that's on the Town website,
- 22 correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I wasn't aware of
- 24 that.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay.

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: I was learning --
- 2 MS. BELINDA BAIN: At the time --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I was learning at the
- 4 time.
- 5 MS. BELINDA BAIN: At the time that
- 6 you're the CAO of the Town, correct?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 8 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You have access to
- 9 staff reports as CAO of the Town, don't you?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: All of the
- 11 information of the Town I was entitled to.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And in fact you
- 13 could probably get it more easily than Ms. Hogg who
- 14 doesn't work at the Town. She works at Collus-
- 15 PowerStream, correct?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: She did work at
- 17 Collus -- she does work at Collus-PowerStream.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And so why would
- 19 you be asking Ms. Hogg to send you the staff report
- 20 when you as CAO of the Town could easily get it
- 21 yourself?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I quess I asked her
- 23 for it.
- 24 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And similarly, you
- 25 in June asked Ms. Hogg for a copy of the bylaw

- 1 authorizing the Transaction. I take it that as well
- 2 as CAO of the Town, you easily could have gained
- 3 access to.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: I quess I could have
- 5 but -- you will recall, I did mention that I -- I went
- 6 to the Clerk as soon as this whole issue started to
- 7 bubble up and I asked for the file and there was no
- 8 file. There was no file relating at all to this
- 9 transaction.
- 10 So while you're saying all this
- 11 information may have been available, the fact that
- 12 there was no information at all with the Town,
- 13 according to the Clerk, then I started searching for
- 14 information that I would otherwise have expected to be
- 15 able to be provided by the Clerk in a bundle,
- 16 including all of the minutes of the task force.
- 17 You know, why were those minutes of the
- 18 task force not -- not in the Clerk's office? Why
- 19 could I not go to the Clerk and get a complete file?
- 20 Why were we not provided with a closing book? Why did
- 21 we not have any of the information one would normally
- 22 expect for an \$8 million transaction? I guess that's
- 23 the fundamental concern.
- 24 Had I had all that information where
- 25 virtually all municipalities would store it, as

- 1 accepted practice, then I wouldn't have needed to ask
- 2 anybody anything. So all of this all results from the
- 3 fact that the information about that share sale was
- 4 not stored with the Clerk, was not available to
- 5 anybody, like myself who's entitled to see it. I had
- 6 to go searching, I had to go seeking for explanations,
- 7 write unnecessary memos to a lot of people and
- 8 annoying them.
- 9 The fundamental problem here was, the
- 10 responsibility of a municipality is to keep records.
- 11 Why were those records not deposited in the Town? Why
- 12 were they kept in a company -- in a company that was
- 13 now just a joint company that we had only 50 percent
- 14 ownership in? Why were none of those documents
- 15 disclosed? Why did I have to ask at all? And I admit
- 16 I asked for them repeated times and I apologize for
- 17 that, but why was that necessary?
- 18 It was necessary because of the total
- 19 void of the history of this transaction and all of the
- 20 matters related to it, including the minutes of the
- 21 task force and its members. Why were none of those in
- 22 the Town's archives?
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: It seems to me,
- 24 based on that answer, that you were just a little
- 25 irritated that this information wasn't all in one

- 1 place and easy for you to look at.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I -- I -- well,
- 3 if I give the impression I was irritated, I guess I
- 4 was shocked. Not irritated, I was shocked than an \$8
- 5 million transaction in a municipality could have no
- 6 records whatsoever, and I had to go and look for them.
- 7 That was shocking.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: You said in your
- 9 evidence earlier today, you actually said it more than
- 10 once, that you were unaware of the regional growth
- 11 strategy underlying the Transaction.
- 12 Is that right?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 14 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Could we pull up,
- 15 please, ALE50224? Oh, sorry, .2.

16

17 (BRIEF PAUSE)

- 19 MS. BELINDA BAIN: And I think you
- 20 also said in your evidence that you weren't -- you
- 21 didn't believe that Council had been made aware of the
- 22 regional growth strategy.
- Was that your evidence?
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I think my
- 25 evidence was that I would expect, if there was a

- 1 regional growth strategy, that that wouldn't be an
- 2 independent decision of the hydro company, but rather
- 3 it would be a decision of the owner of the hydro
- 4 company, which is the municipality, governance of
- 5 which is vested in the Council.
- 6 MS. BELINDA BAIN: So if we can scroll
- 7 down, please, to -- so -- sorry, this document is a
- 8 copy of a presentation provided by Mr. Houghton to
- 9 Council.
- 10 Do you see that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: In 2011, yeah.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: In 2011. So pre-
- 13 closing, correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: yes.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. If we can
- 16 scroll down to page 2, the first bullet point there.
- 17 "A strategic partner would value the
- 18 expertise and reputation of Collus
- as well as its strategic geographic
- 20 location as the foundation for the
- 21 development of a regional electrical
- 22 utility based in Collingwood to
- 23 serve the Georgian Bay area and
- 24 beyond."
- Do you see that?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: I do.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: So there clearly
- 3 was a discussion with respect to regional growth on
- 4 October 3rd, 2011, correct?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: But was there a
- 6 Council decision in favour of that?
- 7 MS. BELINDA BAIN: My point to you,
- 8 sir, is the fact that that was discussed. This
- 9 PowerPoint was provided to you.
- 10 If we can go back to the email from Pam
- 11 Hogg dated May 28th.
- 12
- 13 (BRIEF PAUSE)
- 14
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: And it's -- I think
- 16 it's 50224.
- 17 You'll see there, sir, that on May
- 18 28th, 2015, in her email to you, this slide
- 19 presentation was one of the -- the strategic
- 20 partnership update to Council, you'll see it was
- 21 attached, correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. And so you
- 24 have received a copy of this presentation, showing
- 25 that Council had been advised of the regional growth

- 1 strategy. You knew that back in May 2015, correct?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: Is it a regional
- 3 growth strategy approved by Council?
- 4 MS. BELINDA BAIN: That's not my
- 5 question to you, sir.
- 6 So your -- your evidence earlier was
- 7 that you didn't know anything about a regional growth
- 8 strategy. You said that this morning, sir.
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, and I didn't.
- 10 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Okay. But we see
- 11 here that you had received a copy of this presentation
- 12 in May 2015.
- 13 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. Of a document
- 14 presented to Council in 2011, and I -- I obviously
- 15 missed that in the document, you know, so -- but --
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: Did you bother to
- 17 read it, sir?
- 18 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not sure that I
- 19 $\,$ read it -- that I read it all. There was material --
- 20 a lot of material on this matter and I was the CAO of
- 21 the Town. I wasn't just dedicated to this one (1)
- 22 project.
- 23 So I read as much as I could and
- 24 clearly I overstepped myself by asking for stuff more
- 25 than once. That's not an issue. But the fact -- if

- 1 there was a regional and growth strategy, in all of
- 2 the organizations I've worked for, it would be a
- 3 significant issue which would be subject to a public
- 4 presentation of Council, which would explain a
- 5 recommendation by the hydro company to embark on a
- 6 regional and growth strategy, and that would be backed
- 7 up by a consultant's report which outlined the
- 8 opportunities to do that.
- 9 I mean, would the Town have financially
- 10 been able to participate in a regional growth
- 11 strategy? What were the financial implications of the
- 12 regional growth strategy?
- A municipality is not a business, and
- 14 so I could not conceive a comment in a report from Mr.
- 15 Houghton, in the absence of any consulting advice
- 16 which documented and argued and made the case to
- 17 persuade Council to embark on such a strategy, and
- 18 particularly a fiscal impact analysis of any risks
- 19 that might be involved as a municipality without that.
- 20 So if I missed it, then I missed it
- 21 because it would not be consistent with any policy
- 22 development that I've been exposed to in my career in
- 23 such a significant -- such a position. So in the
- 24 absence of a Council decision, then I would suggest
- 25 was no regional growth strategy. Maybe an aspiration

- 1 that there might be one, but there definitely wasn't
- 2 one endorsed by the Town.
- 3 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Just before I
- 4 conclude, Mr. Brown, I wanted to ask you a few
- 5 questions about the shotgun provision in the
- 6 underlying agreements.
- 7 As I understand it, in order for the
- 8 EPCOR transaction to take place, it was actually the
- 9 Town that made use of the shotgun provision in order
- 10 to gain back 100 percent of the shares to sell to
- 11 EPCOR, correct?
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: I quess that's the
- 13 way Mr. Rodger advised.
- 14 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Well, we're
- 15 going to --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, sorry.
- 17 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: -- very quickly
- 18 stray into --
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 20 the witness has answered this question but we'll
- 21 refrain --
- 22 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Really
- 23 cautioning My Friend more than the witness.
- 24 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Yeah.
- 25 But the answer's been given.

- 1 CONTINUED BY MS. BELINDA BAIN:
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: You expressed some
- 3 concern in your evidence earlier with respect to the
- 4 issue of a 20-day notice per in the underlying
- 5 agreement concerning shotgun clause, correct?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 7 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Are you aware of
- 8 the fact that it was in fact Aird & Berlis that first
- 9 insert the 20-day notice period into the agreement?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: I have no idea how it
- 11 got there. That was one of the many questions that I
- 12 had. How did that get in there? How do we get a
- 13 right of first refusal to PowerStream? How do we sell
- 14 50 percent? Who actually was the person that
- 15 recommended, as opposed to it's kind of bubbling up
- 16 out of a meeting that notes weren't taken?
- 17 There -- that was part of my question -
- 18 my questions. And if I had had a comprehensive file
- 19 in the Town's possession with all of the documents the
- 20 Town was invited to, I wouldn't have had to ask Ms.
- 21 Hogg or Mr. Houghton anything. It all would have been
- 22 there and I would have read it, but that was not the
- 23 case.
- MS. BELINDA BAIN: You -- you
- 25 expressed earlier a frustration at not having someone

- 1 at the Town who could explain to you how the
- 2 Transaction had unfolded.
- 3 Why did you not simply call Ron Clark
- 4 at Aird & Berlis to ask him?
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Because I didn't know
- 6 Ron Clark was acting for the Town until I asked Ed who
- 7 was acting for the Town and he told me it was Leo
- 8 Longo, not Ron Clark.
- 9 MS. BELINDA BAIN: You had access to
- 10 Aird & Berlis. You knew Aird & Berlis acted on the
- 11 Transaction. If you wanted an explanation as to the
- 12 details of the Transaction, you could have contacted
- 13 Aird & Berlis, correct?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: And I could have
- 15 contacted Mr. Houghton, or anybody else who was
- 16 involved.
- 17 MS. BELINDA BAIN: Thank you. I have
- 18 no more questions.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 20 we'll take a short break. Mr. Fryer, how long do you
- 21 anticipate?
- MR. TIM FRYER: I'm not really sure.
- 23 It depends on the answers, I guess, but --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: All
- 25 right.

- 1 MR. TIM FRYER: -- certainly --
- 2 certainly an hour and a half, I would expect.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 4 we'll give -- give it a good go till -- for a while,
- 5 till around 4:30, and then we'll stop for today but
- 6 we'll take -- we'll take ten (10) now.

7

- 8 --- Upon recessing at 3:37 p.m.
- 9 --- Upon resuming at 3:48 p.m.

10

- 11 MR. TIM FRYER: Justice Marrocco, at
- 12 the risk of taxing your patience one more time, I was
- 13 speaking with Mr. Bonwick and he feels he'd be more in
- 14 the forty-five (45) minute range and he's willing to
- 15 go now.
- 16 Would that be okay with you, or do you
- 17 want me to start?
- 18 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Sure,
- 19 that's fine. Go ahead, Mr. Bonwick.
- MR. TIM FRYER: Thank you.

- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, Your
- 24 Honour.
- 25 Mr. Brown, Paul Bonwick, I'm a

- 1 participant at the Inquiry.
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: In your earlier
- 4 testimony, Mr. Brown, you indicated that you had a --
- 5 a long-standing relationship with Mr. Mascarin prior
- 6 to coming on board with the Town of Collingwood. Did
- 7 I understand you correctly?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. I said I worked
- 9 on a project with him in another municipality. So
- 10 that was a particular project.
- But other than that, I haven't had any
- 12 relationship or business dealings with him at all.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you for
- 14 clarifying that.
- 15 Could you tell the Inquiry what the
- 16 project was?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. No, I can't.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Excuse
- 20 me. Without telling me what it -- why can't you
- 21 answer the question?
- 22 MR. JOHN BROWN: I can't answer the
- 23 question because it relates to a potentially
- 24 identifiable individual and it was a -- it just was a
- 25 very sensitive matter that we handled and the records

- 1 of that were sealed.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Right,
- 3 thank you. That's fine. Go ahead, Mr. Bonwick.

- 5 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, Your
- 7 Honour.
- 8 If I could pull up or if you could pull
- 9 up document AB496, please?
- Now, Mr. Brown in your earlier
- 11 testimony you suggested that you considered this email
- 12 of a personal nature, mostly, if I understood you
- 13 correctly?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, it was sent to
- 15 me from Mr. Mascarin to my home address.
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. And your
- 17 response was to -- asking him to expunge the email
- 18 thread. But I'd like to go down through the content
- 19 of it so you can better help me and the Inquiry
- 20 understand what parts of it were of a personal nature.
- 21 Firstly, you've indicated to Mr.
- 22 Mascarin directions with regards to splitting his
- 23 bill, and you've given an explanation to the hearing
- 24 as to why that bill was to be split.
- 25 If I understood you correctly, using

- 1 your personal email address to give directions to the
- 2 Municipal lawyer, you were effectively trying to lower
- 3 the bill or the invoice amount so that the Mayor would
- 4 not raise questions with you about it.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Not quite.
- 6 So, my recollection was that Mr.
- 7 Mascarin had rolled together to separate, I guess,
- 8 areas of inquiry and we ended up with \$11,000, that's
- 9 -- that's my recollection, and that I talked to Sara
- 10 about it and so I told him or -- you know, Sara is
- 11 going to be calling you, she's going to explain the
- 12 situation, if there's a problem, let me know,
- 13 otherwise we don't want the \$11,000 bill, we'd rather
- 14 have its component parts.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right, so all we
- 16 can do is go off the email that you sent Mr. Mascarin.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sure.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And you state quite
- 19 clearly to him:
- 20 "Sara will be calling you to clarify
- 21 matters and to see if you can split
- the bill up so that we do not have a
- single bill for \$11,000, which will
- 24 make it notable and require
- 25 explanation."

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You also indicated
- 3 that the Mayor was vetting invoices, especially ones
- 4 related to legal in your earlier testimony.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't say
- 6 "vetting", she was showing interest in the backup that
- 7 came with legal bills and -- and on occasion asked me
- 8 questions about it.
- 9 And -- and so she was obviously not
- 10 just signing the cheques, but she was reading the
- 11 backup.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you agree
- 13 though that this matter is directly related to
- 14 municipal business?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Oh, absolutely, yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: If I go down the
- 17 email chain, and the one where Mr. Mascarin is sending
- 18 Mr. Brown an email, moving aside that I haven't thrown
- 19 anything out, it appears he has found a package that
- 20 you two had been discussing earlier.
- Would you agree with that?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, I believe it's
- 23 the one I just explained to His Honour.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You also state in
- 25 here that you do not recall getting any advice about

- 1 the role of the CAO and the entitlement to
- 2 information, can you please resend this to the -- to
- 3 this email address.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Now, in your
- 6 testimony this morning, you said in your 40 years or
- 7 30 year career that you only recall using your
- 8 personal email this one time. But yet, when I read
- 9 the email, you're giving direction again to the Town's
- 10 solicitor for future emails to use this email address.
- 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: That wasn't the
- 12 intent.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: It's what it says,
- 14 sir.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: That wasn't the
- 16 intent. And he didn't
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did -- during your
- 18 interviews with counsel for the Inquiry, did they ask
- 19 if you would surrender your hard drive or your laptop
- 20 during this period of time so that they could access
- 21 emails, any personal emails that might be related to
- 22 Town business?
- MR. JOHN MATHER: Your Honour, to the
- 24 extent that Mr. Brown has had any dealings with the
- 25 Inquiry, those are confidential.

1 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I -- I

- 2 think that's true, they are confidential, but I think
- 3 you can ask this question.

- 5 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So were you asked
- 7 to provide your laptop, your computer, your hard drive
- 8 to allow Inquiry counsel to determine if there was any
- 9 other emails from your personal email account on
- 10 matters related to Town business?
- 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: So the answer to that
- 12 is I wasn't asked. And I spoke the truth when I said
- 13 that I have never used my email account for any Town
- 14 business, other than this particular situation.
- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm not sure if I'm
- 16 allowed to ask this, but is that something you would
- 17 be prepared to provide the Inquiry, access to your
- 18 computer to confirm the truth you're telling us?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: I would ask you to
- 20 accept the fact that I'm telling the truth, unless you
- 21 can suggest some reason why you should doubt me.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You stated in your
- 23 earlier testimony that it was -- that at no time when
- 24 you were engaging a third party consultant did you --
- 25 and I'll just capture the wording simply because the

1 transcript won't have got it just yet, never tried to

- 2 persuade the consultants to take a particular
- 3 direction.
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: That was your
- 6 statement?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Correct.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But 11:56, ten
- 9 minutes after the fact, you said:
- "I tried to persuade him of my
- 11 position and hopefully he would
- 12 agree it was sound."
- Something to that effect.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay, so I can
- 15 explain that.
- 16 So most consultants that I've dealt
- 17 with, they require like a steering -- a person to work
- 18 with in the Town, and sometimes depending on the
- 19 project it could be a steering group, a number of
- 20 people.
- In this particular situation, it was
- 22 generally the treasurer or the clerk and myself. And
- 23 so what we do is when you hire a -- when you hire a
- 24 consultant, the consultants always want to have a
- 25 reference point in the Municipality, respecting the

- 1 areas under inquiry.
- 2 So if it was an engineering study,
- 3 they'd probably have the engineering director sit in.
- 4 And what they do is, you know, they would seek
- 5 clarification of issues and they would want to bounce
- 6 ideas off you. They would want to have you review the
- 7 report for errors and omissions or whatever. And they
- 8 would also want your feedback with respect to any
- 9 other matters that they might have missed or they
- 10 might want to take into consideration.
- 11 And that is the process, the normal
- 12 process, of doing it.
- 13 Critical in that whole process is that
- 14 the consultant can only recommend their own
- 15 professional opinion, you never ever want to try to
- 16 persuade a consultant to make -- to arrive at a
- 17 conclusion that they don't agree with or that's been
- 18 forced on them by anybody else, otherwise the whole
- 19 consulting process would break down and it would not
- 20 have credibility.
- 21 So I've participated in many of such
- 22 situations and there's always comment, you know, well,
- 23 just as in the case of Mark Rodger, you know, by
- 24 changing the format you've kind of made it look
- 25 different and then that was passed on to him and then

- 1 he would say that's a great idea, I really agree with
- 2 you and change it, or he would say you know what, I
- 3 like the way it is, thank you very much.
- 4 So the point is whatever the consultant
- 5 recommends is only the recommendation of the
- 6 consultant. No -- nobody can put their ideas or
- 7 should attempt to put their ideas into a consulting
- 8 report.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I -- I would agree
- 10 with you, Mr. Brown. But that wasn't my question.
- 11 My question was: at about 11:56 you
- 12 stated that "I tried to persuade him on your
- 13 position", that wasn't about errors or omissions or
- 14 clarifications. It was persuading him of your
- 15 opinion, that's what the record --
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, that's right,
- 17 because -- because in -- in -- when -- when you become
- 18 a senior bureaucrat you try to persuade people, you
- 19 try to persuade Council, you try to persuade the
- 20 senior management team, but you provide the
- 21 opportunity for people to contradict you, to argue
- 22 against you.
- 23 And through that process, through the
- 24 synergy of thinking and sharing ideas, then you can
- 25 test that, you know what, I thought that was a good

- 1 idea until I heard from him and her, and you know
- 2 what, now I'm going to reflect on that.
- 3 So persuading people to do things is
- 4 part of the -- part of the whole methodology of doing
- 5 reports, this is what I think and I -- these are the
- 6 reasons I think it, I'm persuading you in a position
- 7 different than yours and so long as you don't mistake
- 8 anybody's input or persuasion or update as being
- 9 anything the consultant has to do, but rather just
- 10 take under advisement.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you very much
- 12 for that answer.
- If I could bring up CJ10011182, I want
- 14 to go to the time when you were recommended or asked
- 15 to fill in as the acting CAO for the Town of
- 16 Collingwood.
- 17 I'm wondering, sir, do you know if at
- 18 the time Mr. Mascarin recommended you to Municipal
- 19 Council to fill the position of acting CAO --
- 20 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We're
- 21 trying to find --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry?
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Just
- 24 hang on. Just -- just wait one second.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: CJI0011182.

244 1 2 (BRIEF PAUSE) 3 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK: 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you give Mr. 6 Brown a moment to review this, please? 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I --MR. PAUL BONWICK: You can just 9 instruct the --10 MR. JOHN BROWN: I know all about it. 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- the court 12 reporter. So, my question, sir, is: Are you aware of whether or not Mr. Mascarin disclosed that -- with one 13 14 (1) of your previous employers, that there had been a 15 lawsuit filed, multimillion dollar, by the report? 16 Is the report accurate, first of all? 17 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I haven't read 18 it, but --19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Oh, please feel 20 free. 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I think it's 22 generally accurate. 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Generally accurate. 24 Do you know if Mr. Man -- Mascarin disclosed this to 25 municipal Council when recommending you for the

- 1 position?
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't know if Mr.
- 3 Mascarin did, but I did.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You informed
- 5 members of Council that, in fact, you had been
- 6 involved in this situation and the allegations
- 7 contained therein?
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, when I went --
- 9 just a little bit of history here. So, when I went to
- 10 -- when -- when I was asked was I interested in the
- 11 position in Brantford, which I, you know, took four
- 12 (4) -- about six (6) years, I explained to the Council
- 13 there the situation, that there was a lawsuit pending
- 14 with the city of Oshawa. And they said that it was
- 15 none of their business, it was what I did when I
- 16 worked for them that was important and it was none of
- 17 their business any history I had.
- 18 When I came here, Maryanne Nero, which
- 19 was the HR person, she checked my references and she
- 20 talked to the -- the mayor of Oshawa during this whole
- 21 process. And the mayor of Oshawa advised her of the
- 22 situation.
- 23 My understanding is she advised the
- 24 Council because when I was at the interview it came up
- 25 and I acknowledged it. I explained it to this new

- 1 Council in an in camera meeting. And I'm very happy
- 2 for the fourth time to explain it to you.
- 3 So, if you have any further questions,
- 4 I'd be more than happy to answer them.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: It was more about
- 6 disclosure, sir. Could I call up CJI0011189?

7

8 (BRIEF PAUSE)

9

- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: No. I must have
- 11 got the wrong number there, sorry.

12

13 (BRIEF PAUSE)

14

- 15 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I couldn't read
- 16 that. It was the article from the Simcoe.com dated
- 17 April 27th, 2017. The heading was, "Legal standoff,
- 18 Collingwood mayor and CAO."
- 19 MR. JOHN MATHER: The dock ID is
- 20 CJI11188.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I must have misread
- 22 it.

- 24 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Would you like to

- 1 take a moment and review this article, Mr. Brown?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. But before I
- 3 do that, could I -- could I just comment on the other
- 4 one (1) in Oshawa, just explain to you what the
- 5 situation was? You don't care?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Not --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 8 I -- I don't think -- I don't think you were asked --
- 9 the question was whether you disclosed it, the -- the
- 10 fact of the -- and you -- you've answered that.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. Okay.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I
- 13 don't think you were asked about the details of it,
- 14 so.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 16 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: That -
- 17 there -- there's no question to answer.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry. Please feel
- 22 free to take your time and... Sorry, stop there for
- 23 the...
- 24
- 25 (BRIEF PAUSE)

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And what was this

- 2 situation in relationship to?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: This one (1) here?
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Yes.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: It was in relation to
- 6 the hospital. So, what happened was that there was a
- 7 whole controversy to do with the hospital. And there
- 8 was a meeting with the mayor, myself, Sara Almas, and
- 9 our -- our PR per -- our communications person.
- 10 And it was agreed by everybody,
- 11 including the mayor, that the comments made by the
- 12 hospital were wrong and that -- inappropriate and that
- 13 they should be -- we should ask for them to be
- 14 withdrawn, and it was on their website.
- The details I don't recall, but it was
- 16 a post on their website that everybody, including the
- 17 mayor said was wrong. And so, the mayor agreed. We
- 18 asked her did she agree. She said, yes, she did.
- 19 And the communications person was
- 20 instructed to go and prepare, I guess, a letter or an
- 21 email or a press release, whatever it was, on behalf
- 22 of the mayor. And that press release went out.
- 23 And what it said was that it was unfair
- 24 and should be removed or whatever, just as we had
- 25 discussed, and the mayor agreed. And the mayor signed

- 1 it. She didn't ask for any changes. She signed it.
- 2 And then there was a Council meeting on
- 3 the following Monday. And, you know, the mayor didn't
- 4 say anything at the Council meeting on the Monday, but
- 5 I think she gave interviews to the press -- or the
- 6 radio station Wednesdays. I can't recall.
- 7 So, on the Wednesday I went into work.
- 8 And the clerk came to me with the communications
- 9 person and said that the communications person was
- 10 distraught because the mayor had said that -- on the
- 11 radio that she disagreed with it and more or less that
- 12 she had been kind of pressured into signing it.
- 13 And then what happened then was that
- 14 the -- there was a discussion as to what response, if
- 15 any, that might be taken. And, I guess, as recall, a
- $16\,$ draft was sent to the mayor under the -- under the --
- 17 I've forgotten what the legislation is.
- Anyway, a draft was sent to the mayor
- 19 expressing concern and asking her to -- to change the
- 20 position because she agreed to it. She said she
- 21 didn't agree to it. And the staff person who wrote it
- 22 felt that she had been isolated and kind of thrown
- 23 under the bus.
- 24 So, as a result of further discussions
- 25 with the mayor, she said she, I guess, wasn't going to

- 1 do anything about it. And that is the genesis of this
- 2 disagreement. And the -- the newspaper person set it
- 3 up and this is how it turned out.
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So, out of that,
- 5 I'd like to touch on two (2) points. One (1) is, in
- 6 answer to my question, this was in relationship to the
- 7 hospital?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so, clearly, by
- 10 way of your explanation, the relationship between the
- 11 Town of Collingwood and the hospital had got into a
- 12 very -- how would I say -- the word gets bounced
- 13 around in here a bit -- dysfunctional by virtue of the
- 14 fact that these kinds of tactics were being used?
- 15 MR. JOHN BROWN: I don't think it was
- 16 dysfunctional, I would call it. There were competing
- 17 interests with respect to the Town's interest and
- 18 certain people, you know, who were affiliated in some
- 19 way with the hospital decision.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay.
- 21 MR. JOHN BROWN: Municipal government.
- 22 And we deal with conflicts and differences of opinion
- 23 constant. It's almost our food, you know, that's what
- 24 we live on.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right.

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: People -- all kinds
- 2 of things that were posed and all kinds of people are
- 3 entitled to comment and all kinds of comments are
- 4 made, just like all these reports we talked about
- 5 earlier on. That is part of what municipalities do,
- 6 and that was the case for the hospital. That was the
- 7 case for the airport which you were also involved in.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You will -- you
- 9 will understand, of course, that the -- and you may
- 10 not understand, of course, that the Council and the
- 11 municipality had enjoyed a mini decade positive
- 12 constructive relationship with the hospital.
- And my point is, at this point in time,
- 14 it had evolved to the point where accusations were
- 15 being made on both sides?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: I quess there were
- 17 significant differences of opinion. I -- I don't like
- 18 to colour it up in terms of, you know --
- 19 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm reading this
- 20 here. Are --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: -- opposing -- well,
- 22 didn't write it. Opposing people, parties, you know.
- 23 So, there was a significant difference of opinion.
- 24 And who was right are wrong is arguable, and it's
- 25 judgmental.

But, in my opinion, the hospital took

- 2 the position that was appro -- the Town took the
- 3 position -- and don't forget it was Council. It
- 4 wasn't any individual in Council. It was Council took
- 5 the position, and it maintained that position.
- And now there's election. There is no
- 7 issue related to the hospital. The ho -- the issue
- 8 has gone away.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. I believe
- 10 the municipality changed its position. But
- 11 irrespective of -- irrespective of that, the second
- 12 point I wanted to touch on was -- and I'm sensitive to
- 13 the fact that the mayor's already testifies, and so
- 14 I'll be very cautious with my words.
- 15 But Mr. Brown has alluded to the fact
- 16 that this is municipal politics or government, and so
- 17 you -- you see these shifts within the community. It
- 18 appears by virtue of this -- this article that, for
- 19 whatever reasons, the mayor changed her position and
- 20 retracted the letter.
- 21 That's what it appears to be?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I -- I'm not sure
- 23 about that.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm not going to
- 25 judge why.

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I'm -- I'm not
- 2 sure the mayor did change her position. I think she
- 3 kept the pos -- she did not -- she changed her
- 4 position from what she instructed the communicators
- 5 person -- person to issue.
- And she didn't tell anybody. She
- 7 didn't say anything at the Council meeting on Monday.
- 8 But on Wednesday when she went to her radio interview,
- 9 she said she didn't agree with it, or she changed her
- 10 mind, or it was --
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 12 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- it was kind of put
- 13 in front of her, and she didn't understand -- that
- 14 sort of a response. So it -- it was left that way.
- 15 And the staff were extremely upset, and
- 16 they came to see me. I was unaware of it. The staff
- 17 person who wrote -- and it was an interim staff person
- 18 as well, and she was very upset. And they -- and they
- 19 looked for me to guidance, and I said, well, we have
- 20 to address this situation.
- Otherwise, you know, you have to be
- 22 credible with your staff --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right.
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- and support them.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I would agree. You

- 1 have to be -- you have to be credible with staff and
- 2 with the elected officials.
- 3 My point on this is, as you've just
- 4 confirmed, for whatever reasons, there seemed to be a
- 5 change in position from --
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: The mayor's position.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: That's what I just
- 8 said, sir. But in -- if you scroll up the article --
- 9 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, Your Honour,
- 10 just --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry.
- 12 MR. GEORGE MARRON: -- just may I
- 13 interject? I don't know where this is going, and I've
- 14 got some problem with the relevance of it.
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: I'm
- 16 not -- the point I took from it, and I'm not sure if
- 17 there's any need to go on any further -- was that
- 18 there had been a happy relationship between the two,
- 19 and at this particular moment, there was controversy.
- 20 And I suppose implicit in the assumption is that
- 21 something changed, and that's what caused the
- 22 controversy.
- 23 As far as the mayor and the mayor
- 24 changing her position and so on, I fail to follow that
- 25 as being helpful to me at all, and I agree with you.

```
1 MR. GEORGE MARRON: Well, as I say,
```

- 2 I've let the questions go and interject at this point.
- 3 I just don't see where --
- 4 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Perhaps I could
- 5 explain.
- 6 MR. GEORGE MARRON: -- what's being
- 7 achieved by a continued examination in this area.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 9 you get some sensitivity, Mr. Bonwick, because
- 10 Mr. Marron's representing the mayor, and your
- 11 questions are involving the mayor.
- But in any event, what's the --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: The --
- 14 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: -- is
- 15 there some further relevance to this?
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I think, Sir, what
- 17 I'm trying to demonstrate is a pattern of history
- 18 here. When I read the first article -- and Mr. Brown
- 19 has confirmed its accuracy -- the chief administration
- 20 officer along with the mayor have retained lawyers,
- 21 based on accusations of bullying and violations of the
- 22 Town code, and simply to set yet another example
- 23 that's separate and aside from this particular matter.
- 24 But again, it demonstrated that, I
- 25 believed, there's a pattern of behaviour in terms of

- 1 lawyering up, so to speak, on any matter that's not
- 2 satisfactory.
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 4 I think that point's been -- that submission, if you
- 5 like, or suggestion has been -- is clear. I think
- 6 where the sensitivity is coming from is that you're
- 7 involving --
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: No.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 10 Mr. Marron's client and the details of this dispute.
- 11 And his position is -- and I agree with him -- the
- 12 details of this dispute are not pertinent.
- 13 The fact that there was one -- and as
- 14 you outlined -- is a little different point, and that
- 15 point I think's been made.
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. I'll
- 17 move on.
- MR. GEORGE MARRON: Thank you.
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Now, as I mentioned
- 22 in my opening comments this morning, I was a little
- 23 caught off quard with the updated testimony, so I
- 24 tried to incorporate this in mine. But --
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,

- 1 you appreciate that there was --
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I --
- THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 4 Mr. Chenoweth and others -- I don't want to drag
- 5 Mr. Chenoweth in this -- but there was a request for
- 6 an amplification of the statements that was originally
- 7 provided and --
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right.
- 9 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --
- 10 that's what happened.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: I understand that.
- 12 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Was
- 13 there -- okay.
- 14
- 15 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: What the court book
- 17 has demonstrated to date, as well as your testimony,
- 18 is there has been a significant number of lawyers,
- 19 accountants, and consultants hired -- third-party
- 20 lawyers, accountants, and consultants hired during
- 21 your --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- during your
- 24 period as acting interim CAO -- interim.
- 25 So my understanding -- if I understood

- 1 this correctly this morning -- you hired -- is it
- 2 Beacon 2020 and True North Consultants?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. They were a --
- 4 they were two (2) different people together doing this
- 5 assignment.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. Did you
- 7 conduct an RFP or go through a public process to hire
- 8 these folks?
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah, I believe we
- 10 did. I believe we did. We -- I think we checked with
- 11 the purchasing agent or the purchasing policy. And we
- 12 had to identify three (3) people.
- So I'm not -- I'm not crystal clear,
- 14 and I don't like to be definitive. But I believe we
- 15 followed the Town's process to hire those people.
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. My question
- 17 was more specific to a request for proposal. Was
- 18 there a request for proposal sent out to other
- 19 consultants?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: They were sent out to
- 21 a number of consultants that complied with the policy.
- 22 I don't have the details.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Could you, for the
- 24 benefit of the Commission, identify which other
- 25 consultants were included in the RFP process?

- 1 MR. JOHN BROWN: Off the top of my
- 2 head, no. But if you go to the clerk or you go to --
- 3 yeah, you go to the clerk. I'm sure she'll have the
- 4 records there of the companies that we interviewed,
- 5 and -- and yeah. And one of them was brought by
- 6 Marcus, and so that was the one we ended up hiring
- 7 actually.
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry. I was
- 9 taking notes. You also hired Miller Thomson lawyers
- 10 to produce a report in 2015. Is that correct?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, no, we didn't.
- 12 One of the things that I wanted to happen when I came
- 13 here -- I saw that, you know, we had the same
- 14 accountants, the same lawyers, the same whatever, the
- 15 same consultants for, you know, very long periods of
- 16 time.
- 17 And I said, well, you know, it's time
- 18 we went out to the market, and we should do RFPs just
- 19 to see that -- you know, the -- the prices we're
- 20 getting for the services going back to the Shared
- 21 Services Agreement principle that prices we're getting
- 22 are -- are current market prices and the best we can
- 23 get.
- 24 And then we went -- RFPs, we did it
- 25 for -- we did it for our auditors; we did it for our

- 1 lawyers; we did -- I forget who else we did it for.
- 2 But we generally went out to the market
- 3 to get the price. And as a result of that, we changed
- 4 lawyers. We moved -- we hired Miller Thomson as the
- 5 Town's municipal lawyers --
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But --
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- but we still had a
- 8 relationship -- a consult -- a consulting relationship
- 9 with Aird & Berlis. That was standard. But we did
- 10 hire new lawyers, Miller Thomson. They weren't hired
- 11 for this project. They were our ongoing municipal
- 12 lawyers.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, my question
- 14 was simply: Did you hire Miller Thomson? Not the
- 15 process leading up to it.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: We hired
- 17 Miller Thomson but not for that report. We hired
- 18 Miller Thomson to provide our services as opposed
- 19 to -- our -- our core services as opposed to Aird &
- 20 Berlis.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did you also hire
- 22 BMA Management Consulting Inc. in 2015?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I did, yes.
- 24 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Was
- 25 there an RFP conducted for that engagement?

```
1 MR. JOHN BROWN: So the first one --
```

- 2 the first one came within my spending authority, and
- 3 we did -- I didn't go out to do that.
- I called -- Jim Berzazi (phonetic) was
- 5 his name, and I said, this is the situation. I need a
- 6 quick overview. If we get the -- if I get the
- 7 treasurer to send our FIR, can you do some reference
- 8 point and give me some comparables? And he said yes.
- 9 It was -- it was -- I can't remember
- 10 what it was, but it was certainly quite inexpensive
- 11 and within my spending authority. So I didn't -- I
- 12 pulled out the purchasing policy for what I was
- 13 allowed to do and did it.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And what is your
- 15 spending authority, sir?
- 16 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think it was
- 17 \$25,000 at the time.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So they were
- 19 sole-sourced, based on your spending authority.
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: Absolutely. Because
- 21 they could do the job I needed done.
- 22 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Is it also true
- 23 that you hired Henley International during the 2015
- 24 year?
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: I didn't hire them.

- 1 When -- as I explained, Mr. -- yeah-- Mr. Roger, he
- 2 hired them for Council because Mr. Roger came to
- 3 Council, and we -- Council agreed that we should get a
- 4 valuation done of the -- of the company, and he did
- 5 it.
- 6 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay. So --
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: Or I mean Council
- 8 hired them, but it was through Mr. Roger that Henley's
- 9 name came up, and they went with it.
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Another -- another
- 11 consulting firm was hired and paid for by the Town of
- 12 Collingwood. Not hired by you but recommended by a
- 13 third party in a --
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Absolutely. And, as
- 15 I explained, to protect the Town's vulnerable position
- 16 as a result of the conditions in the Shareholders
- 17 Agreement.
- 18 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Was there an RFP
- 19 issued for hiring Henley International?
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: No. That would have
- 21 been through Mr. -- Mr. Roger, and it was approved by
- 22 Council.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Borden Ladner
- 24 Gervais in 2016, did you hire them?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yeah. That's

- 1 Mr. Roger's company.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay. There's a
- 3 bit of conflict here. So my understanding was you
- 4 brought them in 2016. You had brought them on before
- 5 then?
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: I was gone in 2016.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 8 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think. Was I gone?
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm --
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: I can't rem --
- 11 MR. WILLIAM MCDOWELL: Based on the
- 12 last clipping, you were -- you were sparring with the
- 13 mayor in 2017, so.
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay. Very good.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Yes.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: There you go. I was
- 17 definitely here then.
- 18
- 19 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: So let me bring
- 21 that question back to you.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Sorry.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Did you hire Borden
- 24 Ladner Gervais in 2016?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, yes.

1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. Did you

- 2 conduct an RFP process for them in the public forum?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: I think I -- I think
- 4 I explained that. I -- we took advice and followed
- 5 the process of contacting all of the energy lawyers
- 6 and people capable of -- of advising the Town with
- 7 respect to that.
- 8 And after we checked out all of the
- 9 conflicts, the only person that we could get was
- 10 Mr. Roger. He didn't have -- wasn't conflicted.
- 11 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, do you
- 12 understand what a request for proposal is?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE)
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And so my question
- 15 was: Did you send out a request for proposals?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No, no.
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'd like to pull up
- 18 the -- the Beacon report, CPS7337 0001.
- 19 Could I go to page 2, please? I'm
- 20 going to save us all a lot of time and not go through
- 21 a 92-page report. There's been some time spent on it
- 22 earlier today.
- 23 But I do -- having been involved and
- 24 witnessed many hundreds of -- of consulting reports in
- 25 various stages, I was interested to read this

- 1 disclaimer.
- 2 On page 2 it states:
- 3 "Although we tried to provide
- 4 accurate information, it is based
- 5 solely on the information from
- documents provided."
- 7 And it goes on to say that:
- 8 "Before using this information for a
- 9 specific purpose, appropriate
- 10 professional advice should be
- 11 sought."
- 12 Did you emphasize that to Council when
- 13 you were presenting the report to them?
- 14 MR. JOHN BROWN: Did I emphasize the
- 15 disclaimer?
- 16 MR. PAUL BONWICK: The disclaimer.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: No. We had -- we had
- 18 the consultant come in and he presented his own report
- 19 to Council.
- 20 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Right. But as the
- 21 senior bureaucrat within the Municipality, do you not
- 22 feel you have a responsibility to Council to make sure
- 23 that they're well aware of the disclaimer that a
- 24 consultant that they're hiring is providing you?
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: Well, I think -- I

- 1 think my responsibility is to make sure they've got a
- 2 credible consultant who complies with the terms of
- 3 reference and provides all of the information to
- 4 Council and that Council is given the opportunity to
- 5 ask whatever questions they want and be fully informed
- 6 before they make a decision.
- 7 So did I specifically point this out?
- 8 The answer to that is no, because I didn't do the
- 9 presentation. And I cannot recall whether the
- 10 consultant pointed it out, but I would think that it
- 11 was included in the report and assuming Council
- 12 members read their report, that they would have been
- 13 aware of it.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, did you make
- 15 Council aware of the fact that PowerStream, CPU's --
- 16 Collingwood Public Utility Corporation, if I'm saying
- 17 that properly, along with Mr. Chadwick provided you
- 18 somewhere in the neighbourhood of 50 pages of
- 19 information whereby they were identifying the report
- 20 to be inaccurate or misrepresenting the situation?
- 21 Did you inform Council that there was
- 22 that level of concern with regards to the contents of
- 23 the report?
- 24 MR. JOHN BROWN: Mr. Chadwick posts
- 25 extensively and his opinions on many things are a -- I

- 1 mean you know, I mean, they're -- they're constant, or
- 2 they used to be, when I was aware of what his blog
- 3 said.
- So I don't think I have to tell --
- 5 point out anything Mr. Chadwick has to say because
- 6 he's very capable of doing that himself.
- 7 So did I --
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you, sir.
- 9 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- go beyond that?
- 10 No, I didn't.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You did -- so -- so
- 12 my question was about the three of them not specific
- 13 to Mr. Chadwick. My question was, and let's move him
- 14 out of the question, did you inform members of Council
- 15 that Collus, as well as the water utility, had
- 16 presented significant opposition with regards to
- 17 concern over inaccurate statements within the report?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: My understanding is
- 19 all of those reports that we received were presented
- 20 to Council and I think we had presentations from some
- 21 of those agencies who came in and presented to Council
- 22 verbally.
- 23 So -- so I quess the question is were
- 24 Council informed that there was opposition, including
- 25 Mr. Chadwick? The answer is I would -- I would say

- 1 yes.
- 2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, you keep
- 3 throwing his name in and I asked you to set that aside
- 4 and speak more to the water utility and the hydro
- 5 utility, and I think you've answered the question.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Perfect.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You've referenced
- 8 the fact that you had at least one meeting with Mr.
- 9 McFadden, correct?
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: I know I had many
- 11 meetings with Mr. McFadden.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You had many
- 13 meetings with --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Quite a few.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- with Mr.
- 16 McFadden.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: And they were good
- 18 meetings, they were very productive, he's a very
- 19 professional, informed, intelligent gentleman. It was
- 20 a pleasure.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You would suggest
- 22 then, based on your meetings and your level of
- 23 engagement, that he was very knowledgeable in terms of
- 24 industry?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Absolutely.

- 1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Good judge of
- 2 character?
- 3 MR. JOHN BROWN: I couldn't really
- 4 comment on that.
- 5 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Seemed to present
- 6 significant integrity as it related to the utility?
- 7 MR. JOHN BROWN: I considered him to
- 8 be a man of high integrity.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Always had the best
- 10 interests of the Municipality at heart?
- MR. JOHN BROWN: You're going beyond
- 12 where I'm comfortable. He was -- he was a --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Okay.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: -- great,
- 15 professional guy to work with and we had good meetings
- 16 and we shared differences of opinion. It was all
- 17 professional and it worked.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you.
- 19 Could I pull up the transcript 19-05-
- 20 16, please? Page 131, starts on line 23. Sorry, page
- 21 123, starts on line 6.
- 22 Rather than read this back into the
- 23 transcript again, I'll -- I'll ask you to take a
- 24 moment, please, and read down.
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: Under Bonwick or

- 1 McFadden? Which do you want me to read?
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sorry, where was I
- 3 here? On 6. If you could start on line 6, you can --
- 4 you'll have to maybe go up -- well, actually, start on
- 5 line 10, that'll give you the same flavour.
- 6 MR. JOHN BROWN: Do you want me to
- 7 read it out or just read it myself?
- 8 MR. PAUL BONWICK: You can just read
- 9 it to yourself, just so you're comfortable with it.
- 10 Sorry.
- 11 MR. JOHN BROWN: Don't want to make
- 12 that mistake twice.
- 13 Yes, okay.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And then go down --
- 15 please scroll down to Mr. McFadden's response.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: So by reviewing Mr.
- 18 -- Mr. McFadden's testimony, you can see clearly that
- 19 in his mind when he was on that Board, that in fact
- 20 the idea of consolidation or the ability to expand the
- 21 utility was a significant consideration, as was in his
- 22 mind the 50 percent ownership structure.
- 23 MR. JOHN BROWN: So I talked to Mr.
- 24 McFadden about the 50 percent in my pursuit of answers
- 25 that I've already explained would not have been

- 1 necessary had all the information been in the Town.
- I asked him about the 50 percent issue
- 3 and he said that as he recalled, there was no other
- 4 option other than the 50 percent. Mr. McFadden was
- 5 unaware that there were any other opportunities other
- 6 than 50 percent. That's what he told me.
- 7 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, you should
- 8 know that your testimony is contrary to some degree to
- 9 what Mr. McFadden provided earlier during his --
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: If that's the case, I
- 11 don't know, I haven't read his transcript. I saw -- I
- 12 watched a little bit of it, but I can only tell you
- 13 what he told me.
- 14 So he told me that in his recollection
- 15 he never heard of anything other than 50 percent, it
- 16 was always the position going forward. That's what he
- 17 said.
- 18 And so the issue here of this -- of
- 19 this regional opportunity, I think I explained that to
- 20 --
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: You did.
- 22 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- the other lawyer
- 23 and you know, I have aspirations and so have you and
- 24 so have Mr. Houghton and so has everybody.
- 25 But the point of the matter is under

- 1 municipal governance system, Council has authority to
- 2 --
- 3 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Sir, I understood
- 4 your answer the first time.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: -- so that's the
- 6 answer to your question.
- 7 It doesn't matter if Mr. McFadden
- 8 thought it was a good or bad idea, it's irrelevant.
- 9 The point is that if Council was
- 10 persuaded to adopt that policy, it should have been
- 11 advised and I'm not aware of any decision of Council
- 12 which took or supported that aspirational view of Mr.
- 13 McFadden or Mr. Houghton or you or whoever else might
- 14 hold it.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: But sir, you've
- 16 recognized that there was a presentation provided to
- 17 Council, you've been provided copies of it back in
- 18 2015, my call -- or my friend brought up on the screen
- 19 earlier the presentation.
- 20 MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 21 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And the first
- 22 bullet point was about regional growth strategy and
- 23 clearly Council supported that unanimously as part of
- 24 the overwhelming -- overall package because the
- 25 eventual 50 percent sale took place.

- 1 And so to suggest that they did not do
- 2 so knowingly, I -- I'm confused how you can justify
- 3 that when it was in a report that was presented to
- 4 them.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes, but it wasn't --
- 6 it wasn't -- it might have been referenced or referred
- 7 to in a report. But there are many things referenced
- 8 and referred to in reports that don't end up being
- 9 Council endorsed policy.
- 10 If that was the policy of Council, I
- 11 would think Council would be holding public hearings
- 12 would be -- and they would be presenting information
- 13 to the public and people would have the opportunity to
- 14 come in and agree or disagree or whatever.
- That is the municipal process. I'm not
- 16 aware of that. Did that happen? I don't know. Did
- 17 that happen, about the -- about the growth
- 18 partnership?
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well,
- 20 we've -- we've got to keep the -- the questions come
- 21 from Mr. Bonwick to you.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Okay.
- 23 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: We
- 24 don't do the other.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: I apologize.

- 1 CONTINUED BY MR. PAUL BONWICK:
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Mr. Brown, you -- my
- 3 understanding of your statement related to the
- 4 challenges that took place between your office and
- 5 Collus or specific people within Collus was largely --
- 6 largely due, if I understood you properly, and I'd
- 7 like you to confirm this for me, to -- I put sort of
- 8 modernization. You put a movement towards a more
- 9 contemporary management structure that I captures in
- 10 one (1) quotation, change --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Yes.
- 12 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- that there's an
- 13 aversion to change and that --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Often.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: If I might finish,
- 16 sir. That your belief was this aversion to change
- 17 caused people to not engage in a manner that they
- 18 should have. Is that a fair statement?
- 19 MR. JOHN BROWN: I'm not sure. I
- 20 can't remember the exact words. But I guess my point
- 21 is that I have -- I -- in -- in Oshawa, I was their
- 22 first city manager they ever had. They didn't -- had
- 23 no city management before.
- 24 It was a very -- they had no policy
- 25 basis. They had no strategic plan, no -- so that is a

- 1 situation where a lot of people work in that system
- 2 and they get used to it and they're comfortable.
- 3 So, when somebody comes in and they're
- 4 going to say we need a -- we need a direction, we need
- 5 -- we need goals, we need to think about how we do
- 6 business, how we can do business better, that's the
- 7 whole change process.
- 8 And anybody who gets disturbed in that
- 9 process who's very comfortable as it is right now
- 10 often are stressed by that. They often try to object
- 11 to it and they often try to prevent it. That's my
- 12 experience, and I've done it -- this is the third time
- 13 I've done it.
- 14 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And I understand
- 15 you've shared that being your experience.
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But could you
- 18 reflect on the fact that these same people that you're
- 19 suggesting had significant aversion to change had just
- 20 finished two (2) years ago or thereabouts one (1) of
- 21 the most dramatic cultural and structural changes by
- 22 way of selling a 50 percent share in their utility
- 23 and, from what we've heard from testimony, seemed to
- 24 embrace this change in a very, very positive and
- 25 constructive manner for some time after the closing of

- 1 that?
- 2 And so, do you see the shift between
- 3 aversion to your change versus embracing their change?
- 4 And that's what I was struggling with.
- 5 MR. JOHN BROWN: No, I absolutely see
- 6 that. And I understand that. And I empathize with
- 7 people who have to undergo change. You -- everybody's
- 8 vulnerable. You're at risk. You don't know what's
- 9 going to happen and everybody's got obligations and
- 10 everybody's concerned.
- 11 But the fact of the matter is, you
- 12 know, when a Council's elected, it's got to decide
- 13 where the municipality wants to go. And before I
- 14 arrived, you will see that the Council that was here -
- 15 I guess -- I don't know if Mr. Houghton was the
- 16 acting or interim or whatever at the time, but they
- 17 engaged -- they engaged KPMG.
- 18 And what they asked KPMG to do was look
- 19 at an organizational change to look at the way the
- 20 CAO, to look at the way the management team was set
- 21 up. They asked for all of these -- all of -- sorry,
- 22 if I could finish this time.
- 23 They asked for all of these -- they
- 24 asked for all of these reports to be done by KPMG all
- 25 creating path towards change.

- 1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But --
- 2 MR. JOHN BROWN: And what happened
- 3 was, when Mr. Peever started getting into the changes
- 4 somebody got very upset. And Mr. Peever eventually
- 5 was stopped doing the change process. That's exactly
- 6 what I'm saying and what happened here.
- 7 And I continued that under the
- 8 direction of the Council.
- 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: But --
- 10 MR. JOHN BROWN: So, what I did was
- 11 directed by Council. Everything I did was approved by
- 12 Council.
- MR. PAUL BONWICK: And we'll get to
- 14 that, but, sir, that wasn't my question. And I
- 15 appreciate the explanation that you're providing. I
- 16 wasn't asking for the detailed explanation on what
- 17 changes you were proposing.
- 18 What I was simply bringing to your
- 19 attention is this same group of people that seemed to
- 20 have a great aversion to the change, you, at the
- 21 authorization of Council, were proposing to them --
- MR. JOHN BROWN: Right.
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- were the same
- 24 people -- do you understand, the same people --
- 25 MR. JOHN BROWN: I under --

- 1 MR. PAUL BONWICK: -- that embraced a
- 2 massive cultural change just a couple of years before
- 3 and seemed to embrace it?
- 4 MR. JOHN BROWN: I under -- I
- 5 understand that. And -- and some of the emails that I
- 6 got from Ms. Shuttleworth kind of reflected -- I could
- 7 -- I could feel the -- I could feel the sensitivity
- 8 that was coming through, but, like, what's -- what's
- 9 going on here, you know, like --
- 10 MR. PAUL BONWICK: And -- and...
- 11 Your Honour, when were you planning on
- 12 -- I mean, these answers are taking a little longer
- 13 than I thought, no disrespect to Mr. Brown. But are
- 14 you --
- 15 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: How
- 16 much longer do you think you'll be?
- 17 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I still have some -
- 18 some time to go.
- 19 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Okay.
- 20 Well, I think what I'll do is I'll break for today and
- 21 you can have a look at -- or if this is not a
- 22 convenient --
- 23 MR. PAUL BONWICK: No, it's -- it's
- 24 fine. I'm --
- 25 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: --

279 1 point --2 MR. PAUL BONWICK: I'm -- I'm good to go right now. I can stop now if you'd like. You just 3 4 said 4:30, so I'm --5 THE HONOURABLE FRANK MARROCCO: Well, I -- I think -- I think I will today stop at 4:30. Tomorrow we will complete Mr. Brown's evidence and see where we are. 9 MR. PAUL BONWICK: Thank you. 10 11 --- Upon adjourning at 4:35 p.m. 12 13 14 Certified Correct, 15 16 17 18 19 Wendy Woodworth, Ms. 20 21 22 23 24 25

INQUINI	TE COTITINGMO	JD 00-20-2019	rage 200	01 343
\$	274:10	194 3:8	2004 74:15	46:18
\$1.7	275 : 20	1st 46:18	2006 195:20	49:23
28:9,21	1:36 126 : 21	111:6		52:10
30:7		122:22	2011 173:6	65 : 25
46:11	10 98:19		210:10,20	70:6 75:2
66:10	187:12 233:6		,24 211:9	78 : 22
68:10	270:5	2 6:1	219:16 226:11,12	82:23
69:3		7:2,21	227:4	83:1,9,12
186:19	10:03 5:1	61:5	228:14	,15 86:5
\$10 35:1	100	83:13		168:16 172:15
\$11,000	176:21 , 22	140:4	2012 27:7,9	189:22
111:15	230:10	143:6	35:11	206:10
115:2	10th 89:11	145:16	46:5 57:1	208:7
236:8,13,		167:9	66:8 106:11	218:9,24
23	11:02 56:4	183:10	173:6	227:18
\$16.4 9:12	11:15 56:5	212:25	177:14	228:1,12
	11:56 240:8	225:15	185:19	259:10
\$25,000	242:11	226:16	186:23	260:22
261:17	11:59	250 : 5	197:17,21	261:23
\$8 37:11	122:22	258:4	198 : 25	272:18
216:9		264:19	199:18	2016
223:22	11th 83:1	265:2	205:4	89:10,11
225:4	172:15	275:20	2013 5:13	100:12
	12:32	2:42 187:21	6:15 8:21	101:13
1	126:20	2:52 187 : 22	131:19,22	262:24
1 30:18	123 269:21	20	132:25	263:4,6,2
33:4 57:2	12th 206:9	34:6,8,13	133:4,7,1	4
61:5 73:5	217:17	,16	6,20	2017 5:14
76:19	218:9,23	72:21,23	135:4	46:9
77:8,21		75:15	136:18	246:17
78:24	13 192:14	161:5	146:17	263:13
79:13	195 : 18	200 182:8	149:22	2019 1:23
84:23 98:1	131 3:7		150:1	2020 11:13
102:10,16	269:20	2000 138:24	157:3,9,1	12:14
104:25	13-page	195:18	5	15:16
105:20	179:25	197:11 199:24	2013/2014	24:12
106:13,14	13th 23:22		196:5	31:4
108:21		2003 15:5	2014 74:16	80:18
124:9	14 74:17	16:25	98:17	143:14
126:12	14th 23:12	31:5,6	122:22	178:9,23
144:3,18	15th 65:25	136:12 138:5,6	154:4	181:17
149:16	189:22	140:2	195:4	188:1
152:10	16 269:20	141:11	2015	258:2
190:1		143:21	23:4,12,2	20-day
228:21	17th 23:4	184:24	2 25:16	231:4,9
244:14 247:4	19-05	195:6,9,1	26:5,25	22 199:23
247:4	269 : 19	4,20	27:13	201:3
250:5		196:6	44:16	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 06-26-2019	Page 281	of 345
23 269:20	63:2,8	45 233:14	50223	776 89:8
233 3:9	66:9	49	207:15	779 90:23
23rd	177:14	200:15,24	50224	781 91:4
211:15,18	185:18,19 186:14,18	4th 49:23	227:16	
24	,23	82 : 23		787 95 : 3
182:10,25	197:21	84:4	6	7th 44:16
193:20	198:25		6 82:10	
24th 25:16	199:18	5	214:14,17 245:12	8
26:5,25	205:4	5 3:6	269:21	8 62:1 88:9
27:13	376 4:3	7:17,18 70:13	270:3	8.13(a 36:4
26 186:2	377 4:4	143:25	685 8:1	8.16 74:25
26th 1:23	378 4:5	146:15	6-page	
27 180:17	379 4:6	148:1	180:7	9
192:15	380 4:7	5:13 177 : 3	6th 52:10	9 62:1 88:9
279 3:18		50 32:9	JEII JZ.10	92-page
1	381 4:8	33:6,10	7	264:21
27th 246:17	382 4:9	69:18	7 6:6	97 1:19
28th 208:7	383 4:10	71:8	700,000	98 9:14
211:9,22 212:5	384 4:11	72:12 76:22,25	80:24	10:10
227:11,18	385 4:12	77:7,10,1	706 82:9	
29	386 4:13	2 95:13	724 9:3	A
198:14,19	387 4:14	105:23		a.m 5:1 56:4,5
29th 210:24		106:10,14	729 110:6	122:23
2000 210.21	388 4:15	110:1 150:9,16	731 11:8	AB496 235:9
3	389 4:16	161:3,15	732 19:18	ability 9:9
3 6:4 7:19	390 4:17	164:14	733 20:6	24:5
60:10	391 4:18	170:23	739 22:16	70:11
90:6	3rd 89:10	171:3 174:12	740 80:24	76:12
140:5 143:7	210:10,20	180:18	746 78:15	114:7
182:3	219:16	181:14	82:8	121:25 149:8,12
200:18	227:4	182:2,7,1	747 78:24	270:20
214:14	4	1,24	749 35:24	able 19:2,8
258:12	4 3:3 6:22	193:18 197:4		21:6 38:8
3:37 233:8	104:6	206:13	755 42:16	61:19
3:48 233:9	148:22	209:7	758 44:11	72 : 13
30 6:8	245:12	215:5,23	765 65:17	106:8 114:24
238:7	4:30 233 : 5	224:13	766 67:20	131:8
30-year	279:4,6	231:14 266:18	770 67:20	134:23
115:9	4:35 279:11	270:22,24	772 74:20	154:14
31st 27:7,9	40 6:6,7	271:2,4,6		162:5
35:11	78:8	,15	774 76:5	167:21 188:14
46:5,9,22	238:6	272 : 25 275 : 22	775 87:4	216:15
57:1		∠ / J • ∠ ∠		
I I				I

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rage 202	01 313
217:11	110:22	106:17	175:16	adopted
223:15	111:24	across	address	25 : 17
229:10	115:14,17	12:23	10:16	94:25
absence	116:14	79 : 18	11:5 12:1	170:19
229:15,24	117:18,20		57 : 14	171:9
	239:9,13	act 17:18	59:16	advanced
absolutely	accountants	165:1	60:11,19	20:20
36:12	257:19,20	acted	112:16,19	
54:2,7	259:14	232:10	113:23	advice 16:3
158:6		acting 6:16	118:7,14,	24:23
237:15	accuracy	162:25	21 119:9	25:3
261:20	255:19	232:6,7	122:25	28:1,17
262:14	accurate	243:15,19	127:16	29:10
268:25	47:5 55:7	257:24	235:15	35:4
276:5	120:17	276:16	236:1	38:18
accept	180:2,4		238:3,10	39:15,24
239:20	182:12	action 6:25	253:20	40:12,25
acceptable	183:19,23	activities		42:11
103:17	184:1	63 : 5	addressed	54:4 67:2
	244:16,22		191:8	68:8
accepted	,23 265:4	actual 10:5	addressing	88:6,21
42:11	accurately	123:19,24	65 : 3	111:17
47:20	50:24	actually	adequately	113:5,17
177:22	176:8	12:11	216:16	114:6
224:1		19:24		118:3
access	accusations	38:18	adjourning	121:3
222:8	251:14	86:16,21	279:11	160:3,16
223:3	255:21	104:25	adjusting	161:25
232:9	accuse	112:7	81:19,20	162:12,16
238:20	139:23	113:7	adjustments	163:22
239:17	achieve	127 : 10	181:24	
accessed	73:12	134:5	182:1	196:22
142:19		174:16		209:2
	achieved	182 : 12	administrat	229:15
accessing	255 : 7	195:13	ion	237:25
20:24	acknowledge	219:15	255 : 19	264:4 265:10
accommodate	158:2	225:9	administrat	203:10
d 49:9	188:20	230:8	ive 14:20	advise
		231:14	64:14	118:18
accompanied	acknowledge	259 : 7	149:7	179:10
43:15	d	270:4		192:9
according	220:22,23	adamant	admission	196:21
19:11	245:25	19 : 15	153:16	advised
50:22	acknowledgi	added	159:7	10:14
81:20	ng	170:17	admit	13 : 17
223:13	221:1,4		130:21	28:7 46:1
account	acquainted	addition	178:10	66:8 71:3
13:21	107:17	42:21	224:15	88:23
21:13	112:2	77 : 19	adopt	106:20,22
93:3		additional	272:10	107:9
	acquiring		2,2.10	
i	1		l l	

INQUIN	i ie comminemo	OB 00 20 2013	rage 200	01 949
116:20,24	age 7:18	53:25	198:22	198:2
117:2,16	agencies	59:6	230:6	ALE50224
188:12	267:21	66:9,14	Ah 88:4	207:9
218:15		77:23		225:15
227:25	agent	80:3	ahead 62:13	
230:13	258:11	81:18	90:22	ALE50228
245:21,23	aggressive	132:2,7,8	114:23	206:4
272:11	50:19	133:6,21	131:15	ALE50230
advisement	102:12	134:4,12,	145:9	25:16
93:5 94:3	103:4	14	163:16	204:22
243:10	ago 275:20	135:2,16	214:4	ALE8096
- 4		136:12	233:19	217:15
advisers	agreeable	137:8	235:3	
35:21	47:3	138:5,24	Aird 5:19	Alectra 2:7
advises	agreed	143:20	41:9	alert
211:10,16	69:11	148:9	87 : 18	127:20
advising	100:9	153:13	110:21	alerted
13:8	143:11	157:21	111:7	199:17
264:6	219:8,23	158:9,10	160:4	
	248:10,17	159:21,25	162:24	Ali 80:3,20
advisor	, 25	160:1,2,1	196:5,9,1	align 92:2
158:23,25	249:20	9,25	4 199:14	allay
affect	262:3	164:16,17 175:9	231:8	160:21
193:6	agreeing	184:25	232:4,10,	
affected	73:2	186:13,17	13	allegations
100:5	agreement	189:21	260:9,19	245:6
148:23	15:3,19,2	195:7,9,1	airport	allocated
153:16	13.3,19,2	4,24	251 : 7	19:10,14,
185:15	16:18,25	196:1,2,7	alarmed	15 184:23
188:22	17:17	,12,21	34:14	allow 239:8
189:7	18:21	197:10,12	Alberta 6:5	
190:23	19:23	,16,21		
193:3	20:8,11	198:25	ALE0004323	239:16 261:13
194:5	23:9	199:18,24	4:11	
affecting	24:16,19,	205:4,9	ALE0008096	alluded
155:8	21 25:5	231:5,9	4:14	107:22
affidavit	26:17	259:21	ALE0050223	252:15
59:18	27:7,14	262:17	4:13	Almas 13:5
	28:3,6,10	agreements		49:21
affiliated	29:4	11:17	ALE0050224	50:13
250:18	30:16,21	24:8	4:16	51:24
afoul 38:10	31:5,6,8	25 : 25	ALE0050224.	52:3,14
afraid	34:1,13	26:3	0002 4:15	55 : 12
105:8	38:8	32:19,21	ALE0050228	56:15
	44:14	34:1	4:12	83:1
afternoon	46:7	39:23		86:4,12,2
194:23	47:13	42:25	ALE0050230	5 111:8
against	48:18	66:1 82:3	4:4	119:7
242:22	49:1,12,1	140:6	ALE4323	129:6
	6 51:20	163:24		165:4,8,1
1	1	1		

INQUIKI	re COLLINGWOO	JD 00-20-2019	Page 284	01 343
2 175:4	amongst	96:25	answers	232:15
177:1,4,5	9:10	101:15	44:12	241:18
208:6	89 : 17	103:5	51 : 16	253 : 6
248:8	136:8	118:10	72:3,4	275 : 8
alone	139:16	136:20	102:3	anybody's
191:25	188:21	137:21	103:16,25	243:8
192:13	189:7	138:10	114:25	
	210:4	140:19	134:3	anymore
already	amount	142:25	144:1	15 : 14
66:13	80 : 25	145:23,25	148:19	102:5
84:4	82:6,12	147:1,3,5	165:13 , 21	162:25
87:9,10,1	236:3	,9 149:10	166:19	anyone
9 107:22		155:19	172:6,15,	18:11
108:2	amplificati	163:11	18 232:23	30:21
110:11	on 257:6	167:6,16	270:24	55:13 , 22
113:5	analysed	168:13	278:12	86:11 , 24
143:9	214:3	169:2,4,6	answer's	109:5
146:23		,14,22,24	230:25	116:24
155:17	analysis	, 25		119:7
158:17	9:25 10:8	170:22	anticipate	196:14
163:23	77:11	171:5,17	147:1	203:15
191:12	79:13	174:23	232:21	anything
213:10,17	143:25 144:9	175 : 7	anticipated	16:1
,24 214:5		176:1	15 : 18	20:15
219:7,8	161:8 179:14	182:21	157 : 8	21:17
220:18	183:2	185:3	anxious	34:2
221:2,6	199:15	215:4	42:13	39:13
252:13	229:18	216:15,20		42:7
270:25		, 23	anybody	48:4,6
alternative	annoyed	217:12,14	31:17	62:8
s 26:16	37:16	224:24	36:18	71:10
am 25:23	103:22	234:21,22	50:15	78:2,6
39:4	annoying	239:11	55:15	93:16
41:21	224:8	243:12	69:5	108:11
62:25	annual	246:4	78:2,6,11	114:21
121:21	135:23	247:17	86:16,20 92:23	119:23
133:4	136:25	250:6 266:8	103:20	127 : 2
137:22	143:25	267:25	103:20	141:3,17
138:9	156:25	272:4,6	139:23	150:8,9,1
amend 181:3			147:25	0 152:1
	annually	answered	158:23	156:9
amended	136:2,4	77:9,15	166:10	179:20
61:10,11	140:24	146:23	171:8	192:9
65:4,8,9	156:23	155:17	179:19	193:8
66:9	answer 12:2	230:20	181:9	224:2
176:16	14:18	247:10	186:9	228 : 7
186:14	16:6 19:2	268:5	193:8	231:21
amendment	72:13	answering	214:24	237:19
53:24	74 : 15	42:23	216:19	243:9
Among 67:20	93:19	121:6	224:2,5	249:4
			,	250:1

11/2011/1	IC COMMINGNO		1 agc 200	
253 : 7	31:23	149:1	224:22	241:16
267:5	appears	150:21	area 55:25	arrived
271 : 15	59:8 , 19	166:24	110:5	10:3 16:7
anyway	162:11	170:13,19	126:13	32:24
27:20	177 : 5	173 : 8	226:23	138:23
37:25	179:7	approached	255 : 7	276:14
40:24	181:11	135:14,15		
68:25	182:10	·	areas	article
81:16	186:18	appropriate	125:22	246:16
92:13	189:25	35:22	236:8	247:1
103:2	191:4	39:14	241:1	252:18
105:4	212:2,10	41:1	aren't	254:8
157 : 17	237:19	71:23	219:15	255:18
161:19	252:18,21	113:21	arguable	aside
249:18		115:16	251:24	237:18
	appendix	116:4		255:23
Anyways	200:16,18	216:25	argue	268:3
195:19	appointed	265:9	242:21	aspect
anywhere	33:7 71:9	approval	argued	130:23
113:12	76:21	67 : 2	93:14	
174:14	77:20	approve	229:16	aspiration
apart 64:10	98:20	23:6	arguing	229:25
_	apportion		214:12	aspirationa
apologies	123:19	approved	214:12	1 272:12
103:14		7:21	argument	
apologize	apportioned	10:25	64:17,21	aspirations 271:23
215:21	12:23	12:16	65 : 12	2/1:23
224:16	19:13	72:7	66:10	assess
273:25	102:20	150:21	81:23	197:14
apologized	143:18	188:25	97:15	assessed
213:19	appraise	218:18	arisen	107:4
220:21	159:11	228:3	29:15	
221:6	appreciate	262:21	arises	assets
	61:12	277:11	90:15	71:19
apparently	164:23	approximate		75:20
51:8	185:3	ly 149:21	arising	assignment
129:24	194:17	April 44:16	14:2	258:5
138:5	257 : 1	111:6	arrangement	assist
169:2	277 : 15	122:22	7:14 30:8	53:11,13
appear 31:6		149:22	48:23	131:10
42:9	<pre>appreciated 95:22</pre>	246:17	72:2	assistance
59:14	95 : 22 96:3		80:14	158:5
93:21		ARB496	81:11	189:16
105:21	appreciatin	110:13	150:6	
138:17	g 94:22	ARB513	arrangement	assistant
165:3	appro 252:2	44:17	s 93:1	6 : 9
170:22		arbitrator	142:1	215:25
APPEARANCES	approach	46:5		216:14
2:1	95:25		arrive	assisted
	143:4	archives	36 : 25	101.14
appeared	148:18		48:4	191:14

INQUINI	. TE COLLINGWO	00 00-20-2019	rage 200	OI 343
Associate	, 16	219:10	267:2	1,19
1:7 2:4	147:10,19	223:11	272 : 11	206:3,8,2
assume	auditor	224:4	273:16	1
64:20	15:24	average	away 103:18	207:1,5,8
111:8	auditors	9:14	252 : 8	,13,19,24
120:6	259:25	aversion		208:3,9,1
124:13		274:13,16	В	9
assuming	August	274:13,10	backed	209:11,16
266:11	82:25	276:3	229:6	,21
	83:15	277:20		210:4,9,1 3,18,23
assumption	84:8		background 78:8	211:8,21
254:20	168:16	avoid 55:10	78:8 157:4	212:8,14,
attached	172:15 210:10,20	67:6 97:20		17,20,24
64:18	,24	148:6	backup	213:6,13,
227:21	219:16		114:2,3	15,22
attempt		awaiting	115:7,22	214:6,13
46:2	authority	206:15	116:8,9	215:10,13
242:7	14:16	aware 11:21	237:6,11	,16,24
attempted	19:16	15:7	back-up	216:3,13,
16:11	68:18 113:21	27:14,17,	37 : 13	24
	135:18	18,19,21	bad 62:18	217:13,21
attempting	147:14	31:3	109:17	218:1,5,6
30:8 155:22	261:2,11,	32:17	272 : 8	,12,23
	15,19	39:25	bailiwick	219:6,12,
attend	272:1	71:25	158:4	22
206:21	authorizati	87:1 106:25	216:17	220:1,5,9
attended	on 173:7	108:24	Bain 2:8	,13,25 221:7,13,
71:25	277:21	134:19	3:8 58:15	16,20,25
attention		136:16	59:4,5	222:2,5,8
24:18	authorized	137:7	194:20,22	,12,18,24
93:13	109:25 170:14	138:2	,23,24	224:23
109:3	218:19	140:23	195 : 2,11	225:8,14,
209:13		145:1	196:4,10,	19
277:19	authorizing	149:3	14,18,23	226:6,12,
attractive	219:1	150:2	197:13,20	15
76:15	223:1	151:7	198:1,6,1	
AUDIBLE	authors	156:22	3,18,24	5,23
119:10	20:25	158:14	199:3,9,1	228:4,10,
188:4	21:22	159:17,19	3	16 230:3
198:23	availabilit	,24 173:4 177:18	200:6,14,	231:1,2,7
199:12	y 145:12	199:22	19,23,24 201:25	,24 232:9,17
210:7	available	211:17	201:25	·
264:13	21:4,7,14	221:23	4,19,23	bald 63:18
audit 11:25	130:15	225:21	203:2,10,	bank 75:20
12:22	141:4	231 : 7	13,21,25	bar 84:1
15:17	142:17	244:12	204:4,16,	
17:19	155 : 12	265:23	21	based 10:13
143:11,15	192:8	266:13,15	205:1,6,1	12:20 61:16,21
				01.10,21

INQUIRI	re confindace	00-20-2019	raye 207	01 343
94:10	140:11	behalf	199:3,9,1	228:4,10,
99:7,15	141:19	71:20	3	16 230:3
143:1	143:14	75 : 8	200:6,14,	231:1,2,7
155:21	178:9,23	248:21	19,23,24	, 24
183:20	179:17		201:25	232:9,17
224:24	180:18,20	behaviour	202:3,9,1	·
226:22	,24	255 : 25	4,19,23	beneath
255:21	181:17	behind	203:2,10,	19:21
261:19	188:1,19	97:18	13,21,25	91:3
263:11	193:19	98:22	204:4,16,	benefit
265:4	195:3,5,1	152:24	21	56 : 14
268:22	2 197:14		205:1,6,1	64:2
	199:15,23	belief	1,19	258:24
basis 9:10	200:8,9	274:16	206:3,8,2	
14:11	·	believe	1	Bentz
17:18	201:18 258:2	8:20 31:1	_	25:19,21
30:5		37:10,24	207:1,5,8	26:9,21
35:18	264:18	38:4,12	,13,19,24	,
37:3	Beacon's	92 : 23	208:3,9,1	47 : 25
77:11	20:10	115:15	9	48:2
112:12	became	118:22	209:11,16	49:5,6
117:8	64:14	122:6	,21	56 : 15
136:17,25	65:1	136:5	210:4,9,1	57 : 19
137:17,20	66:11	177 : 2	3,18,23	59 : 13
140:1	101:10	178:6	211:8,21	81 : 22
141:10,25	108:5	208:23	212:8,14,	88:24
143:25	112:1	225:21	17,20,24	89:2
148:6	151:7	237:22	213:6,13,	101:17,19
170:9,18	170:18	252:9	15,22	,20 102:1
182:19	171:8	258:9,10,	214:6,13	104:2,4
215:7,23	1/1.0	14	215:10,13	172:19
274:25	become		,16,24	186:6
batting	32:17	believed	216:3,13,	202:4,7,1
129:4	100:11	10:2	24	7 204:5
129.4	158:14	121:13	217:13,21	205:3,7,1
Bay 226:23	173:4	177 : 12	218:1,5,6	2,14,17,2
Beacon	242:17	192:20	,12,23	0
11:13	becoming	255 : 25	219:6,12,	Berlis 5:19
12:14	67 : 1	believes	22	41:10
15:16	107:23	125:11	220:1,5,9	87 : 18
17:7,25		Belinda 2:8	,13,25	110:21
18:17	begging	3:8 58:15	221:7,13,	160:4
19:20	131:7	59:5	16,20,25	162:24
20:7,22	begin	194:22,23	222:2,5,8	196:5,9,1
21:9,11	190:6,10		,12,18,24	5 199:15
22:17,20	beginning	,24 195:2,11	224:23	231:8
23:6	84:8	196:4,10,	225:8,14,	232:4,10,
24:11	110:19		19	13
25:6,9,17		14,18,23	226:6,12,	260:9,20
31:4	begins	197:13,20	15	
80:18	91:16	198:1,6,1	227:2,7,1	Berlis's
102:24	190:11	3,18,24	5 , 23	111:7

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	00-20-2013	raye 200	01 343
Berzazi	14:11	214:22	,16	268:2,7,1
261 : 4	135:15	blog 267:2	236:15,18	2,15,21
beside	136:9	_	237:2,12,	269:1,5,9
210:13	138:19,21	blue 92:7	16,24	,13,18,25
	140:2	BM 132:16	238:5,13,	
best 31:10 94:7	149:5	BMA 8:22	17	4,17
108:22	bills 14:12	9:8,10,15	239:5,6,1	271:7,21
149:11	15:1	,19,20	5 , 22	272:3,15,
166:16	17:20	10:7	240:5,8	21 273:21
259:22	114:1,4	25:10	242:9 243:11,22	274:1,12, 15
269:9	137:17	78:22	,25	275:14,17
	138:22	79:12,17	244:4,5,8	· ·
better 43:6	141:10	80:4 82:6	,11,19,23	3,23
66:16	146:24	132:14,18	245:4	278:1,10,
69:2	147:24	,20	246:5,10,	
155:1 235:19	149:18	260:22	15,21,24,	279:2,9
275:6	155:25	BMA's 10:19	25	·
	156:1	BNA 194:1	247:6,20,	book
beyond 59:8	237:7	BNA 194:1	21	31:23,24,
67:1	binding	board	248:1,4	25
104:2	28:23	23:9,13,1	250:4,9,2	43:13,15, 18,19,20,
216:21	46:6	4,17,18	0 , 25	21,23
226:24	bit 6:22	71:9	251:8 , 19	44:1
267:9	130:9,10,	72:10	252:9 , 24	186:25
269:11	11 144:20	77:21,24,	253:11,23	187:2,4,8
bidding	163:5	25 79:1	, 25	197:22,25
161:11	218:7	89:13,15 166:8	254:7,11	198:7,10
bill 47:19	245:9	189:21	255:4,9,1	199:4,6,7
111:7,14,	250:13	190:22,24	3,16	200:5
15	263:3	201:9,17	256:8,16,	223:20
120:2,5	271:12	203:17	20,21	257:16
148:1	blame 58:5	204:7	257:2,8,1	Borden
235:23,24	blamed	234:6	1,15,16,2 3	193:1
236:3,13,	57:20	270:19	258:6 , 16 ,	262:23
22,23		bodies	23 259:8	263:23
billed 16:8	blank 218:3	182:4	260:6,13,	Borealis
134:19	BLG 74:10		21,24	77:2
141:8	83:12	body 212:9	261:14 , 18	
142:8	87:9,12,2	Bonwick	,22	bother 55:3
billing	5 89:9	2:11 3:9	262:6,10,	228:16
11:23	91:2,21	61:3,4,11	18,23	bothered
14:4,5	100:10	128:8,9	263:2,7,9	172 : 23
16:1	101:6	129:5,11,	,15,19,20	214:7,9
132:8	106:9	13	, 23	215:18
134:7,20	109:24 178:21	233:13,19	264:1,11,	bottom 8:11
137:23		,22,23,25	14,17	30:24
143:17	BLG93_1	234:3,13, 18	265:16,20	52:8 57:9
billings	91:12	235:3,5,6	266:14	82:8
12:2	blind	200.0,0,0	267:8,11	91:13
1				I

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWO	95 00 20 2019	raye 209	01 919
110:18	brief 8:3	broader	,16,19,23	94:2,12,1
129:4	9:5 11:10	12:24	41:8,13	6,23
149:4	16:21	h	43:5,12,1	95:4,18
170:25	36:1	broadly	7	96:5
207:14,20	42:18	85:24	45:5,8,12	100:16
hought 77.0	44:19	broken	,15,17,22	101:7,14
bought 77:2	45:3	66:20	46:14,24	104:24
bounce	65 : 19	brought	47:9	106:21
241:5	74:22	6:15	48 : 19	108:8
bounced	78:18	12:12	49:4,20	109:9
250:12	82 : 19	56:10	50:5 , 14	110:3
	83 : 19	66:13	51:5,15,2	111:9,11
Bradford	84:12,16,	74:2 81:8	2	112:1
99:25	23 87:6	93:13	52 : 1,4,18	115:18
Brampton	110:8,15	109:3	,23	116:1,5,7
77:3	126:9		53:4,15	,15,17,22
	157 : 11	117:7	54:7,10	117:1,19
Branford	173:1	259:5	55:4,14,1	118:8,12,
71:13	174:25	263:4	9,23	15,17,22
Brantford	181:20	272 : 18	5 7: 22	119:1,10,
9:20	183:12	Brown 3:5	58:2,5	14,19,22
71:24	190:3	5:6,10,11	59:8,23,2	120:18,25
79:20	198:4,16	,16,21,25	4 60:2,20	122:9,15,
112:9,13	200:12	6:19 , 23	61:22	24
245:11	204:19,24	8:5,17	62:18,19	123:10,13
breached	204:13,24	9:1,18	64:14	,17
28:4	207:11,17	10:21	65:21	124:3,6,1
	,22	11:21	66:7	7,21
breaching	208:1,15	14:8	67 : 16	125:1,5,1
28:9	225:17	16:10	68:3	1 126:15
break	227:13	17:4,9	70:4,8	127:3
125:25	244:2	18:1,7,14	74:11,13,	128:19
126:15	246:8,13	, 20	17	130:14
130:11	247:25	19:5 , 9	75 : 5,13	131:18,20
187:13		20:12	76:7,18	,23
232:20	briefly	21:3,10	79:11	132:3,6,1
241:19	5:22	22:23	82:10,14	4,17,21
278:20	57 : 14	23:1,16	83:6,10,1	133:1,8,1
breakdown	bring 47:18	24:1,11	6	3,17,22
57:20	52 : 23	25 : 7	84:9,14,1	134:5,13,
95:9	53:1	26:6,22	8	21
	114:22	27:4,15,1	85:6,9,13	135:5,9,1
99:16	166:14	8 , 25	, 25	7,25
Breedon	243:13	30:3,10,1	86:6,13,1	136:5,10,
2:20	263:20	8,23	9	19
Brian 25:19	bringing	32:15,18,	87:1,8,13	137:1,9,1
88:23	209:12	22 34:4	,20,23	2,15,21,2
89:1,2	277:18	35:16	89:20,24	5 138:20
102:1		36:3,7,12	90:20	139:10,22
202:4,7,1	brings	,15 39:25	91 : 18	140:7,13,
7 204:5	74:24	40:4,9,13	92:8,13	17

INQUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	06-26-2019	Page	290	of	345
------------	-------------	------------	------	-----	----	-----

	TE COLLINGWOOD		rage 290	01 343
141:5,21	182:5,13,	214:1,8,2	251:1,16,	bubble
142:7,15	16	0	21	223:7
143:8	183:5,17	215:12,15	252:15,22	hubbling
144:13	184:7,11,	, 20	253:1,12,	bubbling 231:15
145:23,25	22	216:2,12,	24 254:6	
146:12,22	185:2,11,	18	255:18	buckets
147:7,16	21,25	217:4,17,	257 : 22	204:11
149:6,23	187:3,6,9	19,23	258:3,9,2	bullet
150:7	, 25	218:7,11,	0	226:16
151:10	188:4,9,1	22	259:1,11	272 : 22
153:4,20	6,24	219:3,11,	260:7,16,	
154:5,8,1	189:9	20,25	23	bullying
3,20,23	191:11,17	220:4,8,1	261:1,16,	104:21
155:14,19	,21	2,16	20,25	255 : 21
156:8,15,	192:2,5,1	221:4,11,	262:7,14,	bundle
19	9	14,19,23	20,25	223:15
157:2,15,	193:5,21	222:1,3,7	263:6,8,1	bureaucrat
22 , 25	194:4,9,2	,10,16,22	0,14,16,2	242:18
158:6	4	223:4	2,25	265:21
159:2,5,9	195:1,8,1	225:2,13,	264:3,13,	
,12,19	6	24	16	bus 249:23
160:8,13,	196:8,13,	226:11,14	265:14,17	business
20,23	16,19	227:1,5,2	, 25	54 : 4
161:13,20	197:5,18,	2	266:24	73:6,7,10
, 23	23	228:2,9,1	267:9,18	75 : 17
163:25	198:12,23	3,18	268:6,10,	77:11 , 12
164:4	199:1,5,1	230:4,12,	14,17,25	88:15
165:2,6,1	2,20	16	269:3,7,1	_ * · * ·
0,15	201:19	231:6,10	1,14,25	114:13
166:1,25	202:2,6,1	232:5,14	270:6,11,	115:12
167:8,12,	2,15,21,2	233:25	16,23	141:3
18	5	234:2,4,8	271:10,22	153:10
169:17,22	203:4,12,	,17,22 235:10,14	272:5,20	154:2
,25 170:2,15	18,23 204:2,13	236:5,17	273:5,22, 25	156:4,22
171:4,17,	204.2,13	237:1,5,1	274:2,11,	157:3,5,7
21	13,23	5,18,22	14,19	,8,14,16
172:1,10,	206:11,19	238:4,11,	275:16	164:25 229:13
16	,24	15,24	276:5	234:12
173:9,13,	207:3,7	239:11,19	277:2,10,	237:14
21	208:8,13,	240:4,7,1	22,25	238:22
174:7,9	17,21	4	278:4,13	239:10,14
175:7,10,	209:15,20	242:10,16		245:15,17
20,25	210:3,7,1	244:6,7,1	Browne	275:6
176:12,15	2,17,19,2	0,17,21	59:15,23	
177:22	2	245:2,8	Brown's	busy 14:18
178:2,6,1	211:7,20	247:1,2,1	64:15,22	95:24
2,17,20,2	212:7,12,	1,15,18	65 : 6	103:18,21
3 179:18	16,19,23	248:3,5	279:7	114:12,19
180:3,9,2	213:5,12,	250:8,15,	Brunswick	,20 134:3
2	14,18	21	6 : 5	137:23

	i ic commingwo	00 20 2019	rage 251	01 313
buyers	0 223:2	128:14	250 : 18	96:10
76:15	228:20	147:12	certainly	challenges
bylaw	238:1	160:9	27:20	11:6
206:25	243:15,19	193:1	57:16,18	274 : 4
219:1	246:18	216:19	59:8 60:7	
222:25	257:24	229:16	61:12	challenging
	276:20	231:23	66:7 67:5	164:9
by-law	capable	241:23	86:14	Chambers
173:24	124:8	251:6 , 7	96:1	1:18
	264:6	271 : 10	125 : 20	chance
C	267:6	catch	167 : 22	130:11
camera		114:24	178 : 12	
246:1	capacity		203:13,25	change
Canada	6:16	caught	216:24	17:14
6:2,3	capita	256 : 23	233:1,2	80:23
·	9:13,14	cause 93:16	261:10	81:17
cancel	caps 92:7	112:12	Certificate	93:16
186:17	_	113:2]
cancellatio	capture	165:23	3:18	99:4,14,1
n 151:12	175:8	196:19	Certified	9,25
cancelled	201:3	caused 81:9	279:14	100:6
66:16	239:25	99:14	cetera	179:13
	captures	121:16	63:3,9,11	193:7,15,
candid	274:9	142:3	133:16	24 242:2
171:1	care 247:5	188:20	155 : 7	249:19
candidate		189:3,6,1	169:8,9,1	253:2
12:13	career	0 254:21	0	254:5
candidly	20:13	274:17	CFO 14:10	274:10,13
125 : 20	115:9	causing		,16 275:7,19,
123.20	229:22	40:18	Chadwick	24
canvassed	238:7	81:17	22 : 18	276:3,7,1
63:13	careful	121:5	164:21	9,25
CAO 5:12	39:21		180 : 17	277:5,20
6:10 7:1	85:18	cautioned	181:6	277:3,20
23:9,14	113:13	126:16	192:16	
103:25	carefully	cautioning	266:17,24	_
107:23	213:8	230:23	267:5,13,	13:10
108:5		cautious	25	53:4,8
111:18	carried	252 : 14	chain 53:13	64:13
118:4	33:21		91:8,11,1	80:22
121:12	carry 11:16	centicure	5	129:22
122:20	65:12	151 : 8	110:13,18	143:17
127:13	131:4	CEO 165:25	111:6,23,	252:10,19
131:19	case 22:4	certain	25 117:15	253:3,9 254:21
146:18	28:24	11:1	237:17	260:3
149:21	51:18	20:1,23,2	chair	
151 : 9	59:23	4 33:12	178 : 25	changes
164:9	77:12	111:19		13:23
221:12	93:14	168:16	challenge	22:9,13
222:6,9,2	108:23		10:15	52:21

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD	06-26-2019	Page	292	of	345
------------------------	------------	------	-----	----	-----

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 06-26-2019	Page 292	OI 345
54:6,9	245:19	153:3,6,2	185:2,17,	206:9
55:2,9	258:10	4	23	
92:20	264:8	154:6,9,1	187:1,5,7	circumstanc
94:4,17		6,21	,14,18,24	es 113:3
168:22	checks	155:5,18	,25	115:19,21
169:7	144:4	156:3,11,	188:5,12,	citizens
180:14	Chenoweth	17,21	18	71:20
249:1	2:17 3:7	157:13,19	189:6,11,	
275:21	56 : 7	,23	19,24	city 6:9
277:3,17	58:9,12,1	158:1,7,2	190:6,7,1	10:16
	7,18,21,2	4	1,14,19,2	210.11
changing	2 59:1,7	159:3,6,1	0	274:22,23
130:10	60:23,24	0,13,23	191:13,18	CJ10011182
193:8	61:2	160:10,15	,24	243:13
241:24	62:4,5,14	,21	192:3,12,	CJI0011182
254:24	, 15	· ·	23	4:17
Chaplin	125:17,19	161:7,17, 21 162:1	193:16,25	243:25
145:14	127:1,15	163:8,12,	193:16,25	
	129:14,16			CJI0011188
chapter	,23	13,18,19 164:2	14	4:18
32:10	130:25		257:4,5	CJI0011189
74:25	131:5,10,	165:2,7,1	Chenoweth's	246:6
character	12,17,18,	1,16	128:22	
269:2	21,24	166:17	cheques	CJI11188
characteris	132:5,10,	167:1,22,	113:24,25	246:20
tic 103:7	15,18,22	25	237:10	CJI7337_001
103:7	133:3,11,	168:1,6,7		183:10
characteriz	14,18,23	,12,19,25	chief 1:7	clarificati
ation	134:10,17	169:1,20, 23	14:20	on 128:10
101:11	135:3,7,1	170:1,11,	64:14	134:25
charge 69:6	1,21	20	128:24	241:5
_	136:3,7,1	171:10,19	149:6	
charged	5,22	,23	255:19	clarificati
17:8	137:6,10,	172:5,12	chooses	ons
18:12,13	13,19,24	173:3,11,	146:3	242:14
30:6	138:7	15:3,11,	chose 143:5	clarified
charges	139:5,12	174:5,8,2	144:11	91:1
149:17	140:3,10,	1		
chart	15,21,22	175:1,12,	chosen	clarify
212:21	141:16,22	14,15,21	106:11	111:13
213:24	142:12	176:11,24	166:23	236:20
	143:5,23	177:24	168:10	clarifying
CHEC 106:18	144:24,25	178:3,7,1	Cindy	234:14
check 89:1	145:6,20,	3,18,22	101:20	clarity
170:12	24	179:15,21	109:12	50:10
173:12	146:3,6,8	180:5,16	114:18,19	
188:17	, 9 , 13	181:10,22	,20,23	Clark
204:15	147:2,15	182:9,14,	115:24	28:2,3,7,
checked	148:16	22	134:1,9,2	20
15:23	149:20,25	183:7,14	4 135:13	30:7,15,1
31:19	151 : 2	184:13,14	148:24	9 36:5
		, l		41:11

r re collingwoo	00-20-2019	Page 293	01 343
228:24	198:7,10	' s 8:23	156:4,22,
236:19	· ·		25
250:9	200:4		160:3,16
270:18	223:20		161:10
		96 : 22	179:16,24
		Collus 2:22	
		11:23	185:1,20
	code 255:22	12:18,24	186:6
	Col 100:9	•	188:7
	aa11aab	·	192:15
			198:20
	130:11	· ·	201:10,17
	collided		203:17
	96:20		204:7
	97:15		204:7
	99:13		215:25
176:7	colliding	·	216:14
223:6,13,	_		222:14,17
15,19			
224:4	_		226:18 267:15
240:22	10:3 32:6		274:5
249:8	Collingwood		
259 : 2,3	_		Collus-
Clerk's			PowerStre
			am 11:18
			12:18
		·	81:6,19
		·	89:13,18
256:10		•	165:25
clients	79:2 99:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	222:17
112:10,11	117:24		Collus's
155:25	119:23,25		106:10
201:14,15	122:8		
clipping	150:8	·	colour
	154:1		251:18
	156:24		comes 64:18
	180:6	•	96:10
162:11	189:20	· ·	158:3
closely	198:8,9	135:23	275 : 3
217:9	201:8,13,	136:23	comfortable
closing	15	138:14	35:6
_	204:6,9,1	139:8	269:12
	0 206:14		270:9
	226:22	141:2,24	275:2 , 9
	234:6	148:21	
	243:16	150:2,9	coming 5:24
1 + - / + - /	246:18	151:4,6,1	26:8
20 21 22	240.10	101.1,0,1	· -
20,21,22	250:11	8 152:16	105:10
44:1			112:18
44:1 186:25	250:11	8 152:16	112:18 136:2,4
44:1	250:11 262:12	8 152:16 153:18	112:18
	228:24 236:19 250:9 270:18 272:23 clerk 13:6 27:20 37:4,8,13 43:18 77:21 89:24 90:11 117:1,2,3 ,4,10,15, 16 118:19 176:7 223:6,13, 15,19 224:4 240:22 249:8 259:2,3 Clerk's 223:18 client 128:24 256:10 clients 112:10,11 155:25 201:14,15 clipping 263:12 close 43:13 162:11 closely	228:24 236:19 250:9 270:18 270:18 272:23 clerk 13:6 27:20 37:4,8,13 43:18 77:21 89:24 90:11 117:1,2,3 ,4,10,15, 16 118:19 176:7 223:6,13, 15,19 224:4 240:22 249:8 259:2,3 Clerk's 223:18 client 128:24 256:10 clients 128:24 256:10 clients 112:10,11 155:25 201:14,15 clipping 263:12 close 43:13 162:11 closing 27:11 31:23,24, 25 43:11,13, 15,18,19, 200:4 249:8 259:2,3 Clerk's 219 5:13,24 66:2,3 76:10 79:2 99:6 117:24 119:23,25 122:8 150:8 154:1 156:24 180:6 189:20 198:8,9 217:9 217:9 201:8,13, 15 204:6,9,1 0 206:14 226:22 234:6 243:11,13, 15,18,19,	236:19

111,001111		OD 00 20 2013	9	01 040
234:6	61:23	20:3	completely	121:5,8
256:6	63:1,4,15	22:19	77:18	123:18
278:8	64:8 66:2	88 : 9	completing	124:22,24
commencing	144:6	141:7	195:12	132:2,3
5:1	169:13	152:4,6		144:7
	171 : 12	259 : 4	complexity	151 : 23
comment	258:24	company	80:14	152:2 , 20
35:17	commissione	9:21	164:24	156:4,7,1
48:14	d 9:17,18	12:13,25	complicated	2 157:20
67:12	11:15	71:6,15,1	73:23	161:2,3,4
89:14,18	75:8	6 73:11	74:1 96:8	184:16,20
159:14	78:21	74:1	152 : 22	185:8
163:14	130:14	76:13,14		186:19
177:21	140:4,8	88:1	complied 258:21	189:3,7,1
178:15	192:25	98:23	Z38 : Z1	0 223:23
179:19	195:3	107:11	complies	231:3
180:13,17		108:22	266:2	249:19
182:11,17	Commissione	141:6,14	component	266:22
208:17,20	r 126:24	142:22	236:14	267 : 17
214:21	commissioni	152:15		concerned
229:14	ng	224:12,13	comprehensi	14:24
241:22	8:14,21	226:2,4	ve 29:19	24:3,17
247:3	87 : 10	229:5	37:1	28:1,10,2
251:3	committee	262:4	231:18	4 34:3,23
269:4	13:4	263:1	computer	37 : 20
commented	209:3	comparables	239:7,18	47 : 4
91:1	213:2	261:8	con 147:10	50:18
comments	218:15		conceded	56:11
13:18		compared		57:4 61:6
53:18	common	10:9	220:19	63:17 , 25
56:8	70:10	comparison	conceive	65 : 5
91:6,10	139:6	9:24	229:14	66:11
109:13	commonsense	competing	concept	115:1 , 23
158:16	73:1	250:16	96 : 21	123 : 15
162:6	communicate		173 : 4	145:13
177:9	122:7	complained		151:25
179:17		213:19	concepts 157:20	152 : 23
180:10,19	communicati	complaining	137:20	156:14
181:15	on 95:10	109:12	concern	175:3
182:3,6,2	communicati	193:17	17:11	193:12
5	ons	complete	20 : 25	194:7
189:12,21	248:9,19	21:5 75:4	21:11	276:10
248:11	249:8,9	183:24	37:16	concerning
251:3	communicato	184:18	55:1	38:19
256:22	rs 253:4	223:19	62:5,23	162:18
commercial		279:7	70:2 71:5	231:5
158:13	community		80:13	concerns
159:18	33:11	completed	89:5	13:22
commission	252 : 17	75:2	93:19	58:2
57:8	companies	158:12	96:6	67 : 21
57.0	_		102:22	

	i ie eellinewe	OD 00 20 2019		01 343
68:11,15	76:6	106:2	consolidati	184:16
70:2	78:25	conflicts	on 270:20	185:8,18
80:19	80:11,20	250:22	constant	186:7,8,2
90:8	90:5	264:9	117:7	2
92:11,18	93:10		250:23	188:6,10,
93:23	95:5,8	confrontati	267:1	17 190:25
141:1	105:18,20	on 47:10		191:22
150:18	182:8	confused	constantly	192:9
153:9	183:1	162:23	49:11	193:19,23
160:17,22	191:6	167:8	73:6	204:15
,23 161:6	192:18	273 : 2	75:18	239:24
165:24	194:8	confusing	92:17	240:24
179:23	concurred	151:21,24	consternati	241:14,16
183:1	107:11		on 139:16	242:4,6
193:18		confusion	142:4	243:9
194:10	conditions	55 : 6	188:21	265:18,24
conclu 28:6	73:2	152 : 7		266:2,10
	262:16	connection	constituted	consultants
conclude	conduct	198:10	46:6	20:12
49:15	8:23	200:8	constrains	92:14,15
81:11	258:7	210:1	188:9	141:18
82:2	264:2		construct	142:13
121:21,22	conducted	consensus	98:6	155:24
148:13	183:22	105:22		156 : 2
230:4	201:2	consider	constructed	192:21
concluded	260:25	48:10	143:1	240:2,16,
9:10		69 : 7	147:10	24
12:20	conducting	122:21,23	158:17	257:19,20
176:23	106:20	considerati	constructiv	258:19,21
181:8	confidentia	on 12:12	e 251:12	, 25
conclusion	1 238:25	20:20	275 : 25	259:15
19:8	239:2	76 : 17	consult	consultant'
80:15	confirm	94:18	260:8	s 183:8
106:6	201:19	241:10		191:3
122:1	239:18	270 : 21	consultant	229:7
152:9	274:7	considered	13:7,9,15	
154:15		47:14	,16,21,23	Consultants
166:15	confirmed	93:6	21:16	258:2
171:14	80:11,20	199:10	22:7	consultatio
188:8	81:1	235:11	92:21	n 158:10
195:25	254:4	269:7	97:7	
241:17	255:19		142:8	consulting 8:23 93:1
conclusions	conflict	considering	143:9	141:6,7,1
10:13	20:2	46:19	149:15	4 142:21
19:20	263:3	consistent	155:23	143:14
20:5	conflicted	129:2	179:9,11 180:11,12	152:4
22:21	88:16,18	229:21	181:2,7	229:15
25:9	264:10	consolidate	182:2,6,1	241:19
1	1	COMBOTTUALE	104.4,0,1	2 1 1 · 1 · J
67:19,25		A 21.12	8-23	242:7
67:19,25 68:2,12	conflicting	d 81:13	8,23 183:3	242:7 260:8,22

INQUIRI	. TE COLLINGWOO.	D 00-20-2019	rage 290	01 343
262:11	96:19	9:10	272:17	110:2
264:24	101:1	139:18	copy 15:5	111:10
consummated	107:5	contract	27:23	118:16
160:24	132:7	7:21,23	43:22	124:6
162:2	197:3,14,	147:18	44:3,4,5	150:1
	17	151:13	185:18	158:19
contact	continually		195:6	159 : 5
201:23,24	217:1	contracted	205:3	165:10
contacted	221:1	141:15	221:9	178 : 2
114:23		contractor	222:25	180:21
232:12,15	continue	7:14	226:8	191:17
contacting	29:9 64:8 65:12	contracts	227:24	195:7
264:5	76:5 92:4	152:12	228:11	196:18
	128:13		cordial	198:25
contain	183:25	contradict	101:22	199:4,11,
191:4		242:21		19,23
contained	continued	contrary	core 215:3	202:24
136:8	30:13	271:8	260:19	203:1,17
157:21	39:9 41:3	control	Corp 66:3,4	205:4,9,1
159:15	44:9	47:16	198:8	0,12,22
163:23	48:1,2	77:17,18,	201:11	209:19,20
245:7	65 : 15	19 79:3,6		,23 210:2
contemplate	68:22	•	<pre>corporate 28:13,14,</pre>	211:6,13,
142:9	74:3	controversy	16 29:13	19 212:22
	85:20	248:7	66:19	213:11,12
contemplate	113:13	254:19,22	67:2,9,13	,14 214:19
d 23:13	140:21	convenient	70:9	214:19
50:4,6	144:24	187:13	155:20	219:10
contemplate	146:8	278 : 22	158:3	220:3,4,1
s 23:20	163:18 167:25	conversatio		2
26:25	168:6,25	n 30:15	corporation	221:3,10,
45:18	175:14	115:23	2:8,23	13,18,22
contemporar	184:13	172:1	38:14 79:19	222:6,15
y 155:20	187:24	conversatio		226:13
274:9	190:19	ns 17:24	139:2 164:25	227:4,21
	200:23	18:5,9	198:9,20	228:1
content	218:5	30:19	266:16	230:11
145:7 182:20	231:1	33:5 41:5		231:5
187:14	235:5	59:10	Corporation	232:13
214:11	239:5		s 165:1	240:4,7
235:18	244:4	coo 12:7	correct	259:10
	246:24	Cooper 2:13	5:15,16,2	268:9
contents	247:20	cooperative	0,21	279 : 14
3:1	255 : 7	205:21	6:18,19	corrected
266:22	256:20		8:16,17,2	200:3
contested	257 : 15	copied	5 9:1	
13:2	263:19	117:3,15	16:3,9	correcting
context	274:1	copies	21:19	202:16
79:11,24	277 : 7	213:3	49:5	corrections
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	continuing		83:5,6	93:4,11,2

1112011(1	. Le COLLINGWOC	9B 00 20 2019	rage 297	01 010
2 179:8	12:16	24 178:14	276:12	covered
180:23	13:14	188:25	counsel	145:17
correctly	20:20	189:22	2:3,5,11,	covering
19:4	21:21	192:22	15 56:19	185:13,16
234:7	22:1,2	196:3	57:7 61:6	
235:13,25	23:5,11,2	215:6	63:23	CP 147:13
258:1	0,22	216:6,15	64:7,8	CPS0005431
	25 : 17	218:15,17	184:4	4:6
correctness	28:11	,19	238:18	CPS0007337
209:9	29:1,19	221:15	239:8	0001 4:9
	33:7,12	225:21	counsellor	
corresponde	48:11,14	226:5,9	171:13	CPS0007764_
nce 36:5	55:17	227:6,20,		0001 4:5
205:2	66:14,25	25	counted	CPS2342
cost 99:9	67:23 68:5,6,8,	228:3,14 229:4,17,	182:14	219:13
124:7	17,20	24 242:19	counter	CPS5431
132:9	69:11,23	243:19	64:2	52:8
137:4	71:2,5,18	244:25	countries	53 : 12
143:18	,23	245:5,12,	6:1	177 : 2
147:21	72:6,7,9,	24 246:1		
148:9	11	249:2,4	couple	CPS7337_000
costed	73:3,6,9,	251:10	18:8,9 91:15	1 264:18
12:23	21,22	252:3,4	102:9	CPS7337_1
cost-	74:5,15,1	253 : 7	111:3	200:10
effective	6 79:14	262:2,3,7	112:18	CPS7764
146:25	84:22	, 22	205:14	52 : 25
	87:11	265:12,19	278:2	CPS7764 1
costing	88:22	,22		49:19
15:18	89:9,12,1	266:4,11,	course	
costs 12:24	9 , 23	15,21	14:20	CPU's
17:21	90:3,7,13	267:14,20	24:14	266:15
18:23	, 19	,21,24	38:17 63:5	CPUSB 11:19
19:3,10,1	98:16,17,	272:1,9,1	69:14	12:5,17
3 47:20	25 99:1	1,17,23	99:1	20:4,9
97:16,18,	107:9	273:9,10,	127:17	21:24
19,21	109:13,25	11 276:14	158:8	22:3,18
102:20	122:1	277:8,11,	162:23	23:18
123:19,20	132:19	12,21	171:12	140:14
,21,24	135:24	Councillor	184:20	143:13
136:11,13	136:16,24	22:18	251:9,10	189:1,4
142:25	138:6 143:20,21	councillors	·	191:10
146:16	143:20,21	201:7	court 45:11 46:4	created
147:23	150:21	204:6	46:4 63:22	81:21
155:2,3	156:24	Councils	65:22	147:23
Council	164:20	7:23	244:11	creating
1:18 6:24	166:8		257:16	14:12
7:20,22	170:12,14	Council's		48:20
10:14,20,	,19 171:9	161:15	cover	96:19
22,24	173:5,12,	189:3	130:16	276 : 25
	, ,			

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 00 20 2013	raye 290	01 343
creation	subsidiza	208:5	142:3	161:15
54:2	tion	257 : 17	150:4,14	173:24
credibility	125:2	dated 25:16	158 : 17	215:22
241:20		26:24	162:3	226:2,3
	cross-	27:7,9	169:20,21	227 : 6
credible	subsidy	195:20	171:11	229:24
139:4	102:18,21	206:9	205:24	250 : 19
253:22	123:9	208:6	250 : 22	266:6
254:1	124:15	227:11	dealing	272:11
266:2	CROZIER	246:16	29:20	decisions
criteria	158:15		62:23	99:9
10:7	crystal	dates	102:12	164:5,18
73:10	258:13	209:22,23	104:12	209:2
ami ti aa 1		David 26:1	188:1	214:16,18
critical 15:15	cultural	90:24		
67:4	275:21	day 34:6	dealings	declared
241:13	278:2	65:10	234:12	54:1
	currency	72:7	238:24	decline
criticism	196:1,6	111:20	deals 87:9	75 : 25
192:14	current	114:16	dealt 64:21	dedicated
criticize	17:20	119:3,4	90:8	228:21
193:13	23:8	161:5	93:25	
	24:19	212:3,11,	125:16,22	deeper
criticized	34:17	18	172:22	60:17
13:15	75 : 7	213:8,23	197:3,7,1	defence
21:21 91:1	98:12	214:2	6 199:16	129:21
164:21	117 : 6		240:16	defer 23:7
191:22	195:21,24	days		96:18
193:2	196:2,22,	34:8,13,1	debt	
194:3	24	6 52:11	9:13,14	define
	201:7,9	61:14	11:3	79:22
cross 61:15	259:22	63:24	decade	definitely
123:15	cut 217:24	72:21,23	251:11	230:1
124:9		75:15	December	263:17
126:17	Cynthia	de 147:22	46:9,21	definition
129:25	145:14	deal 29:15		157:3
130:3		33:2	decide	
139:3,6,8	D	42:10	68 : 20	definitive
cross-	damaging	64:20	176:19	133:9
examinati	85 : 16	66:16	215:22 276:12	258:14
on	Dan 26:10	69:5 70:9		degree
3:7,8,9	205:7	71:2 72:7	decided	271 : 8
61:20,25		73:15	11:25	delay 48:8
64:11,13,	data 99:9	77:10	36:24	_
23	date 57:6,7	81:19	105:23	delegated
125:17,21	74:2	84:22	194:14	68:17
131:17	117:7	90:14	209:5	173:18
194:22	134:22	103:4	decision	delete
233:22	174 : 15	125:15	40:15	122:14,18
cross-	183:24	138:17	109:14	deleted
				2016080

INQUIRI	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 00-20-2013	rage 299	01 343
120:18,19	described	11:1 15:1	90:12,17	277:8
,21,24	100:8	31:16	difficult	directions
delivered	101:9	49:11,16	15 : 25	235:22
19:25	106:4	61:15	70:15 , 16	236:1
21:9	115:21	150:22	72 : 15	directly
65:24	172 : 8	developed	73 : 23	53 : 23
83:8	205:20	17:21	99:22	141:15
90:23	describes	26:15	100:17	178:14
delivers	9:8 36:4	47:23	103:4	237:13
109:24	44:15	67 : 5	105:2	
	110:11	132:1	109:11	director
delivery	describing	152 : 22	113:7	241:3
19:12	44:24	156:12	114:9	directors
demonstrate		201:22	193:9	72 : 10
255:17	description	developing	difficulty	98:21
demonstrate	4:2 47:5	13:7	20:23	disadvantag
d 255:24	139:16	31:14	88:14	e 124:16
	designed		95:20	e 124 : 16
257:17	138:17	development	101:17	disagree
Dennis 88:8	188:2	158:9	102:11	273:14
177:13	desk 206:16	159:21	142:9,11	disagreed
178:4		165:17	·	249:11
202:5,8,1	detail	226:21	digested	
8 204:5	53:10	229:22	214:3	disagreemen
departed	65 : 8	DFA 78:23	dinner	t 250:2
15:19	136:10	diary	33:7,8	disagrees
4	137:2	114:22	dip 113:13	53:18
department	169:13		_	disappointe
33:5 76:20	detailed	difference	direct 18:5	d 49:14
76:20	10:5	251 : 23	45:11	
departments	147:21	differences	52:2	disclaimer
96:18	277:16	250:22	102:13,14	183:9,14,
depending	details	251:17	143:4,18	16 185:4
240:18	38:8	269:16	146:25	265:1,15,
donondo	120:4	different	directed	16 , 23
depends 112:15	137:20	6:1 24:15	25:18	disclose
157:2	157:7	53:8	56:18	121:8
232:23	159:22	63:19	173 : 17	162:16
	232:12	77 : 5	277:11	disclosed
depiction	247:13	87 : 17	direction	224:15
180:2	248:15	88:9	23:24	244:13,24
deposited	256:10,12	99:12	69 : 23	247:9
224:11	258:22	112:3	91:22	
deputy 7:16	determine	148:14	99:7	disclosure
26:10	19:2 30:5	241:25	151 : 5	246:6
117:6,11	239:8	243:7	189:4	discontinui
205:8		256:14	193:22	ng 30:8
	detrimental	258 : 4	238:9	discounted
deregulatio	109:7	differently	240:3	182:19
n 87:24	develop		275 : 4	
i e				

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOOD		Page 300	<u> </u>
discourse	160:6	235:9	90:25	151 : 7
25 : 24	177:13	documentati	249:16,18	162:13
discover	249:24	on 36:24	drafts 13:7	172 : 17
172:18	dispute	209:9,25	179:2	176:1
195:17	29:6	·	drag 257:4	177:1
discovered	256:10,12	documented 229:16	_	179:5
78:9	disrespect		dramatic	192:6
	278:13	documents	275 : 21	193:6
discovery		20:24	draw 121:25	202:4
42:5	distraught	27:11,12	drawer 15:6	214:23
discovery-	249:10	35:9		225.20
type 50:7	distressed	123:8	drew 105:19	228:6
discrete	85:2	138:1,3	drive	231:3,25
124:8	distressing	159:8,11, 15 183:21	238:19	234:3
	99:20	206:22	239:7	235:10
discuss	disturbed	206:22	due 274:6	237:4,20
111:8	275:8	231:19		239:23
120:2		265:6	Dunn	251:5
126:16	dividend		59:15,23	264:22
discussed	154:3	dollar	during	271:9
45:21	dock 246:19	244:15	46:22	272:19
56 : 25	document	dollars	53:22	eased 68:10
90:6	8:1 9:3	35:1	64:24	
107:25	11:8	done 69:5	114:8	easiest
109:1	15:5,9,10	71:2	125:12	146:25
173:5	,11,12,14	79:12,19	238:17,20	easily
176:8	,17,21	86:7	245:20	63:13
227:8	17:2,6	99:10,23,	257:20,23	73:25
248:25	19:18	24	261:23	200:3
discusses	22:16	138:19,21	271:9	222:13,20
44:12	35 : 24	141:8	dysfunction	223:2
75:1	41:6	144:9	108:2	easy 225:1
discussing	44:11	158:10	dysfunction	Ed 101:19
51:19	54:3	161:19	al 65:2	177:11,15
110:23	65 : 17	181:16	100:11	232:6
237:20	74 : 25	183:3	101:10,12	
discussion	78:16	184:15	, 25	Ed's 177:8
26:1 27:1	83:24	261:21	103:23	Edwin 2:17
103:1	87:4 95:3	262:4	250:13,16	effect 7:10
227:3	110:6,23	275:12,13 276:24		14:19
249:14	112:22,23		E	87 : 24
discussions	130:16	doubt	earlier	108:23
7:13	146:20 168:3	130:22	19:2	120:22
11:24	181:17	206:20	46:13	145:10
17:16	189:15	207:6	56:13	148:20
26:4,7,9,	199:10,25	239:21	64:4 84:3	165:24
20.1,7,3	217:19	draft 13:8	118:10	240:13
48:2,3,16	226:7	21:17	129:17	effectively
143:10	228:13,15	89:12,22	142:11	
	,,			

~ -				
236:2	232:15	239:9,13	152 : 6	15 : 12
ЕНН0000118.	241:18	248:21	employers	enquiring
0001 4:10	260:1	emailed	244:14	165:4,8,9
EHH118.1	272:13	110:22	enabled	171:12
189:23	email 42:23	111:24	216:6	entered
	44:15,17,	emails		48:24
eight 62:1	22	18:2,8	encloses	147:18
88:9	45:17,21	37 : 15	27 : 6	
either 6:8	49:24	39:7	enclosure	entering 48:17
18:5 44:7	50:4	53:13	27 : 8	
80:24	52:9,18	63:24	encouraged	entire
128:21	53:13,17	105:3,6,8	170:14	91:15,22
181:3	91:7,11,1	,13	endorsed	entities
190:25	5,16 92:1 94:11	109:15	230:2	20:4
213:9	96:5	113:19	273:9	entitled
elected	100:22	117:4		39:4
254:2	110:11,13	143:11	energy	65:25
276:12	,18,19,20	176:25	88:3,15	103:16
election	111:6,21,	238:10,21	161:24	222:11
252 : 6	23	239:9	198:21	224:5
electrical	112:16,17	278 : 5	264:5	251:3
168:22	,19	embark	enforceable	entitlement
169:8	115:10,11	229:5,17	46:7	111:19
226:21	, 12	embrace	engage	118:5
	117:15,22	275:24	274:17	122:13
electricity	118:6,14,	278:3	engaged	238:1
168:23	20	embraced	99 : 20	
element	119:8,16,	278:1	276:17	entry 198 : 21
15:15	17			198:21
54:18	120:16,24	embracing	engagement	enumerated
99:17	122:5,14,	276 : 3	260:25 268:23	8:10
elements	22,25	emerged		EPCOR 110:2
11:1	177:3	64:25	engaging	162:12,24
42:10	206:8,18 208:4,6,1	emp 31:7	239:24	230:8,11
91:2	2,18	empathize	engineering	equates
else 17:6	209:14	276:6	241:2,3	6 : 10
18:11	212:1,21		enjoyed	erosion
30:21	213:7	emphasize	251:11	95:10,16,
31:12	214:7,11,	265:12,14		19 101:6
55 : 22	14 216:5	empirical	enlighten	
85 : 12	217:17	99:9	215:22	errors
98:24	218:9	employee	enlightened	13:15
113:12	221:17	129:7,8	51:16	21:23
116:24	227:10,18	142:22	enliven	93:4
119:7	235:11,17	employees	126:24	179:3,11
147:5,25	236:1,16	14:7	enquired	180:1,8,2 0,23
170:17	237:17,18	109:2	17:14	241:7
181:9	238:3,8,9	151:20		242:13
216:19,23	,10	101.20	enquiries	747.10

especially	117:12	57 : 2	3:6 5:8	15 : 12
72:24	133:2	59:7,14,1	examine	24:22
155:12	events/	7	18:21	138:5
158:23	meetings	60:9,11,1	67:10	161:9
237:3	210:1	3		186:24
essence	210:1	63:7,9,18	examined	199:18
80:11	eventual	64:2,18	24:13	200:4
81:7 82:3	272 : 25	65:6 99:8	examining	existing
134:1	eventually	126:17	61:16	26:17
	26:13	130:1	99:8	163:23
essentially	33:17	138:8,12	166:10	
14:8	88:19	139:21,23		exists 47:1
establish	149:18	140:11,16	example 210:9	exit 47:2,8
170:12	162:7	142:11	255 : 22	109:21
173:23	171 : 9	144:17	255:22	ormand
a a t a b l i a b m a	179:16	150:2,4	examples	expand 270:20
establishme	181:16	153:8,10	91:24	2/0:20
nt 209:4	277:4	154:4	exception	expect
estimated	everybody	157:6	130:17	128:13
82:6	13:22	159:16		216:13
et	31:12	162:18	excess	223:22
63:3,8,11	32:3	175:17	181:14	225:25
133:16	77:15	197:22	exchanges	233:2
155:7	88:16	225:9,20,	105:1	expected
169:8,9,1	98:13	23 , 25	114:9	21:6
0	103:8	228:6	exclusive	39:12
	147:19	231:3	124:9	86:20
evaluated	179:18	279 : 7		143:15
71:2 148:9	180:25	evidence-	excuse	223:14
	199:22	in-chief	152 : 12	experience
170:9,10, 18 171:8	201:21	148:18	175:6	5:23 6:7
18 1/1:8	248:10,16	evolution	234:19	28:19
evaluation	271:24	96:16	executive	39:18
18:23			128:24	72:3 77:6
107:3	everybody's	evolved	215:25	90:2
147:21	276:7,9,1	251:14	216:14	101:21
event 23:19	0	ex 184:19	exercise	113:12
125:25	everything	exact 7:5	35 : 2	130:21
135:12	72:1	274:20	Exhibit 4:2	275:12 , 15
136:16	117:5			
138:8	119:2	exactly	Exhibits	experienced 42:7 88:3
145:9	130:16	112:10	3:3 4:1	122:3
161:8	147:17	166:5	exist 69:8	130:20
185:13	277:11	197:11	70:3	150:20
255:12	everywhere	277 : 5		152:3
events	37 : 2	examination	existed	
36:23	66:21	126:18	66:15	experiencin
69:21	evidence	255 : 7	143:21 186:4	g 50:11
87:11	56:10,12,	Examination		expertise
95:12	14,23	-in-Chief	existence	226:18
	14,43	-in-chiel		· · - ·

INQUIRI	. 1e COLLINGWOO	DD 00-20-2019	Page 303	01 343
expired	232:11	57:19	99:19	23:4
15:11	235:23	166:1	factual	89:9,11
19:25	236:25	184:16	53:19	fee
explain	250:10	185:9	180:1,20	162:4,17,
6:21 9:16	277:15,16	238:24	182:25	19
14:10	explanation	extremely	192:17	
66:5	s 224:6	205:23	193:17	feedback
78:12		253:15	194:7	13:21
141:10	explore	200.10		22:17
156:1	26:16		fail 254:24	24:4 48:9
181:4	57 : 8	F	fair 85:24	52:14,17
229:4	109:25	fact 19:25	122:2	89:14
232:1	exposed	32:8 57:4	133:4	92:18
236:11	229:22	79:5	135:8	93:2,17
240:15		98:19	148:21	94:1,10
246:2	exposure	124:15	154:10	97 : 10
247:4	46:10	133:25	184:15	179:2,6
255:5	express	146:15	185 : 7	241:8
	184:19	149:2,9	189:8	feel 51:3
explained	185:8	159:17	191:20	155:6
21:14	expressed	160:24	192:18,24	165:19
29:2	21:1,11	165:19	274:18	244:19
54:20	152:18	170:13	fairly	247:21
77:18	157:20	182:23	130:23	265:22
87:11	184:22	185:5		278:7
117:12	231:2,25	186:1,12	fall 38:9	feels 91:22
152:16	· ·	200:4	132:25	177:5,6
156:9,13	expressing	202:7	133:4,6,1	233:13
164:12	249:19	213:9	6,19	
172:16	expropriate	215:14,17	135:4	fees 143:6
178:16	d 98:23	220:19	familiar	feet 58:5
179:5	ormungo	221:5	49:2	
180:24	expunge 111:21	222:12	110:12	fell 64:10
191:12	119:17	223:11		felt 98:22
192:6	120:15	224:3	farther	147:20
193:6	120:13	227:8	200:20	177:19
214:23	235:17	228:25	fast 205:16	178:18
237:23		231:8	fate 193:1	249:22
245:12,25	expunged	239:20	194:1	female
262:1,15	120:20	240:9		104:8
264:4	expunging	245:5 247:10	fault	
270:25	39:7	250:14	104:13	females
271:19		252:13,15	favour	104:7
explaining	extending 46:8	256:13	227:6	fiduciary
64:16		256:13	FDI 35:8	17 : 13
explanation	extensive	268:8		101:1
166:22	127:18	270:19	feathers	149:8
167:2	extensively	275:18	39:2	fifth
168:8,9	266:25	276:11	178:11	211:22
171:5			188:21	
	extent 29:3	factor	February	fifty
1	ı		_	

111201111	I IC CODDINGWOO		1 agc 304	
180:18	,24	firewall	158:2	force
181:14	11:2,6	40:1	160:7	173:17 , 23
182:2,7,1	17 : 12	firm 12:12	161:1,2	217:10
1,24	32 : 25	87:17	167:12,16	223:16,18
figure 31:2	46:9	90:7	,18 170:5	224:21
115:4	79:13	112:25	178:15	forced
138:18	96:17	163:5	207:14	241 : 18
	100:3	262:11	210:10	
file 37:5	107:5		226:16	forces
38:14	132:4,12	Firman	231:8,13	97:14
41:14,20	140:23,25	11:24	244:16	forget
69:6	150:13,23	12:7	255:18	252:3
107:2	151:5	13:6,14,1	261:1,2	260:1
110:23	152:11	8	272:4,21	
113:3	153:9,18	21:16,20	274:22	forgot 78:10
223:7,8,1	154:18	178:24	firstly	
9 231:18	229:11	179:7,10	190:13,15	forgotten
filed	financially	191:12,14	235:21	120:4
146:16	10:9	, 19 , 25		132:12
156:23	229:9	192:10,12	fiscal	249:17
244:15		,20	229:18	format
files	financials	Firman's	Fisher 2:9	217:20
37:8,10,1	133:5,12	192:7	fit 204:11	241:24
1 38:13	136:23	firms 12:13		
78:11	141:24		fitted	formed
	142:14	FIRs 9:22	172:4	199:10
107.16 17		7.22	1/2.4	
107:16,17 208:23	finding	first 8:19	five	former
208:23	finding 148:19			former 163:5
208:23 fill	148:19	first 8:19	five	former
208:23	148:19 findings	first 8:19 32:21,24	five 7:17,18	former 163:5 201:7,9
208:23 fill	148:19 findings 10:19	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4	five 7:17,18 70:13	<pre>former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6</pre>
208:23 fill 243:15,19	148:19 findings	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10,	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10,	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13 211:22	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish 274:15	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7 142:8	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed 32:25 folks 258:8	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16 forwarded
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13 211:22	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish 274:15 276:22	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7 142:8 143:22	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed 32:25 folks 258:8 follow-up	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13 211:22 finance 9:9	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish 274:15 276:22 finished	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7 142:8 143:22 144:21	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed 32:25 folks 258:8 follow-up 170:24	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16 forwarded
### 208:23 fill	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish 274:15 276:22	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7 142:8 143:22 144:21 150:14,25	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed 32:25 folks 258:8 follow-up 170:24 172:14	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16 forwarded 212:4
208:23 fill 243:15,19 final 27:11 93:6 94:22 95:6 161:8 176:5,10, 12 finalized 22:12 52:6 finally 7:24 13:13 211:22 finance 9:9 financial 8:24	148:19 findings 10:19 63:4,21 89:9 fine 45:14 81:20 104:3 128:4 184:10 185:24 199:6 217:22 233:19 235:3 278:24 finish 274:15 276:22 finished	first 8:19 32:21,24 33:4 34:22,23 57:22 76:19,20 77:22 82:21 90:7,13 92:9 98:1 106:15 110:19 115:10,13 130:13 131:8,11 132:3,11 133:12,24 134:7 140:7 142:8 143:22 144:21	five 7:17,18 70:13 143:25 146:15 148:1 flavour 270:5 flaws 191:8 flexible 128:1 flow 94:6 focus 193:22 focussed 32:25 folks 258:8 follow-up 170:24	former 163:5 201:7,9 forth 92:6 forty 6:6,7 78:8 82:11 forty-five 233:14 forum 264:2 forward 66:13 91:25 114:22 148:11 205:8,21 271:16 forwarded 212:4 foundation

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD 06-26-2019 Page 305 of 34	INOUIRY re	COLLINGWOOD	06-26-2019	Page	305	of	34
--	------------	-------------	------------	------	-----	----	----

INQUIRI	re COLLINGWOOD	D 06-26-2019	Page 305	OI 345
19:18	145:2,8	24	167:1,22,	150:11
22:16	146:2	132:5,10,	25	
35:9,24	162:9,14	15,18,22	168:1,6,7	Friday
41:6	163:1,6,1	133:3,11,	,12,19,25	61:14
44:11	0,15	14,18,23	169:1,20,	friend 58:4
65:17	167:4,11,	134:10,17	23	62:16
74:25	14,20	135:3,7,1	170:1,11,	144:15
78:16	168:18,20	1,21	20	230:23
87:4 95:3	175:6	136:3,7,1	171:10,19	272 : 18
110:6	184:2,9	5,22	,23	fro-ing
130:15	187:11,16	137:6,10,	172:5,12	130:18
226:20	189:17	13,19,24	173:3,11,	
	190:5,9,1	138:7	15	front
fourth	2,16	139:5,12	174:5,8,2	31:17,18
211:15	194:13,19	140:3,10,	1	35 : 17
246:2	200:17	15,21,22	175:1,12,	206:16
Frank 1:7	218:2	141:16,22	14,15,21	253:13
5:3 29:24	230:19,24	142:12	176:11,24	fruitful
30:2	232:19,24	143:5,23	177:24	150:6
40:2,5,10	233:3,18	144:24,25	178:3,7,1	
,14,17,21	234:19	145:6,20,	3,18,22	frustrated
43:9,14	235:2	24	179:15,21	16:14
56:1	239:1	146:6,8,9	180:5 , 16	73:4 102:3
57 : 15	243:20,23	,13	181:10,22	102:3
58:7,11,1	247:7,12,	147:2,15	182:9,14,	frustration
3,20,24	16 254:15	148:16	22	73:8
59:3,22,2	255:8,14	149:20,25	183:7,14	101:3
5 60:6,22	256:3,9,2	151:2	184:13,14	231:25
61:1,9	5	153:3,6,2	185:2,17,	frustration
62:3,6,12	257:3,9,1	4	23	s 100:19
64:6	2	154:6,9,1	187:1,5,7	
83:21,25	273:19,23	6,21	,14,18,24	Fryer 2:15
84:5	278:15,19	155:5,18	, 25	60:4,7,8
85:4,7,10	, 25 279 : 5	156:3,11,	188:5,12,	125:10
,14	frankly	17,21	18	127:16
123:6,11,	58:3	157:13,19	189:6,11,	131:6,10
14	142:3	,23	19,24	232:20,22
124:1,4,1	178:10	158:1,7,2	190:7,11,	233:1,11,
1,18,25		4	14,19,20	20
125:4,7,1	Frederick	159:3,6,1	191:13,18	full 186:9
4,23	2:17 3:7	0,13,23	,24	217:25
126:3,10,	56:7	160:10,15	192:3,12,	fullest
14	58:9,12,1	,21	23	57:9
127:5,9,2	8,22 59:1	161:7,17,	193:16,25	
1,24	60:24	21 162:1	194:6,11	fully 24:25
128:5,15,	62:5,15	163:8,13,	free 142:6	71:1
25	125:19	18,19	201:21,24	117:4
129:9,19	129:16,23	164:2	244:20	266:5
130:6	130:25	165:2,7,1	247:22	fulsome
131:2,9,1	131:5,12,	1,16		183:3
4 140:18	17,18,21,	166:17	fresh	function

INQUIRY	re	COLLINGWOOD	06-26-2019	Page	306	of	345

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 06-26-2019	Page 306	of 345
47:16	85:25	111:17	143:3	57 : 19
97:22,24	94:5	118:3	148:11	
124:9	100:5	121:3,20	169:12	group 20:3
160:2	126:16	124:23	208:22	47:25
	180:15	137:20	214:4	106:18
functional	184:3	146:25	252:8	174:4,6
100:14	240:22	147:1	263:6,8	205:14,18
fundamental	244:22,23	148:4,13	•	240:19
65:2	260:2	149:12	gonna	277:19
193:14		162:11,24	125:18	groups
223:23	generate	187:8	goodness	201:5
224:9	203:3	204:17	171:1	
	genesis	205:15		growth
fundamental	250:1	237:25	gotten	106:16,22
ly 65:11		259:20,21	34:16	, 25
80:22	gentleman	277:3	governance	107:24
94:6	56:21		14:16	108:3,16,
funds 11:3	81:23	gist 30:9	70:17 , 24	20,23
future	203:6	given 60:9	71:1,3	156:6,9,1
	268:19	112:23	73:3	4
10:16 35:6	gentlemen	113:1	98:18	225:10,22
	172:21	125:22	114:13	226:1
38:9,15	geographic	127:19	226:4	227:3,25
69:2,9,24	226:19	130:1	272:1	228:3,7
74:3		135:23	government	229:1,6,1
88:22	George 2:13	136:24	107:8	0,12,25
98:12	62:11	142:11		272:22
99:7,11	126:2,7,1	144:1	164:24 250:21	273:17
169:8	2 194:16	167:2	250:21	guarantee
184:1	254:9,12	176:4	232:10	183:22
238:10	255 : 1 , 6	179:16,18	grade	aa.ad
	256 : 18	186:9	216:21	guard 256 : 23
G	Georgian	201:23	great 41:25	
gain 230:10	226:23	213:20	75:24	guess 14:24
gained		230:25	94:25	15 : 19
223:2	Gervais	235:23	142:11	17:14
	193:1	266:4	174:16	19:11,13
Gajos 2:22	262:24		242:1	23:17
gather	263:24	giving	269:14	24:17
127:3	gets 250:12	59:14	277 : 20	25:9 34:4
139:20	275 : 8	112:23		38:5,24
gathered	getting	238:9	greater	40:24
206:12	12:2	Gmail	169:13	55 : 8
	39:1,3	110:22	greatest	72:13
general	43:25	111:24	37 : 23	77:7
137:11	59:14	116:14	greatly	80:12,19
152:20	88:14	117:18	28:10	84:20
generally	97:23	122:8		88:15
11:4	99:8	goals 11:5	green	92 : 20
13:24	105:5	275:5	129:23	96:11
18:8 24:4	109:18		Grosomoto	99:7
	100.10	gone 107:15		102:13

	. IC COHHINGWO		1 age 307	
104:13	hang 39:20	239:7	77:6	Herman
107:2	130:8	haven't	101:8	147:15,16
108:8	243:24	57 : 5	106:13	hers
109:12	happen 28:4	199:7	107:14,15	179:6,7
112:24	68:19,23	212:13	130:1	
114:1	70:13	234:11	143:22	herself
122:25	73:21	237:11	150 : 3	16:11
137:15	81:25	244:17	176:20	he's 40:6
142:18	173:25	271:11	243:1	46:23
151:1,10,	259:12		271 : 15	54:11
11 155:16	273:16,17	having 20:3	275 : 23	64:15
161:13	276:9	26:4	hearing	65:3
174:10		31:19	56:24	110:22,23
193:8	happened	39:2 55:5	63:7	127:16,17
194:9	21:8	67 : 6	164:7	128:21,22
200:1	25:14	75 : 19	235:23	181:7
222:22	42:2,14	78:7 93:6		196:9
223:4,22	68:14,24,	96:8	hearings	205:23
225:3	25 71:11	102:11	34:12	233:14
230:12	72:1	109:1	273:11	267:6
232:23	73:22	115:24	heart	268:18
236:7	79:5	137:20	269:10	
248:20	96:14,20	148:19		Hi 218:13
249:15,25	133:10	149:1	Heather 2:9	high 269:8
251:16	151:15	184:15	heavily	higher 9:13
267:23	152:1	217:8	193:2	_
274:20	166:4,5	231:25	he'd 182:3	highlighted
276:15	181:5	264:23	233:13	91:3
guidance	219:5	head 53:10		highly
253 : 19	248:6	130:22	held 1:16	194:3
	249:13	175:9	210:15	
guy 88:5	257:10	216:21	211:3	hire 92:14
269:15	277:2,6	217:3,5,7	help 51:17	240:23
guys 163:20	happy 64:25	259:2	219:4	258:7,15
5 2	67:12		235:19	260:10,14
Н	246:1,4	header	holmful	,21
half 169:15	254:18	212:1	helpful 85:15	261:25
233:2		heading		262:24
233:2	harassing	246:17	171:16	263:23
Hall 1:17	105:15	heads 33:5	184:15	hired
halted	harassment	76:21	205:20	12:11,13
152:24	104:21		254 : 25	20:13
	105:9	health 8:24	Henley	89:5
handled	hard 37:10	hear 33:9	75:1,4,8,	257:19 , 20
234:25	38:4	58 : 25	11 76:6	258:1
handwriting	66:21	90:13	261:23	259:9
83:4	78:7	127:15	262:19	260:4,10,
86:18		145:14	Henley's	16,17
	151:24	195:15	262:8	261:23
handwritten	158:16			262:2,8,1
86:23	238:19	heard 15:24	here's 96:4	1,12
		48:6,22		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO)D 06-26-2019	Page 308	OI 345
hiring 6:25	167:23	14,20	252:1,7	125:16
69 : 12	187:19	168:18,20	hot 191:19	169:24
259:6	190:8	175:6	216:7	hour 103:3
262:19	194:11	184:2,9	210:/	126:1
265:24	233:24	187:11,16	Houghton	l
	235:7	189:17	2:17	188:13,14
history	237:23	190:5,9,1	31:1,9	233:2
154:1	238:23	2,16	41:23	hours 61:14
224:19	254:9	194:13,19	43:22	130:2
245:9,17	278:11	200:17	44:2	HR 105:7
255 : 17		218:2	49:23	152:15
ho 252:7	HONOURABLE	230:19,24	50:10,21	245:19
Hogg 208:6	5:3 29:24	232:19,24	51:6,14,2	
209:17	30:2	233:3,18	5	huge 76:18
215:25	40:2,5,10	234:19	52:3,10,1	88:14
	,14,17,21	235:2	4,16	99:4
216:14	43:9,14	239:1	53:17,21	162:4,17
218:10,24	56:1	243:20,23	54:6	hundred
221:2,8,1	57:15	247:7,12,	55:1 , 9	106:12
,	58:7,11,1	16 254:15	56:16	112:21
222:13,19	3,20,24	255:8,14	57:19	119:4
,25	59:3,22,2	256:3,9,2	68:22,24	182:7
227:11	5 60:6,22	5	77:14	207:3
231:21	61:1,9	257:3,9,1	83:2,15	
Hogg's	62:3,6,12	2	86:12,25	hundreds
217:2	64:6	273:19,23	96:3	264:24
hold 58:25	83:21,25	278:15,19	148:25	hunt 169:6
66:11	84:5	,25 279:5	152:23	Hurontario
272:14	85:4,7,10		165:4,5,9	1:19
	,14	Honour's	,12,13,18	
holding	123:6,11,	194:17	166:6,11,	hy 102:18
273:11	14	hope 25:22	19 167:3	hydro 12:25
home 112:19	124:1,4,1	hoped 148:8	168:9,13,	71:13,15
113:22	1,18,25	noped 140.0	14	102:19
115:8,11,	125:4,7,1	hopeful	171:2,4	123:21,25
14 120:12	4,23	147:19	172:2,7	124:5,14,
235:15	126:3,10,	hopefully	175:2,4,1	16
Honour	14	114:24	7,23	226:2,3
56:7,11	127:5,9,2	134:23	176:6,9	229:5
58:10,16,	1,24	240:11	177:2,19	268:4
19,22	128:5,15,		186:5	
59:6	25	Horchik	216:19,23	
60:24	129:9,19	26:10	217:10	i.e 72:14
61:5	130:6	48:1	226:8	157:23
62:16	131:2,9,1	205:7,12	229:15	
125:9	4 140:18	hospital	231:21	Ian 180:16
128:9	145:2,8	248:6,7,1	232:15	I'd 56:8
129:17,24	146:2	2	271:24	76:21
145:7,24	162:9,14	250:7,11,	272:13	91:11
162:8	163:1,6,1	19	276:15	102:13
163:14	0,15	251:6 , 12	Houghton's	120:4
103.14	167:4,11,		noughton s	
1	J	<u>l</u>		I

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 06-26-2019	Page 309	OI 345
126:7	ignorant	104:7	7 246:1	123:12
127:20	108:14	105:8	251 : 19	
129:17		114:11	252:12,22	implication
131:7	I'll 29:9	117:20	,24 253:1	s 30:22
185:3	39:6	120:10	254:15,16	229:11
235:18	41:25	121:11,20	255:17	implicit
246:4	58 : 25	122:2	258:13	254:20
250:5	64:20	123:2	259:3	
264:17	67 : 12	125:20,24	263:9	import
274:6	126:5,8	,25 127:7	264:19	62:24
	130:4	128:1	266:16	important
ID 246:19	131:2	129:10,14	269:12	47:15
idea 12:1	135:5	,24	272:11	48:10
32:9	138:8	130:7,25	273:2,15	51:2 53:5
33:11	145:21	133:1,10	273:2,13	54:17
139:11	168:15	136:7	274:19	69 : 25
154 : 24	239:25	137:23	277.8	81:5
155:1	252:14	137:23	279:24	102:17
156:6,9	256:16		2/9:2,4	108:10
174:9,10,	269:23	139:13	imagine	150:14
16 231:10	278:20	140:22	103:19	245:16
242:1	illustrated	145:6,15,	199:21	
243:1	154:11	18	imagined	imposed
270:20		153:3,4	216:22	188:10
272:8	I'm 7:12	164:2,3		impossible
	17 : 15	165:12	immediate	34:7
ideal 77:7	23:16	167:4,8	24:18	impression
ideas 241:6	25:7,13	168:16	impact	225:3
242:6,7,2	26:22	169:11	61 : 24	
4	27:19	171:13	66 : 8	improper
: damb: 6: ab1	28:12	184:11	70:11	144:2
identifiabl	29:7 30:3	185:4,18	107:5	inaccuracie
e 234:24	35:3,4	187:12,14	196:11	s 22:5
identified	39:1,2	188:12	229:18	180:1
19:20	40:20	189:11,14		191 : 5
20:1	45:9 50:5	,25 190:7	impacted	192:17
67 : 20	54:13,14	194:24	29:21	193:17
95:9	55:24	195:10	193:12	194:7
101:6	56:11,20	200:16	impetus	
124:8	57:4,23	202:22	166:20	inaccurate
132:20	59:24	203:12	implement	21:12,18
170:3	62:15	204:17	24:6	192:20
identifies	63:17	207:3	161:14	266:20
	64:7	209:8	101:14	267:17
8:6	66:18	214:12	implementat	inadequate
identify	67:1 72:6	215:15	ion 24:14	134:16
258:12,24	85:5 , 7 , 8	217:6,16,	implemented	185 : 12
identifying	87:1	24 228:18	108:4	
266:19	95:24	232:22		inappropria
	101:10	233:25	implementin	te 24:4
ignorance	102:5,14	239:15,20	g 25:5	248:12
54:3	103:15,18	243:2,6,1	implication	Inc 198:8
	·		<u>.</u>	

INQUIN	. TE COLLINGWO	OD 00-20-2013	rage 310	O1 040
260:22	incur 143:6	individuals	18	223:11,12
include	incurring	201:3	115:17,22	
19:22	149:17	204:3	116:8,9,1	· ·
91:5		indulgence	0,13,20,2	
i = =1d= d	indeed 47:9	131 : 7	5 117:17	265:4,5,8
included	144:3	194:17	118:5,11,	266:3,19
14:12,22	177:11		19	271:1
18:25	191:23	industry	121:4,8,2	273:12
27:11	194:4	168:23	4	information
32:1	independent	169:8	122:6,12,	's 144:19
70:20	92:15	268:24	13,16,17,	
101:21	181:9	inexpensive	23 123:3	informed
151:13	226:2	261:10	134:15,25	25:1
157:4	index	inference	135:2	71:24
170:5,8	198:7,10	147:8	136:20	117:4
171:7 176:18	· ·		137:2,4	119:1
	indicate	influenced	138:22	216:16
180:11	53:21	50:23	141:13,17	245:4
186:5 197:24	82:25	inform	142:10,17	266:5
	134:11	266:21	143:24	267:24
201:6	135:12	267:14	145:12	268:19
215:8 258:25	162:11	informal	146:10,14	initial
258:25	indicated	7:13	149:19	70:20
200:11	19:1		153:21,22	89:8
including	20:23	information	154:14	137:16
11:2	35:11	16:2,12,1	155:12,21	171:16
22:17	49:25	4 17:12	,22	initially
39:23	129:17	18:25	169:18	15 : 10
63:20	133:25	20:24	174:15,19	78 : 1
91:6	195:4,12	21:14	175:23	89:23
95:12	197:20	22:8 28:1	176:13,16	
98:13	234:4	36:25	183:19,20	initiate
102:16	235:21	37:4,17,2	,23	6:25
106:18	237:2	1 39:4	185:9,12,	36:14
123:1	indicates	51:13	14 186:10	initiated
149:18	22:19	59:10	192:8	12:6
181:12	125:10	60:15	206:12	initiation
223:16		61:22	208:24	25:10
224:20	indication	65:7	209:18 211:12	
248:11,16	35:14	66:19,20	211:12	input 52:5
267:24	indicted	68:14	212:22	58:16
incorporate	129:17	78:9	213:16,21	59:4
256:24	individual	85:23	214:4,22,	158:12
incorporate	57:2 63:8	96:9	215:1,3,9	159:14,18
d 93:20	130:3	98:21,24	,11,18	175:22
	234:24	100:20	217:2	243:8
incorporati	252:4	104:19	217.2	inquire
ng 91:9		106:3	220:17,20	178:4
incorrect	individuall	111:19	220:17,20	inquired
13:10	y 12:23	113:18	222:11	147:4
		114:7,11,		
I	Ī			

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	OD 06-26-2019	Page 311	of 345
178:1	insert	110:1	interview	involve
inquiries	231:9	237 : 6	201:21	34:11
36:11	insofar	250:17	202:17	involved
39:18	40:11	interested	245:24	8:14,21
41:16		86:1	253:8	33 : 1
42:21	instance 51:6	112:18	interviewed	36 : 21
43:3		176:14	80:6	37 : 7
48:24	116:6	245:10	199:23	39:13
104:19	instead	264:25	200:8	41:16
106:20	19:10	interesting	201:18,20	71:13
109:6	207:15	104:25	202:1,4,1	88:17
115:3	instruct	148:2	1,13	99:2
117:3	244:9	166:18	203:15,20	112:3
133:24	instructed	201:25	,24 259:4	113:4
134:18	248:20		Interviewee	154:2
138:16	253:4	interesting	s 201:6	160:6
139:17,19		ly 171:11		179:1
144:12	instruction	interests	interviewin	229:19
153:19	s 74:5	73:18	g 188:7	232:16
154:10,17	integral	250 : 17	interviews	245:6
158:8	14:23	269:10	106:2	251:7
160:11 177:25	integrity	interface	183:21	264:23
	269:6,8	134:8	201:1	involvement
inquiring	•	interfering	203:6	54 : 2
40:8	intelligent	108:12	238:18	136:18
inquiry 1:3	268:19	109:6	249:5	involving
2:3,4	intend		introduced	8:7 , 22
9:16	91:14	interim	60:15	20:1
39:25	intended	7:14 25:8 112:12	investigate	255:11
40:8,18	107:10	253:17	24:9 25:3	256 : 7
48:21	108:10	257 : 24	169:10	irrelevant
56:13,19,	intends	276:16	investigate	272 : 8
23 57:6,7	63:1		d 25:9	irrespectiv
61:6		interject		e 252:11
63:23 106:14	intent 94:16	254:13 255:2	investigato	
133:25	238:12,16		r 121:11	irritated
137:11,16	·	internal	investment	114:15
,18	intention	96:24	75 : 19	224:25
149:14	51:13	Internation	investments	225:3,4
154:7	intentions	al 75:1	37:23	irritating
234:1,15	7:4	261:23		172:20
235:19	interacting	262:19	<pre>invited 42:9 68:5</pre>	Irwin 98:2
236:8	166:8	interrupt	231:20	isn't 39:12
238:18,25	interaction	167 : 5		112:21
239:8,17	92:10	interruptin	invoice	139:6
241:1		g 61:3	236:3	148:21
inquisition	interest		invoices	192:23
175:18	20:2	intertwined	237:3	193:25
	76:13	73 : 23		-

	r te Contingwo		rage 312	01 010
isolated	61:5	180:24	19:1,5,7,	7,18,20,2
98:22	62:18,24	182 : 12	9,17	3 , 25
249:22	63:15,25	191:11	20:12,21	53:4,11,1
issue	66:1,4	213:20	21:3,8,10	5 , 16
33:6,14	67:4,10,1	214:3,22	22:15,23,	54:7,8,10
•	9 68:16	229:2,22	24	, 25
34:8 58:6	69:1	240:16	23:1,2,16	55:4,11,1
62:22	84:19	241:21	, 19	4,16,19,2
63:23	87:15	249:17	24:1,7,11	1,23,24
64:4	92:18	254:13	25:2,7,15	
72:24	93:6,7	255:2	26:6,19,2	
76:16,18	95:8 98:5	270:25	2,24	65:15,16,
84:21	102:16	275:12,13	27:4,6,15	
89:16	109:2	,	,16,18,22	
93:5	132:19,20		,25 29:22	
101:24	,21		30:1,10,1	
120:1,7,8	150:13	January	3,14,18,2	
121:22	241:5	173:6	0,23	74:9,11,1
125:3		185:18	32:12,15,	
139:2,3,4	it'd 139:11	189:22	16,18,20,	
143:12	142:24	Jean 49:10	22 33:25	75:5,10,1
150:18,23	item 212:25	67 : 16	34:4	3
162:13,17	214:14	JEFF 158:15	35:7,16,2	
170:10			33.771372	18
177:8	items	Jim 261:4	36:3,7,8,	
188:3	214:14	job 38:23	12,13,15	79:11
205:21	it'll 64:21	39:3 41:1	38:25	82:5,10,1
220:17	126:1	122:10	40:4,9,13	
223:6	I've	149:11	,16,19,23	
228:25	6:4,5,6	261:21	41:3,4,8,	83:6,7,10
229:3	20:13,15		9,13	,11,16,23
231:4	28:18	John 2:4	42:15,20	84:2,7,9,
252:7	36:15,16	3:5,6	43:5,12,1	14,18
253:5	37:2 42:7	5:6,8,9,1	7	85:6,9,13
271:2,18	54:12	1,12,16,1	44:9,10,2	,20,21,25
issued 32:8	64:4 77:6	7,18,21,2	1	86:3,6,10
72:17,19	79:19	2,25	45:5,7,8,	
176:10	93:1	6:13,19,2	10,12,14,	
262:19	99:23,24	0,23 7:25	15,16,22,	87:1,3,8,
issues	108:2	8:5,17,18	24	13,14,20,
	113:5	9:1,2,7,1	46:14,15	21,23
19:20 20:1	114:13	8	47:9	89:7,16,2
29:16,20	115:10	10:18,21	48:15,19,	0,21,24
33:3	117:12	11:7,12,2	21	90:16,20,
45:21	121:10	1 14:1,8	49:4,18	22
	132:12	16:5,10,1	50:5,12,1	91:18,19
50:11	142:10	6 , 23	4	92:8,9,13
56:12,16, 19	143:9	17:4,5,9,	51:3,5,12	
57:5,6,9	146:4,14,	23	,15,18,22	
57:5,6,9	23 155:16	18:1,4,7,	,23	,14,16,19
59:19	174:15,16	10,14,16,	52:1,2,4,	,23
J 9 • 1 9	,	20		,

	INQUIRI	. TE COLLINGWOO	00-20-2019	rage 313	01 343
ſ	95:2,18	19	174:7,9	2,17,19,2	247:2,11,
	100:7,16	137:1,9,1	175:10,20	2	15,18
	101:5,7,8	2,15,21,2	, 25	211:7,20	248:3,5
	, 14	5 138:20	176 : 15	212:7,12,	250:8,15,
	104:17,24	139:10,22	177:16 , 22	16,19,23	21
	105:16	140:7,13,	178:2,6,1	213:5,12,	251:1,16,
	106:21	17	2,17,20,2	14,18	21 252:22
	107:20	141:5,21	3 179:18	214:1,8,2	253:1,12,
	108:8,25	142:7,15	180:3,9,2	0	24 254:6
	109:9,23	143:8	2	215:12,15	257 : 22
	110:3,4,1	144:13	182:5,13,	,20	258:3,9,2
	0,17	145:23,25	162.3,13,	216:2,12,	0
	111:9,10,	146:12,22	183:5,17	18	259:1,11
	11,12	147:7,16	184:7,11,	217:4,19,	260:7,16,
	112:1	149:6,23	22	23	23
	115:15,18	150:7	185:11,21	218:1,11,	261:1,16,
	•	151:10		22	20,25
	,20 116:1,2,5	153:4,20	,25 187:3,6,9	219:3,11,	•
		153:4,20	188:4,9,1	219:3,11,	262:7,14, 20,25
	,6,7,12,1 5,16,17,1			•	•
	5,16,17,1 9,22,23	3,20,23	6,24 189:9,23	220:4,8,1	263:6,8,1
		155:14,19		2,16	0,14,16,2
	117:1,14,	156:8,15, 19	191:11,17	221:4,11,	2,25
	19,25		,21	14,19,23	264:3,13,
	118:8,9,1	157:2,15,	192:2,5,1 9	222:1,3,7	16
	2,13,15,1	22,25 158:6		,10,16,22 223:4	265:14,17
	6,17,18,2	159:2,5,9	193:5,21 194:4,9		,25 266:24
	2,24 119:1,6,1	,12,19	194:4,9	225:2,13, 24	267:9,18
	0,12,14,1	160:8,13,	6	226:11,14	268:6,10,
	5,19,20,2	20,23	196:8,13,	227:1,5,2	14,17,25
	2	161:13,20	16,19		
	120:14,18	,23	197:5,18,	2 228:2,9,1	269:3,7,1 1,14,25
	,23,25	162:8,10	23	3,18	270:6,11,
	122:4,9,1	163:25	198:12,23	230:12,16	16,23
	1,15,21,2	164:4	199:1,5,1	230:12,10	271:10,22
	4	165:6,10,	2,20	232:5,14	272:5,20
	123:4,10,	15	201:19	234:2,8,1	273:5,22,
	13,17	166:1,25	202:2,6,1	7,22	25
	124:3,6,1	167:8,12,	2,15,21,2	235:14	274:2,11,
	7,21	18	5	236:5,17	14,19
	125:1,5,9	168:4,11,	203:4,12,	237:1,5,1	275:16
	131:20,23	15	18,23	5,22	276:5
	132:3,6,1	169:17,22	204:2,13	238:4,11,	277:2,10,
	4,17,21	,25	204.2,13	15,23	22,25
	133:1,8,1	170:2,15	13,23	239:11,19	278:4
	3,17,22	171:4,17,	206:19,24	240:4,7,1	
	134:5,13,	21	207:3,7	4 242:16	joined
	21	172:1,10,	208:8,13,	244:7,10,	143:13
	135:5,9,1	16	17,21	17,21	joint
	7,25	173:9,13,	209:15,20	245:2,8	12:4,6,10
	136:5,10,	21	210:3,7,1	246:19	21:24,25

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 06-26-2019	Page 314	OI 345
70:23	132:1	232:10	136:14	88:3,11,1
73:11	133:19			4 103:9
76:10	150:3	knocked	Larry 98:2	115:11
140:8	151:3	32:3	101:20	158:13
143:14		knowingly	last 58:25	159:18
147:17	June 1:23	273:2	60 : 10	161:24
147.17	75 : 2		61:8,14,1	196:20
	78:22	knowledge	8 63:24	
178:25	83:9	38:13	90:3	236:2
189:2	173:5	knowledgeab	125:18	271 : 22
224:13	217:17	le 268:23	127:13	lawyering
ju 122:5	218:9,23		128:12	256:1
judge 35:5	220:15	known 12:17	129:11,13	lawyers
252:25	221:8,17	61:23	214:13	29:12
	222:25	108:10		39:10
269:1		120:13	216:4	
judgment	Justice 1:7	153:25	263:12	48:8
20:16	60:4	186:3	late 65:9	55:18
22:14	131:6	KPMG 70:17	132:25	88:15
50:23	233:11	79:14,17	133:4,6	141:19
153:22,23	justify	80:12	•	158:11
195:25	273:2	151:12	later	163:21
			13:16,17	166:9
judgmental		169:9,17	23:11,22	194:25
13:11	K	170:2,10,	38:5 39:6	255:20
251 : 25	Kate 2:3	17 171:7	111:20	257:18 , 20
JUDICIAL	Kennedy	209:6	law	259:9,14
1:3	158:11,25	276:17,18	28:16,20	260:1,4,5
	159:1,4	,24	77:25	,10,12
July 5:13	160:5	KPMG's	87 : 17	264:5
6 : 15	162:5	152:24	112:25	
27:7,9			158:3	lay 58:5
35:11	key	L	163:5	LDC 154:2
46:5,17	209:22,23			LDCs 106:18
57 : 1	Kim 84:24	lack 69:5	lawsuit	140:24
63:2,8		89:17	244:15	140:24
66:9	kinds 98:5	Ladner	245:13	lead 166:12
131:23,24	102:15	193:1	lawyer	leader
136:18	250:14	262:23	28:13	36:22
149:23,24	251:1,2,3	263:24	29:13,17	166:7,12
150:1,8	knew 9:21		39:17	,
177:14	24:22	laptop	41:15,18,	leaders
185:19	36:22	238:19		189:2
186:14,18	38:11	239:7	22,24	leading
,23	69:6	large 64:22	42:4,6	39:24
197:21	107:12	67 : 6	48:5,13	209:23
198:25	140:1	84:21	49:10	260:15
199:18	143:17,19	96:8	51:7	
205:4	200:3		66:19	learn 158:8
217:17		largely	67:10,14	learned
	217:3,4	274:5,6	68 : 5	106:24
juncture	220:9	larger	69 : 12	202:6
46:17	228:1	97 : 22	70:9	202.0
		-		

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	00 20 2019	rage 313	01 343
learning	195:13	43:10,16	41:19	44:4,13,1
57:25	237:4,7	46:6 57:1	112:9	6 , 23
135:15	246:17	63:2,8	listing	49:25
222:1,3	legislation	177:15	220:3	51 : 7
least 23:21	249:17	185:22,24		195:22
35:11		186:4,18	little 6:22	196:8,13,
50:10	length 7:14	197:21	60:17	16,19,24
93:21	lengthy	198:19	130:9	232:8
130:2	92:4	199:18,22	162:23	Longo's
132:24	Leo 16:4	205:2,4	181:23,24	50:4
143:6	41:24,25	248:20	200:20	
145:12	42:1,9	252:20	207:24	long-
154:1	50:4,6	level 6:8,9	214:23	standing
268:8	51:7	104:20	224:24	234:5
	195:22	266:22	245:9	lose 64:2
leave 172:2	196:8,13,	268:22	256:14,22	77:17
led 15:12	16,19,24		271:12	logina
26:9	232:7	levels	278 : 12	losing 77:19
36:13		19:11	live 250:24	77:19
40:15	Leonard	79:22	Lloyd 7:16	lost 63:16
41:10	13:5	95:10	_	77:18
43:3	49:10	liability	locating	lot 10:6
87:11	67:14,17	9:12	20:23	24:12
91:7	91:17	151 : 25	location	26 : 7
110:2	Leonard's	liberal	226:20	28:17,25
139:15	92:1	62:17	logic 108:9	00 1 1
legal 15:14	less 62:1		183:6	34:25
16:3	76:14	lie 177:9		37 : 20
24:23	188:13	light	logical	50:16
25:3	249:11	129:24	197:1	68 : 10
27 : 25		149:9	long 7:19	80:5 , 9
38:17	let's 81:24	limited	48:4	88:15,18
39:6,8	85:17	164:17	134:24	90:1,2
40:24	127:24		152 : 12	97 : 12
46:7 54:3	130:13	limits 80:7	154:1	121:8,17,
55:17	170:21	line 214:14	166:2	24 150:17
67:2,23	174:16	216:4	188:11	151 : 20
112:4	216:4	269:20,21	212:13	172:17
113:4,5,1	267:13	270:3,5	220:23	182:19,20
7	letter	link 71:14	232:20	189:7
114:1,4,6	25:16,19,		243:7	224:7
115:3,6,1	22	links	259 : 15	228:20
7 117:17	26:8,9,21	206:16	<pre>longer 31:7</pre>	264:20
119:8	,24	list 3:3	129:7	275:1
120:9,10	27:3,6,7,	4:1 65:23	278:12 , 16	lots 11:22
121:3	9,13,14,2	127:18	Longo 16:4	14:4 22:3
152:13	3 28:4	201:22	36:6	38:18
158:22,25	30:16,21	203:23	41:11,24	181:7
160:3	31:2	218:14	42:23	214:25
162:12	32:1,12	listen	43:4	loud 185:6
	35:11,13		40.4	

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 06-26-2019	Page 316	of 345
lower 236:2	178:25	40:2,5,10	230:19,24	244:13,24
lunch 49:6	274:22	,14,17,21	232:19,24	245:3
76:21	managers	43:9,14	233:3,11,	massive
102:15,25	12:10	56:1	18 234:19	278:2
102:13,23	22:1	57 : 15	235:2	
104:11	147:17	58:7,11,1	239:1	match 113:2
125:25	201:10,12	3,20,24	243:20,23	157:9
126:1,5,1	,14	59:3,22,2	247:7,12,	matched
5	204:7,8,9	5	16 254:15	113:12
		60:4,6,22	255:8,14	material
lunches	managing	61:1,9	256:3,9,2	157:4
102:9,10	166:14	62:3,6,12	5	176:18
	manner	64:6	257:3,9,1	228:19,20
M	274:17	83:21,25	2	
ma 121:1	275 : 25	84:5	273:19,23	materially
magnitude	Marc 11:24	85:4,7,10	278:15 , 19	185:15
68:19		, 14	, 25 279 : 5	materials
	March 25:16	123:6,11,	Marron 2:13	37:13
maintained	26:5,25	14	62:7 , 11	98:8
252 : 5	27:13	124:1,4,1	125:24	184:17
major 29:2	100:12	1,18,25	126:2,4,7	Mather 2:4
36:19	101:13	125:4,7,1	,12	3:6
54 : 22	Marcus	4,23	194:14,16	5:8,9,12,
66:17	11:24	126:3,10, 14	254:9,12	17,22
68:16	12:7,12		255:1 , 6	6:13 , 20
71:5	13:6	127:5,9,2	256:18	7:25
72:24	21:25	1,24 128:5,15,	Marron's	8:5,18
77 : 8	201:22	25	255 : 10	9:2,7
man 244:24	259:6	129:9,19	256:10	10:18
269:8	Marjory	130:6	Manuanna	11:7,12
manage	13:5 93:7	131:2,6,9	Maryanne 105:14	14:1
38 : 15	mark 21:12	,14	245:18	16:5,16,2
97:19	69:13	140:18		3 17:5,23
112:24	73:19	145:2,8	Mascarin	18:4,10,1
155:3	83:11	146:2	5:19	6
	88:6	162:9,14	38:25	19:1,7,17
managed	89:4,6	163:1,6,1	110:20	20:21
99:6	241:23	0,15	111:24	21:8
management	market	167:4,11,	112:2	22:15,24
28:15	152:13	14,20	113:3	23:2,19
96:19	259:18,22	168:18,20	115:2,16	24:7
100:4	260:2	175:6	116:13	25:2,15
155:20		184:2,9	117:17 118:11,20	26:19,24
242:20	market-	187:11,16	119:16	27:6,16,2
260:22	based	189:17	122:8	2 29:22
274:9,23	197:8,11	190:5,9,1	234:5	30:1,13,1
276:20	Marrocco	2,16	235:15,22	4,20 32:12,16,
manager 6:9	1:7 5:3	194:13,19	236:7,16	32:12,16, 20 33:25
105:7	29:24	200:17	237:17	35:7,23
153:1	30:2	218:2	243:18	33.1,23
l l			= - 3 • = 3	

INQUIRY re (COLLINGWOOD	06-26-2019	Page	317	of	345
--------------	-------------	------------	------	-----	----	-----

INQUINI	ic confinemed	JD 00-20-2019	rage 317	01 343
36:3,8,13	110:4,10,	145:22	143:6	57:13 , 17
41:3,4,9	17	192:25	146:11	62:17
42:15,20	111:10,12	193:17	148:14	126:23
44:9,10,2	115:15,20	224:20	167:15	127:7,11,
1	116:2,6,1	236:21	200:9	23
45:7,10,1	2,16,19,2	239:10	229:25	128:3,23
4,16,24	3	241:9	270:4	129:2
46:15	117:14,25			144:14
48:15,21	118:9,13,	maturity	mayor	162:21,22
49:18	16,18,24	96:11	7:3,17	163:3
50:12	119:6,12,	may 19:25	26:10	230:14,17
51:3,12,1	15,20	23:12,22	30:25	, 22
8,23	120:14,23	39:25	31:13,15 35:10	263:11
52:2,7,20	122:4,11,	49:23	53:10	McFadden
, 25	21 123:4	52:10	54:17	26:2,5,7,
53:11,16	125:9	53:13 , 23	56:15,25	20:2,3,7,
54:8,25	162:8,10	58:9	68:18	27:1,19
55:11,16,	168:4,11,	59 : 17	77:14	43:19
21,24	15 189:23	60:4,5	113:24	56:15
65:15,16,	205:1	65 : 25	113.24	90:24
21	238:23	70:6 72:9	115:5,22	91:7
67:13 , 18	246:19	82 : 23	116:20	102:2,6,8
70:1,5	matter 7:20	84:4 86:5	117:6,11	,22 103:2
74:9,12,1	25:18	126:13	153:1	104:2,6
4,19,24	64:17,21	137:1	177:12,17	109:1,10,
75:10	65:11	139:3,6	178:1	16
76:4,8	68:20	143:12	205:8	158:13 , 21
78:15,20	117:23	148:2	236:3	,22
82:5,12,1	129:20	149:10	237:3	159:7,18,
6,21	160:22	150 : 18	245:20,21	20 172:19
83:7,11,2	178:5,10	170:24	246:18	186:6
3 84:2,7	191:10	180:3	248:8,11,	268:9,11,
85:20,21	228:20	183:1	17,22,25	16
86:3,10,1	234:25	189:2	249:3,10,	270:1 , 24
7,22	237:13	190:25	16,18,25	271:4,9
87:3,8,14	255:23	191:2	252:19	272:7,13
,21	256:1	206:9	253 : 2	·
89:7,21	271 : 25	208:7	254 : 23	McFadden's
90:16,22	272 : 7	212:5	255:10,11	123:18
91:19	276:11	217:7	,20	124:24
92:9	matters	219:8,23	263:13	139:1
93:18	40:7	220:6,20	marromia	159:15
94:8,14,1	40:7 60:11	223:11 227:11,17	mayor's 252:13	270:15,18
9 95:2		228:1,12	252:13 254:6	McGrann 2:3
100:7	63:1,8,9, 19 87:22	251:9		mean 27:16
101:5,8	88:3	254:12	Mc 56:15	34 : 7
104:17	95 : 12		123:17	36:20
105:16	111:3,13	maybe 18:15	McDowell	37 : 20
107:20	119:3	108:21	2:19	38:22,23
108:25	144:3	120:18	39:20	39:1
109:23		123:2	40:6	63:12
I	ı			

		IC COHHINGWO		rage 310	
	70:14	24	33:12	150 : 17	141:20
	71:7	53:7 , 22	73 : 5	156:4	195:25
	72:18,22	54 : 15	89:13	256 : 21	259:9
	75:16,17,	55 : 5	201:9 , 17	merely 59:8	260:4,10,
	21 76:19	66 : 24	203:16	154:25	14,17,18
	77:18	76 : 20	204:7	155:22	million
	82:1	82 : 25	218:14,25		0.12
	105:9	83:14	220:10,14	merger 65:1	28:9,22
	119:20	84:3,24,2	224:21	met 18:7	30:7
	120:19	5 85:1	245:5	47 : 25	37:11
	123:2	86:5,11	266:12	49:5,6	46:11
	130:13	168:16	267:14	98 : 2	66:10
	133:9	172:13 , 15	memo 56:24	186:7	68:10
	138:11,16	176 : 23	130:18	192:25	69:3
	148:22	177:11	134:22,24	194:1	186:20
	152:4	210:10,15	165:13,22	205:13,14	
	155:15	, 21 , 25	166:21	,17	223:22
	181:6	211:3,9,1	168:1,8	·	
	182:17	3,16,18,2	185:19	Metha 103:9	
	215:13,16	2	186:23	212:5	mind 38:13
	220:22	219:17,19		methodology	
	229:9	231:16	memor 84:3	99:8	63:3
	262:7	246:1	memorandum	147:11	124:13
	267:1	248:8	26:14	243:4	133:5,9,2
	278:12	249:2,4	44:24,25	M-hm 76:7	0 150:15
	meaning	253 : 7	45:18 , 20	123:10	253:10
	114:21	268:8	mama w	159:9,12	270:19,22
		meetings	memory 17:10	165:6	mine
	means 14:5	47 : 7	18:2	208:8	125:5,6
	128:18	50:3,8	45:23	210:12,17	256 : 24
	184:6	59:10	52 : 24	Mi 123:18	mini 251:11
	meant 80:2	71 : 25	53:14	M1 123:18	
	measured	102:9	54:5	Michael 2:7	
	199:25	165:3	112:21	microphone	154:10
		170:12	112.21	129:21	minor 13:23
	mechanism	173:5,12	memos		22:13
	161:14	175:4	130:17	mid 135:4	minute 61:2
	meet 71:14	186:8	165:17	mid-	187:12
	151:16	209:24	175:2,4	September	233:14
	205:7,11	210:5	224:7	146:16	
	meeting	211:15	mention	Miller	minutes
	21:21	213:2,9	203:15,16	29:12,18	51:2 55:2
	46:24	217:11	223:5	39:10	56:2 86:4
	48:1	219:9	mentioned	65:24	145:16
	49:20,22	268:11 , 13	35 : 10	67:14,19,	170:12
	50:1,6,13	, 18 , 22	53:22	21 68:1,3	176:5,10,
	,21	269:15	70:1	69:21	12
	51:2,14,2	member 73:8	102:4	80:17	210:16,20
	4	89:16	113:5	83:8	211:4,5,1
	52:11,22,		123:7	87 : 18	1,12,17,1
	~, ~~,	members	-20.	3,.10	8 , 23
- 1			i l	i e	i

INQUIN	TE CONDITIONO	JD 00-20-2019	rage 319	01 343
213:1,10	ndings	5:4,9,11	107:8	101:6
214:15	51:11	166:22	152 : 3	myself 13:5
219:9,10,	misundersto	168:2	164:24	18:3
15,16,18		177:1	236:2	26:10
220:3,6,1	od 191:1	228:8	237:14	48:13
1	mix 115:11	238:6	243:18	
223:16,17	modernizati	256:22	244:25	70:9
224:20		258 : 1	250:21	89:25
240:9	on 274:8		252 : 16	107:17
	modicum	mostly	260:5,11	117:11
mis 60:15	154:10	235:12	272 : 1	121:22,23
misconduct	moment	motion	273 : 15	147:16
63:5,21	74:12	218:18		186:23
misdirected	181:18	MOU 47:22	municipalit	
191:3	189:13	MOO 47.22	ies 6:6	228:24
191:3	244:6	mouth	9:15,23	240:22
misguided	247:1	124:12	10:11	248:8
194:8		move 11:7	34:10,14	270 : 7
misinterpre	254:19	55:24	36:16	
ting	269:24	98:11	37 : 3	N
50:16	Monday	138:8	72:22	native
30:10	249:3,4	145:21	90:2	217:20
misread	253:7	146:5	141:7	
246:21	money 11:25	205:8,16	155 : 3	nature 56:9
misrepresen	12:3,22	256:17	164:4	141:3
t 177:10	15:16	267:13	223:25	193:18
	17:19,22		251:5	235:12,20
misrepresen	75:19	moved 73:19	municipalit	necessarily
ting	79:23	96:18	y 9:25	97:13
266:20	80:25	104:1	34:6,7	99:17
missed	81:9 82:6	172:19,21	36:17	necessary
21:12	103:15	177 : 25	92:17	10:2 51:4
228:15	124:23	260:4	112:3,5	97:24
229:20	137:4	movement	164:1,18	100:6
241:9	142:3	274 : 8	179:19	130:4
	143:11,15		224:10	137:3
Mississauga 77:1	,16	moves	225:5	143:6
//:1	147:10,19	107:24	226:4	147:20
mistake	148:4	moving 35:7	229:13,19	155:7
122:25	149:13	82:7 99:7	234:9	193:10
243:7		154 : 12	240:25	224:17,18
270:12	monitoring	205:21	251:11	271:1
mistakes	75:18	237:18	252:10	
13:19	month 25:17	multimillio	265:21	necessity
	71:15	n 244:15	269:10	65 : 9
mistrust	131:22		276:13	139:14,18
100:10	133:10	municip		178:19
misundersta		99:24	mushroomed	negative
nding	months 7:2	municipal	67 : 5	9:11
199:24	60:10	5:23 35:5	mutual	153 : 14
misundersta	112:18	78:7	95 : 10	
misundersta	morning			negatively
ı	I	i i		İ

111001111	i ie eoddingwo	OB 00 20 2019	1 age 320	01 349
153:16	86:7	note 48:11	186:1	210:22
negotiate	106:22	81:1 92:1	November	212:19
47:2,8	107:18	152:9,23		214:1,2,3
49:1	108:16	183:8	211:15,18	228:14
	143:17,19	211:2,11	, 22	237:9
109:20	156:9,12	·	nowhere	
148:14	166:4	noted 70:22	186 : 25	occasion
149:8	174:10	164:13	np	173:6
negotiated	186:4,12	notes 49:21	2:7,9,20,	237:7
31:13	242:6	50:13	22	occasions
53:23		51 : 24		49:6 64:5
54:19	nodding	52:3,12,1	numerous	occupied
negotiation	175:8	4,22,24	91:1	24:18
s 48:17	Nolan	55:6,12	191:4	
	31:14,16	82:22 , 24		occur 81:2
neighbourho	53:24	83:4	0	197:15
od 266:18	54:19	84:3,8	OBJ 144:14	occurred
neither	56:25	86:4,11,1	object	169:15
106:7	59:13	4,20,23	275 : 10	209:24
133:4	178:4	125:11,13		occurring
204:5	186:6	,16	objection	95 : 12
	202:5,8,1	126:13	145:3,4,1	
Nero 105:14	8 204:5	172:2	1,19	o'clock
245:18	non 73:25	177:10	obligation	61:8,18
net 9:12		209:25	177:5,6	October
nevertheles	non-	211:16	obligations	83:12
s 145:18	disclosur	231:16	164:15,17	227:4
	e 77:23	259:9	276:9	odd 163:5,9
news 107:18	none 92:20	nothing		
newspaper	114:12	14:17	observation	OEB 140:23
250:2	224:14,21	31:11	165:19	141:4,12,
night	245:15,16	60:1 90:5	obtain	18,23
61:8,18	nonetheless	95 : 23	114:7	142:2,13,
· ·	193:10	121:9	obtained	14,17,18
nine 62:1		notice 23:8	51:14	143:3,24
88:9	nor 94:16	46:20		144:4,6,1
174:22	106:7	108:18	obvious	0 145:13
Ninety	normal	113:24	148:17	146:16
119:4	92:25	231:4,9	149:5	148:6
ninety-	241:11	·	154:18	149:5
eight	normally	noticed	obviously	offended
9:14	223:21	114:2	12:17	62:16
10:10	1	notify	62 : 19	offer 34:25
	North 11:13	34:11	116:10	75:24
nobody	12:14	notwithstan	121:5	
24:22	18:17	ding	139:9	offered
27:15,17	258:2	13:20	145:7	88:10
31:3	notable	78:3	153:15	offhand
38:2,6	236:24	92:23	169:12	32 : 22
49:14	notch 61:19	176:5	172:20	office 7:3
77:15		1/0.5	182:19	311106 7.5
1	I	I		

INQUIKI	TE COTTINGMO	JD 00-20-2019	rage 321	01 343
37:12	85 : 9	old 99:21	71:17	166:3
49:7	87 : 23	0.114 7.1	73:16	184:24
110:24	88:24,25	O'Melia	90:11	231:15
114:17	100:21	39:11	93:8 96:7	260:18,19
223:18	110:4	omissions	103:23,24	·
274:4	125:4	22:5	105:12,13	opposing
	126:2	179:4	,15 119:8	251 : 21 , 22
officer	127:9	241:7	120:9,10	opposition
14:20		242:13	142:20	267:16,24
64:14	136:15			·
149:7	140:17	ones 91:6	151:17	option
206:25	170:1	101:17	176:20	170:4
255:20	175:10	237:3	180:14	171:6,8
official	190:17	ongoing	190:24	215:5
	195:11	49:13	196:6	271:4
50:15	196:10	75:17	241:15	options
officials	198:1,13	119:3	242:15	69:9,18
254:2	199:3,9		250:22	70:20,21
oh 36:12	200:19	136:17	251:17,23	·
	202:3,9,1	139:16	252 : 1	74:6
44:1	4 203:10	141:25	269:16	109:25
58:20	204:4	144:7		169:10
59:24	205:19	146:21	opinions	170:3,10
61:1 62:6	208:3,21	165:3	266:25	order 28:8
84:5,18	209:16	260:11	oppor	80:24
85:6	210:4,23	Ontario	179:19	125 : 17
87:20	211:8,13,	1:20 6:5	opportuniti	126:25
103:20	21,24	9:15		127:3
114:11	212:14,16		es 99:12	128:2,7,1
184:7	,17,20,24	open 110:13	169:8	0,11,17
187:1	214:13	217:19,24	229:8	129:4,10
189:14		opening	271 : 5	130:10,23
191:21	216:3	256 : 22	opportunity	· ·
200:15	218:12		23:10,15,	131:13
207:14	219:6,17	operate	21 47:1	184:17
218:1	220:1	37 : 3	57 : 8	185:10
225:15	221:20,25	operates	62 : 21	188:7
230:16	226:15	164:25	63:16	230:7,9
237:15	227:23		71:22	organiza
244:19	228:10	operation	89:17	107:10
	233:16	14:23	108:13	
okay 5:25	234:18	123 : 25	159:8	organizatio
9:2 16:5	240:14	136:14		n 96:24
26:6	247:11,15	operational	179:17	99:5
30:24	,18	11:16	180:25	132:13
40:9,13,1	250 : 20		185:5	192:4
6,19	257 : 13	operations	242:21	193:9
46:15	262:6	194:3	266:4	organizatio
60:2,8	263:2,14	operator	271:19	nal 25:10
74:13	269:13	45 : 11	273 : 13	79:15
79:11	270:13		opposed	80:2
82:10	273 : 22	opinion	87 : 18	151:14
84:18	278:19	39:6,8	106:11	193:7,14
		53:6 69:4		190./,14

	i ie eollinowe		rage 322	01 010
276:19	70:19	233:8,9	11:8,12	particular
organizatio	101:17	279:11	19:18 , 19	25:25
	229:7		20:6	42:10
ns 96:8	256:14	package	22:16	63:18
229:2		237:19	23:3	86:2
original	outlining	272 : 24	35 : 24	95 : 22
79:14	134:24	page 3:2	42:16	101:16
170:21	out-of-date	4:2 82:21	44:11,15,	105:1
191:1	186:13	118:2	22 46:16	132:12
		180:17	65:17,22	136:11,13
originally	outset 14:3	182:20	74:20	137:14
80:11	111:25	183:10	76:5	
257:6	overall	189:25	78:15,24	144:17
originate	79:8	190:1	· ·	160:4,17,
171:6	272:24	200:15,24	82:8 87:4	18 177:20
		226:16	89:8,15	188:22
origins	overly	264:19	90:23	214:25
66:5	50:18	265:2	91:4	234:10
Oshawa 9:19	overpaying	269:20	94:15	239:14
71:12,14,	123:22,23	209.20	95:3,4	240:2,21
21 77:4	125:6	pages	106:5	254:19
79:20	overruling	180:19	110:6,10	255:23
87:24	145:18	181:7,8,1	183:15	particularl
88:1,5	143.10	1,15	190:1	y 54:16
99:25	oversight	182:2,8,1	pardon	100:17
245:14,20	67 : 23	1,20,24	10:17	107:4
,21 247:4	200:2	192:14,15	26 : 18	131:13
274:21	overstepped	193:18	40:19	163:9
others	228:24	266:18	45 : 15	229:18
62:19		paid 12:3	74 : 17	
93:12	overview	97:23	114:20	particulars
	5:23 10:8	124:23	116:17	58:2
148:25 178:15	261:6	154:3	163:12	59 : 12
	overwhelmin	262:11	175 : 10	parties
181:11	g 272:24			47:4 99:2
192:16	owned 73:18	painstaking	participant	189:7
257:4		ly 60:19	234:1	251:22
otherwise	107:11	Pam 101:20	participant	partner
59:18	owner 226:3	208:6	s 57:12	75:18
109:7	owners	212:2,4,1	60:21	226:17
122:7	72:14	0,18	193:4	220.17
223:14		213:7	participate	partnership
236:13	ownership	218:10,13	92:17	64:10
241:18	70:23	220:14,18	229:10	65:1
253:21	76:11	227:10	229:10	70:21
ourselves	224:14		participate	106:17
69:2	270:22	paper	d 9:23	109:7
		158:16	241:21	166:21
outline	P	para 82:8	participati	168:10
70:21	p.m	paragraph	ng 36:10	169:16
outlined	126:20,21	8:1,6	217:10	170:4,13
67 : 11	187:21,22	9:3,7	21/•10	171:6,25
	1	9.3,1		

INQUINI	re confindace	00 20 2019	raye 323	01 343
173:4,19	,11,19,23	3,23	141:11	150:17
174:11,17	245:4	278:1,10,	142:23	151:19,20
210:6	246:5,10,	17,23	147:23	152:5
211:1,10,	15,21,24,	279:2,9	148:1,13	169:18
23 227:20	25		149:18	172:20
273:18	247:6,20,	<pre>pause 8:3</pre>	150:24	178:1
	21	9:5 11:10	162:3,17	181:13
party 147:5		16:21	102.5,17	
163:22	248:1,4	25:12,14	Peever	186:2,3,1
239:24	250:4,9,2	36:1	151:16	1 189:13
262:13	0,25	42:18	277:3,4	191:14
pass 40:15	251:8,19	44:19	penalty	193:12
pass 40.13	252:9,24	45:3		194:5
passed 62:8	253:11,23	65 : 19	28:8,22	200:3
241:25	, 25	74:22	30:7	201:22
past 98:10	254:7,11	78:18	66:10,13	203:23
_	255:4,13,	82:19	67:7 69:3	205:15,18
99:10	16	83:19	186:20	224:7
152:22	256:8,16,		pending	240:20
165:5,8	20,21	84:12,16	245:13	242:18,21
path 276:25	257:2,8,1	87:6		243.3
	1,15,16,2	110:8,15	<pre>people 20:2</pre>	250:18
patience	3	157:11	33:11	251:1,2,2
233:12	258:6,16,	173:1	35 : 17	2
Patrick	23 259:8	174:25	37 : 16	258:4,12,
2:22		181:20	38:20	
	260:6,13,	183:12	39:2	15 264:6
pattern	21,24	190:3	47 : 25	273:13
134:19	261:14,18	198:4,16	50 : 17	274:5,17
255:17,25	,22	200:12	63:14	275:1,18
Paul 2:11	262:6,10,	204:19,24	68:23	276:7
3:9	18,23	206:1,6	71:8	277:19,24
61:4,11	263:2,7,9	207:11 , 17	72:10,11	per 9:13,14
128:9	,15,19,20	,22	73:18,24	231:4
129:5	,23	208:1,15	80:9	248:9
	264:1,11,	225:17		
233:22,23	14,17	227:13	88:13,18	percent
,25	265:16,20	244:2	90:13	32:9
234:3,13,	266:14		93:3	33:6,10
18	267:8,11	246:8,13	95:21	69:18
235:5,6,1	268:2,7,1	247:25	96:9,13	71:8
6	2,15,21	pay	97:23	72 : 12
236:15,18	269:1,5,9	141:17,18	98:20	76:22 , 25
237:2,12,	,13,18	, 19 , 20	99:20	77:7,10,1
16,24	270:2,8,1	156:1	100:5	2 95:14
238:5,13,	4,17	163:21	101:16	98:20
17	· ·	216:21	102:4,11	105:23
239:5,6,1	271:7,21		103:6,11	106:10,12
5 , 22	272:3,15,	paying	104:6	,15 110:1
240:5,8	21	17 : 22	121:5,17	112:21
242:9	274:1,12,	18:24	141:20	119:4
243:11,22	15	30:4	144:6	
, 25	275:14,17	33:18	148:23	150:10,17
244:4,5,8	277:1,9,1	140:2	149:9	161:4,15
			± 10 • 0	

INQUIN	. TE COLLINGWO	OD 00 20 2013	raye 324	01 343
164:14,15	7:1	99:16	piece	141:9
170:23	permissible	perspective	144:17,19	156:1
171:3	162:20	13:11,12	214:25	167:19
174:12		35:5	pieces	181:23
176:21,22	permit 64:7	61:13	66:20	184:5
197:4	162:20	73:1	00.20	198:2,14
206:13	persisted	141:6	piecing	200:15,20
207:4	14:24		215:3	204:22
209:7	149:2	persuade	plan 10:24	206:4
215:5,23		20:15	11:2	207:9,14,
224:13	persistence	94:24	73:6,7,10	15 , 25
230:10	139:15	229 : 17	96:17	209:17
231:14	144:11,16	240:2,10	98:1,3	211:25
270:22,24	146:21	241:16	100:2,3	217:16
271:2,4,6	153:14	242:12,18	150:23	218:13
, 15	persistent	, 19	152:11	219:13
272:25	103:13,15	persuaded	156:4,22	225:15
275 : 22	persisting	272 : 10	157:3,5,7	226:7
perception	144:15		,8,14,16	235:9
93:11,12		persuading	274:25	238:2
·	person 18:5	46:4		244:6,19
Perfect	88:7	242:14	planner	247:21
195:1	102:14	243:3,6	28:14	264:19
268:6	112:12	persuasion	planning	269:20,24
performance	135:13,15	243:8	50:1	270:15
141:2	146:23	pertinent	153:10,18	pleasure
153:9	147:4	256:12	154:19	268:20
perhaps	166:7,16		278:11	
37:22	174:15	phone	plans 47:6	plus 98:17
52:24	192:6	18:6,9	141:3	podium
53:11	231:14	143:8		191:22
54:17,18	240:17	163:4	plan's 11:5	point 9:17
130:17	245:19	phonetic	play 166:11	13:2
202:16,17	248:9,19 249:9,21	57 : 20	played 79:8	
,20,23,25	250:2	151 : 9		28:12,21
255:4	253:5,17	203:5	players	29:7 43:4
	264:9	261:4	203:8	45 : 25
period 25:8		pick 111:1	please 6:20	
34:6	personal	206:22	14:10,25	54:23
46:22	73:16	n i also d	22 : 8	57:22,23
78:22	105:6	picked	41:19	61:21
161:6	115:17	79:25	44:3 45:8	70:6
166:3	117:18	206:25	66:5	74:14
172:8	118:20	pickup	83:17	75:3 76:3
231:9	119:8	206:15	84:14	79:7
238:20	235:12,20	picture	111:20	94:20
257:24	236:1	50:9	113:16	95 : 15
periods	238:8,21	66:22	118:6	100:12,14
259 : 15	239:9	79 : 8	119:17	101:12
permanent	personaliti	166:2	137:16	105:4
-	es 97:14		138:1	106:3
Ī	i			l

_					
	109:5	politics	positions	43:1,2	PowerStream
	117:2	252:16	20:3	46:3,25	's 48:8
	123:7		positive	47 : 11	76 : 10
	134:9	pond 113:14	-	50:2	91:9
	142:18	portion	47:24	53:24	93:25
	145:11	97:21	148:12	57 : 21	
	153 : 15	pos 253:3	166:15	62:20	PR 248:9
	155:6,8	_	251:11	75:2 , 24	practice
	177:20	posed 96:13	275 : 24	76:11	138:25
	180:25	251 : 2	possession	81:10	224:1
	211:17	posit	231:19	88:17	practices
	214:10	144:18	possibility	90:24	14:4
	215:2	position	25 : 4	91:6	
	220:22	_		93:25	pre 182:24
	226:16	6:12,17 9:12	possible	95:11	226:12
	227:7		12:21	103:10	preamble
	240:25	10:1,6 34:19	63:20	106:8,16	61:7
	242:4	38:8 43:8	102:21	109:8,20	nmani na
	251:13,14	61:15	164:19	135:23	precise
	252:12	62:10	possibly	136:23	133:1
	254:3,16	69:2	102:18	138:14,15	144:19
	255:2	72:20,25	post 248:16	139:9	precluded
	256:14,15	94:24	_	140:25	71:10
	261:8	96:15	postponemen	141:2,24	predicament
	266:7	122:20	t 151:12	148:21	29:5 39:1
	267:5	124:7	posts	150:2	89:4
	271:25	132:4	266:24	151:4,6	
	272:9,22	149:21		153 : 18	preference
	274:20	216:20	post-	154:3 , 19	90:18
	279:1	217:1,2	transacti	155:9	94:21
	pointed	229:23	on 197:1	156:5,23,	preparation
	69:22	240:11	potential	25	191:15
	186:12	242:13	186:20	161:11,12	prepare
	220:18	243:6,19	potentially	179:16,25	50:13
	266:10	245:1,11	76:14	181:12	125:21
		249:20	234:23	185:20	129:18
	points	252:2,3,5		188:7	130:2
	250:5	,10,19	power 57:21	192:15	216:7
	point's	253:2,4	63 : 4	194:25	248:20
	256:4	254:5,6,2	PowerPoint	198:8	
	policy	4 256:11	227:9	201:11,17	prepared
	77:24	262:15	PowerStream	203:16,17	8:7 12:16
	229:21	271:16	2:22 8:8	204:8	38:10
	258:11,21			206:15	45:18
	261:12	positioned	20:9 25:20	208:11	49:1,21
	272:10	10:9	26:9,15	215:25	69:14
	273:9,10	69:16	28:23	216:15	130:25
	274:24	93:9	28:23 29:6 30:4	218:17	131:7
		135:1	32:6 33:1	222:15	132:13
	polite	positioning	32:6 33:1 35:1	231:13	146:24
	101:22	73:13	20.I	266:15	182:24

INQUINI	TE COTTINGMO	JD 00-20-2019	rage 320	01 242
206:22	249:5	121:20	proceeded	205:24
239:17	pressured	privileged	10:25	241:15
preparing	98:11	162:16	12:14,19	265:10
45:20	103:3		17:1	268:19
176:5	249:12	probably	67 : 22	269:15,17
184:20		52:18	89:6	professiona
	pressures	103:22	178:5	lism
prerogative	81:21	136:19	186:15	96 : 11
95:1	presumably	143:2	proceeding	
prescribed	12:17	185:13	109:6	professiona
172:3	pretty	195:23	127:22,25	ls 97:8
present	117:21	222:13	128:2	program
122:1	148:17	241:3		151:14
269:5	166:22	problem	process	
	191:19	82 : 4	6:25	<pre>programs 79:18,22</pre>
presentatio	195:10	103:6	12:11	19:10,22
n 68:6		104:5,8,1	81:17	progress
89:11	prevalent	2 120:5	93:1	153:18
226:8	155:11	121:15,16	95:13	project
227:19,24	prevent	137:7,11,	99:15	12:5,6,10
228:11	275:11	14	100:1	,19,20
229:4	previous	138:11,16	104:19	21:25
266:9	69:15	139:21,23	127:12	22:1
272:16,19	69:15 244:14	,24	143:17	36:21,22
presentatio		143:16	193:9	140:9
ns 216:7	previously	144:10	210:15	143:14
267:20	82 : 23	146:20	211:3	147 : 17
	price 18:23	148:8,19	241:11,12	153:1,2
presented 10:22	260:3	149:4	,13,19	166:6,12,
10:22		151:3,6	242:23	13 178:25
35:13	prices	153:14 , 17	245:21	189:2
55:13,14	259:19,21	154:18,24	258:7,15, 25 260:15	228:22
60:12	, 22	155:6,11		234:9,10,
89:8,19,2	principal	156:18	264:2,5 273:15	16 240:19
3 189:22	97:18	224:9	273:15 275:7 , 9	260:11
192:21	principle	236:12	273:7,9	promise
228:14	259:21	254 : 14		62:14
265:18		problematic	procurement	
267:16,19	prior 5:23	71:4	12:11	properly
,21 273:3	17:7 26:5	problems	produce	24 : 25
	27:3,12	149:1	147:20	64:1 86:7
presenting	53:3	149:1	259:10	266:17
265:13	95:13	180:20		274:6
273:12	107:22		produced	proposal
president	136:17	proceed	140:24	258:17,18
71:16	195:12	24:4 56:8	productive	264:12
139:2	234:5	130:4	268:18	nnonoce1c
165:25	privilege	131:1	professiona	<pre>proposals 264:15</pre>
press 90:4	39:22,24	163:14	1 101:23	
248:21,22	40:7,11	173:7	180:13	proposing
270.21,22				
1	i			I

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	DD 00-20-2019	raye 327	01 343
277:17,21	138:24	69:10	198:1	142:24
proposition	142:10	<pre>public 11:5</pre>	204:21	155:7
144:22	147:22	15:21,22	217:15	pushed
	152 : 5	21:21	219:12,15	99:22
protect	180:7,11,	34:11,12	225:14	99.22
262:15	25 183:21	37:22	235:8	puts 61:18
protracted	184:17		264:17	129:3
82:1	185:10,14	39:18,24 57:12	269:19	putting
	186:23		<pre>pulled 58:4</pre>	114:1
proved	195:6,17,	66:2	_	
121:9	19 196 : 22	72:14,23	261:12	
provi	205:3	79:1	punches	Q
186:22	206:17	96:25	58:4	quality
	207:1	97:9	purchase	161:25
provide	208:23	103:15	34:25	query
14:25	210:16,19	164:5,7,1	35:2	170:23
20:16	211:4	3,18	53:25	
23:7	213:17,24	180:7	158:10	<pre>question 12:2 14:9</pre>
48:13	214:5	181:12	159:21,25	l
106:8	215:9,11,	189:12,20	160:1	35:8,9
109:13	17	190:21	161:12	39:11,18
115:16	223:15,20	191:7	164:16	41:5 58:21
141:15	226:8	201:8,13	198:7	l
183:19	227:9	203:19,20	190.7	64:9
218:13,25	257 : 7	, 22	purchaser	77:13,16
239:7,17	265:6	204:6,9	198:19	84:21
242:20	266:17	229:3	purchasing	87:16
260:18	271 : 9	258:7	258:11	101:4
265:3	272:16,17	264:2	261:12	103:6
provided	·	266:16		129:13
11:18	providers	273:11,13	purpose	138:10
12:4 14:6	201:4,12	publicly	29:3 55:5	141:9
16:17	provides	192:22	83:14	145:10,19
17:3	91:24	publish	113:23	146:1
18:22,24	266:3	21:17	148:5	153:7
22:6 28:2	providing		149:13,15	
44:5,13	17:5 61:7	published	176:1	162:19
45:1	113:4	97:9	191:1	163:5,16
52:14,15,	142:23	pull 7:25	265:9	167:5,7,1
16	209:17,25	16:19	purposes	6 169:7
59:7,11	265:24	19:17	86:5	170:6,8,1
61:22	277:15	25:15	87 : 17	6,21
62:1		35:23	pursue	171:5,18,
65:6 , 9	provinces	44:17	73:11	24 185:3
68:8	6 : 4	49:18	74:5	195:21
89:17	provision	52 : 7		197:2,15
90:24	157 : 24	65 : 16	pursuing	199:14
91:7	160:7	82:16	39:5	215:4
94:10	230:5,9	91:11	pursuit	217:5,6
106:2	·	164:10,22	270:24	228:5
134:15	prudent	168:11,12		230:20
		, - -	push 100:1	231:17
			i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

		JD 00-20-2019	raye 320	
234:21,23	111:22	127:18	269:22	153:4
239:3	113:9,20	154:12	rationale	158:4
242:10,11	114:25	182:19,20	106:9	163:14
244:12	115:5	193:13	100.9	182:16,18
247:9,17	116:3,9,1	236:5,18	reached	215:8
250:6	1 121:3,4	261:10	183:2	230:22
258:16	123:5	268:14	192:18	232:22
260:13	126:4		reaction	242:1
263:21	127:18	quotation	20:10	269:3
264:14	131:8	274:10	92:12	
267:12,13	140:19		104:23	re-
,14,23	144:2	R		amendment
268:5	145:5	radio	108:6	198:21
272:6	149:10	249:6,11	reading	reason
277:14	150:16	253:8	86:14	21:5,20
	165:21		108:18	33:16
questioned	166:3	raise 61:5	115:6	48:23
165:20	167:9	64:5	134:7	49:16
questioning		92:18	237:10	65:2
61:15	170:24,25	236:4	251:19	90:15
64:8	171:20	raised	ready	103:11
65:13	172:3,7,1	42:24	127:16	116:3
155:7	4,17,23	56:13,17,		206:19
	175:24	19 57 : 7	129:14	207:5
questions	194:12,15	58:1	real 32:2	239:21
8:19	,18 230:5	59:13	149:1	
11:22	231:11,18			reasonable
		63:23 , 25	realitv	
14:2,4,19	232:18	63:23,25 64:4,10	reality	133:17
14:2,4,19 16:6	232:18 236:4	·	38:6	133:17 135:6
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15	232:18 236:4 237:8	64:4,10	38:6	133:17 135:6 169:6,11,
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3	64:4,10 67:21	38:6 really 32:25	133:17 135:6
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18	38:6 really 32:25 33:15	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25 97:1,9	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22 35:3,4	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather 10:17	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4 114:5	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25 52:16
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25 97:1,9 100:25	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22 35:3,4 56:21	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather 10:17 163:21	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4 114:5 119:23	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25 97:1,9 100:25 102:4	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22 35:3,4 56:21 62:17	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather 10:17 163:21 226:2	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4 114:5 119:23 121:9	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25 52:16 53:9
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25 97:1,9 100:25 102:4 103:12	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22 35:3,4 56:21 62:17 63:20	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather 10:17 163:21 226:2 236:13	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4 114:5 119:23 121:9 124:12,22	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25 52:16
14:2,4,19 16:6 29:15 38:19 39:2 41:11 42:24 56:18 58:4 67:24 68:22 69:22 72:3,13 77:8 90:4 91:16 95:20 96:2,4,12 ,25 97:1,9 100:25 102:4 103:12 104:15	232:18 236:4 237:8 246:3 255:2,11 266:5 273:20 quick 261:6 quickly 145:24 230:17 quite 18:2 19:15 21:10,11 25:8,13 29:8 31:22 35:3,4 56:21 62:17 63:20 85:2,16	64:4,10 67:21 162:13 220:18 raising 56:12 57:5 59:19 62:17 109:5 ran 82:3 191:25 range 46:10 233:14 ratepayers 124:14 rather 10:17 163:21 226:2	38:6 really 32:25 33:15 34:13,24 40:4 49:15 58:6 71:6 75:16 78:7 81:4,13,1 7 93:1 95:23 96:20 98:11 102:17 113:4 114:5 119:23 121:9 124:12,22 125:2	133:17 135:6 169:6,11, 14 172:6 reasons 106:14 174:18 243:6 252:19 254:4 recall 21:1 25:14 26:19 27:2 31:5,10 36:10 44:25 45:19 51:25 52:16 53:9 54:15,23,

1110011(1	. IC CODDINGWO	OD 00 20 2013	rage 323	01 343
76:16	227:24	ion 10:25	50:22 , 25	273:6,8
83:14	228:11	20:11,18	53 : 7	referring
89:21	267:19	22:10	records	22 : 25
92:10	receiving	23:7 28:5	21:4	46:12
93:24	26:21	69:11	140:24,25	48:16
94:7	27:3	161:18,22	208:10	67 : 14
106:19	141:11	173:20	224:10,11	74:16
109:4	175:16	179:13	225:6	82:13
111:17	209:14	186:17	234:25	125:11
115:24		215:7	259 : 4	168:17
116:12,19	recent 26:1	218:18		
, 23	111:7	229:5	reduce	refine
117:16	recently	242:5	97:16	49:11
118:3,10	60:16	recommendat	182:24	reflect
119:6	156:20	ions 10:1	ref 161:1	19:24
122:4,11	recessing	11:2 13:1	refer 29:12	22:8
174:14,19	56:4	20:17	216:19	152 : 3
185:11	126:20	22:21	210:19	176:8 , 20
209:13	187:21,22	24:2,6,9,		243:2
223:5	233:8	12 25:5	reference	275 : 18
237:25		81:3	12 : 15	reflected
238:7	recipient	92:15,22	30:15	52:11
248:15	201:5	193:23	32:13	176:22
249:6,15	recognize		61:13	195:25
266:9	208:12	recommended	82 : 6 , 9	278:6
recalled		10:23	108:19	
271:3	recognized	20:7	138:1,2	reflects
	272:16	33:21	168:13	22:16
receive	Recognizing	77:10	174 : 3	89:15
23:5	128:12	84:22	188:25	refrain
206:18	recollectio	147:11	189:14,15	230:21
219:23	n 27:5	161:14	191:15	refresh
received	51:20	209:6	240:25	52:24
9:22	52:21	215:5	261:7	52:24 54:5
13:17,22	53:2	231:15	266:3	54:5
22:17	55 : 22	243:14,18	referenced	refreshing
27:23	90:21	262:12	8:22	53:14
68:1,4	94:9	recommendin	20:22	refusal
96:12	118:25	g 20:14	74:15	34:22,24
111:7	176:21	244:25	268 : 7	158:2
116:20,24	178:5	recommends	273:6 , 7	160:7
118:19	197:24,25	242:5	references	161:3
119:8	236:6,9	242.5	11:13	231:13
122:6	271:14	record	65:22	
125:10		15:23	245:19	refused
154:4	recommend	42:4		78 : 3
160:3,16	177:16	50:15	referred	81:15
182:3,7	215:6	120:16	44:22	regard
183:25	221:14	176:23	90:8	169:2,5
219:7,8,1	241:14	242:15	130:15	218:16
9 220:6	recommendat		216 : 22	
3 220.0	recommendat	recorded		

	TRI LE COLLINGWOO	JD 00 20 2017	rage 330	01 343
regarded	269:6	released	224:16	87:10,18
150:5	274:3	15:22	repeatedly	89:9,13,1
169:3	relates	192:10	138:17	8 , 22
180:19	112:22	relevance		90:5,7,8,
regarding	117:22	254:14	repetitive	14 , 25
25:24	234:23	255:15	214:19	91:2,5,20
26:2			replace	,21,23
61:19	relating	relevant	26:17	93:6,15,2
177:13	34:2	61:20	81:12	2
	82:23	relied	replaced	94:4,6,9
regardless		31:17,18	31:7	95 : 6
68:25	209:3	35 : 12		102:24
regards	221:9	relief 69:3	replies	105:19
206:13	223:8		53 : 18	109:24
235:22	relation	rely 35:17	reply 53:20	132:12,18
266:22	114:8	relying	111:5	133:16
267:16	122:8	176:7	 0.21	135:23
regional	248:5	rem 263:10	report 8:21 9:8,17,19	136:1,4,8
225:10,2	2 relational	rem 263:10	10:22	,24 140:8
226:1,21		remained	11:13,15,	144:5
227:3,25		22:21	16,20	149:16
228:2,7	Teracrons	remaining	13:1,3,10	156 : 25
229:1,6,	62:18	161:15	,13,16,19	157:4,17
0,12,25	relationshi		,23,24	162:4
271:19	p 57:20	remember	18:19	164:14
272:22	59:9	17:23	19:21	170:5
	64 : 25	26:4,7	20:7,22	171:7
Regrettabl	- y 100:9,10,	32:20	21:9,12,1	173:19
191:6	11,13,17	88:4,5	6,22	178:9,10,
reign 186:	9 101:9,12,	94:4	22:1,2,9,	21
201:21,2	4 25 107:23	136:1,4 195:18	12,21	179:11,14
rejoinder	142:4	213:7	23:6,11,2	,17,25
179:25	148:20	261:9	1	180:7,14, 18,20,24
	150:3	274:20	25:11 , 18	181:3,15,
relate 32:	100.17		29:20	16,23,24
107:13	154:11	Remembering	65:22 , 24	182:12
113:3	155:9	216:9	66:6 , 13	183:2,8,9
117:23 119:24	234:5,12	removed	67 : 11	184:18,21
119.24	248:2	79:1	68:4,13	185:10,16
related	250:6,10	248:24	69:15 , 21	188:1,19,
18:23	251:12	renewal	70:18	23,24
66:4 99:	•	193:10	71:22	189:1,4,8
106:23	260:8		73:20,24	, 15
123:1	relationshi	renewed	74:4,10	190:1,23
203:9	ps 103:8	7:22 , 23	78:21,23	191:4,7,1
208:10	104:3	repeat	79:8 , 12	6,20
224:20	release	101:4	80:10,16,	192:7,13,
237:4,13	90:1	146:4	17 , 18	14,17,22
238:21	248:21,22	167:17,21	81:5 83:8	193:2,3,1
239:10	210.21,22	repeated	84:22	9 194:1
252 : 7		repeaced		

INQUIRY re COLLINGWOOD 06-26-2019 Pag	e 331	Οİ	345
---------------------------------------	-------	----	-----

	re COLLINGWOOD	D 06-26-2019	Page 331	<u> </u>
195:3,5,1	143:7	92:16	37:22	46:20
2 200:8,9	144:8	236:24	40:7 41:5	240:25
203:14	180:10	240:17	42:22	
204:1	181:15		45:20	respond
218:17	186:16	required	49:22	34:9
219:1	192:24	23:23	50:10	58:10
221:9,11,	222:9	34:10	56:9,16,2	59 : 18
· ·	243:5	56 : 22	4 57:3	62 : 22
15,21 222:19		69:1		85 : 17
	251:4	144:8,10	59:10	110:25
229:7,14	264:24	146:20	63:1,10	111:20
241:7	267:19	153:14	81:10	177:7
242:8	273:8	180:14	95:12,20	responded
244:15,16	276:24	requirement	102:2	89:16
259:10	represent	77:25	113:17	175:5
260:17	42:6	136:12	114:7	173.3
264:18,21			117:8,12	responding
265:13,18	representat	197:9	119:16	29:19
266:11,12	ives 50:2	requirement	130:3	95:21
,19,23	represented	s 134:25	134:4	215:19
267 : 17	17:22	research	140:5,16	responds
273:3 , 7	36:9	77:11	141:1	92 : 6
reported	42:22		153:12,19	92.0
28:11		142:5	158:5	response
29:1	representin	resend	159:14,20	22:3
87 : 22	g	118:6	162:12	48:16
138:6	41:10,18	238:2	165:14	52:13
143:20	72:11	resented	171:14	90:24,25
182:6	255:10	98:19	172:7	92:4,12
205:17	reps 46:25	90.19	173:12	109:11
203.17		reserve	175:2,23	114:14
reporter	reputation	11:3	177:20	119:11
129:20	226:18	126:8	178:4	123:1
244:12	request	resistance	180:7	143:10
reporters	115:16	193:8	182 : 6	171:1,16
129:22	125:10,12		191:10	188:4
	135:1	resolution	194:2	198:23
reporting	136:20	40:15	196:25	199:12
10:19	257:5	resolve	209:25	210:8
11:4	258:17,18	47 : 24	210:24	212:2,10,
43:10,16	264:12,15		227:3	18,25
68:1	requested	resolved	231:3	235 : 17
reports	122:12	23:5	241:8	249:14
8:6,10,15	208:25	respect	250:17	253:14
,19 10:23		12:1	264:7	264:13
65:23	209:18	13:18		270 : 15
69:15	requesting	15:13	respectful	
80:21	87:12	16:4,8	101:23	responses
97:9,10	requests	24:5	respectfull	22:4,20
130:14	65:7	25:23	y 129:5	93:9,20
140:5	215:1	29:15	130:19	111:4
140:3	710:1	32:9 36:9		responsibil
141.40	require		respecting	•

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	JD 06-26-2019	Page 332	O1 343
ities	resulting	181:3	276:8	238:1
14:22	95 : 13	189:21	risks	roll
17:13	results	210:15	107:13	24:20,21
149:8,9	154:22	211:3	229:18	
173:18	191:19	241:6		rolled
responsibil	224:2	244:6	Rodger	24:21
ity 13:8		247:1	73:19	236:7
14:14	resuming	reviewed	75:6 81:2	rolling
19:12	56:5	15:9 , 16	87:25	115:2,3
21:15	126:21	22:20	88:6,12,2	roll-on
42:12	233:9	31:5	0	195:23
47:15	retain 75:4	33:25	89:4,6,8,	
64:11	retained	55 : 18	12	rollover
79:17	17:25	142:2	90:1,12,1	15:14
101:1	18:16	168:2	7,25 91:5,8	Ron 28:2
176:4	75:5	181:8	-	43:24
192:7	83:12	184:24	92:1,4,11 93:24,25	44:24
217:8	87:12,17	220:7	95:5,9,16	46:1
218:20	255:20	reviewing	161:14	232:3,6,8
224:10		11:3 13:8	164:13	root 188:2
265:22	retaining	45:17	230:13	
266:1	17:7	123:8	241:23	rose 104:20
	retired	186:13		ruffled
responsible 14:14	7:12,24	270:17	Rodgers	39:3
38:14	112:12,13		83:11	178:10
63:2	,14	revise	161:23	188:20
64:12	retiring	47:12	162:4	rule 145:4
66:23	112:8	revised	Rodger's	
135:18		53:6 91:5	157 : 6	run 99:6
137:23	retracted	RFP 108:21	161:18,22	running
152:18	252:20	152:9	Roger	151:4
166:13	return 79:2	258:7,25	69:13 , 14	rush
	returned	260:25	105:19	34:18,19
rest 211:14	79:2	262:18	109:23	· · · · · ·
restricted		264:2	142:15	Ryan 2:20
76:12	review 8:23		193:2	
172:8	11:17	RFPs	262:1,2,8	S
result	12:21	259:18,24	,21	<pre>sale 8:7</pre>
33:24	18:18	Rick 7:16	264:10	17:1
67 : 7	21:6	rid 119:25		27:12
108:4	23:10,15,	120:19	Roger's	29:21
140:4	21 25:10 51:25		263:1	32:14
249:24	79:15,18	ridiculous	role 5:18	33:6,21
260:3	80:2	96:5	72:8 79:8	34:1,2
262:16	126:13	rightly	88:1	37 : 22
	151:14	161:9	111:18	53 : 25
resulted	157:14	Rink 203:5	114:12	66:3
29:18 69:12	157:14		118:4	85 : 24
179:8	159:8	risk 68:10	159:20	95:14
1/3:0	180:12	233:12	166:11	106:23
	100.12			

	Te COLLINGWOO		rage 333	01 319
110:2	82:7	212:4	seek 150:20	231:13
113:18	savings	217:16	196:5	selling
161:4	81:2	226:6,16	241:4	74:7
162:12		254:8	seeking	76 : 22
164:14	saw 71:6	270:15	43:6	110:1
169:14	80:16	scrolling	104:20	197:3
170:23	81:23	92 : 5	224:6	275:22
174:12	96:4	105:17		
206:13	97:10	110:18	seem 7:7	send 52:3
208:10	108:17		113:11	90:14,18
209:6	176:16	sealed	141:13	113:19,22
215:5	221:8,17	112:23	152:2	115:7
218:16	259:13	235:1	172:22	222:19
224:3	271:11	search	seemed	261:7
272 : 25	scenarios	37 : 25	25 : 12	264:15
Sandra 2:13	47:21,23	searching	31:3 48:4	sending
	scope 18:17	214:22	55 : 2	27 : 13
Sara 13:5		223:13	70:14	237:17
30:25	screen	224:6	71:10	senior
31:21,22	45:25		78:13	117:10
55:5 93:8	200:18	second	139:15	217:1
111:8	217:22	11:15	166:5,6,7	242:18,20
119:1 120:1	218:3	38:24	175:2	265:21
172:2	272:18	57:23	186:12	
176:3,4,7	scroll	58:21	254:4	sense 7:6
,10,19	8:9 , 20	61:21	269:5	10:4
179:1,6	16:23	71:5	275 : 23	14:25
208:6	23:2	72:16	277 : 19	18:22
236:9,10,	25:21	73:3	278 : 3	19:7 21:3
20 248:8	27:8	97:5,6	seems	57 : 25
	30:23	105:12	113:11	70:10
sat 88:7	42:16	112:13	165:16	92:10
152:17	44:23	129:12	174:11	139:6,13
satisfactor	45:6,8	130:8 144:15	224:23	150:13 156:5
y 134:16	52:8	145:3	seen 15:24	179:4
154:12	53:16,20	170:7	195:23	
256:2	67:18	171:22	196:3	sensitive
satisfied	82:8,24	183:15	209:8	112:4
148:4	84:9,14	190:1,21	212:13	234:25
176:10	89:10	210:25	219:7	252 : 12
	91:12,25	243:24	220:10	sensitivity
Saunderson	92:3 95:7	252 : 11		115:4
205:8	106:5		sell	255 : 9
save	110:17,25	secrecy	76:13 , 25	256:6
79:23,24	111:3	78:6 , 8	77:4	278 : 7
80:23	168:15	secret	81:14	sent 15:5,8
131:8	198:14	62:19	105:23	22:2
264:20	200:14,20	section	161:15	42:23
saved 80:25	207:14,19 209:17	35:8 36:4	164:10	44:16
81:3,9	211:14,25	41:6 87:9	230:10	51:24
	Z11:14,Z3	_ : : 0 / • 3		

	TE COUDINGWOO	DD 00-20-2019	rage 334	01 343
89:12,22	service	142:23	36:9	151:23
100:23	12 : 24	143:7,24	37 : 11	153:13
105:3	19 : 12	144:8	85:23	177:15
112:17	26:2 28:3	146:16	86:16	184:24
115:13	79 : 22	147:22	95 : 14	188:3,8
116:13	81:14	148:10	106:10,12	195:6,9,1
117:17	132:2,7,8	150:24	,15,23	4
118:11,20	133:6,20	151:19	158 : 10	196:6,12,
120:9	134:4,12	152:5,13	159:21,25	25
122:12,24	135:16	177:15	160:1	197:2,6,1
134:22,23	136:9,11	184:23,24	161:4	0,14,16
212:21	137:8	188:3,8	164:14,16	199:16
213:9	142:1	190:22	171:2	205:9
214:11	153:13	195:7,9,1	196:11	259:20
219:20	189:21	4	197:6	269:16
221:6	198:21	196:6,12,	218:16	275 : 15
235:14	201:4,5,8	25	224:3	
236:16	,11,13,15	197:2,6,1	275:22	shareholder
249:16,18		0,15,16		s 157:21
258:18,20	services	198:8	shared	158:9
	9:9	199:16	11:17	160:2,18
sentence	11:17,18	204:6	16:24	164:16
190:21	12:3,22	205:9	17:8	262:16
separate	14:6	259:20,21	18:12	shares
117:23	16:24	260:18,19	19:22	32:10
236:7	17:8		20:8 25:4	33:10
255 : 23	18:12,21,	session	28:3 30:4	34:17
September	24	44:12	39:23	38:11
5:13	19:22,24	sets 76:5	42:24	66:3
211:9	20:8	95:4	44:13 47:12	69:18
	23:23	203:14	48:17,23	71:8
sequence	24:8	209:22	51:20	72:12,19
87:11	25:4,24	213:8	55:21	73:13,18
150:25	30:5,6 33:18	setting	66:14	74:7
sequences	39:23	88:1	80:3	75:7,9,23
29:9	42:25	105:9	81:18	76 : 23
69:21	44:13	166:14	82:2	77:1,2
series 8:6	47:12	209:24	86:11,20,	98:20
36:4	48:17,23	212:21	24 93:12	105:24
37:15	51:20	seven 6:6	102:22	106:10
	66:1,4,14		132:2,7,8	151:1
serious	78:23	several	133:6,20	161:12,16
132:21	79:1 80:3	8:14 49:6	134:4,12	164:10
seriously	81:18	shale 171:2	135:2,16	198:7
164:21	82:2		136:9	208:11
serv 150:17	88:10	share 8:7	137:8	209:5,7
	135:2	27:12	140:5	230:10
serve 46:19	136:13	29:3,21	142:1	share-sale
226:23	137:5,18	32:14 33:20	143:7,24	67 : 22
served 73:4	140:5	34:1,2	144:8	sharing
	141:15	J4:1,4	146:15	2

INQUIRI	. ie collingwod	JD 00-20-2019	raye 333	01 343
242:24	h 14:9,25	62 : 24	141:23	43:7
she'd	16:13 , 17	63:7,20	159:24	51 : 17
114:11	17:3,6,16	64:18	162:2,5	56 : 20
213:24	, 24	69:1	164:22	59 : 15
	18:3,11	71:17	232:3	60:16
sheet	19:14	72:13	239:25	63:6
170:16	80:5	80:24	255 : 22	64:16
she'll	95 : 22	81:2	260:14	68:6
120:5	100:23	148:7,20,	277 : 18	70:15 , 16
259:3	105:1 , 15	23 188:20	single	72 : 15
she's 91:20	114:10	193:14	111:15	73:3
	115:25	203:8	236:23	78:13
116:8	134:1,24	229:3,23		81:6 86:1
129:7	135:13	251:17 , 23	sir 148:17	88:21
134:15	147:9	257 : 18	155 : 5	97 : 25
209:21	148:24	267:16	192:24	99:14
213:9,17	206:9	269:6	204:17	101:3
236:11	278 : 6	270:21	217:14	103:8
shift 276:2	sic 14:6,7	275:19	219:19	107:17
shifts	27:20	significant	227:8,17	109:11,19
252:17	31:16	ly 13:2	228:5,8,1	,21
	83:12	94:10	7 238:14	112:4,10
shocked	138:24	155:8	243:17	113:6,9
225:4	160:5		244:12	117:8
shocking	161:24	signing	246:6	150:14
225:7	162:4	35 : 22	254:8	151:21
short	171:13	237:10	255:16	152:16
130:23		249:12	260:13	164:12
232:20	sides	signposts	261:15	196:25
	251 : 15	83:13	264:11	236:12
shotgun	sign 31:19	signs	266:14	239:14
32:5,8,13	35 : 18	113:24	267:8	240:21
,17	77 : 22		268:2	245:6,13,
34:3,5,8,	175 : 18	Simcoe.com	271:7	22 247:5
21 38:10	signature	246:16	272:3,15	248:2
72:17	35:13	similar	274:16 277:14	253:20
75:15		93:8	2//:14	261:5
157:24	signed	152:8	sit 36:18	266:20
160:6	30:24	170:9	38:2	275:1
161:5,9,1	31:12	192:25	71:14	situations
1 162:7	54:3	194:1	129:7	60:14
163:23	113:24	similarly	241:3	241:22
164:11,22 230:5,9	185:19	211:5,8	situation	six 61:8,17
230:5,9	248:25	222:24	12:1	82:10
	249:1		15 : 25	173:5,10,
showing	significanc	simple	29:2,14	14 245:12
115:5	e 71:11	142:5	30:3	
227:24	significant	simpler	32:7,11,2	slide
237:6	10:15	41:17	5	227:18
Shuttlewort	24:17	simply	33:18,20	Sloan 88:8
		~P±1	39:1,13	
	i l		i .	į l

INQUINI	TE COTITIONOC	JD 00-20-2019	rage 330	01 343
sold 33:10	36:21	59:24	274:7	258:17
77:3	41:14	61 : 2	sorts 73:2	265:9
151:1	67 : 3	62 : 7 , 13	96:12	267:12
209:6	70:10	74:13,17	104:14	274 : 5
sole 68:18	78:12	82:15		specificall
	85:3 , 11	84:9 85:6	sought	y 41:12
solely	88:4	101:4	20:14	53:22
183:20	98:24	116:17	116:13	72:9 94:5
265:5	103:17	119:12,14	195:13	117:16
sole-	112:11	123:2	265:11	196:11
sourced	123:22	129:6	sound 94:24	209:1
261:19	138:12	133:8	114:14	266:7
solicitor	147 : 5	134:22	240:12	
238:10	148:1	159:2,25	sounded	specifics
	166:4	160:13	114:14	59:9
solution	170:17	164:3		speculate
14:5,7	174:10	165:12	sounds	177:11
143:12	216:23	174:12	133:17	spend 61:14
155:11	275:3	175:11	166:17	_
solutions	277 : 4	177:7	source 73:8	spending
11:18,23	somehow	184:11	169:3	261:2,11,
12:18,24	71:7	185:19	sources	15 , 19
15:20	98:23	190:7	155:13	spent
16:12	someone	200:15		60:9,18,1
19:23	138:13	202:9	sparring	9 80:4
28:6	216:25	215:14,15	263:12	142:2
33:19	217:1	217:17	speak 18:11	171:11
43:1	231:25	225:15	30:20	174:21
46:20		226:7 230:16	60 : 5	188:6,14
47:1 66:3	sometime	243:22	63:10,15	264:21
80:13	7:1 26:5	243:22	177:6	spite 149:2
96:21,23,	112:11	247:21,22	256:1	_
24 97:17	135:4	254:11	268:4	split
98:6	somewhat	259:8	speaking	111:14
100:21	128:1	263:22	18:10	235:24
134:8	151 : 11	269:20	86:18	236:21
138:15	somewhere	270:2,10	184:3	splitting
150:9	15 : 3	276:21	195:2	235:22
151:18	33:15		196:23	spoke 16:16
152:17	138:2	sort 43:16	199:14	35 : 10
185:1	144:19	47:7	233:13	63:10
186:7	266:18	59:16	specific	160:12
198:20	sorry	61:18	30:16	239:12
201:11	26:18,23	70:10	41:4 53:9	spoken
204:8	26:18,23 27:4	73:2	63:23	spoken 60:20
solve	40:20	85:18 135:13	67:24	60:20 64:1
137:14	45:5,9,10	165:22	87:16	100:8
143:16	,12	175:17	118:25	102:13
148:7	51:21,22	253:14	171:24	
somebody	54:16,23	200.14	209:4	spotlight
	J4.10,2J		200.4	

1110011(1	ie collinowed	95 00 20 2019	rage 337	01 919
97:7	166:18	257 : 6	story 41:14	97:13
spread	167:15	267 : 17	42:13	stressed
136:14	169:6,12,	states 20:7	114:11	98:14,25
	14 170:22	206:10	214:24	99:2,3
SSA 46:3,21	233:17	216:5	215:1	275 : 10
47:3	270:3,4	265:2	straight	
SSA's 46:8	started		129 : 21	stressful
staff 12:18	6:24 14:9	station		98:13
16:12	32:24	249:6	strategic	99:14
36:17,18	33:12,13	status	10:24	strong
46:25	34:18,19	15 : 13	11:2	120:20
50:2	37:6 , 25	48:5,6	64:10,25 70:20	193:7
55:17	38:18,23	195:13	96:16 , 17	strongly
88:7	42:5	stay 7:9,11	97:25	90:11
92:16	65 : 23	_	98:2	92:2
104:8,12	76:22	stayed 71:24	100:1	structural
117:10	98:17	71:24 94:6	150:22	275:21
155:9	131:19	94:6	150:22	2/5:21
186:7	146:17	staying	166:20	structure
188:7,14	150:12	6:21	168:9	98:10,18
201:10,12	167:6	steering	169:15	99:5
,14	223:6,13	13:4	170:4,13	151:18
204:7,8,9	277:3	209:3	171:6,25	152:19
219:1	starting	213:1	173:4,17,	270:22
221:9,21	50:17	240:17,19	19	274:9
222:9,19	111:23	step 51:4	174:11,17	Structured
249:21	114:2	106:15	210:5,25	201:1
253:15,16	154:25	142:8	211:9,22	structures
,17,22	starts		226:17,19	152:3
254:1	168:21	steps 10:18	227 : 19	
stages	269:20,21	26:12	274:25	struggled
264:25	i i	38:3	strategy	164:20
stakeholder	state	108:5	47:2,8	struggling
s 188:22	236:18	Steve 39:11	106:16,23	276:4
	237:24	stood 13:24	107:3,6,1	stuff 50:17
stand 59:21	stated		0,24	73:22
63:14	195:20	stop 62:14	108:3,9,1	78:5
standard	239:22	233:5	7,20,23	123:1
260:9	242:12	247:22	225:11 , 22	228:24
standoff	statement	279 : 3 , 6	226:1	sub-bullet
246:17	61:10	stopped	228:1,3,8	45:25
	65:4,6,9	49:14	229:1,6,1	
star 34:18	175:18	277 : 5	1,12,17,2	subcontract
start 69:17	209:10	stopping	5 272:22	ed 80:4
74:2	240:6	200:20	stray	subject
121:2	274:3,18	store	230:18	66 : 12
125:24	statements	223:25		176:13
129:14	61:23		Street 1:19	208:9
145:4	114:2,3	stored 37:4	stress	229:3
154:23		224:4		
1	1	į l		

INQUIN	TE COLLINGWOO	JD 00-20-2019	raye 330	01 343
submi 62:7	46:4	205:6	54 : 14	86:15,21
submission	150:6	summaries	56:20	151:11,15
256:4	sudden 97:1	141:25	57 : 23	153:5
	186:18		58:11	160:25
submissions		summarize	60:19	161:1
58:14	Suffice	145:16	72 : 6	surprisingl
submit	188:18	summarized	74:11	y 13:14
137:17	sufficient	67 : 20	85:8 86:7	_
166:18	67:23	69:15	89:5	surrender
submitted	suggest	summarizes	92:13	238:19
22:12	108:9	19:19	113:20	surrounding
68:4	112:22	36:4 95:5	117:21	66:1
	139:7		120:10,15	survey 9:20
subparagrap	182:23	summary	121:1	_
h	193:22	8:10 9:24 20:5	133:10	surveyed
8:10,11,2	229:24	105:19	135:10	9:15
2 76:8	239:21	105:19	139:25 144:4	suspect
95:8	268:21	136:8,17	149:12	121:10
105:17 106:5	273:1	146:15	149:12 171:13	174:22
100:5	suggested		177:13	Sworn 3:5
subparagrap	7:17	supplied	179:3	5 : 6
hs 19:21	47:18	146:14	189:14	
91:3	48:22	support	195:10	synergy 242:24
subsequent	52:21	189:4	203:11,12	242:24
7:23	53:23	253 : 24	204:14	system 72:5
55:17	64:24	supported	207:4	80:19,21
89:12	68:13	20:19	209:8	99:21,22
106:24	75:6 , 22	99:21	228:18	100:4
122:5	107:21	272:12,23	232:22	123:25
138:4	158:25	·	233:18	152:21
subsequentl	174:10	<pre>supportive 102:24</pre>	236:17	272:1
y 7:22	235:11	102:24	239:15	275:1
-	suggesting	suppose	252 : 22	
subsidize	55:1	254 : 20	253:2	T
124:14	275:19	supposed	254 : 16	tab
subsidizing		6:16	259 : 3	198:14,19
124:5,10	<pre>suggestion 5:18</pre>	173 : 23	265 : 22	TABLE 3:1
138:13,14	48:25	sure 17:15	266:1	tabled 22:2
, 15 139:8	104:18	24:24	274:19	
subsidy	104:18	25:7,8,13	surely	tactics
123:16,24	256:5	27:19	160:5	250:14
139:3,7,8		29:8	171:1	takeover
144:3	suggestions	35:3,4,21	surprise	71:7
substantive	81:13	38:9	32 : 2	taking 25:2
179:13	94:17,20	40:25	152:18	89:16
	suggests	50:5,20	184:23	149:2
success	52:13	51:10	193:11	175:22
151:5	120:15	52 : 5		176:13
successful	146:14	53 : 15	surprised	259:9
	182 : 25		55 : 8	
Î.				I

	. le COLLINGWOC	00 20 2013	rage 339	01 313
278:12	20	46:2	234:4	263:7
talk 26:11	211:1,10,	terminated	235:11	264:1
33:13	15,16,23	6:11	237 : 4	267:8
39:6,7	217:10	20:9,11	238:6	269:18
80:8	218:25	20.9,11	239:23	279:9
186:9	219:9,16,	terminating	256 : 23	thanks
	19	24:8,16	257 : 17	
188:10	220:3,6,1	25:4	270 : 18	218:1
200:7	0,14	termination	271 : 8	that'll
talked	223:16,18	23:8	275 : 23	270 : 5
30:25	224:21	46:21	text 86:23	theirs 77:3
31:1,9	taxing		217:23,25	
47:14	233:12	terms 10:6	·	thereabouts
49:7	233:12	11:23	thank	275:20
50:24	taxpayers	12:15	59:2 , 5	therein
51:5 80:9	67 : 6	21:5,17	61 : 4	245:7
89:25	team 173:18	32:3 46:8	62 : 12	there's
102:15	210:6,11,	61:19,25	101:14,15	28:3 30:7
108:2	210.0,11,	70:16	125:7	
119:3	211:1,10,	74:6	126:13	34:15,21,
120:1	15,16,23	75 : 23	132:23	23 36:20
134:6,9	218:25	79:9 93:9	133:18,23	42:11
135:20	219:9,16,	104:21	135:12	58:23
174:4,6	19	125:16	145:21	60:9,15
186:2,3,1	220:3,6,1	148:14	153 : 24	62:21
1 202:7		151 : 25	157 : 19	82:9,24
203:7	0,14 242:20	159:25	160:11	92:3,5
204:14	276:20	160:18	173:15	100:22
236:9		162:3	175 : 12	102:11,21
245:20	technical	174:2	178:8	104:5,17
251:4	142:22	188:25	180:5	107:7,8
270:23	technically	191:15	183:8	111:15
talking	122:19	208:4	187 : 18	121:15,16
17:10	173:22	251:18	189:20,24	144:10,16
40:11		255 : 25	191 : 13	,19 145:3
69:16	technology	266:2	194:12,13	183:22
72:11	18:25	268:23	, 19	187:12
76:22	149:19	test 242:25	204:17	193:7 197:9
103:2	temporary	testified	208:5	200:18
110:25	6:16	130:17	209:12	216:8
168:8	ten 35:1		217:22	236:12
178:21	56:2	testifies	218:7	
180:1	187 : 12	252:13	232:17	241:22 247:17
182:17	233:6	testifying	233:20,23	
203:5	240:8	64:15	234:13	252:6 254:17
			235:3,6	255:25
talks	tenor 64:12	testimony	242:3	263:25
169:7,9	term 64:24	49:3	243:11	264:21
task 146:17	195:20	61:7,8,13	253 : 11	274:12
173:17,23	terminate	64:19	256:16,18	
210:6,10,		108:7	260:24	they'd
		125:12		

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOC	D 06-26-2019	Page 340	of 345
241:3	thousand	тос516775	18:24	,21 152:6
they're	82:9	181:17	26:15	154:3
20:14	thread	today	30:4 32:4	155:10,23
67:11	111:21	107:22	33:10	156:23
86:21	119:18	130:1	34:19	157:1
94:17	122:5,14	225:9	35:6 36:9	158:23
97:23	235:18	233:5	37:12,22	160:3,16
109:18		264:22	38:6,9	161:10,24
126:17	threat	278:20	39:22	, 25
142:22	66:17	279:6	40:7	162:25
193:16	threatening		41:10,18	166:23
265:23,24	105:6	toe 113:14	42:22,25	173:7,17,
267:1		to-ing	43:1,7	19,22
275:2,3	threw	130:18	44:2	184:25
	186:19		46:2,18,2	185:20
they've	throughout	token	4	189:1
37:1	20:13	199:21	47:15,19	198:9
266:1	throwing	Tomorrow	50 : 2	201:6,14
think's	268:3	279:7	57 : 21	204:6,10
256:15		tone 91:22	62 : 20	206:14
third 45:25	thrown		66:12	208:10
211:9	237:18	tonne 63:14	69:7,16,2	221:21
239:24	249:22	top 45:6	3 71:7	222:6,9,1
262:13	tie 81:5	83:22,24	72 : 9	1,14,20
275:12	tightly	192:5	73:15	223:2,12
	73:25	208:4	75:3 79:2	224:11
third-party		220:2	81:8,14,1	228:21
257:19	till	259:1	7	229:9
thirty 6:7	233:4,5	total 201:2	95:11,17	230:2,9
Thomson	TIM 60:4,8	224:18	100:9,14	231:20
29:12,18	131:6		101:10	232:1,6,7
39:10	232:22	totally	106:7	234:6
65:24	233:1,11,	101:23	107:5	238:22
67:15,19,	20	touch 250:5	116:24	239:10,13
21 69:21	Timothy	252:12	122:17,23	240:18
80:17	2:15	touched	123:1,3,2	243:15
83:8		105:25	0,23	250:11
87:18	tiny 218:6	110:11	124:2,5,1 4 127:14	252 : 2 255 : 22
141:20	TOC0515233		128:12	262:11
259:9	4:3	towards	132:4	264:6
260:4,10,	TOC0516647	107:24 274:8	135:22	271:1
14,17,18	4 : 7	276:25	137:17	
Thomson's			138:13,15	Town's 10:5
68:1,3	TOC0516775	Town 1:2,17	139:8	37:23
195:25	4:8	2:19 5:13	140:1	41:24
	TOC515233	10:9,16,2	143:13	42:4,6
thoughts	16:19	3 11:6	146:18	51:7
92:2	TOC516647	12:5,6	147:13	72:25
130:12	82 : 17	14:11,21	148:21	76:12
191:10	168:4	15:23	149:12,17	79:6
		16:8	·	96:15,19

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	D 06-26-2019	Page 341	of 345
110:1	3:18	258:2	179:22	69 : 22
122:18	175:8	261:22	189:13	
128:20	240:1		200:9	unaware
158:11	269:19,23	truly 177:8		108:17
194:2	271:11	trust 35:19	turned	225:10
196:20		95:11 , 17,	24:20	253:16
224:22	transparent	19 99:17	70:25	271 : 5
231:19	164:19	101:6	71:3	uncertain
238:9	tre 100:18	trusted	133:9,20	62 : 25
250:17	treasurer	35:20	148:7	uncomfortab
258:15	11:1 13:5		179:12	le 59:9
260:5	16:11	truth	250:3	
262:15	17:11	239:12,18	twenty	121:13
	18:15	, 20	34:6,8,13	165:19
tra 120:16	77:21	try 31:2	, 16	undergo
track 73:12	80:6 81:1	34:19	72:21,23	276:7
trail	89:25	38:1 58:4	75 : 15	underlying
120:16,24	100:18,23	73:11	161:5	199:11
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	81:10,24	twenty-four	
trans 15:10	,25 117:5,10	97:19	182:10,25	230:6
transaction	134:6,11	109:19	·	231:4
8:7 17:1	135:22	145:15	twenty-	
27:10,12	137:3	149:11	seven	underpaying
32:16	179:1,6	175:5	180:17	123:22
36:10,19	240:22	185:3	twenty-six	124:2
37:9,11	261:7	189:18	186:2	underscores
38:1,3		219:4		209:9
42:22	tried 20:15	241:15	twenty-two	understand
43:11,16	26:10	242:18,19	201:2	5:17
55:18	47:10,11	275:10,11	twice 91:21	11:15
67 : 22	49:13	trying 30:3	270:12	16:6 19:3
68:18	81:24	49:11	type 59:15	20:14
85:24	88:10		84:25	23:24
106:23	97:17	50:8,9	162:19	27:2,10
108:18,19	109:21	60:10,19	217:2	30:3
161:10	176:1	61:15		31:22
196:12	183:18	72:3	typo 216:8	34:14
197:3,17	240:1,10	73:16,17 85:23		38:1,7
198:11	242:12	102:3	U	40:22
199:11,17	256:24	137:14	UK 6:2	41:21
209:23	265:3	138:9,18	ultimately	43:6
216:10,16	trouble	139:14	94:22	48:12,15
219:2,5	121:17	155:4	108:4	49:21
221:9	137:20	167:5,15	110:2	51 : 17
223:1,9,2	true 11:13	217:24		66:21
2 224:19	12:14	236:2	unanimous	70:6
225:5,11	18:17	243:21	160:2,18	73:24
230:8	102:20	255:17	unanimously	
232:2,11,	194:1		272:23	91:21
1	エノコ・エ	turn 133:5		
12	215.20		unanewared	101:6
12 transcript	215:20 239:2	134:23	unanswered	101:6 113:8,10

INQUINI	Te COLLINGWOO	00-20-2019	rage 342	01 343
118:1	174:1	185:19	243:8	197:4
120:24	176:9	undertook	updated	198:8
127:10	180:22	10:12	49:15	201:8
129:1	191:9	43:21	82:2	204:6
134:11,14	202:18			226:22
136:23	203:3,7	153:19	117:11	266:16
139:14	245:23	179:2	256 : 23	267 : 15
142:16	257 : 25	underway	Updating	268:4,5
148:12	263:3	195:5	48:19	269:6
151:24	267:18	unfair	upon 5:1	270:21
152:25	274:3	57 : 11	30:5	275:22
155:1		144:20	56:4 , 5	270.22
172:18	understandi		· ·	
173:16	ngs 79:4	248:23	126:5,20,	V
179:16,21	understood	unfolded	21	valuation
,24 180:6	10:22	232:2	187:21,22	75:1,4,9,
195:4	29:3	unfortunate	233:8,9	12 76:6
209:22	30:11	71:1	279:11	262 : 4
1	35:12		upset 32:6	value 11:25
219:4	40:3	unfortunate	253:15 , 18	12:3,22
230:7	66:15	ly 45:23	277:4	15 : 16
234:7	68:7 72:8	48:3	urban 28:14	
235:20	82:1	unhappy		32:9
251:9,10	85:21	65 : 1	USB 147:13	34:16,17
253:13	104:13	192:17	usual	38:11
257:11	107:12		128:6,7,1	71:19
264:12	118:9	unless	0,11	72:19
275:14	136:11	146:3	129:10	75:7,18,2
276:6	140:1	239:20	193:13	3 124:23
277:24	164:6	unnecessary		137:4
278:5	172:23	141:14	Usually	143:11,15
understandi	235:12,25	142:21	147:4	,16
ng 6:14	257:25	224:7	utilities	147:10,19
8:13 10:5	277 . 23 272 : 3		2:7	148:4,14,
11:4,14	272 . 3 274 : 6	unsophistic	66:2,4	15 149:13
16:24	2/4.0	ated	79:10	226:17
19:6,9	undertake	163:11	180:7	
26:14	10:24	unsupported	181 : 12	variety
31:21	12:21	191:5	189:13	29:20
51:24	18:22	unusual	201:13	63 : 19
65:5	68:18	37:21	204:9	various
75:11	75:9,11	98:7,9		12 : 3
81:22	88:24	90:1,9	utility	19:11
83:3	138:3	unwieldy	11:19	47:21,23
108:14	144:11	205:15	14:6	49:8
109:24	218:20	update	19:24	50:11
128:11	undertaken	26:17	79:1	60:10,21
134:7	107:3	71:18	162:6	68:23
138:23	189:1,5	111:2	163:22	75:20
158:15,18		197:8,10	169:15	115:3
,20,22	undertaking	227:20	189:20	264:25
173:22,25	177:14	221.2U	190:22	204.20
1,0,22,20				

INQUINI	TE COLLINGWOO	00-20-2013	raye 343	01 343
vendor	224:19	147:12	80:1 81:8	277:13
198:20	vote 78:4	148:5	82 : 7	well-
verbally		152:17	102:18,19	received
267:22	vulnerabili	155:6,10	123:20	96:23
	ties 69:8	156:17	147:13	97:11
verify	70:3,7	170:5,6,1	149:17	
188:14	vulnerable	5 , 17	192:8	Wendy
version	34:20	186:24	194:2	279:19
53:8	47 : 17	191:24	267 : 15	we're 15:25
82:22	72:20	196:20	268 : 4	30:16
84:3	262 : 15	197:12,24	Watson 2:7	56:11,20,
86:23	276 : 8	199:24		24
95:6		221:23	weakened 91:23	57:5,24
versus		224:25	91:23	61:19
276:3	wages 19:11	228:21	website	62:17 , 23
		230:1	221:21	63 : 7
vested	wait 58:21	238:11,15	248:14,16	65 : 12
37:12	62:7	239:12	we'd 127:12	67 : 7
226:5	145:3	242:10,13	236:13	72:11
vetting	243:24	249:25	Wodnoodou	73:16
237:3,6	waived	252:4	Wednesday 249:7	78:22
via 122:8	39:22,24	264:10	249:7 253:8	88:21
164:11	40:7	273:5,6		105:17
	wake 90:3	277:14,16	Wednesdays	119:16
viability	11 - 01 . 1 4	waste 12:7	249:6	127:22,25
24:5,10	walk 91:14	wastewater	weeks	128:2
view 64:7	wasn't 12:5	12:8	114:23	148:13
94:21	27:18,20	14:21	174:22	162:24 163:25
157:5	34:20	16:9 29:8	weight	168:8
166:15	38:15	33:19	64:17	182:17
192:13,14	42:4	78:25		105.4
272:12	45:22	79:5,25	we'll 29:22	208:5
views 201:4	50:23	80:1 81:8	38:5	217:22
Viney 103:9	53:6	82 : 7	56:1,2	230:14
212:5	64:19	123:20	58:14	243:20
	71:13	147:13	64:2	259:19,21
violations	77:24,25 79:25	149:16	90:14 125:15	
255:21	89:22	192:9	126:15	we've 22:8
virtually	96:25	194:2	128:17	60:17 63:14
34:7	104:1	watched	131:15	69:16
130:16	104:1	271 : 12	134:23	98:20
223:25	113:14	water 11:19	146:5	103:2
virtue	116:7	12:7	164:10	106:13
250:13	117:9	14:6,21	168:11	108:2
252 : 18	124:9,19,	16:8	174 : 22	125:9,22
vis-a-vis	22 134:2	19:23	189:17	128:17
164:24	138:2	29:8	230:20	130:1
	139:4	33:19	232:20	140:11
void 55:6	142:17	78:23,25	233:4,5,6	145:16
	145:10	79:6 , 25		
1				Ī

	T TE COLLINGWO	OD 00 20 2013	rage 344	01 349
150:3	wherever	78:7,8,12	win 28:24	wonder
152:11	79:23	80:1	Wingrove	153:6
169:12	whether	81:6,18	84:24	wondered
195:2	17:21	84:21	85:11	38:22
208:22	24:20	96:20	127:13	70:12
215:13,16	46:19	99:5,14,2	129:3,6	73:1
219:7	47:14	5 101:3		105:5
273:20	56:25	113:6	Wingrove's	123:8
275:23		117:8	86:1	123:8
wha 124:19	59:17 64:17	121:16,22	wish 131:1	wondering
	67:22	150:23	149:4	7:8
whatever	79:23	151:18,21	168:2	101:11
22:5	97:23	152 : 16		243:17
24:24		164:11,12	withdrawn	Woodworth
33:2	107:2	166:2	248:14	279:19
35:22	117:16	186:19	witness	
36:22	122:13	197:9	56:10	wording
48:20	123:15	220:16	57 : 24	239:25
50:19,22,	127:15	223:6	63 : 18	work 31:16
24 81:11	144:2,21	241:13,18	107:20	34:14,25
90:15	146:10	243:4	126:25	49:10
92:21,22	180:13	245:20	127:2,3,6	
97:20	183:2	248:7	128:12,16	
112:25	194:15	275 : 7	,20,21,22	
120:3	196:21		140:19	142:16
125:6	216:15	who's 34:24	144:18,20	147:12,14
145:15	244:13	63:2	,21	152:24
152:14	247:9	88:17	163:11	222:14,16
182:8	266:9	224:5	167:6	,17
186:10	whittle	275 : 9	194:12	240:17
192:8	127:19	wi 101:3	230:20,23	249:7
241:7				269:15
242:4	Whoa 181:25	wide 29:20	witnessed	275:1
248:21,24	whoever	William	264:24	
252:19	31:18	2:19	witnesses	worked
254:4	34:12	39:20	48:22	6:1,2,4,5
259:14	35:12,21	57:13 , 17	57 : 18	9:19
266:5	80:6,8	126:23	59:20	12:4,9
273:14	81:14	127:7,11,	60:11	13:3
276:16	188:11	23	62 : 2	31:13
	201:24	128:3,23	64:20,23	36:15,16
whatsoever	217:11	129:2	100:8	74:7
225:6	272:13	144:14	101:9	80:15
whe 32:24	whole 29:14	162:22	104:18	87 : 25
whenever	32:7,10,2	163:3	107:21	96:8
71:23	32:7,10,2	230:14,17	126:16	104:3
108:11		, 22	128:18	107:23
	33:6,17,2 0,23	263:11		112:2
whereby	37:14,25	willing	woman's	152:5
106:16	39:9 42:5	233:14	105:9	229:2
266:19	55:5 73:9	200.11	won 78:4	234:8
]			245:16
I	1	1		I

INQUIRY	re COLLINGWOO	DD 06-26-2019	Page 345	of 345
269:17	154:25	83:1		
	174:1	91:8,17		
working	175:16,22	177:2		
6:24	176:13	184:4		
98:18	192:10	206:9		
104:1	197:25	208:6		
124:15	246:11	218:10		
151:19	248:12,17	222:21		
197:14	251:24	270:9		
217:9				
works	wrongly 161:9	you've		
222:14	101:9	26:25		
work's	wrote 10:23	75 : 15		
88:25	12:15	87:9,10		
	39:5 74:4	105:25		
world 78:14	80:10	110:11		
worth 75:14	84:21	114:24		
worthy	93:15	117:14		
20:19	94:7	130:20		
56:21	249:21	139:20		
	253 : 17	141:18		
Worts 89:16		142:21		
would've	Y	145:11,17 148:22		
216:22	Ye 124:18	149:3		
	- 140.0	172:8		
wrapped	yet 149:2	178:16		
130:22	238:8	185:5		
wrestle	240:1	193:14		
73:16	255 : 22	194:15		
write 48:11	you'll 31:4	195:4,22		
92:6,21	88:23	202:10		
118:2	147:5	205:10		
119:15	177:4	208:24		
224:7	198:18	209:1		
251:22	201:16	235:21,23		
writes	203:14	241:24		
25:21	204:1,4	247:10		
	210:24	254:3		
writing	212:4	268:5,7		
25:23	213:6,15	272:15 , 17		
46:23	219:14	275 : 15		
181:7,11	220:2			
written	227:17,20			
13:25	270:4			
54:12	278:16			
93:15	yours 156:7			
176:18	243:7			
wrong 21:13	yourself			
146:11	36:5 54:1			
153:23	65 : 25			